

### University of Toronto TORONTO ONTARIO M5S 1A1

OFFICE OF PLANNING AND BUDGET

TO:	Planning and Budget Committee
SPONSOR: CONTACT INFO:	Shirley Neuman, Vice-President and Provost 416 978-7116, d.mccammond@utoronto.ca
DATE:	March 6 <sup>th</sup> 2003 for March 26 <sup>th</sup> 2003

AGENDA ITEM: #4

### **ITEM IDENTIFICATION:**

Budget Report 2003/04.

### JURISDICTIONAL INFORMATION:

The Committee recommends approval of guidelines for the development of the University's Operating Budget for the planning period and recommends approval of the annual budget.

### **PREVIOUS ACTION TAKEN:**

None

### **HIGHLIGHTS:**

The Budget Report serves two purposes. First it updates, utilizing the most current information available, the assumptions in the *Long Range Budget Guidelines and Projections, 1998-2004*. Second, it presents for approval the budget for each division including those budgets determined pursuant to Contractual Obligations and Policy Commitments. It also indicates the funding available in the Operating Budget Special Funds. The budget for 2003-04 ensures that the University will exit the current six-year planning period in compliance with the budget guidelines: that is to say, the annual budget will be in balance by 2003-04 and the accumulated deficit will be within policy limits.

Projected revenues for 2003-04 have increased by \$9.3 million in 2003-04 over that previously forecast in the 2002-03 Budget Report, while expenditure requirements have grown by \$27.7 million, increasing the annual shortfall from \$3.8 million to \$22.2 million. If left uncorrected, this would result in an accumulated deficit of \$44.1 million as of April 30<sup>th</sup>, 2004. Eliminating this shortfall and containing the accumulated deficit to within policy limits requires a base budget reduction of 4.45% (\$22.2M) and an OTO

clawback of 1.46% (\$7.3M) in 2003-04. The principal components contributing to the increased shortfall are;

- not realizing the assumption of a 1% inflation increase in government operating grant funding in 2002-03 and removing the 2% assumption for 2003-04 (\$9.6M),
- not realizing the assumption that funding for the indirect cost of Federal research would increase from the current 20% to a level of 30% (\$7.6M),
- a reduction of \$2.4M in the base investment revenue projected from the Expendable Funds Investment Pool (EFIP) and an increase of \$4.4M in the amortization costs of previous investment losses.

A comprehensive Capital Budget is established which encompasses academic, nonacademic and endowed capital infrastructure. It includes construction for academic and administrative divisions, residences, parking garages, student activities and the matching funds that are such an important part of growing our endowment capital infrastructure. Given the existence of a finite institutional borrowing capacity, the Capital Budget will enable decisions on appropriate levels of debt financing for individual capital projects to be informed by the total capital institutional debt. The Capital Budget includes capital projects currently approved by Governance and, in particular all that will require capital funding in 2003-04. It identifies the funding sources for debt service costs, one of which is the operating budget.

Other initiatives signaled in this report include;

- A fund of \$2.5M has been created to assist Divisions to adjust to a lower payout from endowment funds.
- An allocation of \$1.25M will be used to assist a limited number of divisions who are in transition in 2003-04. The provision of this funding to divisions will be dependent on attainment of performance objectives developed by agreement with the Provost.

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the Planning and Budget Committee recommend the attached *Budget Report for 2003-04* to the Academic Board for approval.

**UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO** 

**BUDGET REPORT** 

2003-2004.

March 14<sup>th</sup> 2003

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION.		3
2.	FISCAL CONTEXT.		3
3.	UPDATED LONG RA	NGE BUDGET PROJECTIONS THROUGH 2003-04.	4
4.	PROPOSED OPERAT	ING BUDGET FOR 2003-04.	8
5.	Administrative F Academic Priori Canada Researcl Enrolment Grow	ties Fund 1 Chairs Fund	9 9 10 10 10
6.	PROPOSED CAPITAL	L BUDGET, 2003-2010	10
7.	<b>DIVISIONAL BUDGE</b>	T SCHEDULES AND FINANCIAL REPORTS.	15
8.	APPENDICES.		41
	Appendix A	Updated Table of Assumptions from the Long Range Budget Guidelines for Planning and Budgeting, 1998-2004.	
	Appendix B	Contractual Obligations and Policy Commitments (COPC) List.	

