

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

THE GOVERNING COUNCIL

MAY 22, 2014

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE GOVERNING COUNCIL held on May 22, 2014 at 4:30 p.m.
in the University of Toronto Scarborough Council Chamber.

Present:

Ms Judy Goldring (Chair)
Ms Shirley Hoy (Vice-Chair)
Professor Meric S. Gertler (President)
Mr. Harvey Botting
Mr. Jeff Collins
Professor Elizabeth Cowper
Mr. Rastko Cvekic
Mr. Adrian De Leon
Ms Janet L. Ecker
Mr. Aidan Fishman
Mr. Andrew Girgis
Mr. Gary D. Goldberg
Professor William A. Gough
Professor Hugh P. Gunz
Ms Alexandra Harris
Ms Zabeen Hirji
Professor Ellen Hodnett
Mr. Asad J. Jamal
Ms Paulette L. Kennedy
Mr. Nykolaj Kuryluk
Ms Nancy Carolyn Lee
Mr. John Paul Morgan
Ms N. Jane Pepino
Ms Mainawati Rambali
Professor Cheryl Regehr
Ms Catherine Riddell
Mr. Mark Henry Rowswell
Professor Hargurdeep (Deep) Saini
Professor Elizabeth M. Smyth
Professor Janice Gross Stein
Mr. W. John Switzer
Mr. Andrew Szende

Professor Steven J. Thorpe

Ms Rita Tsang

Ms B. Elizabeth Vosburgh

Mr. Louis R. Charpentier, Secretary of the
Governing Council

Secretariat:

Ms Mariam Ali

Mr. Jim Delaney

Ms Sheree Drummond

Ms Cindy Ferencz-Hammond

Mr. Anwar Kazimi

Ms Rena Parsan

Ms Amorell Saunders N'Daw

Mr. David Walders

Absent:

Ms Alexis Archbold

Professor John Bland

Mr. Yuan Chung

Professor Avrum I. Gotlieb

Professor Edward Iacobucci

Ms Claire M.C. Kennedy

Mr. R. Mark Krembil

Mr. Brian Lawson

Dr. Gary P. Mooney

Ms Melinda M. Rogers

Professor Andrea Sass-Kortsak

Mr. Howard Shearer

Professor Salvatore M. Spadafora

Mr. W. Keith Thomas

In Attendance:

Ms Susan Froom, Governor-Elect

Mr. Bob Boeckner, College of Electors

Mr. David Windross, College of Electors

Professor Bruce Kidd, Interim Vice-President and Principal, UTSC
Professor Scott Mabury, Vice-President, University Operations
Mr. David Palmer, Vice-President, Advancement
Professor Paul Young, Vice-President, Research and Innovation
Professor Jill Matus, Vice-Provost, Students and First-Entry Divisions

Mr. Andrew Arifuzzaman, Chief Administrative Officer, UTSC
Ms Louise Beckly, Interim Director of Human Resources, UTSC
Professor Malcolm Calmpbell, Vice-Principal, Research, UTSC
Mr. David Curtin, Director, Communications Services, Office of the President
Mr. Tony Gray, Director, Strategic Research, Office of the President
Ms Nadina Jamison, Executive Director, Stakeholder Relations and Strategic Initiatives,
Office of the President
Mr. Steve Moate, Senior Legal Counsel, Office of the President
Mr. Desmond Pouyat, Dean of Student Affairs, UTSC
Ms Archana Sridhar, Assistant Provost
Ms Meredith Strong, Director, Office of the Vice-Provost, Students and Student Policy Advisor

Ms Nicole Dionisio, Scarborough Campus Students' Union (SCSU)
Ms Bollo-Kamara, Students' Administrative Council (operating as the University of Toronto Students' Union, UTSU)
Ms Melissa Theodore, Erindale College Student Union (operating as the University of Toronto Mississauga Students' Union, UTMSU)
Ms Kriya Siewrattan, Association of Part-time Students (APUS)
Mr. Leo Chen, St. George Roundtable

IN ACCORDANCE WITH A DETERMINATION BY THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE, PURSUANT TO SECTION 38 OF BY-LAW NUMBER 2 OF THE GOVERNING COUNCIL, ITEMS 12 TO 17 ON THE AGENDA WERE CONSIDERED BY THE GOVERNING COUNCIL *IN CAMERA*.

