

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

THE GOVERNING COUNCIL

MAY 23, 2013

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE GOVERNING COUNCIL held on May 23, 2013 at 4:30 p.m. in the Council Chamber, University of Toronto Mississauga.

Present:

Mr. Richard Nunn (Chair)
Ms Judy Goldring (Vice-Chair)
The Honourable Michael H. Wilson
Professor C. David Naylor
Ms Alexis Archbold
Mr. James Bateman
Ms Celina Rayonne Caesar-Chavannes
Mr. P. C. Choo
Mr. Jeff Collins
Professor Elizabeth Cowper
Mr. Aidan Fishman
Professor William Gough
Professor Hugh Gunz
Ms Zabeen Hirji
Professor Ellen Hodnett
Ms Shirley Hoy
Professor Edward Iacobucci
Ms Claire Kennedy
Ms Paulette L. Kennedy
Mr. Nykolaj Kuryluk
Professor Michael Marrus
Professor Cheryl Misak
Dr. Gary P. Mooney
Ms N. Jane Pepino
Ms Mainawati Rambali
Professor Elizabeth M. Smyth
Miss Maureen J. Somerville
Mr. W. John Switzer
Mr. Andrew Szende
Mr. W. Keith Thomas
Professor Steven J. Thorpe
Professor Franco J. Vaccarino
Mr. Chirag Variawa
Dr. Sarita Verma
Ms B. Elizabeth Vosburgh

Mr. Louis R. Charpentier, Secretary of the
Governing Council

Secretariat:

Ms Sheree Drummond
Mr. Anwar Kazimi
Ms Mae-Yu Tan
Mr. David Walders

Absent:

Professor Robert Baker
Mr. Brent S. Belzberg
Mr. Michael A. Donnelly
Mr. Andrew Girgis
Professor Avrum Gotlieb
Mr. Steve (Suresh) Gupta
Mr. Mark Krembil
Ms Arlen Orellana
Ms Melinda Rogers
Professor Andrea Sass-Kortsak
Mr. Howard Shearer
Professor Janice Gross Stein
Ms Rita Tsang
Mr. W. David Wilson
Ms Nana Zhou

In Attendance:

Mr. Harvey Botting, Member-Elect of the Governing Council
Professor Salvatore M. Spadafora, Member-Elect of the Governing Council

Professor Scott Mabury, Vice-President, University Operations
Professor Paul Young, Vice-President, Research & Innovation

Ms Nora Gillespie, Legal Counsel, Office of the Vice-President, Human Resources & Equity and
Office of the Vice-President and Provost

Mr. Steve Moate, Senior Legal Counsel, Office of the President
Professor Amy Mullin, Dean and Vice-Principal, University of Toronto Mississauga
Professor Jay Pratt, Acting Vice-Provost, Faculty and Academic Life

Mr. David Curtin, Director, Strategic Communications, Office of the President
Dr. Anthony Gray, Director, Strategic Initiatives & Research, Office of the President
Dr. Jane Harrison, Director, Academic Policy and Programs
Mr. David Newman, Acting Director, Office of the Vice-Provost, Students
Ms Archana Sridhar, Assistant Provost

Ms Nausheen Adam, Vice-President, Internal, University of Toronto Mississauga Student Union
(UTMSU)

Mr. Hamza Ansari, Vice-President, University Affairs and Academics, UTMSU

Mr. Walied Khogali, Executive Director, UTMSU

Mr. Raymond Noronha, President, UTMSU

Ms Ro'a Saafan, Vice-President, Equity, UTMSU

IN ACCORDANCE WITH A DETERMINATION BY THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 38 OF BY-LAY NUMBER 2 OF THE GOVERNING COUNCIL,
ITEMS 13 AND 14 ON THE AGENDA WERE CONSIDERED BY THE GOVERNING
COUNCIL *IN CAMERA*.

1. Chair's Remarks

The Chair welcomed and thanked members and guests for attending the meeting at the University of Toronto Mississauga. He thanked Professor Deep Saini, Vice-President and Principal for hosting the meeting and congratulated Professor Saini and his team on another milestone for the campus, the ground-breaking for the Innovation Complex that was held prior to the meeting.