### **UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO BUDGET REPORT, 2003-04.**

### 1. INTRODUCTION.

The Budget Report, 2003-04 serves two purposes. First it updates, utilizing the most current information available, the assumptions in the *Long Range Budget Guidelines and Projections, 1998-2004* first approved by Governing Council in April 1998. Second, it presents for approval the budget for each division, including those budgets determined pursuant to Contractual Obligations and Policy Commitments. It also indicates the funding available in the Administrative and Academic Priority Funds, the Enrolment Growth Fund and the Canada Research Chairs Fund. The Budget Report, 2003-04 is the last budget in the planning period associated with the *Raising Our Sights* planning process.

### 2. FISCAL CONTEXT

As they entered the 1990's the University of Toronto and all other Ontario universities were experiencing a period of relative financial stability and recovery; government grants and tuition were increasing at rates slightly above the general inflation rate, and budget reductions were, relatively speaking, modest in scale. With the full onset of the economic recession in 1992 through 1994, operating grants were frozen and then reduced through the Social Contract (\$17.3 million) and the Expenditure Control Plan (\$5 million). Tuition fees increased by a range of 8 to 10 per cent annually to partially compensate for the loss of grant revenue. The Ontario Student Assistance Plan was modified by government from a combined grant/loan program to an all-loan program as a cost reduction measure.

In 1995 the new government fulfilled its election promise to further reduce operating grants to universities by \$280 million, a loss of \$53.9 million to the University of Toronto. Again as a partial offset to the loss of grant revenue, government permitted significant increases in tuition fee rates; 20 per cent in 1996-97 and 10 per cent on average in each subsequent year up to and including 1999-2000. Tuition fees were deregulated for international students, and for students in some professional and all graduate programs.

Government operating grants revenue reached a peak at approximately \$400 million in 1992-93, fell to \$339 million in 1997-98 and is projected to rise to \$413M in 2003-04 with the introduction of a number of new funding envelopes targeted to enrolment increases and performance indicators. However during the past decade, the system-wide *Government operating funding per BIU* has decreased in absolute terms by over 16% and in real terms by considerably more. At the same time, tuition revenue will increase from \$100 million in 1992-93 to a projected \$320 million in 2003-04, as a result of tuition fee rate and enrolment increases. Starting in 1996-97 the Government mandated that 10% of the revenue from tuition fee increases be spent on student aid; this was increased to 30% in 1997-98 and subsequent years.

The practical effect of the Government funding policy has been that the university has had to internally absorb a significant portion of cost increases for compensation, library acquisitions and utilities by way of budget reduction. The university's expenditure patterns have also changed significantly over this period: support for student aid has increased dramatically, from \$7.7 million in 1991 to a projected \$95.9 million in 2003-04. In effect the increase in expenditures on student financial aid is approximately 40% of the increase in tuition revenue making the University of Toronto one of the most accessible in the Country. Library acquisition costs have continued to increase sharply throughout the period, from \$9 million in 1991 to a projected \$22.2 million in 2003-04.

In 2000 the Government announced a cap on tuition fee increases for all regulated programs in each of the five years 2000-01 to 2004-05 at 2% per year, not compounded. The tuition fee schedule for 2003-04 that the Business Board will recommend to Governing Council for approval includes 5% fee increases for new and continuing domestic students in the deregulated programs with the exception of new students in programs in business (MBA), dentistry, computer science, engineering, information technology and law where the revenue from larger increases is being used to enhance quality in these programs.

### 3. <u>UPDATED LONG RANGE BUDGET PROJECTIONS THROUGH 2003-04.</u>

Table 1 presents the revised Long-Range Budget Projections, updated to reflect new information and changes in the *Guidelines*' assumptions. The last column shows the variance from the projections contained in the previous Budget Report, i.e. 2002-03. The following are the highlights of the updated projection.

