

## THE GOVERNING COUNCIL

### REPORT NUMBER 168 OF THE ACADEMIC BOARD

June 2, 2010

To the Governing Council,  
University of Toronto

Your Board reports that it held a meeting on Wednesday, June 2, 2010 at 4:10 p.m. in the Council Chamber, Simcoe Hall at which the following were present:

Professor Louise Lemieux-Charles, Chair  
Professor David Naylor, President  
Professor Cheryl Regehr, Vice-Provost, Academic Programs  
Professor Scott Mabury, Vice-Provost, Academic Operations  
Ms Binish Ahmed  
Professor Varouj Aivazian  
Professor Derek Allen  
Professor Maydianne Andrade  
Professor Gage Averill  
Professor Ronald Beiner  
Ms Patricia Bellamy  
Professor David Cook  
Professor Brian Corman  
Professor Gerald Cupchik  
Professor Christopher Damaren  
Professor Charles Deber  
Mr. Sybil Derrible

**Regrets:**

Professor Stewart Aitchison  
Ms Yvette Ali  
Professor Cristina Amon  
Professor Jan Angus  
Mr. Konstantin Anosov  
Professor Sylvia Bashevkin  
Professor Denise Belsham  
Professor Katherine Berg  
Professor Parth Bhatt  
Ms Marilyn Booth  
Mr. Andrew Brown  
Ms Katarina Cadete  
Professor Terry Carleton  
Professor Sujit Choudhry  
Professor Will Cluett  
Professor Elizabeth Cowper  
Professor Alister Cumming  
Professor Gabriele D'Eleuterio  
Ms Saswati Deb  
Ms Netila Demneri  
Professor Joseph Desloges

Professor Miriam Diamond  
Professor Wendy Duff  
Professor Darryl Edwards  
Professor Guy Faulkner  
Professor Meric Gertler  
Professor Avrum Gotlieb  
Professor Ellen Hodnett  
Mrs. Bonnie Horne  
Ms Jemy Joseph  
Professor Alison Keith  
Professor Bruce Kidd  
Ms Min Hee (Margaret) Kim  
Dr. Chris Koenig-Woodyard  
Professor Jim Lai  
Ms Lesley Ann Lavack  
Dr. Thomas Mathien  
Professor Douglas McDougall  
Professor Angelo Melino  
Professor David Mock  
Professor Mayo Moran

Ms Caroline Di Giovanni  
Mr. John A. Fraser  
Professor Jane Gaskell  
Professor Robert Gibbs  
Ms Joeita Gupta  
Ms Tulika Gupta  
Professor Rick Halpern  
Professor Russell Hartenberger  
Mr. Adam Heller  
Dr. Allan S. Kaplan  
Professor Christina Kramer  
Professor Audrey Laporte  
Professor Robert Levit  
Professor Hy Van Luong  
Professor Heather MacNeil  
Professor Henry Mann  
Professor Roger L. Martin  
Professor Mark McGowan  
Dr. Ahmed Mian  
Professor John R. Miron  
Professor Cheryl Misak

Professor Sioban Nelson  
Professor Linda Northrup  
Professor Janet Paterson  
Ms Judith Poë  
Mr. Matthew Purser  
Professor Ato Quayson  
Professor Doug Reeve  
Professor Lock Rowe  
Professor Elizabeth M. Smyth  
Ms Lynn Snowden  
Miss Maureen J. Somerville  
Professor Richard Sommer  
Professor Suzanne Stevenson  
Miss Sabrina Tang  
Mr. Daniel Taranovsky  
Professor Njoki Wane  
Mr. Gregory West  
Professor Catharine Whiteside  
Professor Charmaine Williams  
Mr. Jason Wong

Professor Faye Mishna  
Ms Michelle Mitrovich  
Professor Shahrzad Mojab  
Ms Carole Moore  
Professor Michelle Murphy  
Professor Ito Peng  
Dr. Susan Rappolt  
Professor Jolie Ringash  
Professor Seamus Ross  
Professor Wendy Rotenberg  
Professor Andrea Sass-Kortsak  
Ms Helen Slade  
Professor Tattersall Smith  
Professor Romin Tafarodi  
Ms Rita Tsang  
Dr. Donald A. Wasylenki  
Dr. Cindy Woodland

**Secretariat:**

Ms Mae-Yu Tan

<b>Non-voting Assessors:</b> Professor Angela Hildyard, Vice-President, Human Resources and Equity	Ms Catherine Riggall, Vice-President, Business Affairs Professor Edith Hillan, Vice-Provost, Faculty and Academic Life	Ms Judith Wolfson, Vice-President, University Relations
<b>In Attendance:</b> Ms Brenda Andrews, Director, Centre for Cellular and Biomolecular Research Mr. Tad Brown, Finance and Development Counsel, Division of University Advancement Ms Sheree Drummond, Assistant Provost, Office of the Vice-President and Provost Ms Nora Gillespie, Legal Counsel, Office of the Vice-President and Provost Dr. Anthony Gray, Special Advisor to the President	Mr. Anwar Kazimi, Committee Secretary, Office of the Governing Council Ms Jane Kidner, Assistant Dean, Professional Legal Education, Faculty of Law Mr. Christopher Lang, Director, Appeals, Discipline, and Faculty Grievances Ms Helen Lasthiotakis, Director, Academic Programs and Policy, Office of the Vice-President and Provost	Mr. Henry Mulhall, Assistant Secretary of the Governing Council Mrs. Caroline Turenko, Administrative Officer, Department of Family and Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Dr. Lynn Wilson, Chair, Department of Family and Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine

In this report, item 5 requires Executive Committee confirmation, and items 6 to 12 are recommended to the Governing Council for approval. The remaining items are reported for information.