The Chair reported that three speaking requests had been received. Two requests from the Association of Part-Time Students (APUS) – one from Ms. Susan Froom and one from Mr. Asad Jamal - on the matter of the increase to fees at the Faculty of Music were declined by the Executive Committee on the basis that the fee increases did not apply to part-time students and that the item was not on the agenda. A request from Mr. Munib Sajjad, President, UTSU, also on the matter of the increase to fees at the Faculty of Music was also declined by the Executive. Mr. Sajjad was advised to discuss the matter with the Administration in the first instance.

2. Report of the President

The Chair invited the President to give his report. The President thanked Professor Saini and his team for their hospitality and for their stalwart leadership over the years. He welcomed the student leaders of the University of Toronto Mississauga Student Union (UTSU) - Raymond Noronha (President); Ro'a Saafan (VP Equity); Hamza Ansari (VP University affairs & Academics); Nausheen Adam (VP Internal); and Walied Khogali (Executive Director). He invited Mr. Noronha to address the Council. Mr. Noronha thanked the Governing Council for the opportunity to speak and welcomed members to the campus. He told Governors that the UTMSU would be celebrating its thirtieth anniversary (it was incorporated on April 2, 1983) and that Governors would be invited to the celebratory event that was being planned. He also welcomed the representatives from other student unions that were in attendance at the meeting. Mr. Noronha informed Governors of some major initiatives that the UTMSU hoped to undertake including: a referendum in support of the expansion of the Student Centre; working with the administration on improving food services on campus; and a Welcome Week in September. He spoke about some of the major campaigns that had been conducted by the UTMSU, with specific focus on the U-Pass campaign (resulting in the U-Pass now being available for part-time students and for summer students). Mr. Noronha highlighted some of the services that the UTMSU provided, for example, bursaries for students and a tax clinic. He indicated that the numerous clubs and societies were the backbone of the Student Union and that he hoped that in the event that there was an expansion to the Student Centre more clubs would have space to more efficiently conduct their daily activities.

The President thanked Mr. Noronha and his team for their work on behalf of students. He then directed Governors' attention to the list of awards and honours.¹ He highlighted from the list Professor Avrum Gotlieb, Interim Vice-Dean Graduate & Life Sciences Education and Governor and Chair of the Planning and Budget Committee who had been honoured with the Association of Pathology Chairs' Distinguished Service Award. The President noted that the Award recognized Professor Gotlieb's influence as a leader and mentor in the field of cardiovascular pathology and his commitment to medical education at the undergraduate, graduate and postgraduate levels. The President also advised Governors that the 2013 President's Teaching Award winners had been approved by the Academic Board and that they were: Dr. Christopher Perumalla, Senior Lecturer in the Department of Physiology and Division of Teaching Laboratories (DTL), Faculty of Medicine; Associate Professor Lawrence Sawchuk, Department of Anthropology, UTSC; and Associate Professor Alissa Trotz, Women & Gender Studies Institute and Caribbean Studies Program, Faculty of Arts & Science. He asked that governors be sent the link to the awards page that included the biographies of the recipients.² He noted that their stories were a compelling indicator of the quality of teaching at the University of Toronto.

The President also reported that PhD Candidates Jasdeep Saggar and Abraham Heifets won first and second place respectively at the inaugural Ontario Three Minute Thesis competition in April and that a team (Jason Mitakidis, Kate Rootman, and Elizabeth Chak) from the Rotman School of Management had won the MBA World Trophy in Dublin for their start-up Cyclica.

The President concluded by announcing the official opening of the University's Convocation season and advised Governors that the first of this spring's twenty-five convocation ceremonies would take place on Tuesday, June 4. He invited Governors to participate in any or all of the

¹ <http://www.governingcouncil.utoronto.ca/AssetFactory.aspx?did=9767>

² <http://www.provost.utoronto.ca/awards/presidentaward.htm>

ceremonies and to join in the academic processions. He noted that celebrating the tremendous achievements of the University's graduates and honorary graduates went to the very heart of the University and its mission.