### Revenue

- Government operating grants are forecast to decrease by \$4.4 million from than previously budgeted due to the removal of the inflation provision for 2003-04 and the projected relief in 2002-03 of \$3.2 million, either through a special grant envelope or some relaxation in tuition regulation, partially offset by grant revenue from increased enrolment. The model now includes an assumption that we will receive full average operating funding for all undergraduate enrolment increase in 2003-04 (~ \$5.6M) but does not anticipate an increase in operating funding per student to meet inflationary cost increases.
- Tuition revenue is \$21.6 million higher than previously forecast, reflecting increased enrollment and higher tuition fee increases in programs in computer science, engineering, dentistry, law and business.
- The Federal budget contained an annual allocation of \$225 million for the indirect costs of federally funded research. The University's portion of these funds is assumed to be \$15.8 million in base in 2003-04 up from the \$14.6 million received OTO in 2001-02.
- Investment revenue has been reduced to \$11.3 million in 2003-04 some \$2.4M below the baseline level. The cost of amortization of investment losses has increased from \$5.6M to \$10.0M in 2003-04.

### Expense

- The operating budget now includes funding of \$11.7M of debt service costs reflecting the central administration's contribution to the total debt service costs associated with the Capital Budget, (see Section 6). This charge subsumes the debt service costs already in the budget for the \$90M of loans which funded the University Infrastructure Investment Fund and the \$5M payment to the MARS project for a net increase of \$2.8M.
- The enrollment growth fund, representing revenue-sharing agreements for increased grant and fee revenue associated with enrolment increases, is \$15.9 million higher than previously budgeted.
- An allocation of \$1.25M will be used to assist a limited number of divisions who are in transition in 2003-04. The provision of this funding to divisions will be dependent on attainment of performance objectives developed by agreement with the Provost.

### The Net Result

• Revenues have increased by \$9.3 million in 2003-04 over previous forecasts, while expenditure requirements have grown by \$27.7 million, increasing the annual shortfall from

\$3.8 million to \$22.2 million. If left uncorrected, this would result in an accumulated deficit of \$44.1 million as of April 30, 2004.

• Eliminating this shortfall and containing the accumulated deficit to within policy limits requires a base budget reduction of 4.45% (\$22.2M) and a OTO clawback of 1.46% (\$7.3M) in 2003-04.

### Budget Strategy: Toward a New Budget Framework 2003-09

The budget for 2003-04 ensures that the University will exit the current six-year planning period in compliance with the budget guidelines; that is to say, that the annual budget will be in balance and that the accumulated deficit will be within policy limits. The Long Range Projections in the Budget Report for 2002-03 included a base budget cut of 1.5% in 2003-04 to achieve a balanced budget. Unfortunately the combination of not realizing increases in government operating revenues per student to cover increased costs and the projected increase in the rate at which the indirect cost of federal research would be assessed, together with reduced investment returns have required that the base cut be increased to 4.45% to produce a balanced budget. Also a 1.46% one time only (OTO) cut will be required to maintain the accumulated deficit below the maximum permitted, i.e. 1.5% of the operating budget.

There are a number of potential sources of increased revenue which if realized will, in the first instance, be used to reduce or eliminate this OTO cut. These include:

- The provision by the Provincial Government of full average funding for enrolment above the Enrolment Target Agreement (ETA) for 2002-03.
- A modest increase in the rate at which the indirect cost of federal research is assessed. The amount allocated in the Federal Budget was an increase of 12.5% over the OTO funding in 2000-01 while the projected increase in the funding realized by the University is only 8% reflecting the University's increased volume of federal research.
- The creation of a Double Cohort Quality Enhancement Fund currently being considered by the Provincial Government.
- Increased Accessibility Funding for graduate enrolment expansion to deal with issues arising from the undergraduate enrolment expansion.