The Chair welcomed members and guests to the meeting. She noted that Professor Misak was out of the country and Professor Cheryl Regehr, Vice-Provost, Academic Programs, would serve as Acting Senior Assessor for the meeting.

### **1. Approval of Report Number 167 of the Meeting held on April 21, 2010**

Report Number 167 of the meeting held on April 21, 2010 was approved.

### **2. Business Arising from the Report of the Previous Meeting**

There was no business arising from the Report of April 21, 2010.

### **3. Report of the Agenda Committee - Number 163 (May 18, 2010)**

Report Number 163 of the Agenda Committee was received for information.

#### Item 4 – Academic Board Evaluation

Referring to page 2 of the report, the Chair said that an online feedback form had been developed for use by members of the Board. The Agenda Committee planned to use the information gathered from the form to work towards increasing the effectiveness of the Board and the quality of its meetings. Members were asked to provide comments about their past year of service on the Academic Board by completing the form, which was accessible from the Board's website<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.governingcouncil.utoronto.ca/bac/ab.htm>

### **3. Report of the Agenda Committee - Number 163 (May 18, 2010) (cont'd)**

#### Item 7 – Academic Administrative Appointments

The Chair noted that a number of academic administrative appointments had been approved by the Agenda Committee at its meeting of May 18, 2010. Complete information about two of the appointments had not been contained in the corresponding report. However, those appointments had since been made public, and the Chair congratulated Professor Cristina Amon on her reappointment as Dean of the Faculty of Applied Science and Engineering for a term from July 1, 2011 to June 30, 2016. She also congratulated Professor Siobhan Nelson on her reappointment as Dean of the Lawrence S. Bloomberg Faculty of Nursing for a term from July 1, 2011 to June 30, 2018.

### **4. Report of the Vice-President and Provost**

Reporting on behalf of Professor Misak, Professor Regehr spoke of the University's preparations for possible disruption on the St. George campus arising from activities related to the G20 Summit. Queen's Park North had recently been designated as the official protest site. Given its proximity to the downtown campus and the possible risk to members of the University community, the University administration had elected to close all buildings on campus from the evening of Wednesday, June 23, 2010 to the morning of Monday, June 28<sup>th</sup>. Arrangements had been made to house students living in residence in alternate residences at Chestnut Street and on the University of Toronto Mississauga (UTM) and University of Toronto at Scarborough (UTSC) campuses during that period. As well, events scheduled to be held on the downtown campus during the week of June 21 - 27 had been moved to other venues. Professor Regehr expressed her deep appreciation to staff on the UTM and UTSC campuses who had so graciously and immediately responded to the needs of the St. George campus.

### **5. Constitution: Innis College**

The Chair stated that the Board was responsible for approving divisional constitutions. If the proposal for revisions to the Innis College constitution was approved, it would then require confirmation by the Executive Committee on June 14, 2010.

Professor Regehr introduced the proposal<sup>2</sup> that had been included in the agenda package distributed to members of the Board. She said that the Innis College constitution had last been revised over ten years ago. The proposed revisions were relatively minor and were intended to clarify terms and reflect current language conventions within the University. As well, a few minor changes in the membership on the Council had been proposed. Professor Regehr closed by noting that the amended Constitution had been approved by the Innis College Council on April 19, 2010.

There were no questions from members of the Board.

---

<sup>2</sup> <http://www.governingcouncil.utoronto.ca/AssetFactory.aspx?did=7058>

## 5. Constitution: Innis College (cont'd)

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried, subject to confirmation by the Executive Committee

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT the amended Constitution of Innis College, which was approved by the Innis College Council on April 19, 2010, a copy of which is attached hereto as [Appendix "A"](#), be approved.

## 6. Policy on Approval and Review of Academic Programs and Units

The Chair said that the *Policy on Approval and Review of Academic Programs and Units*<sup>3</sup> had been considered by the Committee on Academic Policy and Programs (AP&P) at its May 11, 2010 meeting. If recommended by the Academic Board, it would be considered for approval by the Governing Council on June 24th.

Professor Regehr stated that the AP&P had discussed the proposed *Policy* extensively over two meetings. She thanked the student members of the Committee, who had made some valuable suggestions that had resulted in modifications to the draft *Policy*. Professor Regehr then gave a presentation<sup>4</sup> on the *Policy* and the draft University of Toronto Quality Assurance Process (UTQAP)<sup>5</sup>, in which the following points were highlighted.

