

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
THE GOVERNING COUNCIL
REPORT NUMBER 423 OF
THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Monday, June 15, 2009

To the Governing Council,
University of Toronto.

Your Committee reports that it held a meeting on Monday, June 15, 2009 at 5:30 p.m. in the Boardroom, Simcoe Hall, with the following members present:

Mr. John F. (Jack) Petch (In the Chair)
Professor David Naylor, President
Professor Varouj Aivazian
Ms Susan Eng
Mr. Gerald Halbert
Mr. Joseph Mapa
Mr. Timothy Reid
Professor Arthur S. Ripstein
Professor Louise Lemieux-Charles

Non-Voting Member:

Mr. Louis R. Charpentier

Secretariat:

Ms Cristina Oke

Regrets:

Ms Diana A.R. Alli
Dr. Alice Dong
Mr. David Ford
Ms Judith Goldring
Mr. Grant Gonzales

In Attendance:

Dr. Claude Davis, Chair, University Affairs Board and Member of the Governing Council
Professor William Gough, Member of the Governing Council and Member of the Task Force on Governance
Professor Cheryl Misak, Vice-President and Provost, and Member of the Governing Council
Dr. Rose M. Patten, past Chair of the Governing Council and Chair of the Task Force on Governance
Dr. Anthony Gray, Special Advisor to the President

Add to the Agenda

With the agreement of members, an item concerning the Appointment to a Board was added to the agenda as Item 16.

1. Report of the Previous Meeting

Report Number 422 (May 12, 2009) of the Executive Committee was approved.

2. Business Arising from the Report of the Previous Meeting

There was no business arising from the report of the previous meeting.

3. Minutes of the Governing Council Meeting of May 20, 2009

Members received for information the minutes of the Governing Council meeting held on May 20, 2009.

4. Business Arising from the Minutes of the Governing Council Meeting

There was no business arising from the minutes of the Governing Council meeting.

5. Task Force on Governance – Update

The Chair welcomed Dr. Rose Patten, Chair of the Task Force on Governance, and Professor William Gough, a member of the Task Force, to the meeting. He reminded members that its Terms of Reference required the Task Force to provide periodic updates on its work. The first update had been provided to the Executive Committee in January 2009; this was the second update. The third update would be provided in December 2009. The Chair invited Dr. Patten to comment on the work of the Task Force.

Introduction

Dr. Patten explained that the intention of the update was to show the comprehensive and in-depth thinking of the Task Force to date, to receive general comments from the Executive Committee and to clarify any points that were unclear. The focus for the Executive Committee would be on the sections of the Confidential Consultation Draft entitled the Principles of Good Governance, the Mandate of Governance, and the Quality of Governors.

Dr. Patten indicated that, following this Committee's input, detailed proposals based on the current thinking and proposed directions of the Task Force would be refined over the summer by the Chair, Vice-Chair and members of the Secretariat for consideration by the Task Force and its Working Groups. Three tests would be applied to recommendations developed by the Task Force:

- 1) Will the recommendation improve governance?
- 2) Will the recommendation increase or reduce the workload of the administration?
- 3) Will the recommendation add value to the governance process or make the process more cumbersome?

Dr. Patten also acknowledged the presence of Task Force member Professor Gough, who was in attendance as the Vice-Chair, Dr. Vivek Goel had been unable to attend. She expressed her appreciation to members of the Task Force for their commitment and dedication.

Discussion

A member commented that, in his view, a fundamental principle was missing from the thoughtful and innovative report, specifically from page 13 concerning the Principles of Good Governance. The *University of Toronto Act* specified that twenty-five of the fifty members of the Governing Council would be external to the University: those appointed by the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council, those elected by the alumni, and the Chancellor of the University. These external governors would not be dependent on the University for employment, for tenure, or for academic marks (or subject to expulsion) as students. Other principles ought to be subsidiary to this core principle established in legislation by the Government of Ontario. He noted that the rationale for having half the governors from outside the University was to provide constructive and effective 'balance' to the perspectives, experiences, power, and control of those Governors drawn from within the University, both in decision making and the processes that led up to formal decisions. He expressed his hope that the Task Force would include this external:internal representation requirement as a principle of governance in its next draft report. Dr. Patten thanked the member for his comments. She indicated that the Task Force was very conscious of the principles embedded in the *Act* and was carefully considering them in their deliberations.