A member asked about a recent news story that Toronto City Council was in the process of designating the Back Campus a heritage site and asked what the implications were of such an action. The President replied that the University was concerned with the process and had written to each City Councillor to express its concerns. The President noted that there had been a City of Toronto partnership which had included the City's sign-off on the Pan Am Bid Book.

3. Presentation from the Vice-President, Research and Innovation

The Chair indicated to Governors that Professor Paul Young, Vice-President, Research and Innovation, had been invited to speak to Governors on the importance of research to the University, the University's successes, and some of the opportunities and challenges the University faced.

Professor Young's presentation³ addressed the following five issues: What does research at U of T mean? How does U of T remain competitive? What have been U of T's successes? What have been some challenges? What more can be accomplished?

Following the presentation a member thanked the Chair for placing this item on this agenda and noted that given the role that research played in terms of the University's reputation as well as in regard to its allocation of resources the member thought that it would be important to have this kind of report each year. He also commented that he liked the approach that Professor Young had taken and he encouraged him to enhance efforts to tell the University's story. Another member commented on the emerging trends of the democratization of funding (e.g., crowd sourcing) and said that he felt that this would be a growing complexity of the future. Professor Young replied that it had been interesting to see that some student groups and new entrepreneurs were creating spin-off companies in those exact spaces. He noted that the University had created a program called University of Toronto Early Stage Technology (UTEST) that brought together interested graduate students and provided them with start-up funding, office space, mentoring and business strategy support. Another member commented that in his view the activities described in Professor Young's presentation went to the essence of what the University was. He congratulated Professor Young and his team on their work.

4. Policies

(a.) *Guidelines on the Role and Appointment of University Ambassadors*

The Chair invited Professor Ellen Hodnett, Chair, Academic Board, to introduce the item and to make the motion. Professor Hodnett reported that at the May 2nd of the Academic Board the President had presented for the Board's approval the *Guidelines on the Role and Appointment of University Ambassadors*, and the *Policy on Presiding Officers for Convocation*. She outlined the purpose of the *Guidelines* and described the process for the selection of University Ambassadors. She reported that the Board had recommended the approval of the *Guidelines*.

There were no questions from members.

³ <http://www.governingcouncil.utoronto.ca/AssetFactory.aspx?did=9791>

On a motion duly made, seconded, and carried.

IT WAS RESOLVED

THAT the proposed *Guidelines on the Role and Appointment of University Ambassadors* be approved, effective immediately.

(b.) Policy on Presiding Officers for Convocation - Proposed Revisions

The Chair invited Professor Hodnett to introduce the item and to make the motion. Professor Hodnett reported the proposed revisions to the *Policy* would allow for Chancellors Emeriti, Presidents Emeriti and University Ambassadors to serve as presiding officers in specific circumstances. She noted that at the suggestion of the College Principals, an additional amendment had been made to the proposed revised *Policy* and its Appendix A whereby there would be an equitable rotation among the academic heads to serve for the Chancellor which would replace the existing requirement for the head of the earliest established unit to act for the Chancellor. Professor Hodnett also noted that a member had suggested that an effort should be made for either the Chancellor or the President to be present at each ceremony and that the President had indicated that he felt that in the overwhelming majority of instances that this would be possible. She said the Board had recommended approval of the proposed revisions and that the vote had appeared to be unanimous. Finally, she noted that following the Board meeting, one further amendment had been made to Appendix A of the *Policy* to address a scenario that had been overlooked, namely the event in which both the Chancellor and the President were absent for a ceremony in which University of Toronto Mississauga or University of Toronto Scarborough students would be graduating. The Executive Committee approved an amendment whereby the Vice-President and Principal of the respective campus would be designated to act on behalf of the Chancellor.

There were no questions from members.

On a motion duly made, seconded, and carried.

IT WAS RESOLVED

THAT the proposed revised *Policy on Presiding Officers for Convocation* be approved, effective immediately, replacing the *Policy* approved on April 16, 2009.

