



**TO: Members of the UTM Campus Affairs Committee**

**FROM: Mark Overton, Dean of Student Affairs**

**DATE: January 31, 2022**

**SUBJECT: Advice from Quality Service to Students (QSS) on Operating Plans and Fees: UTM Student Services**

At the Quality Service to Students (QSS) meeting held on January 25, 2022, the administration made three proposals on Compulsory Non-Academic Incidental Fees associated with the UTM campus, consistent with the terms of the Protocol. A summary of the votes on these is provided below for the information of members of the Campus Affairs Committee (CAC) and Campus Council (CC).

### **1) Health Services Fee**

Proposed Resolution:

*Be it resolved,*

*THAT the 2022-23 operating plans and budget for Health Services\*, as presented to QSS, be approved; and that the sessional fee for a full-time student on the UTM campus be unchanged at \$60.15 (\$12.03 for a part-time student),*

*\*This includes health services support-related portions of the Centre for Student Engagement's Student Life Initiatives budget.*

The vote on the resolution was as follows:

In favour: 13 (including 7 students)

Opposed: 0 (including 0 students)

Abstentions: 0 (including 0 students)

### **Resolution Passed**

*In consideration of the advice of QSS, and pursuant to the terms of the Protocol, the administration is presenting plans to the CAC and CC which include a request for no change in this fee.*

### **2) Athletics & Recreation Fee**

Proposed Resolution:

*Be it resolved:*

*THAT the 2022-23 operating plans and budget for Recreation & Athletics, as presented to QSS, be approved; and that the sessional fee for a full-time student on the UTM campus be unchanged at \$205.88 (\$41.18 for a part-time student).*



The vote on the resolution was as follows:  
In favour: 7 (including 1 student)  
Opposed: 6 (including 6 students)  
Abstentions: 0 (including 0 students)

### **Resolution Failed**

*In consideration of the advice of QSS, and pursuant to the terms of the Protocol, the administration is presenting plans to the CAC which include no change to fees. This unchanged fee was not supported by QSS, but is within the range allowable under the Protocol.*

### **3) Student Services Fee**

Prior to consideration of the Student Services Fee request, QSS members were invited to provide comments and to vote in straw polls on the subcomponents of the Student Services Fee, to better provide feedback to those operations and governance. Comments are noted when offered.

On the proposal offered on the **Transit**, which supports the Shuttle Bus service, QSS's vote on the straw poll was as follows:

In favour: 13  
Opposed: 0

On the proposal offered on **Academic Support**, which includes academic support-related portions of International Education Centre and the Centre for Student Engagement's Student Life Initiatives, QSS's vote on the straw poll was as follows:

In favour: 7  
Opposed: 6

*A student voting member again expressed concern with seeking fee increases in light of pandemic-related student income and support challenges.*

On the proposal offered on the **Career Services**, which includes the Career Centre and career-related portions of International Education Centre and Centre for Student Engagement's Student Life Initiatives, QSS's vote on the straw poll was as follows:

In favour: 6  
Opposed: 6

On the proposal offered on the **International Student Support**, QSS's vote on the straw poll was as follows:

In favour: 11  
Opposed: 0

On the proposal offered on **Child Care Support**, QSS's vote on the straw poll was as follows:

In favour: 13  
Opposed: 0

On the proposal offered on **Family Care**, QSS's vote on the straw poll was as follows:

In favour: 13  
Opposed: 0



On the proposal offered on **Student Buildings**, which is for space occupied by student organizations, QSS's vote on the straw poll was as follows:

In favour: 7  
Opposed: 6

On the proposal offered on **Student Clubs and Funds**, which includes portions of International Education Centre and Centre for Student Engagement's Student Life Initiatives, QSS's vote on the straw poll was as follows:

In favour: 7  
Opposed: 6

On the proposal offered on **Student Handbook & Communications**, QSS's vote on the straw poll was as follows:

In favour: 13  
Opposed: 0

On the proposal offered on **Alcohol Education & Monitoring**, QSS's vote on the straw poll was as follows:

In favour: 13  
Opposed: 0

Proposed Resolution:

*Be it resolved:*

*THAT the 2022-22 operating plans and budget for the Student Services Fee, as presented to QSS, be approved; and that the sessional fee for a full-time student on the UTM campus be increased to \$214.67 (\$42.93 for a part-time student), which represents an increase of \$4.31 (\$0.86 for a part-time student) per session or 2.05% from the previous year.*

The vote on the Student Services Fee resolution was as follows:

In favour: 7 (including 1 student)  
Opposed: 6 (including 6 students)  
Abstentions: 0 (including 0 students)

### **Resolution Failed**

*The administration is presenting plans to the CAC which include a request for a permanent fee increase. This fee increase was not supported by QSS, but is within the range allowable under the Protocol as a permanent increase, as it is less than the lesser of the CPI or UTI.*