5. Task Force on Governance – Update (cont'd)

Discussion (cont'd)

A member commented that, in her view, external governors were often at the interface of the University and public policy. Alumni governors met with and mentored student governors who were enthusiastic and hoped to accomplish significant changes during their one-year terms. Alumni governors asked themselves whether they were achieving the purpose of improving governance during their terms of office.

A member commented that she had been surprised by what, in her view, had appeared to be the minimal role of the Academic Board as described in the report. Dr. Patten replied that the sections of the report to which the member referred described the current situation with respect to delegation of authority by the Academic Board to the Committee for Academic Policy and Programs, divisional councils, or the Agenda Committee, and the requirement for the Executive Committee to confirm decisions of the Academic Board because of the majority-of-governors requirement.¹ The President reminded members of the importance of the traditional academic senate role played by the Academic Board in the governance of the University, as decisions made by the Board were driven by academic priorities.²

Dr. Patten thanked members for their comments. The Chair invited members of the Executive Committee to provide any additional comments they had on the work of the Task Force via email.³

6. Report of the President

The President reported on the following matters.

(a) Federal Knowledge Infrastructure program

The President advised members that the University had received funding from the Federal Knowledge Infrastructure Program for three projects:

- \$70 million for an Instructional Centre at the University of Toronto at Mississauga (UTM);
- \$70 million for Phase 1A of the Instructional Centre at the University of Toronto at Scarborough (UTSC);
- \$11 million for the Innovation Centre for the Canadian Mining Industry in the Faculty of Applied Science and Engineering on the St. George campus. (The President noted that an additional \$9 million had been pledged by a benefactor to meet the total cost of this project.)

The University was pleased and gratified with this announced funding. As these projects needed to be “materially complete” by March 2011, the University was moving ahead quickly with them. The University was also continuing to press federal and provincial governments on funding and the importance of quality. The President commented that the recently announced funding dovetailed, in part, with the capital review process undertaken separately by the province, and that he was optimistic about the University’s chances for additional funding from Ontario as that latter process continued.

¹ *University of Toronto Act*, Section 2 (14) (e) appoint committees and delegate thereto power and authority to act for the Governing Council with respect to any matter or class of matters, provided that where power and authority to act for the Governing Council are delegated, a majority of the members of the committee shall be members of the Governing Council;

² As noted in its Terms of Reference, the Academic Board is concerned with matters affecting the teaching, learning and research functions of the University, the establishment of University objectives and priorities, the development of long-term and short-term plans and the effective use of resources in the course of these pursuits.

³ Comments may be sent to the Secretary of the Task Force on Governance: l.charpentier@utoronto.ca.

6. Report of the President (cont'd)**(b) Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy (FIPPA)**

The President commented that he had received a draft of the annual report of the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Office. The report would be submitted to the Information and Privacy Commissioner of Ontario before the end of June. The President noted that it was an excellent report. He advised that the University continued to respond quickly and ably to the legislation and that the University was working actively and productively with the Information and Privacy Commissioner.

(c) Festival of Excellence

The President noted that the Festival of Excellence held on June 11 at the Varsity Centre, the University's first international track and field event in decades, had been a wonderful success. It was a celebration of athletic brilliance and a showcase for excellence at the University of Toronto. The athletes, led by Usain Bolt, put on an extraordinary show. The Varsity Centre and the John L. Davenport Track accommodated the event effectively. The President also reported that the one-minute video, including interviews with University of Toronto students, which was aired during the TSN broadcast had been a huge success.

(d) Convocation Season

The President reminded members that the final convocation ceremony would be held on June 19, 2009, and encouraged those who had not participated in convocation to attend one of the remaining ceremonies.

7. Items for Confirmation by the Executive Committee**(a) Constitution: University of Toronto at Mississauga**

(Arising from Report Number 163 of the Academic Board [June 1, 2009]- Item 5)

Professor Lemieux-Charles explained that the proposed revisions to the constitution of the University of Toronto at Mississauga (UTM) were relatively minor. In general, they reflected name changes for various UTM organizations and provided more consistency in the text throughout the document. The amended constitution had been approved by the Erindale College Council (ECC) on April 14, 2009.