- *Policy* revisions, which had been developed from the province's Quality Assurance Framework, required University governance approval. The draft UTQAP, which would likely be revised further prior to ratification by the Ontario Universities Council on Quality Assurance (Quality Council), was being presented to the Board for information.
- Extensive consultation had taken place within the University throughout the development of the UTQAP.
- UTQAP principles included both administrative and governance approval; consistency between approval and review processes for graduate and undergraduate programs; efficient approval processes; the importance of consultation and communication; standardization of evaluation criteria; and monitoring and revision of definitions and processes as necessary.
- Elements of the UTQAP included protocol for new program approvals, for major modifications to programs, for ending programs, and for cyclical reviews of programs.
- The proposed approval process for both undergraduate and graduate programs would include an administrative review, coordinated through the Office of the Vice-Provost, Academic; broad consultation within divisions, with cognate divisions and relevant bodies, and with external constituencies when appropriate; consideration by University governance bodies; submission to the Quality Council for approval; and approval by the Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities and accrediting bodies.

---

<sup>3</sup> <http://www.governingcouncil.utoronto.ca/AssetFactory.aspx?did=7060>

<sup>4</sup> [A copy of her Powerpoint slides are attached as Appendix "B1"](#).

<sup>5</sup> <http://www.governingcouncil.utoronto.ca/AssetFactory.aspx?did=7062>

## 6. *Policy on Approval and Review of Academic Programs and Units* (cont'd)

- It was anticipated that the forthcoming report of the University's Task Force on Governance might include recommendations for revisions to the terms of reference of the Academic Board and its committees to implement the proposed quality assurance process.
- An annual report of major modifications of programs, which included a restructuring of a program, a merger of existing programs, or a refreshing of a program in order to maintain its currency, would be submitted to the Quality Council subsequent to widespread consultation and divisional governance approval.
- Program closures would proceed in a manner similar to the current process and would also be included in an annual report to the Quality Council.
- In a change from the past process, Deans would be responsible for commissioning reviews of their divisions and would have the flexibility of bundling multiple reviews, if appropriate, for increased efficiency. Program reviews and the accompanying administrative responses would be presented to the AP&P twice a year. That would allow for a more timely review by the Committee.
- If so desired, the AP&P could request a one-year follow-up on programs where some areas of concern had been identified. In the case of significant problems or deficiencies, the Dean or the Vice-Provost would have the authority to halt admission to the program until there was evidence that the quality concerns had been addressed.

Following Professor Regehr's presentation, Professor McDougall, Vice-Chair of AP&P, reiterated that the AP&P had had a full presentation and thorough discussion of the *Policy* and the University's quality assurance process on April 7, 2010. The outcome had been a number of changes to the existing documents. The most significant had been the provision that the AP&P would look not only at reviews of academic programs but also of the units that offered them.

A member of the Board suggested that the accountability framework chart contained in Appendix A of the *Policy* should show that the Executive Committee and the Governing Council received a record of Academic Board discussion.

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

### YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT the proposed *Policy on Approval and Review of Academic Programs and Units*, a copy of which is attached hereto as [Appendix "B"](#), be approved, replacing the *Policy for Assessment and Review of Academic Programs and Units*, approved by the Governing Council on February 21, 2005, with effect immediately upon ratification of the University of Toronto Quality Assurance Process by the Ontario Universities Council on Quality Assurance. At that time, proposals for related governance Terms of Reference revisions will be brought forward to governance for consideration.

## **7. Policy on Endowed Chairs, Professorships, Lectureships and Programs: Revision**

The Chair stated that because the Board was responsible for endowed chairs, professorships and visiting lectureships, it was being asked to consider a renamed and revised *Policy on Endowed and Limited Term Chairs, Professorships, Distinguished Scholars and Program Initiatives*. On the Board's recommendation, the *Policy* would be considered for approval by the Governing Council on June 24th.

Professor Hillan introduced the revised *Policy*<sup>6</sup> that had been included in the agenda package distributed to members of the Board. She said that the *Policy on Endowed Chairs, Professorships, Lectureships and Programs* had last been amended in 1997 by the Governing Council. A review of the *Policy* by the Division of University Advancement and the Office of the Vice-Provost, Faculty and Academic Life, had identified areas that were inconsistent with both the current appointment processes and the terminology in use to refer to appointments, programs, and program initiatives. A number of changes had been made to the *Policy*, including the establishment of limited term chairs, professorships, distinguished scholars, and program initiatives which would allow the use of expendable funds. Amendments had been made to the appointment and review process for endowed or limited term chairs and professorships, and minimum funding amounts set out in the existing *Policy* had been removed. Instead, appropriate minimum thresholds would be set and reviewed periodically by the administration based on the then current financial needs and advancement realities of the University. Professor Hillan added that the proposed *Policy* would have no retroactive application.

