

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

THE GOVERNING COUNCIL

REPORT NUMBER 107 OF THE ACADEMIC BOARD

June 7th, 2001

To the Governing Council,
University of Toronto.

Your Board reports that it held a meeting on Thursday, June 7th, 2001 at 4:10 p.m. in the Council Chamber, Simcoe Hall. An attendance list is attached to this report. In this report, items 4 to 15 and 20.f. are recommended to Governing Council for approval and the remaining items are reported for information.

Chair's Remarks

The Chair noted that a number of items were available at the door and he hoped members had obtained copies of those items.

1. Report of the Previous Meeting

The report of the previous meeting, dated May 3rd, 2001, was approved.

2. Business Arising from the Report of the Previous Meeting

Item 15: Items for Information

(a) Report of the Vice-President and Provost, (iii) Department of Psychiatry

Professor Love, President of the University of Toronto Faculty Association (UTFA), referred to the report the administration had made on this matter at the last meeting of the Board. She said that the administration had described what had happened with the appointment of Dr. David Healy to the Centre for Addiction and Mental Health (C.A.M.H.) as the rescinding of an offer. She suggested that an offer had been made and accepted and that this resulted in a contract, the "primary instrument" for carrying out the affiliation agreement between the University and the affiliated institution through the "joint appointment of their staffs." (Quotations from the affiliation agreement between C.A.M.H. and the University.)

She recalled that the administration had stated that Dr. Healy had been offered the position of clinical director; however, he had also been offered the position of professor. The administration emphasized the "status only" nature of the appointment but was also on record as saying that these faculty had all the rights and privileges of all other faculty members.

Professor Love said that the administration had emphasized that the University was a "secondary" player but, in her view, the University and C.A.M.H. were joined by an affiliation agreement which required the parties to respect the staff appointment policies of each other. She noted that the Policy and Procedures on Academic Appointments was a frozen policy under the Memorandum of Agreement. There were no provisions in that Policy for rescinding offers or revoking contracts. A professor, even a clinical professor, could only be dismissed for cause. UTFA wondered what the cause was in this case. UTFA had asked for a joint independent committee to look into the matter. Professor Love expected to hear from the administration shortly and she hoped the response would be positive.

3. Report Number 93 of the Agenda Committee

The report was presented for information.

A member referred to information on three matters which had been addressed in the Agenda Committee report - the botany greenhouses, Pennsylvania State University and the report on accessibility.

4. Administrative Priorities Fund and Administrative Transitional Fund: Allocations - Various

(arising from Report Number 72 of the Planning and Budget Committee)

Professor Mock said that the proposed allocations addressed costs associated with changes in the senior administrative structure, some of which had been covered by one-time-only funding in the past. The Planning and Budget Committee recognized the strong support being provided to the academic areas by the central administrative functions in Simcoe Hall and strongly endorsed these allocations.

A member asked what effect, if any, these allocations would have on departmental budgets and possible budget reductions. Professor Sedra noted that this funding was not new funding, an allocation had been made to the Administrative Priorities Fund (AdPF) in the 2000-01 budget. The AdPF had also been supplemented by the 1.5% budget reductions imposed for four years on administrative departments. The fund was then being allocated to various portfolios in the same manner as the academic priorities and transition funds were allocated to academic divisions.

On a motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT the following allocations from the Administrative Priorities Fund (AdPF) and the Administrative Transition Funds (AdTF) be approved:

President: \$407,815 in base from the AdPF;
Vice-President, Government and Institutional Relations: \$169,978 in base from the AdPF;
Vice-President, Administration and Human Resources: \$538,874 in base from the AdPF;
Vice-President, Research and International Relations: \$209,553 in base from the AdPF and \$250,000 one-time-only from the AdTF;
Vice-President, Development and University Relations: \$91,491 in base from the AdPF;
Vice-President, Business Affairs: \$277,000 in base from the AdPF and \$143,000 OTO from the AdTF;
Chief Financial Officer: \$71,188 in base from the AdPF;
Office of the Governing Council: \$41,634 in base from the AdPF and \$59,600 one-time-only from the AdTF;
Internal Audit: \$9,083 in base from the AdPF.