5. Renewal and Proposed Revisions of Hospital – University Community Affiliation Template Agreements

The Chair invited Professor Hodnett to introduce the item and to make the motion. Professor Hodnett advised Governors that the proposed changes in the community affiliation and non-hospital clinical site template agreements addressed changes in practice, legislation, accreditation standards or University policy since 2007, and that the new Toronto Academic Health Science Network (TAHSN) Associate Member template agreement was a hybrid of the draft 2013 revised community affiliation template agreement and the 2011 full affiliation template agreement. She said that if the two revised and one new template were to be approved they would be customized for each institution to reflect details relevant to each hospital. Professor Hodnett advised that at the Academic Board meeting a member had asked for clarification of the “Health Science faculty” definition contained in all three of the template agreements. The member was advised

that the definitions contained in the templates applied only to those agreements and did not have an effect on any other University document or policy. Professor Hodnett reported that following the discussion, the Board had recommended the proposed revised and new template agreements for approval.

There were no questions from members.

On a motion duly made, seconded, and carried.

IT WAS RESOLVED

- (a.) THAT the revised template agreement for community affiliation agreements, the revised template agreement for non-hospital clinical site agreements, and the new affiliation template agreement for Toronto Academic Health Science Network Associate Members between the University of Toronto and the relevant sites be approved, effective immediately;
- (b.) THAT the President, or designate, be authorized to sign such agreements on behalf of the Governing Council, provided that the agreements conform to the approved template agreement; and
- (c.) THAT the agreements signed under the provisions of this resolution be filed with the Secretary of Governing Council.

6. Capital Projects

(a.) Governance Pathways for Capital Projects and Infrastructure Renewal Projects

The Chair advised Governors that the document, which was for information, had been developed in order to make explicit the governance pathways for capital projects and infrastructure renewal projects. He said that recommendations for such projects should be considered by the Governing Council and its bodies in a manner that enhanced and supported the University's ability and ongoing efforts to (a) allocate its resources prudently and effectively, (b) maximize opportunities for cost containment and (c) ensure the value and integrity of the public procurement process. He noted that the document had been provided for information and discussion to the Planning and Budget Committee, the Business Board, the Academic Board and the Executive Committee.

He invited Professor Hodnett to add any comments in light of any discussion generated by this item at the Academic Board meeting. Professor Hodnett reported that she had provided a similar overview to the Academic Board. She said that during the *in camera* session Professor Misak had reiterated the need for confidentiality with respect to proposed total project costs and had spoken to the unique features within U of T's governance system that enabled the Academic Board members to provide input and vote on budgetary matters. She noted that overall members had appeared supportive of the process and no objections had been raised.

The Chair invited Ms Hoy, Vice-Chair of the Business Board, who had chaired the last meeting of the Business Board, to add any comments. Ms Hoy reported that she and Professor Mabury had presented the process to the Board and that Professor Mabury had emphasized that the approach was important for the value and integrity of the public procurement process. She indicated that there was no discussion or questions from members.

The Chair invited Mr. Louis Charpentier, Secretary of the Governing Council, to comment. Mr. Charpentier advised Governors that they would experience the *in camera* process later in the meeting and that specific procedural steps were required under the *Act* and the *By-Laws* and it was important that these steps were followed. He reminded Governors that once the tendering process was complete all documentation would be made publicly available, including the minutes.

There were no questions from members.

(b.) Report of the Project Planning Committee for the Relocation of the John H. Daniels Faculty of Architecture, Landscape and Design to One Spadina Crescent

The Chair invited Professor Hodnett to introduce the item and to make the motion. Professor Hodnett outlined the scope of the project and the sources of funding. She reported that Professor Mabury had confirmed the intent for the original building at One Spadina Crescent to be ready for occupancy by July, 2014. She said that he had explained that interim space would be needed, and that space in University College and 704 Spadina Avenue had been identified as sites where temporary studios and workshops could be housed until construction was completed. Professor Hodnett reported that in response to a question from a member about plans to ensure safety at One Spadina Dean Sommer had said that the public areas would be open during business hours and that student studios would be accessible by key fob only. In response to a question about plans for traffic flow, Professor Hodnett reported that Dean Sommer had said that approval of the site plan would be sought in stages and that considerations with respect to traffic zoning could be considered at a later point. Professor Hodnett said that the Academic Board supported the recommendation of the approval of the project.

There were no questions from members.

On a motion duly made, seconded, and carried.