The new budget framework for 2004-05 through 2008-09 will establish a new set of budget strategies and must necessarily deal with several significant structural issues in the budget including;

- the lack of recognition of inflationary costs in the provision of Government Operating funding,
- volatile returns on the University's investments,
- increased pension costs resulting from the dwindling surplus in the pension funds and a gap between projected earnings in a weaker investment climate and the University's pension liability,
- heavy demands for infrastructure funds,
- the cost of maintaining new space.

	Table 1: Lo	ong Rang	e Budget I	Projection			Six Year	Previous Six Year	
	1998-99	1999-00	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	Total Change	Total	Variance
Projection of Operating Revenue				•					
Provincial Operating Grapts	336.2	346.2	363.2	373.8	391.2	412.9	412.9	417.3	(4.4)
Provincial Operating Grants Tuition Fees	177.5	205.3	218.8	237.5	272.6	320.2	320.2	298.6	21.6
	513.6	551.5	582.0	611.3	663.8	733.1	733.1	716.0	17.1
Total Grants plus Fees	23.5	26.3	29.7	34.1	34.6	28.7	28.7	40.8	(12.1)
Endowment Revenue for Chairs and Student Aid Revenue from a New Endowment for Graduate Student Aid							0.0	0.0	0.0
Canada Research Chairs			6.8	14.3	21.0	29.3	29.3	29.3	0.1
Indirect Cost Recovery on Federal Research Grants				0.0	14.6	15.8	15.8	22.0	(6.2)
Stewardship and Investment Management Fees				6.9	7.3	7.6	7.6	7.6	0.0
Investment Income	12.1	11.8	12.7	20.4	13.7	11.3	11.3	13.7	(2.4)
Other Income	16.4	20.2	20.0	21.7	22.7	27.4	27.4	29.4	(2.0)
Divisional Income	84.6	97.7	101.8	104.1	109.6	124.4	124.4	109.6 0.0	14.8
Total Operating Revenue	\$650.2	\$707.5	\$752.9	\$812.7	\$887.3	\$977.6	\$977.6	\$968.3	\$9.3
Projection of Operating Expenditures							1		
Operating Expenditures, Beginning of Budget Process for the Year	639.0	665.6	708.2	758.3	823.2	904.3	639.0	639.0	0.0 0.0
Changes in Operating Expenditures, Year-Over-Year:							0.0	0.0	0.0
						. <u>.</u>	0.0	0.0	0.0
Expenditures Funded by Endowment for Chairs and Student Aid	14.7	3.5	3.4	4.4	0.5	(5.9)	20.6	32.7	(12.1)
Partial Funding to Assist Divisions in Adjusting to Lower Endowme	nt Payout		·	~ ~ ~		2.5	2.5	0.0 25.0	2.5
Expenditures Funded by Divisional Income		13.1	4.1	2.3	5.5 8.6	14.8	39.8 37.5	31.8	14.8 5.7
Contractual Obligations and Policy Commitments	2.1	4.1	2.0	9.1	1.2	11.6 (1.6)	1.4	2.0	(0.6)
OTO Changes in Contractual Obligations and Policy Commitmen	(1.2)	2.4	(1.1)	1.7 16.6	23.7	20.6	107.1	111.3	(4.2)
Cost of Compensation Settlements	12.1	13.6	20.5 (2.0)	(2.6)	(3.0)	(3.2)	(16.2)	(16.3)	0.2
Compensation Savings Due to Faculty Retirements	(2.8)	(2.6) 5.2	(2.0)	6.7	20.0	33.5	68.9	53.0	15.9
Enrolment Growth Fund	5.1	7.9	1.3	2.6	2.6	5.4	24.9	22.7	2.2
Student Aid Reinvestment	5.1	7.0	1.0				0.0	0.0	0.0
N			2.8	2.2	5.1	2.8	12.8	12.8	0.0
New Graduate Student Aid Funds							0.0	0.0	0.0
Canada Research Chair Fund (CRCF)	0.0		7.9	8.8	9.1	10.1	35.8	35.8	0.0
Salary Budget Transfers to Canada Research Chairs Fund			(2.2)	(2.4)	(2.2)	(2.6)			0.0
Salary Dudget Handlere to Sandea Harris							0.0	0.0	0.0
Academic Priorities Funding (APF)							0.0	0.0	0.0
Funds Available through Reallocation	5.9	5.9	5.3	5.5	12.3	1.5	36.4	34.9	1.5
Quality Enhancement Funds from Tuition Revenue	4.4	2.6	4.0	2.8	1.6	3.0	18.3	19.1	(0.8)
Other			1.9	1.0	1.5	1.7	6.1	5.2	0.9
Allocation to Divisions from Federal Indirect Cost Recoveries					3.7	0.3	4.0	3.7	0.3
Administrative Priorities	0.9	0.6	1.8	1.8	1.4	0.7	7.2	8.0	(0.8)
Campaign Costs				9.9			9.9	9.9	0.0
A DALLAR DOLLAR MONTH ADA CONTRACTOR				0.0	9.4	6.1	15.5	14.4	1.1
Allocation to Rotman Under RCM, including MBA Fee Increases	1.9	2.5	1.7	0.0	0.7		6.1	6.1	0.0
Allocation to Scarborough Under RCM Allocation of Revenue to OISE/UT	0.3	0.8	1.7	0.7	0.7	(0.4)		5.0	(1.1)
Allocation of Revenue to Orderon			-			. ,	0.0	0.0	0.0
Budget Reductions Remaining from Previous Plan	(16.9)	(16.9)	(0.4)				(34.2)	(34.2)	0.0
Performance Based Funding	, ,					1.3	1.3	0.0	1.3
New Reduction Requirement					(13.6)	(22.2)	(35.8)	(21.6)	(14.2)
Reallocation Requirement			(6.1)	(6.3)	(6.7)	(6.6)	(25.8)	(26.6)	0.8
Operating Expenditure Budget For the year	\$665.6	\$708.2	\$758.3	\$823.2	\$904.3	\$977.6	\$977.6	\$964.1	\$13.5
· .	(\$15.4)	(\$0.8)	(\$5.4)	(\$10.4)	(\$17.0)	(\$0.0)	(\$0.0)	\$4.2	(\$4.2)
Operating Surplus/(Deficit) for the year	(\$15.4)	(40.0)	(****)	(+)	(+)	(+510)	(† 5 <b>10</b> )		,+,