1. Chair's Remarks

The Chair welcomed members and guests to the meeting and thanked Mr. Andrew Arifuzzaman, Chief Administrative Officer of UTSC, and Amorell Saunders N'Daw, Assistant Secretary of the Governing Council and Director of Governance of UTSC, for having arranged the members' tour of the Toronto Pan Am Sports Centre. It had clearly been appreciated and enjoyed. Noting the exciting on-going development of the UTSC campus, the Chair also thanked Professor Bruce Kidd, Interim Vice-President and Principal for hosting the meeting.

The Chair reported the appointment of Mr. Mark Rowswell as a Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council member for a three-year term effective April 30, 2014.

Noting that this was a well-deserved honour, the Chair congratulated Chancellor, the Hon. Michael Wilson on having received the Lifetime Achievement Award from the Canadian Association of Former Parliamentarians.

Following the Chair's invitation to provide some remarks, Professor Kidd introduced Ms Shelby Verboven, Director of Student Recruitment, UTSC, and Rasika Endley, a fourth year undergraduate student in the UTSC Management program, and a UTSC student ambassador since 2012. Ms

Verboven provided an overview of the UTSC campus tours program, noting that tours were always delivered by students, and that twelve elite student ambassadors were engaged. Ms Endley provided a “virtual tour” of campus to members explaining how students in various programs and with varied interests made use of different facilities throughout the campus.

Continuing his remarks, Professor Kidd commented on the great excitement and community spirit on campus following his appointment. With respect to the wide variety of capital projects on campus, he indicated that UTSC was in a major period of development and predicted that the Toronto Pan Am Sports Centre would become the envy of every university. Among the many examples of momentum, the campus had been successful in a good number of grant applications, and Departments were engaged in hiring several new faculty.

Professor Kidd also noted that 2014 was the 50th anniversary for UTSC and that over its history, it had been a testament of the generations that had conceived and developed it. He stated that he believed that UTSC had been a great accomplishment for the University. Professor Kidd added that through its expertise and influence, the U of T created one, and then another, comprehensive campus, with the same academic standards as the parent campus. This, he said, had been the University’s contribution to higher education, a tri-campus university with a strong links in the interests of standards.

The Chair thanked Professor Kidd, Ms Verboven, and Ms Endley for their contributions to the meeting.

2. Report of the President

The Chair invited the President to provide his report. The President thanked Professor Kidd and his team for their hospitality and for the Toronto Pan Am Sports Centre tour.

The President began his report by noting that the President’s Teaching Award recognized sustained excellence in teaching, research in teaching, and the integration of teaching and research. It was the University’s highest teaching honour, and recipients joined the University’s Teaching Academy. The 2014 winners, approved by the Academic Board, were: Dr. Don Boyes, Senior Lecturer in the Department of Geography and Program in Planning; and Professor Shafique Virani, Chair of the Department of Historical Studies and Distinguished Professor of Islamic Studies.

The President continued by reporting that the Ontario Government’s 2014 Budget, along with all other pending legislation, had been nullified by the recent dissolution of the legislature, although the Budget contents had offered some insight into the Government’s re-election platform. The two main planks had been a pension plan overhaul, but without mention of pension solvency relief for universities; and a ten-year \$2.5 billion jobs and prosperity fund to support greater business investment in strategic sectors. He added that there had been a couple of salient points for the post-secondary education sector, but for the most part, they had been re-announcements.

With respect to the Strategic Mandate Agreement (SMA) process, the President reported that agreements had not been reached with some institutions, and that the University was still not at liberty to share publicly the details of its SMA, which must remain strictly confidential until advised otherwise by the Ministry of Training, Colleges, and Universities (MTCU). The President noted, however, that there had been some media attention concerning changes at OISE, and clarified that the University’s proposal to exchange undergraduate (B.Ed.) spaces for a smaller number of better funded Masters spaces had been one of the key pillars of the University’s SMA submission. This, the

President believed, had been a clear sign of the government's commitment to differentiation through the SMA process.

The President stated that in respect of the federal government, the University had been continuing to argue for disbursement of the new Canada First Research Excellence Fund based on true, peer-reviewed excellence. He added that details had yet to be confirmed, but indications had been encouraging.

Citing his planned keynote at the Toronto Region Board of Trade on May 29, 2014, the President reported that the topic of his address would be "The University and the City." He stated that his goal had been to argue that universities like the U of T could assist Toronto in meeting its biggest challenges, in taking advantage of its most significant opportunities, and realizing its highest aspirations.

The President concluded his report by noting the impending Convocation season with 25 ceremonies, and invited Governors to participate in the events and the academic processions.

