

OFFICE OF THE VICE PRESIDENT & PROVOST

TO:	Committee on Academic Policy and Programs
SPONSOR: CONTACT INFO:	Edith Hillan edith.hillan@utoronto.ca
DATE:	February 12, 2009
AGENDA ITEM:	4

ITEM IDENTIFICATION: Reviews of Academic Units and Programs 2007-08– Annual Report Part I: Provostial Reviews

JURISDICTIONAL INFORMATION:

The Committee is the point of entry into governance for reports on the results of academic reviews commissioned by academic administrators. The role of the Committee is to ensure that the reviews are done, that an appropriate process is being used, that adequate documentation is provided and consultations are undertaken, and that issues identified in the review are addressed by the administration.

The compendium of review summaries is forwarded, together with the record of the Committee's discussion, to the Agenda Planning committee of the Academic Board, which determines whether there are any issues of general academic import warranting discussion at the Board level. The same documentation is sent to the Executive Committee of the Governing Council for information.

HIGHLIGHTS:

As per the *Policy for Assessment and Review of Academic Programs and Units*¹, reviews are important mechanisms of accountability for the University. Academic leaders commission regular reviews of academic divisions when principals, deans or chairs reach the end of their terms. The reviews of our academic programs are critical in ensuring the quality of our programs through vigorous and consistent processes. The reviews also inform the search for a new dean or chair and are an integral part of the academic planning process.

The full annual report includes twenty-nine summaries of reviews and administrative responses of units and programs commissioned by the University in the 2007-08 academic year. Three review reports commissioned by the Vice-President and Provost are included: Faculty of Arts and Science, Faculty of Information, and Leslie Dan Faculty of Pharmacy.² The overall assessments of these units and their academic programs were positive with future challenges and opportunities highlighted.

These reports compliment the University's Performance Indicators and other institution-wide quantitative measures of our performance towards key goals and compares that performance to its peers.

FINANCIAL AND/OR PLANNING IMPLICATIONS:

There are no new/additional financial resources required.

RECOMMENDATION:

For Information.

49920

¹ http://www.governingcouncil.utoronto.ca/Assets/Policies/PDF/reviewpgm.pdf

² The full review reports are presented to Committee members along with the compendium summaries.