	1998-99 Actual	1999-00 Actual	2000-01 Actual	2001-02 Actual	2002-03 Forecast	2003-04
Planned Annual Operating Surplus/(Deficit)	(15.1)	(0.8)	(5.4)	(10.4)	(17.0)	(0.0)
Current Service Pension Savings Available for Reallocation		16.8	17.7	18.6	19.8	21.2
Required Contribution to Pension Plan						(18.8)
Redirection of Pension Savings Previously Allocated to UIIF						19.7
	(15.1)	16.0	12.3	8.2	2.8	22.1
Transfer to Academic and AdministrativeTransitional funds		(2.5)	(3.6)	(1.8)		
Matching Fund Requirements					0.0	0.0
Amortization of Investment Losses				(9.0)	(7.2)	(10.0)
Transfer to Graduate Aid Endowment				(3.0)		
Transfer to Infrastructure Investment Fund		(14.3)	(14.1)	(14.8)		0.0
	(15.1)	(0.8)	(5.4)	(20.4)	(4.4)	12.1
One Time Only Deficit Control Measures	2.2	1.2	2.4	13.5	0.0	7.3
Budgeted Surplus/(Deficit) for the Year	(12.9)	0.4	(3.0)	(6.9)	(4.4)	19.4
Adjustment of Budget to Forecast Actual	5.2	0.7	(2.4)	(0.4)	(11.6)	
Accumulated Surplus/(Deficit), Beginning of Year	1.4	(6.3)	(5.3)	(10.7)	(18.1)	(34.0)
Accumulated Surplus/(Deficit), End of Year	(\$6.3)	(\$5.3)	(\$10.7)	(\$18.1)	(\$34.0)	(\$14.6)
Maximum Deficit Permissable by Policy	(\$9.4)	(\$10.1)	(\$11.3)	(\$12.2)	(\$13.3)	(\$14.7)