At the Academic Board meeting, a member had pointed out that the Erindale Part-time Undergraduate Students (EPUS) organization no longer existed, and for that reason, the EPUS President should not be listed as member of the ECC in the constitution. The member had suggested that the Board amend the constitution to state that a member of the Association of Part-time Undergraduate Students (APUS) be granted a seat on the ECC. In response, Mr. Devin Krueger, Acting Director of Governance, UTM, had explained that it was anticipated that EPUS would be re-established in the coming year. However, if that was not the case, the matter would be revisited.

Professor Marrus had stated that it would not be appropriate for the Academic Board to amend the constitution. Rather, UTM should be permitted to address the concerns that had been raised. He had explained that members could vote against the motion if they so chose.

The motion had been approved by a majority of Board members.

7. Items for Confirmation by the Executive Committee (cont'd)

(a) Constitution: University of Toronto at Mississauga (cont'd)

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried,

YOUR COMMITTEE CONFIRMED THE DECISION OF THE ACADEMIC BOARD

THAT the amended Constitution of the Erindale College Council (ECC - University of Toronto at Mississauga), which was approved by the ECC on April 14, 2009, be approved.

Documentation is attached to Report Number 163 of the Academic Board as Appendix “A”.

(b) Constitution: Faculty of Physical Education and Health

(Arising from Report Number 163 of the Academic Board [June 1, 2009]- Item 6)

Professor Lemieux-Charles noted that the proposed amendments to the Faculty of Physical Education and Health’s (PE&H) constitution were more substantive than those to the UTM constitution. The changes were intended to clarify definitions, intentions, and practices within the Faculty and had been approved by the Council of the Faculty of Physical Education and Health on May 21, 2009.

A member had raised a number of questions about the proposed amendments to the Constitution. Professor Marrus had explained that many of the changes had been made to align the Constitution with those of other divisions, while some reflected standard definitions of constituencies within the University. The detailed responses provided by Dean Kidd were summarized on page 4 of the Academic Board Report Number 163 (<http://www.governingcouncil.utoronto.ca/bac/ab/2008.htm>). One member had called for student parity on divisional councils, but members had been assured that students were well-represented on both the UTM and PE&H Councils and their committees.

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried,

YOUR COMMITTEE CONFIRMED THE DECISION OF THE ACADEMIC BOARD

THAT the amended Constitution of the Council of the Faculty of Physical Education and Health, which was approved by the Faculty Council on May 21, 2009, be approved.

Documentation is attached to Report Number 163 of the Academic Board as Appendix “B”.

8. Items for Endorsement and Forwarding to the Governing Council

(a) School of Graduate Studies and Faculty of Arts and Science: Proposal to Disestablish the Centre for Industrial Relations and Human Resources within the School of Graduate Studies and Re-establish it in the Faculty of Arts and Science as an EDU:A

(Arising from Report Number 163 of the Academic Board [June 1, 2009]- Item 7)

Professor Lemieux-Charles explained that the Centre for Industrial Relations and Human Resources (CIRHR) housed a small research-based doctoral program and a professional masters program. It collaborated with a number of units within the Faculty of Arts and Science, especially with Woodsworth College’s Employment Relations undergraduate program. This proposal was for the disestablishment of the CIRHR within the School of Graduate Studies and its re-establishment in the Faculty of Arts and Science as an extra-departmental unit A (EDU:A).

8. Items for Endorsement and Forwarding to the Governing Council (cont'd)**(a) School of Graduate Studies and Faculty of Arts and Science: Proposal to Disestablish the Centre for Industrial Relations and Human Resources within the School of Graduate Studies and Re-establish it in the Faculty of Arts and Science as an EDU:A (cont'd)**

Extensive consultation within the CIRHR had occurred, and there was strong support for the move. As with other moves which had been approved previously, the administration and operating budget of CIRHR would be transferred to the Faculty of Arts and Science.