3. Strategic Topic: Presentation by the Vice-President, Research and Innovation

The Chair invited Professor Paul Young, Vice-President, Research and Innovation, to provide a presentation on the importance of research to the University, the University's successes, and some of the opportunities and challenges the University faces with respect to research.

Professor Young provided a slide presentation¹ to highlight a number of key issues related to research at the University of Toronto. He emphasized that the University had been different from many others in being able to leverage its two hundred years of research excellence in all disciplines, and its culture of collaborative and entrepreneurial research, in driving change which emerged from its research.

Professor Young's presentation included: a delineation of the factors which maintained the University's competitive advantage in research excellence; a summary of the trends in research funding provided to the University and partner hospitals; an overview of the proportion of honours received nationally and internationally; research output compared to peer institutions; university rankings; and entrepreneurship and partnerships compared to a number of U.S. and Canadian universities. In overcoming key challenges, Professor Young noted activities in sustaining Canada Research Chairs (CRCs), managing research oversight and compliance, and strengthening research partnerships. Professor Young also indicated that maintaining strategic focus, such as with Networks of Centres of Excellence, had been a key element in expanding research partnerships.

In respect of the year that followed, Professor Young discussed several challenges, including maintaining CRCs, increasing partnerships funding, providing support for entrepreneurship, and continued vigilance in meeting obligations for research oversight and accountability.

In response to a member's question regarding the longevity of "spin off" entrepreneurial efforts, Professor Young indicated that the University had been tracking the progress of these operations and that, with respect to ensuring compliance with University policy and ethical conduct, all contracts had been going through the Innovation and Partnerships office. He added that further work had been ongoing with respect to examining the types of organizations involved.

¹ [Charting A Course For Global Impact Presentation](#)

4. Capital Project: Relocation of the John H. Daniels Faculty of Architecture, Landscape and Design to One Spadina Crescent – Phase Two

Professor Ellen Hodnett, Chair of the Academic Board, reported that the One Spadina project had been planned in phases and that the initial phase to remediate and restore the original 1874 building, underway since spring 2013, and would be ready for occupancy by fall 2014. She added that Phase 2 had been brought forward for approval.

Professor Hodnett indicated that balance of the original approved space program (as described in the March 2013 Project Planning Report) was to be located in a new, three-storey addition that would be constructed on the northern portion of the site. The One Spadina project offered an opportunity for design research and innovation that could serve as a demonstration project for buildings of comparable age on campus and across the country. As design had already been completed, Professor Hodnett added, the new construction would be very efficient and would add 4,690 nasm (as opposed to the 4,230 nasm originally planned) in the addition. This would allow the Faculty to address anticipated growth in undergraduate and graduate students over a period of several years.

Professor Hodnett reported that the Academic Board had expressed strong support for the Project at its meeting on May 1, 2014.

The Chair invited Ms Yolen Bollo-Kamara, President of the Students' Administrative Council (operating as the University of Toronto Students' Union, UTSU), to address members on this item. Ms Bollo-Kamara expressed support for the Project, but stated that given the proposed Student Commons was planned to occupy 230 College Street, she had been concerned that the Student Commons Project was not yet proceeding. She reported that she believed that students had been frustrated because they had been paying the Student Commons levy, but had not been witnessing progress on the Project.

Professor Cheryl Regehr, Vice-President and Provost, stated that additional student space on the St. George Campus was an important priority and that the administration would be pleased to move forward on the Student Commons Project as soon as feasible. She referred to the Executive Committee's resolution of June 17, 2013,² which tied the approval of the Project Planning Report for the Student Commons and the Student Commons Agreement to the resolution of particular disputes between UTSU and a number of divisional Student Societies. The Provost added that these disputes had been taken up by the Student Societies Summit, which would be addressed later in the meeting.

Several members expressed views related to the Student Commons Project. These included a suggestion that the approval of the Student Commons Agreement (between the University and the UTSC) and the approval of the Project could be separated in order to allow the Project to move forward to construction; concerns about the ongoing payment of the Student Commons levy in the absence of an approved Project; the importance of the Student Commons Project; and concern about the absence of a resolution of disputes through the Student Societies Summit process.