### Table 1: Long Range Budget Projection (contd.)

### 4. PROPOSED BUDGET FOR 2003-04

	2002-2003	2003-2004	Changes from 2002-2003
	Budget	Budget	Incr (Decr)
	<u> </u>	\$	\$
	Ŧ	+	• •
Income			
General University income:			
Provincial Government Operating Grants	391,231,603	412,916,077	21,684,474
Student Fees (B.I.U. eligible programs)	272,599,000	320,222,421	47,623,421
Total formula income	663,830,603	733,138,498	69,307,895
Endowment Income	34,600,000	28,651,972	(5,948,028)
Canada Research Chairs	21,000,000	29,250,000	8,250,000
Indirect Cost Recovery on Federal Research Grants	14,600,000	15,828,623	1,228,623
Stewardship & Investment Management Fees	7,300,000	7,607,250	307,250
Investment Income	13,700,000	11,304,000	(2,396,000)
Other Income	22,690,175	27,399,000	4,708,825
	777,720,778	853,179,343	75,458,565
Divisional income *	109,600,000	124,391,501	14,791,501
Divisional moomo	887,320,778	977,570,844	90,250,066
Municipal taxes **	3,304,350	3,559,425	255,075
	890,625,128	981,130,269	90,505,141
xpense			
Total Academic Expense	570,204,296	626,718,987	56,514,691
Academic Services	60,730,637	62,079,245	1,348,608
Administration	63,042,069	65,908,060	2,865,991
Student Assistance	84,257,963	89,729,575	5,471,612
Campus & Student Services	14,900,689	16,164,320	1,263,631
Maintenance and Services	38,054,422	38,597,340	542,918
Utilities	35,896,969	36,295,369	398,400
General University Expense (incl salary incr provision)	37,209,185	42,077,948	4,868,763
Total expenses of operating fund	904,296,230	977,570,844	73,274,614
Municipal taxes **	3,304,350	3,559,425	255,075
	907,600,580	981,130,269	73,529,689
Surplus (Deficit)	(16,975,452)	0	16,975,452

### Table 2, Budget Summary, total University of Toronto (including RSM and OISE/UT)

\* Increase in divisional income results in an offsetting increase in divisional expenditure budgets \*\* Municipal taxes income and expense are offsetting

### 5. THE OPERATING BUDGET SPECIAL FUNDS.

The operating budget special funds receive base or one time only (OTO) transfers from operating revenues. The Administration brings forward divisional allocations from these funds to the Planning and Budget Committee for approval. Allocations from the Academic and Administrative Priorities Funds and the Canada Research Chairs Fund are made in response to the Planning Process. Allocations from the Enrolment Growth Fund reflect divisions' enrolment plans and those from the University Infrastructure Investment Fund have been in support of approved Capital Projects, renovations and other infrastructure projects.

### Administrative Priorities Fund

The 1.5% reallocation requirement will not be applied to the administrative divisions in 2003-04 and so the transfer from the operating fund is reduced to the \$0.7M identified in the budget guidelines to help meet the demands of the enrolment increase. The Administrative Priorities Fund will have zero carry-forward at year-end 2002-03.

Administrative i nontico i		
	2002-03	2003-04
Balance at beginning of year	\$0.0	\$0.0
Transfer from Operating Fund	\$1.4	\$0.7
Allocations	(\$1.4)	(\$0.5)
Unallocated balance	\$0.0	\$0.2

### Administrative Priorities Fund (\$Million)

### **Academic Priorities Fund**

Allocations from the Academic Priorities Fund (APF) are made in support of divisional plans to sustain and enhance program quality and to provide for new initiatives emerging from divisional planning processes. There are two principal sources of funding for the APF indicated in the *Long-Range Guidelines for Planning and Budgeting*, 1998-2004;

- a reallocation of 1.5% of the divisions operating budget,
- 75% of the revenue from tuition fee increases greater than 5%, net of the 30% for student aid,

The table below shows the current status of the fund. The timing of the re-allocative transfers to the APF from the operating budget was accelerated to reflect the fact that the Divisions are hiring new faculty at a faster rate than originally planned in order to deal with increased enrolment.