IT WAS RESOLVED

THAT the Project Planning Committee Report for the Relocation of the John H. Daniels Faculty of Architecture, Landscape and Design to One Spadina Crescent, dated March 29, 2013, be approved in principle; and

THAT the project scope totalling 4, 600 gross square metres (approximately 2, 100 net assignable square metres) be approved in principle for the First Phase, to be funded by the Capital Campaign, Provostial Central Funds, Graduate Expansion Funds, Deferred Maintenance Funds and Borrowing.

(c.) Report of the Project Planning Committee for the Environmental Science and Chemistry Building at the University of Toronto Scarborough

The Chair invited Professor Hodnett to introduce the item and to make the motion. Professor Hodnett outlined the scope of the project and the sources of funding. She noted that if approved at the meeting, design and construction would occur between June, 2013 and June, 2015, with occupancy planned for July, 2015. She reported that no questions were raised by members and that the Board had recommended approval of the project.

A member commented that this project was an example of the effectiveness of the academic planning process that had taken place at the University of Toronto Scarborough (UTSC). He acknowledged the leadership of various administrators, and commended them for their foresight with regard to the management of resources that had now been made available for this project. The member concluded by noting that this project was part of larger momentum that had been experienced by UTSC in recent years.

There were no questions from members.

On a motion duly made, seconded, and carried.

IT WAS RESOLVED

THAT the Project Planning Report for the Environmental Science and Chemistry Building at the University of Toronto Scarborough (UTSC), dated March 29, 2013, be approved in principle; and

THAT the project scope totalling 5, 058 net assignable square metres (10, 116 gross square metres) to be funded by UTSC Operating Funds, Graduate Expansion Funds and Borrowing, be approved in principle.

CONSENT AGENDA

The Chair noted that of the items listed on the Consent Agenda, two required approval (items 7 and 8) and that the others were for information only.

On motion, duly moved, seconded, and carried,

It was Resolved

THAT the consent agenda be adopted and that the items be approved.

7. Terms of Reference Revision: Committee on Academic Policy and Programs

Be It Resolved

THAT the proposed revised Terms of Reference of the Committee on Academic Policy and Programs be approved, effective immediately, replacing the amended Terms of Reference approved by the Governing Council on October 27, 2011.

8. Minutes of the Previous Meeting of April 9, 2013

9. Business Arising from the Minutes of the Governing Council Meeting

10. Reports for Information

- a. **Reviews of Academic Programs and Units – Semi-Annual Report**
- b. **Report Number 185 of the Academic Board (May 2, 2013)**
- c. **Report Number 204 of the Business Board (April 8, 2013)**
- d. **Report Number 205 of the Business Board (May 6, 2013)**
- e. **Report Number 175 of the University Affairs Board (April 30, 2013)**

f. Report Number 455 of the Executive Committee (May 13, 2013)

11. Date of Next Meeting – Thursday, June 27, 2013 at 4:00 p.m. in the Council Chamber, Simcoe Hall.

The Chair noted that the next meeting would be the last meeting for the academic year.

12. Other Business

In response to the Chair's query as to whether there were any items of Other Business, a member indicated that he had a matter that he wished to raise. The member said that he had sent an e-mail message to fellow members about the fee diversion matter that had been raised at the University Affairs Board. He said that in recent years there had been controversy related to the University of Toronto Students' Union (UTSU), specifically with regard to its elections and conduct. He noted that proposals to introduce on-line voting and an independent Chief Returning Officer had been raised at the UTSU Annual General Meeting but that it had been decided by the Union that implementation of these proposals would not take place this year. In response, three divisional student Societies, the Trinity College Meeting (TCM), the Engineering Students' Society (EngSoc) and the Victoria College Students' Administrative Council (VUSAC), had recently held referenda on the mandate of rerouting UTSU fees to the respective Societies. The member reported that the UTSU had indicated that it considered the referenda to be illegitimate. In response to the stalemate between the parties, the member said that there had been a desire to have this matter considered by the University Affairs Board (UAB). The Vice-President and Provost had indicated in a letter to the student societies and in a verbal report to UAB that the item would not be on the UAB agenda for the upcoming meeting and that two tentative dates had been reserved for a facilitated discussion between the groups on the matter. The member expressed the view that the current process was unfair to students, who had voted overwhelmingly in support of fee diversion and who had followed proper pathways, and that it was their right to present their issues to the UAB. He also argued that it was the privilege of the UAB to consider fees. He concluded by requesting that the Administration send an e-mail to all students, and possibly to faculty and staff, outlining the situation and explaining its stance, and that there should be a special meeting of the UAB scheduled to consider this matter before the end of the academic year.