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried,

YOUR COMMITTEE ENDORSED AND FORWARDED to the Governing Council for consideration the recommendation

THAT the Centre for Industrial Relations and Human Resources be disestablished as an academic unit in the School of Graduate Studies and re-established as an extra-departmental unit A (EDU:A) within the Faculty of Arts and Science, effective July 1, 2009.

Documentation is attached to Report Number 163 of the Academic Board as Appendix “C”.

(b) Faculty of Medicine: Proposal for a Bachelor of Science Physician Assistant Program (BScPA) and Memorandum of Understanding with the Northern Ontario School of Medicine and the Michener Institute for the BScPA

(Arising from Report Number 163 of the Academic Board [June 1, 2009]- Item 8)

Professor Lemieux-Charles advised members that the Faculty of Medicine was proposing the creation of a Bachelor of Science Physician Assistant Program which would be offered with the Michener Institute for Applied Health Sciences and the Northern Ontario School of Medicine (NOSM). This full-time, professional, second-entry, undergraduate degree program was designed to provide training for mid-level health care professionals to offer appropriate services under the supervision of a licensed physician. The program was being developed in response to the healthcare shortages in Canada, at the invitation of the Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care, and it was consistent with the Faculty's mission, vision, and strategic plan. Extensive consultation had taken place across the University.

Instruction in the twenty-four month program would be provided through distance and distributed learning, complemented by group meetings and clinical placements. At least half of the students would complete part of their practicum in a NOSM-affiliated community, where it was expected they would live during their rotations. It was hoped that having conducted their training in northern sites, graduates would be more likely to establish their practices in those communities.

Some questions had been raised at the Committee on Academic Policy and Programs and the Academic Board meetings about the difference between nurse practitioners and physician assistants (PAs). It was explained that nurse practitioners were independently licensed practitioners operating within a very well defined, regulated scope of practice, whereas PAs operated under the supervision of a physician. As well, nurse practitioners who trained at the University of Toronto completed a graduate degree program or a post-graduate diploma program, whereas PAs would complete a second-entry undergraduate degree program.

At the Academic Board meeting, Professor Verma had noted that while there were over 140 PA programs in the United States, this proposal would represent only the third such program in Canada.

8. Items for Endorsement and Forwarding to the Governing Council (cont'd)**(b) Faculty of Medicine: Proposal for a Bachelor of Science Physician Assistant Program (BScPA) and Memorandum of Understanding with the Northern Ontario School of Medicine and the Michener Institute for the BScPA (cont'd)**

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried,

YOUR COMMITTEE ENDORSED AND FORWARDED to the Governing Council for consideration the recommendation

- 1) THAT the proposed Bachelor of Science Physician Assistant (BScPA) program in the Department of Family and Community Medicine of the Faculty of Medicine be approved, with enrolment commencing January 2010; and,
- 2) THAT the Memorandum of Understanding between the University of Toronto, The Michener Institute for Applied Health Sciences and the Northern Ontario School of Medicine be approved, effective immediately.

Documentation is attached to Report Number 163 of the Academic Board as Appendix “D”.

(c) Policy on Emeritus / Emerita Status

(Arising from Report Number 163 of the Academic Board [June 1, 2009]- Item 9)

Professor Lemieux-Charles informed members that it was proposed that the *Policy on Emeritus/Emerita Status* replace the existing *Policy on Appointment of Professor Emeritus*. Currently, only full professors were permitted to use the “emeritus/emerita” qualifier on retirement. Under the proposed *Policy*, faculty and librarians who held continuing appointments in the University at the ranks of Professor, Associate Professor, Senior Lecturer, and Librarian would be able to hold the corresponding emeritus/a title upon retirement.

The proposal had been discussed at a meeting of the Principals, Deans, Academic Directors, and Chairs and with University of Toronto Faculty Association representatives, and it had received very positive support. No questions had been raised at the Academic Board meeting.

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried,

YOUR COMMITTEE ENDORSED AND FORWARDED to the Governing Council for consideration the recommendation

THAT the *Policy on Emeritus / Emerita Status* be approved, effective July 1, 2009; and
THAT the *Policy on Appointment of Professor Emeritus* (approved May 26, 2003) be rescinded.

Documentation is attached to Report Number 163 of the Academic Board as Appendix “E”.