Academic Filonities I di	iu (aminion	/
	2002-03	2003-04
Balance at Beginning of Year	(\$16.3)	(\$3.1)
Transfer from Operating Fund		
Reallocation Requirement	\$12.3	\$1.5
Quality Enhancement from Tuition Revenue	\$1.6	\$3.0
Other	\$1.5	\$1.7
Allocations	(\$2.2)	(\$3.0)
Balance at End of Year	(\$3.1)	\$0.0

Academic	Priorities	Fund	(\$Million)
Academic	1 11011003	i unu	(WINNING II)

### Canada Research Chairs Fund

The funding from the Canada Research Chairs Program, net of a component for central indirect costs, together with funds released from the salary budget flows to the CRCF. This program is described in detail in the discussion paper, *A Framework for Allocating Canada Research Chairs at the University of Toronto*. The initial balance for 2003-04 reflects an allocation of \$4.6M in 2002-03 made up of \$2.6M for Chairs outside the Faculty of Medicine and \$2.0M for Chairs inside the Faculty of Medicine for 29 Chairs approved in the September and December 2001 CRC competitions.

Canada Research Chairs Fund	(\$iviiiion)	
	2002-03	2003-04
Balance at Beginning of Year	\$7.0	\$11.5
Transfer from Operating Fund	\$9.1	\$10.1
Allocations	(\$4.6)	
Balance at End of Year	\$11.5	\$21.6

Canada Research Chairs Fund (\$Million)

### **Enrollment Growth Fund**

A portion of the Tuition Fee and Government Operating Grant revenue associated with new program plans and approved enrolment growth, including that resulting from the double cohort and increased applicant pool, flows in accordance with the *Long-Range Budget Guidelines*, to the Enrolment Growth Fund for subsequent allocation to the respective academic divisions as enrolment targets are achieved. Funding for permanent expansion flows as OTO in year and as base the following year. The unallocated balance in this fund is zero at the end of each year.

### **University Infrastructure Investment Fund**

A listing of the allocations approved from the UIIF and the current unallocated balance is shown in Table 5 of Section 6.

### 6. PROPOSED CAPITAL BUDGET, 2003-09.

Prior to 2001, academic capital projects were, in general, funded from a combination of campaign donations, federal and provincial government capital grant allocations and funds from the University's operating budget. Debt financing was restricted to resolving cash-flow shortfalls during construction of academic buildings but was routinely used to provide the majority of the cost of residence construction where the debt service costs were recovered from the room rental revenue. The University Infrastructure Investment Fund (UIIF) is currently the vehicle used to allocate operating budget funds to capital projects. Since 2001, the traditional sources of funding have been insufficient to meet the capital construction demands driven by research initiatives and the enrolment expansion associated first with the Access To Opportunities Program (ATOP) and now with the double cohort and demographic pressures.

The update to the *Long-Range Budget Guidelines* in the Spring of 2001 provided \$30M of funding to the UIIF in each of 2001-02, 2002-03 and 2003-04 in the form of loans with the debt service costs charged to the operating budget. In addition, funding shortfalls in individual project budgets, after deployment of government, campaign and UIIF funding, were to be the responsibility of the occupying divisions. This was the first recognition that capital construction of academic buildings could no longer be funded on a cash basis and that the use of debt financing would be necessary in the future. It also argued for the evolution to a Capital Budget separate from the Operating Budget but where the Operating Budget would be one source of revenue for the debt service charges associated with the Capital Budget.

The Capital Budget proposed is a comprehensive one encompassing academic, non-academic and endowed capital infrastructure. It would include construction for academic and administrative divisions, residences, parking garages, student activities and the matching funds that are such an important part of growing our endowment capital infrastructure. Given the existence of a finite institutional borrowing capacity, the Capital Budget would enable decisions on appropriate levels of debt financing for individual capital projects to be informed by the total capital institutional debt.