² Secretary's Note: The Executive Committee's resolution provided "THAT the proposed Student Commons Agreement, as outlined in the memorandum dated May 1, 2013 from the Vice-President and Provost, and the Project Planning Committee Report for the Student Commons at 230 College Street, dated April 16, 2013, be brought back to the Executive Committee for consideration for inclusion on a Governing Council meeting agenda within a reasonable time during which issues among the Students' Administrative Council (SAC / UTSU) and various divisional student societies, which may impinge on aspects of the Student Commons Agreement, may be further discussed and satisfactorily resolved or constructively dealt with by the societies and the Administration." See Report Number 456 of the Executive Committee, June 17, 2013: <http://uoft.me/EX17Jun2013>

On a motion duly made, seconded, and carried,

IT WAS RESOLVED

THAT the project scope of Phase 2, totalling 4,690 nasm (6,790 gsm) for the Relocation of the John H. Daniels Faculty of Architecture, Landscape and Design to One Spadina Crescent, to be located on Site 7, be approved and funded by the following resources:

- Capital Campaign
- Provost's Central Funds
- Graduate Expansion Funds
- Deferred Maintenance Fund
- Borrowing

5. Student Societies Summit Update

The Chair invited the Provost to provide an update on the Student Societies Summit.

Referring to the *Report of The Student Societies Summit*³ and a slide presentation,⁴ the Provost provided an overview of the various types of student organizations at the University of Toronto including Representative Student Committees and Student Societies. The former, she explained, were groups recognized by the Governing Council as formal representatives of students to the Governing Council.⁵ Professor Regehr added that there were four such bodies at the University: the Students' Administrative Council (operating as the University of Toronto Students' Union, UTSU), the Graduate Students' Union (GSU), the Association of Part-Time Undergraduate Students (APUS), and the most recent to be approved in 2004, the Scarborough Campus Students' Union (SCSU).

Distinct from recognition as a Representative Student Committee, the Provost noted that Student Societies are organizations on "whose behalf the University collects a compulsory non-academic incidental fee, in which membership is automatic and determined by registration and status in a particular division or program..."⁶ Professor Regehr added that the four Representative Student Committees were also Student Societies and that there were over forty other Societies at the University of Toronto which represented different collective student voices and which varied in size, range of interest and level of activity.

Professor Regehr reported that while Student Societies were considered to be autonomous organizations, the *Policy for Compulsory Non-Academic Incidental Fees* provided that the University collect fees on behalf of a student society only so long as the society was operated in an "open, democratic and accessible fashion" and following the terms of its constitution, and ensured that "adequate financial records are being maintained and that funds collected are being properly accounted for." All Societies were required to submit audited financial statements⁷ to the University as a condition of receiving fee revenue collected on their behalf. She remarked that large sums of fee

³ *Report of the Student Societies Summit*, <http://uoft.me/StudentSocietiesSummitReport>

⁴ [Report of the Student Societies Summit Presentation](#)

⁵ The *University of Toronto Act*, 1947, provides that the Governing Council "may make provision for enabling the students of the University...to appoint a representative committee of themselves to be chosen in such manner as shall be approved by the [Governing Council], which shall be the recognized official student medium of communication on behalf of such students between them and the [Governing Council]."

⁶ [Policy for Compulsory Non-Academic Incidental Fees](#)

⁷ Small Societies may seek an exemption from the audit requirement.

revenue had been charged on behalf on some societies, and noted that UTSU had received over \$14 million per year from students. The Provost indicated that the *Policy* did not specify a minimum requirement of what was considered “open, democratic and accessible.”

The four Representative Student Committees and all Student Societies, the Provost emphasized, played a crucial role in the life of the University. It was essential in her view that every student had a voice on issues that affected him or her, in whatever campus, division, or program he or she is registered. In addition, there were many hundreds of voluntary organizations (i.e., on whose behalf the University does not charge a compulsory incidental fee), but issues related to these groups had not been part of the Summit’s mandate.

The Provost stated that the mandate of the Student Societies Summit had been to resolve certain disputes between UTSU and a number of Student Societies representing students who were also represented by UTSU. She added that if a resolution was not possible, the Summit further had the potential to consider policy-related issues and to recommend policy review.

Professor Regehr reported that there was a substantial history of electoral complaints at U of T regarding Student Societies, and a significant recent history of complaints from divisional Student Societies and others with respect to UTSU regarding governance, student voice, fees, and other issues.

The Provost indicated that there had been very broad participation in the Summit, notwithstanding the eventual withdrawal of two Student Societies, the UTSU and the Erindale College Student Union (operating as the University of Toronto Mississauga Students’ Union, UTMSU).⁸ She noted that while participants had not always been in agreement, Professor Regehr believed that the Report reflected a robust discussion and substantial consensus on some items.

