



THE UNIVERSITY TRIBUNAL  
 THE UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO  
 TORONTO, CANADA  
 M5S 1A4

February 26, 1982

CONFIDENTIAL  
REGISTERED MAIL

Mr. W.

Mississauga, Ontario

Dear Mr. W.

At its hearing on February 24, 1982 the Trial Division of the University Tribunal considered the following charges against you:

- (1) That in April, 1981, you did knowingly represent as your own the ideas and expressions of ideas of another in an essay submitted for credit in Philosophy 246S, contrary to Section E.1(a)(ii) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters;
- (2) That in April, 1981, you did knowingly represent as your own the ideas and expressions of ideas of another in an essay submitted for credit in Philosophy 333S, contrary to Section E.1(a)(ii) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters.

Particulars of the said charges were as follows:

- (a) A significant portion of your essay entitled "Frequency Interpretation of Probability Calculus" was taken without acknowledgement from the book entitled Choice or Chance by Bryan Skyrms (pages 212 to 214).
- (b) A significant portion of your essay entitled "On Miracles" was taken without acknowledgement from the book entitled Miracles by C.S. Lewis (pages 62 and 63).

The Tribunal found you guilty of both charges and imposed the following sanctions:

- failure (ie. a grade of F and a mark of zero) in both PHL 246S and PHL 333S

.../2

February 26, 1982

- 2 -

- loss of credit for all courses not completed at the time that the offences were committed. This involves the loss of credit for the following courses:
  - all 1980/81 winter session courses in which you did not have final standing as of April, 1981
  - all 1981 summer courses
  - all 1981/82 winter session courses
- suspension from the University of Toronto from April, 1981 to September 1, 1982.

The jury provided the following reasons for these sanctions:

'The jury finds that the accused has on two occasions committed a serious offence. It is not impressed with the argument put up by the accused that in one instance (the PHL 246S essay) he did give some credit to his source material. The jury has also taken into consideration that the accused when confronted with the evidence readily admitted to his guilt and further considered the implications that a prolonged suspension would have on his status as a visa student.'

I am forwarding to you and to the University Discipline Counsel information regarding rights of appeal. The deadline for you or for the University to file an appeal is March 19, 1982.

Sincerely,

PATRICK S. PHILLIPS  
Secretary, Academic Tribunal

PSP/co  
Encl.