Documentation is attached hereto as Appendix "A".

5. **University Infrastructure Investment Fund: Allocation - Faculty of Arts and Science, Magellan Telescopes**
(arising from Report Number 72 of the Planning and Budget Committee)

Professor Mock reported that the University, through its Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, has been offered the unique opportunity to collaborate with the Carnegie Institute of Washington in the Magellan Telescopes Project in Chile. The project had already received funding from the Ontario Innovation Trust and it was ideally suited to qualify for funding from one of the Canada Foundation for Innovation's international competitions. A CFI application was underway but funding would have to be committed immediately, with the first payment due this month. There was every reason to believe that the CFI application would be successful, in which case the UIIF would be reimbursed.

On a motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT an allocation of up to \$2.7 million be approved from the University Infrastructure Investment Fund (UIIF) to the Faculty of Arts and Science for access to the Magellan Telescopes at the Observatories of the Carnegie Institute of Washington with the understanding that the funding will be returned to the UIIF if the Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI) application for this project is successful.

Documentation is attached hereto as Appendix "B".

6. **University Infrastructure Investment Fund: Allocation - University of Toronto at Scarborough**
(arising from Report Number 72 of the Planning and Budget Committee)

Professor Mock noted that this proposed allocation arose out of the discontinuation of the direct flow to the University of Toronto at Scarborough of its share of current service pension cost savings under Responsibility Centered Budgeting.

On a motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT an allocation of \$1,153,550 be approved from the University Infrastructure Investment Fund to the University of Toronto at Scarborough for commitments made under the Responsibility Centre Management where funding was budgeted from current service pension cost savings beyond 2000-01.

Documentation is attached hereto as Appendix "C".

7. **Faculty of Medicine, Faculty of Pharmacy: Institute for Drug Research - Establishment**
(arising from Report Number 72 of the Planning and Budget Committee)

Professor Mock said that the Institute for Drug Research would have the capabilities of a Department engaged in teaching, research and making faculty appointments. This was an excellent initiative to combine the resources of two Faculties and bring benefit to both.

7. **Faculty of Medicine, Faculty of Pharmacy: Institute for Drug Research - Establishment** (cont'd)

On a motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT the Institute for Drug Research (IDR) be established and that it report to the Deans of the Faculties of Medicine and Pharmacy.

Documentation is attached hereto as Appendix "D".

8. **University Infrastructure Investment Fund: Faculty of Medicine - Allocation**
(arising from Report Number 72 of the Planning and Budget Committee)

Professor Mock explained that the administrative offices of the Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, must be relocated because of renovations to and changes within the University Health Network. The proposed new space would allow the Department to remain in an advantageous location and maintain the same rental costs.

On a motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT an allocation of \$400,000 be approved from the University Infrastructure Investment Fund to fund the design and implementation costs required to establish the administrative offices of the Department of Medicine within the University Health Network. This cost includes construction plus professional fees, signage and window coverings and all relevant taxes.

Documentation is attached hereto as Appendix "E".

9. **Capital Project: Child Care Facility, St. George Campus - Users' Committee Report**
University Infrastructure Investment Fund: Allocation
(arising from Report Number 72 of the Planning and Budget Committee)

Professor Mock noted that the Report of the Users' Committee on Child Care Facilities, Phase 1, recommended the relocation of Nancy's and Margaret Fletcher centres to the Glen Morris site, where they would be linked administratively to Kidspace but maintain independent operations. Phase 2 would focus on the remaining facilities.

