

### Where are we now

#### I Quiet Phas

- Workin wit academi colleague universit t establis fundin priorities goal
- Plannin parameter o campaign suc a goa
- Gatherin gi support
- Recruitin leadershi
- Aimin at ubli Launc mid-fal
  - o Announcement celebrator event an activitie
  - o Broade alumn outreac
  - o Testin a oa o \$1. billio t \$2. billion launche



### Where are we coming from

### Previous campaign

- Finished December 200
- Goal began around \$250 to \$300 million; raised several times through campaign; closed at \$1 billion
- Took U of T from < \$20m/yr to about \$100m/y</li>

#### Sinc

- Averaged \$140m/yr (\$163m/yr with philanthropic research grants)
- Recession: lingering impact
- Philanthropic recovery lagging economic recovery



# What's different this time round?

#### Then

- Central academic planning process
- Large pool of donors and alumni largely untapped to that point
- Major gift philanthropy in university sector relatively ne
- Little institutional competition
- Largely centrally driven: relatively few "askers"
- Started with "soft" goal of \$300 million with several rises before declaring \$1 billion



# What's different this time round?

#### Now

- Asynchronous academic planning process
- Much more competitive environment
- Donors expect more; more sophisticated
- We are seeking a much larger goal
- Nee more people involve
- Need more sophisticated teams and approaches
- Need to broaden and deepen cultivation efforts across the board
- Expanded role for academic leaders
- Expanded role of volunteer leaders to extend reach, lift sights



# What's different this time round

- Motivations of donor are changing over tim
- Approach to developing our cases for support and presentation of initiatives evolving as well







### Campaign models

#### Traditional model

- Inventory of highest priority capital needs
  Inventory of desired endowed faculty positions
- Financial objective for student aid (merit, need graduate, undergraduate)
- Objective for alumni participation rates
- Objective for annual giving growth



### Campaign models

 Thematic approach: looks for the most exciting and promising intersections of divisional academic plans with institutional strategy, and with ideas of meaningful change and consequence



- Defined a conceptual and organizational framework for campaign's academic foundation
- Result of extensive and ongoing consultations with principals, deans, and advancement leaders in all divisions
- Feb 2010 Campaign Seminars for Academic Leaders (P&D and PDAD&C members)
- Sept 2010 Retreat with P&D
- Nov 2011 session with PDAD&C
- Ongoing consultations with academic leaders

- First, broad themes provid narrative context for U of T's global leadership and aspirations
- Second, specific marquee initiatives/big ideas rise to the fore as featured priorities in a University-wide case, where there can be a legitimate claim to excellence, leadership in a global context.
- Third a special focus on student life and learnin Fourth, cross-university matching initiatives for new faculty, financial aid, possibly new alumni



### Building Global Leadership Capaci

#### Meeting Global Challenge

- Knowledge and Meaning
- Invention and Innovation
- Human Development and Health
- Energy, Sustainability and the Environment
- Successful Societies

#### **Preparing Global Citizen**

- Access and Opportunity
- Global Fluency
- Shaping the U of T Experienc



- A campaign planning framework that speaks to where major philanthropy is headed:
  - A thematic framework, aligning biggest ideas with areas of greatest global leadership and potential often cross-divisional in nature
  - Realizes that complex problems require solutions that cross traditional boundaries of disciplines and borders and often lever multiple partnerships
  - Policy/issue driven donors seeking major, measurable multiplying impact on the societal issues they are passionate about.



# Campaig Steering Committe

 Advising on goal and financial capacity leadership recruitment, valuations, timing external case for support



## **Building momentum**

- Moving on several fronts simultaneously
  - Finalizing academic plans, priorities, divisional goals
  - Defining the case, brand and marketing platform
  - Testing the case
  - Recruiting Campaign leadership
  - Validating Gifts from Leadership donors
- All build momentum, anticipation, involvement; create a compelling, winning platform; inculcate belief, confidence



# Why a U of T campaign

To have meaningful impact on the defining challenges of the 21st century

- To develop the talent, leadership and answers for these challenges
- To drive the University's leadership in a global context in key areas of reputational strength and consequence
- To contribute meaningfully to Canada's innovation agenda and to the betterment of local, national and international communities
- To develop leaders with global fluency and experience
- To build the next generation of faculty leadership
- To strengthen access and opportunity



# Vision for U of T's next campaign

- Establish U of T as a primary destination for philanthropic volunteer and advancement leadership
- Achieve renewed and reinvigorated sense of pride and ownership among a broad, diversified base of alumni
- Broaden and strengthen awareness of the U of T brand along an axis of differentiation and excellence.
- Transform student engagement with alumni and as future alumni
- Improve our resource base, and reduce existing shortfalls in faculty and facilities, deliver tangible gains in student life and learning
- Achieve sustainable annual results of \$200 million+ annually; secure 150,000+ gifts; 100,000+ alumni gifts