Noting that small faculties might have very few individuals who would be eligible for appointment as a Chair, a member asked why the five-year term for a Chair was limited to one renewal. In response, Mr. Tad Brown, Finance and Development Counsel, Division of University Advancement, clarified that most agreements with donors were open-ended with respect to the term of a Chair. However, in the case of joint chairs with hospital partners, the University usually used more explicit language. Professor Hillan noted that the provision for a performance review prior to a renewal of an appointment had also been added to the *Policy*. The President observed that, both within the University and in other institutions, it was not unusual for chairs' appointments to be renewed on multiple occasions. While that presented both advantages and disadvantages with regard to faculty complement renewal, the University was flexible on the matter, and small divisions would continue to be accommodated.

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

### **YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS**

THAT the proposed *Policy on Endowed and Limited Term Chairs, Professorships, Distinguished Scholars and Program Initiatives*, a copy of which is attached hereto as [Appendix "C"](#), be approved, effective immediately, replacing the *Policy on Endowed Chairs, Professorships, Lectureships and Programs* which was approved by the Governing Council on February 10, 1997.

---

<sup>6</sup> <http://www.governingcouncil.utoronto.ca/AssetFactory.aspx?did=7094>

## 8. Tribunal Selection Committee: Expanded Scope and Name Change

The Chair said that the Board was responsible for approving a number of individual appointments, many of which would be considered later in the meeting. She explained that responsibility for some appointments, including those of the Chairs of the University Tribunal, was outlined in the terms of reference for the Board and the Agenda Committee. If changes to those terms of reference were recommended by the Academic Board, they would then be considered for approval by the Governing Council on June 24th.

Mr. Louis Charpentier, Secretary of the Governing Council, introduced the proposal<sup>7</sup>, which outlined the creation of a committee that would consider recommendations for both the appointment of Chairs of the University Tribunal and Chairs of the Academic Appeals Committee. He commented that the intent was to establish a disciplined and transparent process that would ensure the recruitment and appointment of highly qualified individuals to serve in these roles.

No questions were raised by members of the Board.

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

### YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

1. That the following part of Section 3 of the Terms of Reference of the Agenda Committee:

the Agenda Committee is responsible for establishing the Tribunal Selection Committee which recommends the appointment of the Senior Chair, the Associate Chairs, and the co-chairs of the University Tribunal.

be revised to read

the Agenda Committee is responsible for establishing the Nominating Committee for the University Tribunal and the Academic Appeals Committee, which recommends the appointment of the Senior Chair, the Associate Chairs, and the co-chairs of the University Tribunal, and the appointment of the Senior Chair and the Chairs of the Academic Appeals Committee.

2. That footnote 2, in Section 3 of the Terms of Reference of the Agenda Committee:

The Tribunal Selection Committee shall be composed of a teaching staff, a student and a lay member or former member of the Academic Board or Governing Council, and a President's designate, at least two of whom will have appropriate legal knowledge.

---

<sup>7</sup> <http://www.governingcouncil.utoronto.ca/AssetFactory.aspx?did=7064>



**8. Tribunal Selection Committee: Expanded Scope and Name Change (cont'd)**

be revised to read

The Nominating Committee for the University Tribunal and Academic Appeals Committee shall be composed of a teaching staff, a student and a lay member or former member of the Academic Board or Governing Council, and a President's designate, at least two of whom will have appropriate legal knowledge.

3. That the following part of Section 5.2.6 (c) of the Terms of Reference of the Academic Board:

The Academic Board appoints the following: iii the Senior Chair, Associate Chairs and co-chairs of the University Tribunal, on the recommendation of the Tribunal Selection Committee.

be revised to read:

The Academic Board appoints the following: iii the Senior Chair, Associate Chairs and co-chairs of the University Tribunal, and the Senior Chair and Chairs of the Academic Appeals Committee, on the recommendation of the Nominating Committee for the University Tribunal and Academic Appeals Committee.

4. That footnote 5, in Section 5.2.6 (c) of the Terms of Reference of the Academic Board:

The Tribunal Selection Committee is established annually by the Agenda Committee.

be revised to read:

The Nominating Committee for the University Tribunal and Academic Appeals Committee is established annually by the Agenda Committee.

5. That these changes be reviewed by the Academic Board in the 2011-12 governance year.

Documentation is attached hereto as [Appendix "D"](#).

**9. Capital Project: Interim Project Planning Report for an Oral Health Science Complex**

The Interim Project Planning Report for an Oral Health Science Complex had been considered by the Planning and Budget Committee (P&B) at its meeting of May 5, 2010. If recommended by the Academic Board, it would be considered for approval by the Governing Council on June 24th.



## **9. Capital Project: Interim Project Planning Report for an Oral Health Science Complex (cont'd)**

Dr. Gotlieb, Chair of the P&B, introduced the proposal<sup>8</sup> and reported that the P&B had had a thorough discussion on the matter. Professor David Mock, Dean of the Faculty of Dentistry, had responded in detail to a number of questions from Committee members. He had emphasized that the Faculty of Dentistry was the leading faculty in the country, but that standing could not be sustained in a location inadequate for research and teaching purposes. Professor Mock had stated that additional space would allow the flexibility to add new doctoral and specialized graduate programs, and possibly collaborative programs with community colleges. Financial assistance would also be sought from the Ministry of Health, in addition to the Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities, as the Faculty ran a dental hospital.