On a motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT the Users' Committee Report for the planning and construction of the Child Care Facility on Glen Morris Street on the St. George campus at the University of Toronto, a copy of which is attached hereto as Appendix "F", be approved in principle;

THAT the project scope as identified in the Users' Committee Report be approved in principle at a cost of \$4.3 million; and

THAT an allocation of \$4.3 million from the University Infrastructure Investment Fund be approved to address the complete cost of the Child Care facility on Glen Morris Street.

**10. Capital Project: 500 University Avenue - Users' Committee Report
University Infrastructure Investment Fund: Allocation**
(arising from Report Number 72 of the Planning and Budget Committee)

Professor Mock reported that the University recently purchased the building at 500 University Avenue with the view to bringing together the rehabilitation sciences into a single, more suitable location. The Users' Committee had worked hard to bring this first recommendation forward, which would allow the architectural design phase to proceed.

On a motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT the Users' Committee Report for the planning, renovation and related construction required to convert 500 University Avenue into suitable accommodation to house the academic programs in rehabilitation medicine, a copy of which is attached hereto as Appendix "G", be approved in principle;

THAT the project scope as outlined in Phase 1: Architectural Design Phase and Continuing Education, be approved at a cost of \$700,000; and,

THAT an allocation of \$700,000 from the University Infrastructure Investment Fund to fund Phase 1 of the 500 University Avenue project be approved.

11. University Infrastructure Investment Fund: Allocation - Gerstein Science Information Centre, Phase 2
(arising from Report Number 72 of the Planning and Budget Committee)

Professor Mock said that the details of the additional cost for Phase 2 of the Gerstein Science Information Centre had been presented to the Business Board, which had recommended proceeding. Planning and Budget recommended approval of the allocation from the University Infrastructure Investment Fund.

On a motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT an allocation of up to \$1.83 million from the University Infrastructure Investment Fund be approved to fund the increased costs of Phase 2 of the Gerstein Science Information Centre.

Documentation is attached hereto as Appendix "H".

**12. Capital Project: Communications, Culture and Information Technology Building
Users' Committee Report**
(arising from Report Number 72 of the Planning and Budget Committee)

Professor Mock explained that the plan for a new building of 5,763 net assignable square metres was consistent with the Master Plan of the University of Toronto at Mississauga and would begin to address the need for additional academic space as the enrolment increases.

12. **Capital Project: Communications, Culture and Information Technology Building Users' Committee Report** (cont'd)

On a motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT the Users' Committee Report for the planning and construction of the Communications, Culture and Information Technology Building (CCIT) at the University of Toronto at Mississauga (UTM), a copy of which is attached hereto as Appendix "T", be approved in principle;

THAT the project scope as identified in the Users' Committee Report be approved at a cost of \$34.672 million with initial funding from SuperBuild plus interest (\$16.486 million), City of Mississauga (\$3.5 million), Access to Opportunities Program (\$0.450 million), Ontario Innovation Trust (\$1.2 million), and the University Infrastructure Investment Fund (\$2.5 million); and

THAT an allocation of \$2.5 million from the University Infrastructure Investment Fund towards the construction of the Communications, Culture and Information Technology Building be approved.

13. **Policy on Capital Planning and Capital Projects**
(arising from Report Number 72 of the Planning and Budget Committee)

Professor Mock noted that the members of the Planning and Budget Committee had sometimes expressed the wish for a better understanding of the process and policy leading to approval of capital projects. This proposed new policy was a well-considered document that provided an updated framework within which capital planning would be undertaken, and it should provide a useful reference point for members.

A member noted that he would like to see the involvement of the divisional head at critical stages of the capital project and in particular he would like the divisional head to be able to approve the selection of the architects. Professor Venter explained that the project committee had significant representation on it from the division concerned and the division would "sign off" on a project before the report was submitted by the Provost to the Planning and Budget Committee for approval. He also explained that there would be procedural guidelines to cover the specific detail of capital project planning and execution. The member suggested that he would prefer to see the authority to approve the appointment of architects rest with the division head. Professor Venter referred to the role of the Design Review Committee in the selection of the architects but he noted that the head of the division could be a member of that group. The participation of the principal or dean would be a key element in the process. He also noted that the Policy would be amended after the administration had gained some experience in applying it.