(d) Capital Project: Project Planning Report for the University of Toronto at Mississauga Instructional Centre

(Arising from Report Number 163 of the Academic Board [June 1, 2009]- Item 10)

Professor Lemieux-Charles explained that the proposed University of Toronto at Mississauga (UTM) Instructional Centre capital project was designed to address the serious shortage of classroom facilities on that campus. UTM was currently at 60% of the space recommended by the Council of Ontario Universities (COU) space guidelines for classroom facilities, despite a 56% increase in undergraduate enrolment since 2002-03. This project was in compliance with UTM’s master plan, and if approved, the Instructional Centre would be located between the North Building and the Hazel McCallion Academic

8. Items for Endorsement and Forwarding to the Governing Council (cont'd)**(d) Capital Project: Project Planning Report for the University of Toronto at Mississauga Instructional Centre (cont'd)**

Learning Centre. Funding for the total project cost of \$70-million would be provided by the federal and provincial governments through the infrastructure program, and the project had to be materially complete by March, 2011.

In response to a question from a Board member, Professor Misak had explained that it was the University's intention to expand undergraduate enrolment at UTM and UTSC while maintaining or slightly decreasing undergraduate enrolment levels on the St. George campus.

Professor Ripstein noted that, at its meeting of April 27, the Business Board had approved the execution of this project, subject both to Governing Council approval in principle of the project and subject to the confirmation of government funding for the total project cost of \$70 million. This project had been submitted in response to anticipated government funding for "shovel ready" capital projects to stimulate the economy. The Office of the Vice-President and Provost had made available \$250,000 for this project to allow work to begin on it.

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried,

YOUR COMMITTEE ENDORSED AND FORWARDED to the Governing Council for consideration the recommendation

1. THAT the Project Planning Report for the University of Toronto at Mississauga Instructional Centre be approved in principle.
2. THAT the project scope, comprising 6,050 nasm (12,100 gsm.) at a total project cost of \$70,000,000 be approved, subject to receipt of funding.

Documentation is attached to Report Number 163 of the Academic Board as Appendix "F".

(e) Capital Project: Project Planning Report for the University of Toronto at Scarborough Instructional Centre Phase 1A

(Arising from Report Number 163 of the Academic Board [June 1, 2009]- Item 11)

Professor Lemieux-Charles stated that, as with UTM, the University of Toronto at Scarborough (UTSC) was also in dire need of classroom space. Enrolment had doubled over the past ten years, yet only about 62% of the COU space guidelines for overall facilities was available on that campus. If approved, this project would provide high quality classrooms, specialized data modeling and communication labs, and much-needed offices for faculty and graduate students. The University was very pleased that the federal and provincial governments would also provide \$70-million towards this project, and UTSC would provide the remaining \$8-million of the total \$78-million cost.

At the Planning and Budget (P&B) meeting, members had raised some questions about transportation to and accessibility of the site of the proposed building in the north end of campus. Ms Sisam had explained that the Project Planning Committee was discussing ways of providing greater accommodation for that location. There were no questions at the Academic Board meeting.

Professor Ripstein commented that, at its meeting of April 27, the Business Board had approved the execution of Phase 1 A of this project, subject both to Governing Council approval in principle of the project and subject to the confirmation of government funding of \$70 million in addition to the \$8 million that would be provided by UTSC. Again, this project had been submitted in response to anticipated

8. Items for Endorsement and Forwarding to the Governing Council (cont'd)**(e) Capital Project: Project Planning Report for the University of Toronto at Scarborough Instructional Centre Phase 1A (cont'd)**

government funding for “shovel ready” capital projects to stimulate the economy. And again, the Office of the Vice-President and Provost had made available \$250,000 for this project to allow work to begin.

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried,

YOUR COMMITTEE ENDORSED AND FORWARDED to the Governing Council for consideration the recommendation

1. THAT the Project Planning Report for the University of Toronto at Scarborough Instructional Centre Phase 1A be approved in principle.
2. THAT the project scope, comprising approximately 7,000 net assignable square metres (13,990 gross square meters) at a total project cost of \$78,000,000 be approved, subject to the receipt of funding.

Documentation is attached to Report Number 163 of the Academic Board as Appendix “G”.