Drawing members’ attention to the eight key recommendations, the Provost stated that the Report confirmed certain broad themes:

- a) There was a need identified for relatively few broadly-based groups mandated to represent students to the University as a whole (with questions related to first-entry representation on the St. George Campus, and representation of the UTM and UTSC campuses).
- b) The Summit recommended the development of policy which would allow for the recognition, evolution, and restructuring of Student Societies.
- c) The Summit also suggested the implementation of mechanisms and resources which would foster the democratic operation of Student Societies, which would also preserve autonomy.

With respect to next steps, the Provost indicated that the Report and the recommendations were to be analyzed, and that there was plan to provide a formal administrative response to the Governing Council in Fall, 2014. The Provost also emphasized that comments on the Report were welcome.

Following an inquiry from a member, the Provost confirmed that the various written submissions and commentaries received by members, and those from other sources, would inform the administration’s consideration of this matter.

⁸ See *Report of the Student Societies Summit*, page 7, <http://uoft.me/StudentSocietiesSummitReport>

In response to several members' questions, the Provost indicated that the administration's analysis would consider various issues related to minimum standards for determining what constituted an open and accessible democratic process, that experts would be consulted as appropriate, and that, if any policy initiatives were to be considered, other affected groups would have the opportunity to provide input.

In turn, the Chair invited six external speakers to address members.

Ms Nicole Dionisio, of the SCSU noted her organization's concerns that Scarborough student organizations had not been included in the Summit, and other concerns about the process itself. She also indicated that she believed that the recommendations threatened the autonomy of Student Societies.

The representative of the GSU, Ms Soaleha Shams, registered the GSU's concerns about the potential impact of the Summit's recommendations on the GSU, and her belief that the process had been flawed. She reported that there had been a request to the administration to consult with the GSU prior to any policy changes, which had been favourably received.

Ms Bollo-Kamara indicated that her organization welcomed vigorous debate and feedback with respect to how the UTSU operates. She added her view that the implementation of the recommendations would have a negative impact on student advocacy. She argued that the UTSU and its members were the sole arbiters of what was considered to be democratic in her Student Society.

Representing the UTMSU, Ms Melissa Theodore stated that she believed that students had been outraged about the Report. She reported her perception that many stakeholders were excluded from the process and that UTMSU's relationship with UTSU (as discussed in the Report) ought not have been a subject of discussion for a body established by the administration.

Ms Kriya Siewrattan, presented the view that the Association of Part-Time Students (APUS) rejected the recommendations from the Summit and that the administration could not mandate changes to APUS' by-laws. If implemented, she stated, APUS would take steps to oppose the recommendations.

On behalf of the St. George Roundtable (comprising Presidents of ten divisional Student Societies on the St. George Campus), Mr. Leo Chen, reported that relationships between UTSU and divisional Societies had become very strained and that a number of allegations by the Societies and individuals had been made with respect to UTSU's operations. Mr. Chen indicated that the Roundtable generally supported the Summit's recommendations, and would welcome an opportunity to work with the administration concerning these matters.

A member commented on the ability of the Summit to bring students together in order to talk about change, but felt uneasy about the amount of power that would be provided to the administration through the recommendations. Another added a concern that some Student Societies are also independent corporations and that he felt that the recommendations represented a far-reaching shift in current practice and policy.

The Chair invited the President to provide a closing comment on this matter.

President Gertler emphasized there were "bedrock principles" associated with the need for autonomy of Student Societies and for democratic self-governance associated with such autonomy. He noted, nonetheless, that the University had arrived at the current juncture and the Summit process because of the existence of some serious controversies that seemed impossible to resolve. The President stressed

that the status quo had been dysfunctional, an outcome this dysfunction was the fact that the University had been unable to build a facility, the Student Commons, that was to augment existing student activity space on the St. George Campus.

The President emphasized that there was a need to move on and to move on in a way that was focused on fundamental principles. The President and senior administration had heard loudly and clearly that there was a need for very broad consultation, including with those who had not been at the table during the Summit, and with those who had chosen to leave the table. The President reinforced the Provost's previous response to members' questions, that she had indicated her agreement to proceed on the basis he had described.

Stating that he would be seized with these issues until they were resolved, the President added that the role of students is fundamental to the University, and that the administration wanted to ensure that the organizations that represented them, and delivered services to them, worked as effectively as possible. To that end, the administration needed to have confidence in the way that such organizations were formed and governed over time.

CONSENT AGENDA

The Chair noted that of the items listed on the Consent Agenda, one required approval, the report of the previous meeting (item 6), and that the others were for information only.