A member referred to a checklist on accessibility issues and he asked how this had been incorporated in the new Policy. Professor Venter referred to Appendix A and noted that accessibility was an integral part of project design and was covered in the sections on site planning and building design. He said that the University would not plan a building without addressing issues of accessibility. Miss Oliver added that the working group on accessibility had identified other accessibility issues in addition to physical accessibility and that these formed part of the checklist. Barrier-free consultants would be part of every project.

13. **Policy on Capital Planning and Capital Projects** (cont'd)

On a motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT the Policy on Capital Planning and Capital Projects, a copy of which is attached hereto as Appendix J", be approved.

14. **Capital Project: Campus Master Plan - University of Toronto at Scarborough, MasterPlan 2001**

(arising from Report Number 72 of the Planning and Budget Committee)

Professor Mock commented that the University of Toronto at Scarborough had submitted an impressive plan that set the framework within which that campus would be able to move forward.

A member noted that the international interest in the architect, Mr. John Andrews, who had designed the science and humanities wings, should be considered fame and not notoriety as suggested in the plan.

On a motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT the University of Toronto at Scarborough Master Plan 2001, a copy of which is attached hereto as Appendix "K", be approved in principle.

15. **Academic Priorities Fund: Allocation - Knowledge Media Design Institute**

(arising from Report Number 72 of the Planning and Budget Committee)

Professor Mock reported that the Knowledge Media Design Institute had been funded on a one-time-only basis for the past three years, pending the development of a plan for a graduate studies program. A proposal for a collaborative program was now working its way through the various approval processes.

A member asked what programs the Institute offered and which departments were involved in the collaborative programs. Professor Marrus explained that the Institute was one in the School of Graduate Studies. The collaborative programs dealt with the application of technology to the dissemination of knowledge. They would have an impact on education and on the design of new technology. Departments in OISE/U.T., the Faculty of Architecture, Landscape, and Design, the Department of Computer Science in the Faculty of Arts and Science, and departments in Applied Science and Engineering were involved.

On a motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT additional Academic Priorities Fund (APF) allocations for the Knowledge Media Design Institute be approved in support of the Institute's Collaborative Masters' and Doctoral Program, subject to the program receiving all necessary approvals. The funding will flow from the APF as \$96,000 one-time-only for 2001-02 and \$180,000 in base in 2002-03. The \$180,000 base allocation replaces the \$60,000 one-time-only allocations previously approved for 2002-03 and 2003-04.

Documentation is attached hereto as Appendix "L".

16. Summer Executive Authority

On a motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT between the last meeting of the Academic Board and the first meeting in the next academic session, proposals for approval of academic administrative appointments to be made under Summer Executive Authority be recommended to the President on behalf of the Academic Board by a subgroup consisting of the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Board, the Vice-President and Provost and the student member of the Agenda Committee.

17. Items for Information**(a) Report of the Vice-President and Provost****(i) Provincial Announcement of Funding**

Professor Sedra gave a powerpoint presentation of the highlights of the recent provincial budget and what it would mean for the University in terms of funding the expected enrolment growth. (A copy of the presentation is attached hereto as Appendix "M".)

The highlights of the provincial announcement included:

- full average funding for all enrolment growth
- \$220 million in base funding for all universities by 2003-04 (\$25.8 million for 2001-02)
- \$100 million for facilities renewal in 2001-02
- no inflation provision for 2001-02 (and no announcement about an inflation provision for 2002-03 and 2003-04)

A member referred to Professor Sedra's comment about the Faculty of Pharmacy and the demand for pharmacists in Ontario. Professor Sedra indicated that it would be better to concentrate limited provincial resources in one school than to spread the resources thinly. In any event, the Faculty of Pharmacy should be the best it could be.