The President elaborated on the intent of the proposal, which was to clarify the University's options and determine how best to proceed. Noting that the issue of obtaining appropriate facilities for the flagship Faculty of Dentistry had been considered for more than one decade, he emphasized that fundraising rather than financing avenues would be carefully explored. The University was cognizant of its responsibility for its entire capital project program and would move forward only as suitable opportunities were presented.

The Board discussed the possible options for the location of the proposed Oral Health Science Complex that had been explored by the Project Planning Committee. Referring to the third option of purchasing and renovating an existing building, a member asked whether a preferred site had been identified. Professor Mock confirmed that a site closer to the health sciences precinct of the University was being considered; however, due to upcoming negotiations, it was not yet possible to disclose the location.

A member asked why the operating costs for a new building would exceed those of a renovated building. Professor Mabury, Vice-Provost, Academic Operations, replied that new buildings often had a greater amount of non-usable space, which affected operating costs.

Members raised questions about the funding sources for the proposed project. Professor Mock stated that if the current site of the Faculty of Dentistry, 124 Edward Street, was sold, it was likely that funds received would be applied to the cost of acquiring a new site. He assured the Board that there were no plans to implement a student levy to assist with the project cost. Rather, the intent was to raise funds from private sources and the government.

A member inquired about the nature of the Dental Museum. Professor Mock said that the Faculty possessed an excellent collection of dental memorabilia; however, much of it was currently in storage. Fundraising opportunities in support of the Museum existed, and there was no other such institution in the country. The President added that the University had numerous artifacts from a range of disciplines, and further discussion on the most appropriate means of displaying them would need to occur.

---

<sup>8</sup> <http://www.governingcouncil.utoronto.ca/AssetFactory.aspx?did=7008>

**9. Capital Project: Interim Project Planning Report for an Oral Health Science Complex (cont'd)**

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT the Interim Project Planning Report for an Oral Health Science Complex, dated April 26, 2010, a copy of which is attached hereto as [Appendix "E"](#), be approved in principle to accommodate the activities and functions described and to facilitate the necessary fundraising related to the proposed project.

**10. Capital Project: Project Planning Report for the Relocation of the Department of Family and Community Medicine**

The Project Planning Report for the Relocation of the Department of Family and Community Medicine was also considered by the P&B on May 5<sup>th</sup> and on the recommendation of the Academic Board would be considered for approval by the Governing Council on June 24<sup>th</sup>.

After introducing the proposal<sup>9</sup>, Dr. Gotlieb reported that the P&B had been supportive of the project. There were no questions from the Board.

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

1. THAT the Project Planning Committee Report for the relocation of the Department of Family and Community Medicine to 500 University Avenue, dated April 15, 2010, a copy of which is attached hereto as [Appendix "F"](#), be approved in principle.
2. THAT the project scope as identified in the Project Planning Report be approved at a Total Project Cost of \$3,500,000 with funding as follows:
 

Ministry of Health – Long Term Care:	\$3,000,000
Department of Family and Community Medicine	\$ 500,000

**11. Faculty of Medicine: Proposal to Establish the Donnelly Centre for Cellular and Biomolecular Research (DCCBR) as an Extra-Departmental Unit:A**

The Chair stated that the proposal to establish the Donnelly Centre for Cellular and Biomolecular Research (DCCBR) as an Extra-Departmental Unit:A had been considered by the P&B on May 5<sup>th</sup>. If recommended by the Board, it would be considered for approval by the Governing Council on June 24<sup>th</sup>.

Following Dr. Gotlieb's summary of the proposal<sup>10</sup>, a member asked whether there were plans to hire faculty if the proposal was approved. Professor Catharine Whiteside, Dean, Faculty of

<sup>9</sup> <http://www.governingcouncil.utoronto.ca/AssetFactory.aspx?did=7010>

**11. Faculty of Medicine: Proposal to Establish the Donnelly Centre for Cellular and Biomolecular Research (DCCBR) as an Extra-Departmental Unit:A (cont'd)**

Medicine, replied that, since the Centre's inception, faculty had been hired for the Centre and had been granted tenure in the Banting and Best Department of Medical Research. As such, a core unit of teaching staff within the Centre already existed. The proposed governance structure would simply better represent original plans for the Centre.

A member expressed support of the proposal, noting that it would facilitate recognition of students who conducted research in the Centre.

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

**YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS**

THAT the Donnelly Centre for Cellular and Biomolecular Research (DCCBR) be established as an Extra-Departmental Unit:A (EDU:A) teaching and research entity, effective July 1, 2010.

Documentation is attached hereto as [Appendix "G"](#).

**12. Faculty of Law and School of Graduate Studies: Proposal for a Global Professional Master of Laws (G.P.L.L.M.) Program**

The Chair said that the proposal for a Global Professional Master of Laws (G.P.L.L.M.) Program<sup>11</sup> had been considered by the Committee on Academic Policy and Programs (AP&P) at its May 11th meeting and by the P&B on May 5<sup>th</sup>. On the recommendation of the Academic Board, it would be considered for approval by the Governing Council on June 24th.