(f) Capital Project: Project Planning Report for the Chemistry Research and Instructional Laboratories Revitalization in the Lash Miller Building

(Arising from Report Number 163 of the Academic Board [June 1, 2009]- Item 12)

Professor Lemieux-Charles reported that the proposed capital project for revitalization in the Lash Miller Building would allow additional renovations to be completed to further improve the forty-five year old building. Deferred maintenance matters would be addressed, asbestos would be removed, new electrical and mechanical services for new fumehoods would be provided, and state-of-the-art research labs would be created. The total project cost for the renovation of 1,540 net assignable square metres (nasm) was \$8-million, and approval was being sought subject to the receipt of funding. The University was still hopeful that capital projects such as this one which had been submitted might receive funding through future provincial and federal government initiatives.

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried,

YOUR COMMITTEE ENDORSED AND FORWARDED to the Governing Council for consideration the recommendation

1. THAT the Project Planning Report for the Chemistry Research and Laboratory Revitalization in the Lash Miller Building be approved in principle.
2. THAT the project scope of 1,540 nasm of renovations be approved in principle at a cost of \$8 million, subject to the receipt of funding.

Documentation is attached to Report Number 163 of the Academic Board as Appendix “H”.

(g) Capital Project: Project Planning Report for the Physics Research and Instructional Laboratory Revitalization in the McLennan Physical Laboratories Building

Professor Lemieux-Charles explained that the McLennan Physical Laboratories was also a very old building which was badly in need of renovations to existing laboratories. Upgrades to the obsolete facilities and dated infrastructure were required in order to improve the capacity of research and

8. Items for Endorsement and Forwarding to the Governing Council (cont'd)**(g) Capital Project: Project Planning Report for the Physics Research and Instructional Laboratory Revitalization in the McLennan Physical Laboratories Building (cont'd)**

undergraduate teaching laboratories which were jointly used by physics and engineering students. Approval of this project was also being sought subject to the receipt of funding for the \$7.5-million total cost.

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried,

YOUR COMMITTEE ENDORSED AND FORWARDED to the Governing Council for consideration the recommendation

1. THAT the Project Planning Report for the Physics Research and Instructional Laboratory Revitalization in the McLennan Physical Laboratories Building be approved in principle.
2. THAT the project scope, comprising renovations of approximately 4,514 nasm at a total project cost of \$7.5 million be approved, subject to the receipt of funding.

Documentation is attached to Report Number 163 of the Academic Board as Appendix “I”.

(h) Capital Project: Project Planning Report for the School of Global Affairs

(Arising from Report Number 163 of the Academic Board [June 1, 2009]- Item 14)

Professor Lemieux-Charles commented that this capital project was not one of the projects which had been submitted under the government infrastructure programs. Rather, in March 2008, the Government of Ontario had identified \$25-million of funding for the School of Global Affairs (SGA), following the School's creation in 2007. The cost for Phase I of the SGA project, which would include renovations to 315 Bloor Street West and the provision of a new elevator, was \$13.6-million.

At the Board meeting, there had been some discussion about the scope of Phase II of the SGA project. In response to a question from a member, Ms Sisam had explained that, while it was anticipated that the SGA would be one component of the future development of Site 12 (100 Devonshire Place), the space program for Phase II had not yet been established. Professor Gertler, Dean of the Faculty of Arts and Science, stated that, at the appropriate point, the Phase II project planning report would be submitted for governance approval.

A member also had asked for clarification on the difference between the program offered by the SGA and that of the School of Public Policy. Professor David Klausner, Vice-Dean, Interdisciplinary Affairs, Faculty of Arts and Science, had replied that SGA, which had replaced the School of International Studies, offered a program in international relations, while the School of Public Policy offered a program that focused on the development of public policy at the municipal, provincial, and federal levels. Both Schools were EDU:A's within the Faculty of Arts and Science.

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried,

YOUR COMMITTEE ENDORSED AND FORWARDED to the Governing Council for consideration the recommendation

1. THAT the Project Planning Report for the School of Global Affairs be approved in principle.
2. THAT the project scope for Phase I renovations to 315 Bloor Street West, comprising an addition of approximately 400 gsm, and renovations to approximately 890 nasm or 1,685 gsm, be approved at a total cost of \$13.6 million.