A member said that the expansion at the universities was the result of the public's request for more spaces. He said there was a serious problem with the corridor funding scheme. Some tuition fees were almost the same level as the funding provided by the provincial government. He suggested that the administration and student groups should cooperate to make the case to the government for more funding and reduced tuition. Professor Sedra welcomed the help from student groups but he noted that tuition fees were set by Governing Council and were at an appropriate level.

A member asked what funding had been provided for capital expenditures. Professor Sedra indicated that \$100 million had been provided for facilities renewal and that the University's share would be about \$13 million. The member noted that deferred maintenance was in excess of \$2 billion. Professor Sedra commented that Ontario's universities had been unable to convince the government to provide capital funding for enrolment expansion. The University of Toronto required about \$100 - \$120 million in addition to the funding already provided for the Communications, Culture and Information Technology building at UTM and the Academic Resource Centre at UTSc, both of which would accommodate some of the expected enrolment expansion. He said that the University would continue to lobby the government. He hoped to receive at least half the funding from the government and the rest from private sources. If the capital funding was not forthcoming, one option was to borrow it which would not leave

sufficient operating funds to support the quality of education the University strove to provide. Dr. Levy added that the recent construction on the campuses was funded by SuperBuild (at

17. Items for Information (cont'd)(a) Report of the Vice-President and Provost (cont'd)

(i) Provincial Announcement of Funding (cont'd)

50%) with the rest mainly from gifts and the Canada Foundation for Innovation. The goal was for the province to provide 50% of the cost and the fall-back position was a mortgage.

(ii) Appointments and Status Changes

A number of appointments, status changes and appointments as professor emeriti were presented for information. Professor Gooch noted two amendments to the list of status-only appointments.

(b) Items for Information in Report Number 89 of the Committee on Academic Policy and Programs

There were no questions on this report.

(c) Items for Information in Report Number 72 of the Planning and Budget Committee

There were no questions on this report.

(d) Report Number 256 of the Academic Appeals Committee

There were no questions on this report.

(e) Quarterly Donations Report

The quarterly report for February 1st, 2001 to April 30th, 2001 was circulated for information. There were no questions.

18. Other Business

A member asked who was responsible for the release of information about an individual student's timetable. He also asked about the status of the students who were being investigated in the Faculty of Law. He suggested that the role of the corporations in asking for marks that were not counted showed the effect of corporatization on the Faculty. Finally he asked whether the Faculty had done an evaluation of the efficacy of using LSAT results in the admissions process. He noted that a student had brought a case concerning the admissions practice to the Ontario Human Rights Commission. The Chair responded that the Agenda Committee had dealt with a number of the issues the member raised. Professor Sedra noted that the Ontario Human Rights Commission had refused to send the complaint to a Board of Inquiry.

Chair's Comments

The Chair expressed his appreciation to the members of the Board for their support and work during the past year, especially those whose terms were ending. He also thanked the Provost and the other assessors for the preparation and presentation of matters for the Board's consideration. He wished the Vice-Chair, Professor Vivek Goel, success in his new role as Vice-Provost, Faculty. He acknowledged the additional leadership role undertaken by the various chairs and vice-chairs of the committees including:

18. Other Business (cont'd)

Chair's Comments (cont'd)

Professors Ruth Gallop and Derek Allen from the Committee on Academic Policy and Programs,
Professors David Mock and Avrum Gotlieb from the Planning and Budget Committee, and
Professor Ralph Scane and Ms Bonnie Goldberg from Academic Appeals Committee.

The Board moved into closed session.