Professor McDougall outlined the proposal for the Board and Dr. Gotlieb stated that the P&B had fully supported the proposed program.

In response to a question from a member, Professor Mayo Moran, Dean, Faculty of Law, confirmed that the program focused primarily on development within the globalization of businesses.

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

**YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS**

THAT the proposed Global Professional Master of Laws (G.P.L.L.M.) program, as described in the proposal from the Faculty of Law dated April 12, 2010, a copy of which is attached hereto as [Appendix "H"](#), be approved, with enrolment commencing in September, 2011.

---

<sup>10</sup> <http://www.governingcouncil.utoronto.ca/AssetFactory.aspx?did=7006>

<sup>11</sup> <http://www.governingcouncil.utoronto.ca/AssetFactory.aspx?did=7030>

### **13. Annual Report: Council of Ontario Universities Academic Colleague, 2009**

The Chair said that the Academic Board appointed the University's Academic Colleague to the Council of Ontario Universities (COU). She noted that Professor Gage Averill, Vice-Principal, Academic and Dean, UTM, had served as Academic Colleague for the past year, and she invited him to give the annual report<sup>12</sup>.

Professor Averill expressed his gratitude at having had the opportunity to serve as the University's Academic Colleague. He explained that the COU served an advocacy role within the province and strove to represent its constituent members with one voice. The COU was composed of the Executive Heads of the Universities and of the Academic Colleagues, who met both separately and jointly a few times each year.

Professor Averill highlighted some of the major issues that had been addressed by the Academic Colleagues during the past year. Those included the Quality Assurance Framework, which Professor Regehr had presented earlier in the meeting; a more comprehensive credit transfer system among universities and colleges in Ontario; greater relief for institutions with respect to mandatory payments for university pension plans; and the postsecondary items contained in the Throne Speech and the Provincial budget. Professor Averill reported that each year the Colleagues prepared one significant discussion paper for the Council's attention. This year's paper, which had been prepared by two other Colleagues, had been based on a piece written by Professor Averill, entitled "Rethinking Retention".

Professor Averill closed by thanking Professor Dan Lang, the University's previous Academic Colleague who had served a significant role on the COU, who continued to work on the provincial credit transfer issue.

The Chair thanked Professor Averill on behalf of the Board for his service. She noted that he would be stepping down from his role as COU Academic Colleague of June 30, 2010, as he would be on sabbatical in the coming year. The Board would appoint a new Academic Colleague at a later point in the meeting.

### **14. Items for Information**

(a) Annual Report on Academic Discipline, 2008-09

The Annual Report on Academic Discipline, 2008-09, was presented to the Board for information. The Chair thanked Professor Hillan, Mr. Lang, and their staff for their work in preparing the comprehensive report.

Members also received the following reports for information.

- (b) Annual Report on Degrees, Diplomas, and Certificates Awarded, 2009
- (c) Appointments and Status Changes
- (d) Report Number 145 of the Committee on Academic Policy and Programs (April 7, 2010)
- (e) Report Number 146 of the Committee on Academic Policy and Programs (May 11, 2010)
- (f) Report Number 137 of the Planning and Budget Committee (May 5, 2010)

There were no questions arising from these reports.

<sup>12</sup> <http://www.governingcouncil.utoronto.ca/AssetFactory.aspx?did=7088>

**15. Date of Next Meeting**

The Chair informed members that the date of the next meeting would be made available on the Office of the Governing Council website after July 1, 2010. The meeting schedule would also be sent electronically to continuing members later in the summer.

**16. Other Business**

The Chair expressed her appreciation to all those who had contributed to the work of the Board during the past year.

She thanked the assessors who brought matters forward to the Boards and Committees, particularly the senior assessor, Professor Misak, and her team. She also thanked Professor Regehr for serving as Acting Senior Assessor at the meeting. The Chair acknowledged the work of the members of the Agenda Committee, who oversaw the flow of the business of the Board, and who were diligent in approving academic administrative appointments on behalf of the Board.

The Chair also thanked the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Committee on Academic Policy and Programs, Professor Andrea Sass-Kortsak and Professor Doug McDougall, and the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Planning and Budget Committee, Professor Avrum Gotlieb and Professor Wendy Rotenberg for their dedicated service.

The Chair thanked all members of the Board for their contribution to the governance of the University. She acknowledged the service of those members whose terms would end on June 30, 2010, particularly the student members of the Board.

The Chair expressed special thanks to Professor Varouj Aivazian, the Board's Vice-Chair. His advice and support to the Chair was greatly appreciated.

On behalf of the Board, Professor Regehr thanked the Chair for the remarkable job she had done in providing leadership to the Board over the past year.

**17. Quarterly Report on Donations:** February 1, 2010 – April 30, 2010  
Members received this report for information. There were no questions.

**18. Appointments: University Professors**

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

The appointments of Professor Barbara Sherwood Lollar and Professor Marla Sokolowski to the rank of University Professor, effective July 1, 2010.