Documentation is attached to Report Number 163 of the Academic Board as Appendix “J”.

9. Governing Council and Executive Committee Meeting Dates, 2010-11

Mr. Charpentier explained that By-Law Number 2 of the Governing Council required that at least five regular meetings of the Governing Council be held during each academic year. The dates and times of such meetings were to be determined annually in advance by the Executive Committee not later than June 30th preceding the period of such meetings. The Executive Committee could cancel at most one of the regular meetings of the Governing Council in each academic year, for lack of sufficient business.

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried,

YOUR COMMITTEE APPROVED

The following meeting dates for Governing Council and the Executive Committee in 2010-11:

Cycle	Executive Committee	Governing Council
Orientation		Wednesday, September 8, 2010, 8:30 a.m.-1:00 p.m.
Cycle 1	Friday, October 15, 2010 at 12:00 p.m.	Thursday, October 28, 2010
Cycle 2	Monday, December 6, 2010	Thursday, December 16, 2010
Cycle 3	Monday, January 24, 2011	Thursday, February 3, 2011
Cycle 4	Monday, February 28, 2011	Thursday, March 10, 2011
Cycle 5	Monday, April 4, 2011	Monday, April 18, 2011
Cycle 6	Monday, May 9, 2011	Thursday, May 19, 2011 (at UTM)
Cycle 7	Monday, June 13, 2011	Thursday, June 23, 2011 at 4:00 p.m.
	Thursday, June 23, 2011, 3:00 – 4:00 p.m.	

10. Summer Executive Authority

Mr. Charpentier reminded members that each June, the Governing Council was asked to delegate to the President authority to take any necessary actions on its behalf during the summer months. The Chair would countersign individual authorizations, and, in September, these actions would be reported for information to the appropriate board or committee. Items not regarded as urgent would be held for consideration in the usual manner next fall.

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried,

YOUR COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS to the Governing Council:

1. THAT until the next regular meeting of the Governing Council or its appropriate committee or board, authority be granted to the President for:
 - (i) appointments to categories 2⁴ 3⁵ and 5⁶ of the *Policy on Appointments and Remuneration* approved by the Governing Council of the University of Toronto, dated May 30, 2007;⁷

⁴ Category 2 includes the positions of Vice-President, Secretary of the Governing Council, and University Ombudsperson, which are subject to the approval of the Governing Council.

⁵ Category 3 includes the positions of Deputy Provost, Associate and Vice-Provosts, Chief Financial Officer, Senior Legal Counsel and Deputy Secretary of the Governing Council, which are subject to the approval of the Executive Committee, and are reported for information to the Governing Council.

⁶ Category 5 includes the head of Internal Audit (approved by the Business Board) and the Warden of Hart House (approved by the University Affairs Board).

10. Summer Executive Authority (cont'd)

- (ii) approval of such additional curriculum changes as may arise for the summer and September 2009; and
 - (iii) decisions on other matters the urgency of which does not permit their deferral until the next regular meeting of the Governing Council or its appropriate standing committee or board.
2. THAT all actions taken under this authority be approved by the Chair of the Governing Council prior to implementation and reported to the appropriate committee or board for information.

11. Reports for Information

- (a) Report Number 163 of the Academic Board (June 1, 2009)
- (b) Report Number 174 of the Business Board (April 27, 2009)
- (c) Report Number 153 of the University Affairs Board (June 2, 2009)
- (d) Report Number 18 on Namings

12. Date of the Next Meeting

The Chair reminded members that the next meeting of the Executive Committee would be held on Tuesday, June 23 at 3:00 p.m., just prior to the final Governing Council meeting at 4:00. The Executive Committee meeting is to consider the University's Financial Statements following the Business Board's consideration.

13. Other Business

The Chair reported that a speaking request for the Governing Council meeting on June 23 had been received from the Association of Part-time Undergraduate Students (APUS). The request was granted.

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried,

IT WAS RESOLVED

THAT, pursuant to sections 28 (e) and 33 of *By-Law Number 2*, consideration of items 11-13 take place *in camera*, with the Board Chairs, Vice-Presidents, and Special Advisor to the President admitted to facilitate the work of the Committee.