19. Academic Administrative Appointments

The following academic administrative appointments were approved:

Faculty of Applied Science and Engineering*Department of Chemical Engineering and Applied Chemistry*

Professor Douglas Reeve Chair from July 1, 2001 to June 30, 2006

Faculty of Dentistry

Professor David Mock Dean from July 1, 2001 to June 30, 2008

Faculty of Medicine*Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology*

Professor Jane Aubin Chair from July 1, 2001 to June 30, 2002
or until departmental restructuring is
complete (extension)

Department of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation

Professor Louise Lemieux-Charles Acting Chair from July 1, 2001 to
December 31, 2001

OISE/U.T.*Department of Adult Education, Community Development and Counselling Psychology*

Professor Lana Stermac Chair from July 1, 2001 to June 30, 2006

Department of Curriculum, Teaching and Learning

Professor Merl Wahlstrom Chair from July 1, 2001 to June 30, 2003
(extension)

Department of Theory and Policy Studies in Education

Professor Glen Jones Chair from July 1, 2001 to December 31,
2001 (extension)

19. Academic Administrative Appointments (cont'd)*Department of Theory and Policy Studies in Education* (cont'd)

Professor Nina Bascia

Chair from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2006

Woodsworth College

Professor Rona Abramovitch

Acting Principal from July 1, 2001 to December 31, 2001 or until appointment is made

20. Report of the Striking Committeea) Co-opted Membership of the Academic Board

All terms are for one year unless otherwise specified. A single asterisk (*) indicates a re-appointment.

A member noted that the Striking Committee process was undemocratic because the student member of the Committee was not elected.

On motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT the following be appointed as co-opted members of the Academic Board for 2001-02:

Administrative and Professional Staff

Ms B. Goldberg (3 years)

Alumni

Mr. B. Greenspan, UC

*Ms K. Stangeby, Engineering

Students*Full-time Undergraduate*

Mr. M. F. Ahmad, Innis

Ms R. Bhavnani, UC

Mr. J. Burnett, Trinity

*Mr. J. Hunter, Rotman School of Management/Law

Mr. J. Satkunasingham, Engineering

*Mr. A. Vered, Trinity

Part-time Undergraduate

*Ms M. Jackman, Woodsworth College

*Ms C. Seymour, Woodsworth College

*Ms S. Stringer, Woodsworth College

20. Report of the Striking Committee (cont'd)a) Co-opted Membership of the Academic Board (cont'd)*Graduate*

Mr. A. Chapnick, History

*Mr. M. Hycza, Biology Department, UTM

Ms S. Reichert, Exercise Studies

b) Membership of Committees of the Board

A single asterisk (*) indicates a re-appointment. A double asterisk (**) indicates that the appointment is subject to Governing Council approval. All terms begin July 1st, 2001.

On motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT the following be appointed to committees of the Board for 2001-02:

i) Agenda Committee*Student*

Mr. J. Hunter, f/t, L.L.B./M.B.A.

Teaching Staff

**Professor S. Desser, Faculty of Arts and Science (Zoology)

Professor P. Perron, Faculty of Arts and Science (French)

**governor appointments will have to be confirmed by the Governing Council

ii) Academic Appeals Committee

4 Acting Chairs:

*Ms B. Goldberg

Ms J. Kidner

Professor E. Morgan

*Professor Emeritus R. Scane

*Professor C. Barnes, Faculty of Arts and Science (Slavic)

*Professor C. Beghtol, Faculty of Information Studies

Professor G. Kerr, Faculty of Physical Education and Health

*Professor O. Pugliese, Faculty of Arts and Science (Italian)

iii) Committee on Academic Policy and Programs*Administrative and Professional Staff*

*Ms V. Melnyk, Faculty of Arts and Science

20. Report of the Striking Committee (cont'd)b) Membership of Committees of the Board (cont'd)*Students*

Ms R. Bhavnani, f/t undergraduate, University College
 Mr. A. Chapnick, graduate, Department of History
 Mr. J. Satkunasingham, f/t undergraduate, Engineering
 *Ms C. Seymour, p/t undergraduate, Woodsworth College
 Mr. A. Vered, f/t undergraduate, Trinity College