## **19. Report of the Tribunal Selection Committee**

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT Mr. Ronald Slaght be appointed Associate Chair of the University Tribunal for the period July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2013;

THAT Mr. John Keefe, Ms. Rodica David, and Ms. Julie Hannaford, be re-appointed as Co-Chairs of the University Tribunal for the period July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2013; and

THAT Ms. Bonnie Goldberg, Ms. Ira Parghi, Mr. William McDowell, Mr. Jeffrey Leon, and Mr. Paul Schabas, be appointed as Co-Chairs of the University Tribunal for the period July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2013.

## **20. Report of the Striking Committee**

### **I. Council of Ontario Universities Academic Colleague for the University of Toronto**

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT Professor Robert L. Baker be appointed the Council of Ontario Universities Academic Colleague for the University of Toronto for a one year term from July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011.

### **II. Board and Committee Membership**

#### **1. Membership of the Academic Board<sup>13</sup>**

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT the following be appointed as members of the Academic Board for 2010-11:

#### **Administrative and Professional Staff**

Ms Cathy Hughes, Faculty of Physical Education and Health (to June 30, 2011)  
Mr. Liam Mitchell, Faculty of Applied Science and Engineering (FASE) (to June 30, 2013)

---

<sup>13</sup> \* 2009-10 member of the Board or Committee.

**20. Report of the Striking Committee (cont'd)****II. Board and Committee Membership (cont'd)****1. Membership of the Academic Board (cont'd)****Alumni**

Mr. Tyler Currie, Faculty of Arts and Science, New College  
Ms Caroline Di Giovanni, Faculty of Arts and Science, St. Michael's College\*  
Mr. Daniel Taranovsky, Joseph L. Rotman School of Management/School of Graduate Studies (SGS)\*

**Students***Full-time Undergraduate*

Mr. Justin Basinger, Faculty of Law  
Ms Annie Claire Bergeron-Oliver, Faculty of Physical Education and Health  
Mr. Shaun Datt, University of Toronto at Scarborough (UTSC)  
Ms Min Hee Margaret Kim, Faculty of Arts and Science, Trinity College (current student governor)\*  
Mr. Dickson Yang, UTM  
Mr. Rishi Maharaj, FASE

*Part-time Undergraduate*

Mr. Ken Davy, Faculty of Arts and Science, Woodsworth College (current student governor; member of the Academic Board, 2006-08)  
Mr. Shakir Rahim, Faculty of Arts and Science, Trinity College

*Graduate*

Mr. Hanif Bayat Movahed, Faculty of Arts and Science  
Ms Emily Holland, UTM  
Ms Jemy Joseph, Faculty of Medicine\*  
Ms Cecilia Livingston, Faculty of Music



**20. Report of the Striking Committee (cont'd)**

**II. Board and Committee Membership (cont'd)**

**2. Membership of Committees of the Board**

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT the following be appointed to committees of the Board for 2010-11:

**i) Agenda Committee**

*Student*

Ms Jemy Joseph, Faculty of Medicine\*

*Teaching Staff*

Ms Judith Poë, UTM\*

Professor Yves Roberge, Faculty of Arts and Science, New College

**ii) Academic Appeals Committee**

**Chairs:**

Ms Kate Hilton (Senior Chair)+

Mr. Tad Brown+

Professor Markus Dubber

Ms Sara Faherty

Professor Andrew Green+

Ms Renu Mandhane

Professor Edward Morgan+

Professor Emeritus Ralph Scane+

Professor Hamish Stewart

+current Chair who is willing to serve if re-appointed

**Members:**

*Students*

Mr. Justin Basinger, Faculty of Law

Ms Annie Claire Bergeron-Oliver, Faculty of Physical Education and Health

Ms Min Hee Margaret Kim, Faculty of Arts and Science, Trinity College

Mr. Ken Davy, Faculty of Arts and Science, Woodsworth College

Ms Jemy Joseph, Faculty of Medicine\*

Mr. Hanif Bayat Movahed, Faculty of Arts and Science

**20. Report of the Striking Committee (cont'd)****II. Board and Committee Membership (cont'd)****2. Membership of Committees of the Board (cont'd)***Teaching Staff*

Professor Maydianne Andrade, UTSC (Biological Sciences)  
 Professor Denise Belsham, Faculty of Medicine (Physiology)\*  
 Dr. Chris Koenig-Woodyard, UTM (English)\*  
 Professor Henry Mann, Faculty of Pharmacy  
 Professor Faye Mishna, Faculty of Social Work\*  
 Professor Ito Peng, Faculty of Arts and Science (Sociology)\*  
 Professor Wendy Ward, Faculty of Medicine (Nutritional Sciences)

**iii) Committee on Academic Policy and Programs***Administrative and Professional Staff*

Mr. Liam Mitchell, (FASE)

*Students*

Ms Annie Claire Bergeron-Oliver, full-time undergraduate, Faculty of Physical Education and Health  
 Mr. Rishi Maharaj, full-time undergraduate, FASE  
 Mr. Shakir Rahim, part-time undergraduate, Faculty of Arts and Science, Trinity College  
 Mr. Hanif Bayat Movahed, graduate, Faculty of Arts and Science  
 Ms Emily Holland, graduate, UTM