The Chair thanked the member for raising this matter in open session. He asked the Vice-President and Provost to make some initial comments. Professor Misak replied that what she would share with members at the meeting she would also send out in an e-mail that would be made widely available. She noted that the Administration had been dealing with this matter for some years and that its actions had been guided by the powers given to the Vice-President and Provost under the *Policy for Compulsory Non-Academic Incidental Fees*. She said that the *Policy* had given the Vice-President and Provost a role in ensuring that student societies operated in "an open, accessible and democratic fashion, following the terms of their constitutions" and that where the Provost had reason to believe that a society was not operating in an open, accessible and democratic fashion, according to the *Policy*, the Provost could take various steps which might ultimately lead to the withholding of the fees the University collects on behalf of the society, subject to an appeal to the University Affairs Board of Governing Council. She emphasized that the *Policy* gave the Provost only the authority to withhold fees and not the authority to redistribute them to other societies.

Professor Misak told Governors that it was clear that there was dissent about UTSU's election processes. The Administration had communicated in writing to the UTSU that electoral reform

was necessary, in particular the introduction of on-line voting, and UTSU had agreed to that reform. Professor Misak said that the UTSU had replied that it would engage in electoral reform. She said that the results of the referenda had made it apparent that there was a need for a constructive conversation between the parties. To that end, she was arranging for an expert faculty member to facilitate such a discussion. Professor Misak said that the outcome of that discussion would determine next steps.

A member commented that a similar matter had been raised a number of years ago when part-time students at the University of Toronto Mississauga had tried to break-away from the Association of Part-Time Students (APUS). He noted that APUS had taken the matter to court and had succeeded based on procedural considerations. The member said that he applauded the Administration for taking a cautious stance. Professor Misak responded that one desire of the Administration was to avoid such matters going to court due to the high legal costs that would be incurred by the student societies, and hence the students at large.

The President thanked the member for raising the fee diversion matter and reiterated the Provost's comment that the Administration was adhering to the *Policy* in its approach on this matter.

A member asked the Secretary to provide a ruling on whether there was a conflict between the authority given to the Provost under the *Policy* and the Terms of Reference of the University Affairs Board. The Secretary replied that it was the case that under its Terms of Reference the UAB had responsibility for fees, but that as the Provost had outlined, the *Policy* gave authority to the Provost to withhold fees. He indicated that this *Policy* could be changed but that if revisions were to be considered, such changes would be on the advice of the Administration. The Governing Council and its Board and Committees consider proposals from the Administration, they do not normally formulate policy themselves. The member responded by saying that he had sympathy with the fact that the time horizon for student governors and those students involved in this matter was different than that of other Governors and senior administrators. He indicated that he felt that it was important that Governors were attentive to this fact and that delaying the matter would be a hardship for these students. He also noted that the students who had raised these concerns had done so in a polite and deferential manner and that they were not behaving in an obstructionist way. The Provost responded by indicating that it was important to keep in mind that the fundamental mechanism for democracy was elections and that the focus was on an outcome that involved electoral reform. She noted that there was no expiry date on the moral force of the referenda and that the matter would be examined until there was a resolution.

The Chair stated that it would not be appropriate to have a debate on the substance of the matter at that time as all the concerned parties were not at the meeting to present their arguments. He noted that Governors had heard the Administration's plan for how it would proceed. He reminded them that there was a *Policy* in place that had stood the test of many years and that any possible changes to the *Policy* would require thoughtful analysis and would be based on advice from the Administration. He invited members to make any final comments.

A member commented that the TCM and EngSoc referenda had the highest turnout that both Societies had ever had. He said that he did not believe that there was an appetite for this matter to end up in the courts and he encouraged all parties to engage in the facilitated discussion. He asked whether there could be an opportunity to discuss this matter further at the next Governing Council meeting provided it was not resolved during the facilitated discussion.