Teaching Staff

Professor M. Chipman, Faculty of Medicine (Public Health Science)
 Professor F. Cunningham, Innis College (Philosophy)
 *Professor J. Donaldson, Faculty of Arts and Science (Chemistry)
 *Professor R. Gallop, Faculty of Nursing (**Chair**)
 *Professor L. Howarth, Faculty of Information Studies
 Professor A. Jorgensen, Faculty of Medicine (Anatomy and Cell Biology)
 *Professor M. Marrus, School of Graduate Studies
 *Professor K. Murty, Faculty of Arts and Science (Mathematics) (**Vice-Chair**)
 Professor C. Regehr, Faculty of Social Work
 Professor R. Reisz, University of Toronto at Mississauga (Zoology)
 *Professor K. Rice, Faculty of Arts and Science (Linguistics)
 Professor A. Sheps, University of Toronto at Scarborough (Humanities)
 *Professor J. J. B. Smith, Faculty of Arts and Science (Zoology)

iv) Planning and Budget Committee*Teaching Staff*

*Dean C. Amrhein, Faculty of Arts and Science (Geography)
 Professor N. Bascia, OISE/UT
 Professor R. Cummins, UTM (Botany) (Vice-Chair**)
 *Professor A. Gotlieb, Faculty of Medicine (Lab. Med. and Pathobiology) (**Chair**)
 Professor M. Gotlieb, Faculty of Arts and Science (Fine Art)
 Professor P. Halpern, Rotman School of Management
 *Professor S. Horton, UTSc (Economics)
 *Dean B. Kidd, Faculty of Physical Education and Health
 *Principal R. McNutt, UTM
 *Dean D. Mock, Faculty of Dentistry

**governor appointments will have to be confirmed by the Governing Council

Additional members of the Agenda Planning Group

Professor P. Halpern, Rotman School of Management
 *Professor S. Horton, Faculty of Arts and Science (Economics)

20. Report of the Striking Committee (cont'd)c) Provost's Advisory Committee on the University of Toronto Library

A single asterisk (*) indicates a re-appointment.

On motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT the following be appointed as the Board's representatives on the Provost's Advisory Committee on the University of Toronto Library for 2001-2002:

*Professor B. Corman, Faculty of Arts and Science (English)
Professor M. Gotlieb, Faculty of Arts and Science (Fine Arts)

d) Discipline Appeals Board (cont'd)

A single asterisk (*) indicates a re-appointment.

On motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT the following be appointed to the Discipline Appeals Board for 2001-2002:

Students

*Mr. D. Kaplan, f/t undergraduate, Medicine
*Mr. J. Hunter, Rotman School of Management/Law
Ms S. Safa, Dentistry

Teaching Staff

Professor J. Browne, Faculty of Medicine
*Professor J. Mayhall, Faculty of Dentistry
*Professor L. Weinrib, Faculty of Law

e) Council of Ontario Universities - Academic Colleagues

On motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT the President's Academic Colleagues on COU for 2001-2002 be:

Professor P. Perron, Faculty of Arts and Science (French)
Professor R. Vipond, Faculty of Arts and Science (Political Science)
(alternate)

20. Report of the Striking Committee (cont'd)

f) Committee for Honorary Degrees

A single asterisk (*) indicates a re-appointment.

On motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT the following be recommended to the Governing Council for membership on the Committee for Honorary Degrees for 2001-2002:

Administrative Staff

*Dr. C. Alleyne

Lay Members

*Ms S. Scace

*Mr. L. Schipper

Mr. K. Taylor

Students

Mr. J. Burnett, f/t undergraduate, Trinity College

Dr. P. Fritz, Faculty of Dentistry

Teaching Staff

*University Professor M. Collins, Faculty of Applied Science and Engineering
(Civil)

*Professor B. Langille, Faculty of Law

Professor J. Paterson, Faculty of Arts and Science (French)

*Dr. Eliot Phillipson, Faculty of Medicine

The meeting adjourned at 5:50 p.m.

Secretary
June 8th, 2001

Chairman