*Teaching Staff*

Professor Maydianne Andrade, UTSC (Biological Sciences)  
 Professor Catherine Amara, Faculty of Physical Education and Health  
 Professor Katherine Berg, Faculty of Medicine (Physical Therapy)\*  
 Professor Alister Cumming, OISE (Modern Language Centre)\*  
 Professor Gabriele D'Eleuterio, FASE  
 Professor Christopher Damaren, FASE  
 Dr. Karen Davis, Faculty of Medicine  
 Professor Charles Deber, Faculty of Medicine (Biochemistry)\*  
 Professor Robert Gibbs, Faculty of Arts and Science (Philosophy)\*  
 Ms Lesley Lavack, Faculty of Pharmacy\*  
 Professor Douglas McDougall, Ontario Institute for Studies in Education (OISE)  
 (Curriculum, Teaching, and Learning), **Vice-Chair\***  
 Professor Ito Peng, Faculty of Arts and Science (Sociology)\*  
 Ms Judith Poë, UTM\*  
 Professor Andrea Sass-Kortsak, Faculty of Medicine, **Chair\***  
 Professor Njoki Wane, OISE (Sociology and Equity Studies in Education)

**20. Report of the Striking Committee (cont'd)****II. Board and Committee Membership (cont'd)****2. Membership of Committees of the Board (cont'd)****iv) Planning and Budget Committee***Student*

Mr. Shaun Datt, full-time undergraduate, University of Toronto at Scarborough (UTSC)  
 Mr. Ken Davy, part-time undergraduate, Faculty of Arts and Science, Woodsworth  
 College

**iv) Planning and Budget Committee***Teaching Staff*

Professor Parth Bhatt, Faculty of Arts and Science (French)\*  
 Professor Elizabeth Cowper, Faculty of Arts and Science (Linguistics)  
 Professor Miriam Diamond, Faculty of Arts and Science (Geography), **Vice-Chair**,  
 (member of the Planning and Budget Committee, 2006 to 2008)  
 Professor Meric Gertler, Faculty of Arts and Science (Geography), (member of the  
 Planning and Budget Committee, 2008-09)  
 Dr. Avrum Gotlieb, Faculty of Medicine (Laboratory Medicine and Pathobiology),  
**Chair**\*  
 Dr. Chris Koenig-Woodyard, UTM (English)\*  
 Professor Jim Lai, Faculty of Dentistry  
 Professor Henry Mann, Faculty of Pharmacy  
 Ms Carole Moore, Library (member of the Planning and Budget Committee, 2005-08)\*  
 Professor Susan Rappolt, Faculty of Medicine (Occupational Science and Occupational  
 Therapy)

*Additional Members of the Agenda Planning Group*

Professor Elizabeth Cowper, Faculty of Arts and Science (Linguistics)  
 Professor Henry Mann, Faculty of Pharmacy

**20. Report of the Striking Committee (cont'd)**

**II. Board and Committee Membership (cont'd)**

**3. Discipline Appeals Board**

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT the following be appointed to the Discipline Appeals Board for 2010-11:

*Students*

Mr. Adam Heller, full-time undergraduate, Faculty of Law (current student governor)

Ms Min Hee Margaret Kim, full-time undergraduate, Faculty of Arts and Science, Trinity College (current student governor)

Ms Jemy Joseph, graduate, Faculty of Medicine (member of the Discipline Appeals Board from 2007-09)

*Teaching Staff*

Professor Elizabeth Cowper, Faculty of Arts and Science (Linguistics)

Dr. Chris Koenig-Woodyard, UTM (English) (member of the Discipline Appeals Board in 2007-08)

Dr. Roslyn Thomas-Long, Faculty of Arts and Science/OISE

**4. Advisory Committee on the University of Toronto Library System**

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT the following be appointed as the Board's representatives on the Advisory Committee on the University of Toronto Library System for 2010-11:

Professor Robert Gibbs, Faculty of Arts and Science (Philosophy)\*

Professor Alison Keith, Faculty of Arts and Science (Classics)\*

**20. Report of the Striking Committee (cont'd)**

**II. Board and Committee Membership (cont'd)**

**5. Committee for Honorary Degrees**

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT the following be recommended to the Governing Council for membership on the Committee for Honorary Degrees for 2010-2011:

***Administrative Staff***

Mrs. Bonnie Horne, Librarian\*

***Lay Members***

Mr. Harvey Botting\*

Mr. Geoff Matus\*

Mr. Thomas Rahilly\*

***Students***

Mr. Grant Gonzales, full-time undergraduate, Faculty of Arts and Science\*

Ms Jemy Joseph, graduate, Faculty of Medicine \*

***Teaching Staff***

Professor Gary Crawford, UTM (Anthropology)\*

Professor Miriam Diamond, Faculty of Arts and Science (Geography)\*

Professor Thomas Keymer, Faculty of Arts and Science (English)

Professor Elizabeth Smyth, OISE (Curriculum, Teaching, and Learning)\*

The meeting adjourned at 5:35 p.m.

---

Secretary  
June 4, 2010

---

Chair