The Chair replied that an information session for Governors would be scheduled in the days following the facilitated discussion.

The member who raised the matter at the outset thanked the Provost, the President, the Chair and the Secretary for the opportunity to discuss the matter. He reiterated his view that there should be a special meeting of the UAB before July 1, 2013. The Provost replied that it was necessary to keep in mind that the *Policy* did not permit the UAB to vote on a matter that goes against the *Policy*. A member commented that in his view, according to the Terms of Reference of the UAB the Chair of the UAB was in a position to decide what could or could not be considered by the UAB.

The Chair brought the discussion to a close and asked the Secretary to provide Governors by e-mail with an interpretation of the relationship between the Terms of Reference of the UAB and the *Policy*.⁴ The Chair thanked the member for raising this matter.

THE GOVERNING COUNCIL MOVED *IN CAMERA*.

13. Capital Projects

On a motion duly made, seconded, and carried.

IT WAS RESOLVED

That the Governing Council move into Committee of the Whole *in camera* to consider item 13 (a.), ‘Relocation of the John H. Daniels Faculty of Architecture, Landscape and Design to One Spadina Crescent – Sources of Funds and Total Project Cost’ and, item 13 (b.), ‘Environmental Science and Chemistry Building at the University of Toronto Scarborough – Sources of Funds and Total Project Cost’.

(a.) Relocation of the John H. Daniels Faculty of Architecture, Landscape and Design to One Spadina Crescent – Sources of Funding and Total Project Cost

On a motion duly made, seconded, and carried.

IT WAS RESOLVED

That the allocations among the Sources of Funding and Total Project Cost for the Relocation of the John H. Daniels Faculty of Architecture, Landscape and Design to One Spadina Crescent be approved.

(b.) Environmental Science and Chemistry Building at the University of Toronto Scarborough – Sources of Funding and Total Project Cost

On a motion duly made, seconded, and carried.

IT WAS RESOLVED

That the allocations among the Sources of Funding and Total Project Cost for the Environmental Science and Chemistry Building at the University of Toronto Scarborough be approved.

⁴ <http://www.governingcouncil.utoronto.ca/AssetFactory.aspx?did=9863>

14. Appointments

(a.) 2013-14 Non-Governor Pension Committee Members

On a motion duly made, seconded, and carried.

IT WAS RESOLVED

1. THAT the following individuals be appointed members of the Pension Committee, from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2016:

Ms Kim McLean (non-unionized administrative staff)
Mr. Alex D. McKinnon (unionized administrative staff, USW)
Ms Leanne MacMillan (unionized administrative staff, CUPE)

and

2. THAT the following individual be appointed as a member of the Pension Committee, from July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015:

Mr. Philip Murton (unionized administrative staff, USW)

(b.) 2013-14 Governor Assignment

On a motion duly made, seconded, and carried.

IT WAS RESOLVED

- a) THAT Professor Avrum Gotlieb be appointed to the Business Board, replacing Professor Ed Iacobucci, for a one-year term effective July 1, 2013; and
- b) THAT Dr. Gary Mooney be appointed to the Committee on Academic Policy and Programs, for a one-year term effective July 1, 2013.

(c.) 2013-14 Committee for Honorary Degrees

On a motion duly made, seconded, and carried.

IT WAS RESOLVED

THAT the following motion be endorsed and forwarded to the Governing Council

THAT Dr. Rose M. Patten be approved as a lay member of the 2013-2014 Committee for Honorary Degrees.

(d.) 2013-14 Community Members of the University of Toronto Mississauga and University of Toronto Scarborough Campus Councils and their Standing Committees

On a motion duly made, seconded, and carried.

IT WAS RESOLVED

THAT the recommended appointment of Community Members of the University of Toronto Mississauga (UTM) Campus Council, the UTM Academic Affairs Committee, and the UTM Campus Affairs Committee, as listed in the attached document, be approved for a one-year term, effective July 1, 2013.

THE GOVERNING COUNCIL MOVED TO OPEN SESSION.

Meeting adjourned at 6:45 p.m.

Secretary

Chair

June 6, 2013