On a motion duly made, seconded, and carried,

IT WAS RESOLVED,

THAT the consent agenda be adopted and that Item 6 be approved.

6. Minutes of the Previous Meeting of April 8, 2014

The minutes of the meeting held on April 28, 2014 were approved.

7. Business Arising From the Report of the Previous Meeting

8. Reports for Information

Members received the following reports for information:

- a) Reviews of Academic Programs and Units – Semi-Annual Report
- b) Report Number 191 of the Academic Board (May 1, 2014)
- c) Report Number 211 of the Business Board (March 31, 2014)
- d) Report Number 181 of the University Affairs Board (April 29, 2014)
- e) Report Number 5 of the University of Toronto Mississauga Campus Council (April 23, 2014)
- f) Report Number 5 of the University of Toronto Scarborough Campus Council (April 24, 2014)
- g) Report Number 463 of the Executive Committee (April 22, 2014)
- h) Report Number 464 of the Executive Committee (May 12, 2014)

END OF CONSENT AGENDA

9. Date of Next Meeting – Wednesday, June 25, 2014 from 4:00 to 6:00 p.m.

10. Question Period

A member inquired as to the investigation of a complaint concerning allegations involving by-law compliance by the UTSU. The Provost indicated that the letter of complaint had been received on the day of the meeting, and that steps would be taken to respond.

11. Other Business

There was no other business.

THE GOVERNING COUNCIL MOVED *IN CAMERA*.

12. Capital Project: Relocation of the John H. Daniels Faculty of Architecture, Landscape and Design to One Spadina Crescent – Phase Two: Sources of Funding and Total Project Cost

On a motion duly made, seconded, and carried,

IT WAS RESOLVED,

THAT the recommendation by Prof Scott Mabury, Vice-President of University Relations, in the memo dated May 15, 2014 for May 22, 2014, regarding the Relocation of the John H. Daniels Faculty of Architecture, Landscape and Design to One Spadina Crescent (Phase 2) – Sources of Funding and Total Project Cost be approved.

13. Appointment of the External Auditors

On a motion duly made, seconded, and carried,

IT WAS RESOLVED,

THAT Ernst & Young LLP be appointed as external auditors of the University of Toronto for the fiscal year ending April 30, 2015; and

THAT Ernst & Young LLP be appointed as external auditors of the University of Toronto pension plans for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015.

14. Members of the Committee for Honorary Degrees, 2014-15

On a motion duly made, seconded, and carried,

IT WAS RESOLVED,

THAT the following proposed membership of the 2014-2015 Committee for Honorary Degrees be approved:

Administrative Staff

Ms Judith Chadwick (Assistant Vice-President, Research Services)*

Lay Members

Dr. Mary-Jo Haddad (Former President and CEO, The Hospital for Sick Children)

Ms Rose M. Patten (Former Chair of Governing Council)

Ms B. Elizabeth Vosburgh (Alumni Governor)

Students

Mr. James Bateman, (former Governor, graduate student)

Mr. Andrew Girgis (Governor, full-time undergraduate student)

Teaching Staff

Professor Thomas Hurka (Philosophy)

Professor Yu-Ling Cheng (Chemical Engineering)

Professor Janice Stein (Political Science)

Professor Locke Rowe (Biology)

15. Appointments: Non-Governing Council Members of the Pension Committee

On a motion duly made, seconded, and carried,

IT WAS RESOLVED,

1. THAT the membership of following individuals be renewed, along with the corresponding terms:

Professor Laurence Booth (term ending June 30, 2015)

Professor Jennifer Jenkins (term ending June 30, 2016)

Professor Ettore Damiano (term ending June 30, 2017)

Professor George Luste (term ending June 30, 2017)

and

2. THAT the following individual be appointed as a member of the Pension Committee, from July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015:

Professor Paul Downes

16. Appointments: Members of the Governing Council to Governance Bodies: 2014-15

On a motion duly made, seconded, and carried,

IT WAS RESOLVED,

THAT the following assignments of members of the Governing Council to Board and Committees for 2014-15, be approved:

Ms Janet Ecker

- Audit Committee
- Pension Committee

Mr. John Paul Morgan

- Business Board

Mr. Mark Rowswell

- University Affairs Board
- University of Toronto Scarborough Campus Affairs Committee

17. Senior Appointment Matter

On a motion duly made, seconded, and carried,

IT WAS RESOLVED,

THAT Henry Mulhall be removed from the position of Assistant Secretary of the Governing Council, effective immediately.

Meeting adjourned at 7:15 p.m.

Secretary

May 30, 2014

Chair