⁷ Approval of Academic Administrative Appointments until the next regular meeting of the Agenda Committee of the Academic Board shall be approved by electronic ballot and shall require the response of at least five members of the Agenda Committee.

In Camera Session

14. Committee for Honorary Degrees: Membership

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried,

YOUR COMMITTEE ENDORSED AND FORWARDED to the Governing Council for consideration

THAT the recommendation from the Academic Board concerning the membership of the Committee for Honorary Degrees for 2009-10 be endorsed and forwarded to the Governing Council for approval; and

YOUR COMMITTEE APPROVED

THAT, pursuant to Section 38 of By-Law Number 2, this recommendation be considered by the Governing Council in camera.

15. External Appointments

(a) Baycrest Centre for Geriatric Care

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried,

YOUR COMMITTEE APPROVED

THAT Professor Catharine Whiteside be approved as the representative of the University of Toronto to serve as an *ex officio* member of the Board of Directors of the Baycrest Centre for Geriatric Care, the Baycrest Hospital, the Baycrest Day Care Centre, and the Jewish Home for the Aged for a continuing term, and until her successor is chosen.

(b) Hart House Board of Stewards and Finance Committee

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried,

YOUR COMMITTEE APPROVED

THAT Mr. Grant Gonzales be appointed to the Hart House Board of Stewards for a one-year term, from July 1, 2009 until June 30, 2010, or until his successor is appointed.

THAT Mr. Paul Lindblad be re-appointed to the Hart House Finance Committee for a one-year term, from July 1, 2009 until June 30, 2010, or until his successor is appointed.

(c) Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried,

YOUR COMMITTEE APPROVED

THAT, subject to approval by the Board of Directors of the Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Mr. J. David A. Jackson be appointed to the Board of Directors of the Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, for a term effective June 15, 2009 to continue until the 2011 Annual General Meeting of the Corporation, or until his successor is appointed; and

15. External Appointments (cont'd)

(c) Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre (cont'd)

THAT, subject to approval by the Board of Directors of the Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Ms Teri E. Brown be appointed to the Board of Directors of the Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, for a term effective June 15, 2009 to continue until the 2012 Annual General Meeting of the Corporation, or until her successor is appointed; and

THAT, subject to approval by the Board of Directors of the Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Mr. Phillip Crawley be appointed to the Board of Directors of the Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, for a term effective June 15, 2009 to continue until the 2012 Annual General Meeting of the Corporation, or until his successor is appointed; and

THAT, subject to approval by the Board of Directors of the Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Mr. Robert G. Dale be appointed to the Board of Directors of the Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, for a term effective June 15, 2009 to continue until the 2012 Annual General Meeting of the Corporation, or until his successor is appointed; and

THAT, subject to approval by the Board of Directors of the Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Ms Pamela Griffith-Jones be appointed to the Board of Directors of the Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, for a term effective June 15, 2009 to continue until the 2012 Annual General Meeting of the Corporation, or until her successor is appointed; and

THAT, subject to approval by the Board of Directors of the Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Ms Susan M. Scace be appointed to the Board of Directors of the Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, for a term effective June 15, 2009 to continue until the 2012 Annual General Meeting of the Corporation, or until her successor is appointed.

(d) University Health Network (UHN)

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried,

YOUR COMMITTEE APPROVED

THAT Professor Catharine Whiteside and Professor Cheryl Regehr be appointed to the Board of Trustees of the University Health Network for one-year terms, from July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010, or until their successors are appointed.

(e) West Park Healthcare Centre

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried,

YOUR COMMITTEE APPROVED

THAT Professor Luc De Nil be proposed to the Nominating Committee of the Board of Governors of the West Park Healthcare Centre for re-appointment to the Board for a one-year term, with his appointment to continue until the 2010 Annual General Meeting, and until a successor is appointed.

16. Board Assignment, 2009-10

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried,

YOUR COMMITTEE APPROVED

THAT Professor Janice Stein be appointed to the Business Board for 2009-10.

The Committee returned to closed session.

The meeting adjourned at 6:30 p.m.

Secretary

Chair

June 18, 2009