

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

THE GOVERNING COUNCIL

REPORT NUMBER 11 OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON ACADEMIC APPEALS

To the Academic Affairs Committee,
University of Toronto.

Your Subcommittee reports that it held a meeting on January 10th, 1975, at 4:00 p.m. which adjourned to January 28th, 1975, at 4 00 p.m. in the Council Chamber, Faculty of Pharmacy, 19 Russell Street, at which the following were present:

Professor J.B. Dunlop (In the Chair)
Mr. J. Creelman
Mrs. Arlene Dick
Professor V.E. Graham

Dr. J.C. Laidlaw
Professor J.A. Sawyer
Mr. C. Ian P. Tate
Miss M. Salter, Secretary

In Attendance:

Mr. H.
and Counsel, Peter Wilkie, Esq.
Hamilton and Wilkie

Professor G.E. Flower
Coordinator of Graduate Studies
and Chairman, Graduate Department
of Educational Theory

Mr. H.J. Bunyan
M.A. student
Department of Educational Theory

Professor L. Zakuta
Associate Dean
School of Graduate Studies

THE FOLLOWING ITEM IS REPORTED FOR INFORMATION

Mr. H.

Mr. H., a student in the M.A. programme in Educational Theory with specialization in Educational Planning at the Ontario Institute for Studies in Education appealed from a decision of the Committee on Applications and Memorials of the School of Graduate Studies which had upheld a decision of the Degree Committee of Division II not to allow any reduction in Mr. H.'s course requirement. The Graduate Department of Educational Theory had recommended a reduction from twelve half-courses to ten. Mr. H. had asked for a reduction to six.

Mr. H. had completed a four-year Business Administration course at Ryerson Polytechnical Institute and had received the degree of Bachelor of Technology. He was admitted to the University of Toronto in the fall of 1972 as a special student in the Faculty of Arts and Science and to a Master of Arts programme in the Department of Educational Theory in April, 1973. He submitted that the work he had done at Ryerson and as a special student was relevant to his Master of Arts programme and that he should be exempted from certain course requirements. He further submitted that he had delayed launching his appeal because he had been led to believe that negotiations between OISE and the School of Graduate Studies with regard to the status of graduates of Ryerson Polytechnical Institute might lead to a reduction of his course requirement.

After hearing oral submissions from Mr. H., Mr. Wilkie and Mr. Bunyan at its first meeting, the Subcommittee adjourned to permit submission of further documentary evidence which it had requested.

After consideration of the documentary and oral evidence, the Subcommittee concluded that the decision of the Degree Committee of Division II of the School of Graduate Studies in the case of Mr. H. conformed with the regulations of the School and with previous decisions

Mr. Ho (Cont'd.)

regarding candidates in the Department of Educational Theory with similar educational backgrounds. While the Subcommittee felt that it was unfortunate that Mr. Ho had been led to hope for some reduction in course requirements, it noted that the advice he had received from the School of Graduate Studies had been consistent throughout.

YOUR SUBCOMMITTEE AGREED

THAT the appeal of Mr. Ho against a decision of the Committee on Applications and Memorials of the School of Graduate Studies be denied.

The meetings adjourned at 6:00 p.m. and 6 30 p.m. respectively.

Secretary

Chairman

February 19th, 1975.