A Capital Budget that includes capital projects currently approved by Governance and, in particular all that will require capital funding in 2003-04, is attached as Table 3. The majority of the expenditure allocations from the UIIF since the academic year 1999-2000 (\$109.7M out of \$133.2M), Tables 4 & 5, are transferred to the new budget, as is the \$5M equity in MARS and the \$3.3M negative balance in the Capital Renewal Fund. The \$14M of matching funds previously in the 2003-04 budget projections together with matching funding commitments through to 2008-09 are also transferred. The debt service costs associated with these transfers will require an added expense to the Operating Budget estimated at \$2.8M in 2003-04 rising to \$7.3M by 2008-09. This expense is in addition to the \$8.9M cost already in the budget projections for the \$90M loan to the UIIF and the \$5M equity in MARS.

It is important to note that the list of projects in Tables 3 & 4 include a number where the funding identified includes significant campaign donations that are still being sought. These projects will not go forward to the Business Board for approval to expend funds on design and construction until funding has been secured. In the meantime, since any shortfall in project funding is back-stopped by the division(s) sponsoring the project, the shortfall is catagorized as *funded by divisional/ancillary budgets*. The sub-total in Table 3 of \$603.9M in the *approved projects* column cannot therefore be considered as the level of borrowing contemplated by the University for its capital construction plans. It is also possible that, if the University's budget situation were to deteriorate, it would be necessary to review the projects on the Capital Budget.

The Capital Budget is a living document and will be updated with each approval by Governance of a new capital project. It is expected that the next additions will be those associated with the current SuperBuild competition.

	Approved		Inc	remental Capit	Incremental Capital Requirements	S		Total
	Projects	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2008-09
Capital Projects, Academic and Non-Academic	\$519,755,060	\$369,893,202	\$97,083,258	\$17,806,774	\$34,971,826	\$0	\$0	\$519,755,060
Capital Projects, Ancillaries	\$329,121,695	\$303,721,695	\$25,400,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$329,121,695
Matching Funds for endowment capital	\$44,000,000	\$14,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$44,000,000
Total Capital Projects *	\$892,876,755	\$687,614,897	\$128,483,258	\$23,806,774	\$40,971,826	\$6.000.000	\$6.000.000	\$892,876,755
Funding Sources								
Federal Government		\$21,767,198	\$14,686,721	\$1,067,881	\$0	\$0	C\$	\$37.521.800
Provincial Government		\$135,142,303	\$6,593,644	\$1,085,484	\$0	05	20s	\$142.821.430
Campaign Donations		\$42,263,388	\$6,020,344	\$11,030,352	\$29,638,986	\$0	80	\$88.953.070
University		\$5,641,325	\$1,148,522	\$166,974	\$327,930	\$0	80	\$7,284,750
Other (Interest, Municipal, AFD etc.)		\$11,849,337	\$524,829	\$0	\$0	\$0	05	\$12,374,167
Sub-Total	\$288,954,750	\$216,663,551	\$28,974,059	\$13,350,690	\$29,966,916	\$0	8	\$288,955,217
Funded by the Central Operating Budget	\$176,323,605	\$126,843,338	\$21,179,800	\$6,000,000	\$10,300,000	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$176,323,138
Funded by Academic Divisional Budgets	\$121,197,400	\$93,467,000	\$11,123,400	\$16,607,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$121,197,400
Funded by Arcillaries Budgets and Student Levies	\$306,401,000	\$283,556,000	\$18,918,000	\$3,927,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$306,401,000
Funded from other sources								
Sub-Total **	\$603,922,005	\$503,866,338	\$51,221,200	\$26,534,000	\$10,300,000	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$603,921,538
Total Funding identified	\$892,876,755	\$720,529,889	\$80,195,259	\$39,884,690	\$40,266,916	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$892,876,755
Total Funding surplus/(deficit)	\$0	\$32,914,992	(\$48,287,998)	\$16,077,916	(\$704,910)	\$0	SS SS	(\$0)
Annual Expense								
Debt Service Costs		\$46,476,514	\$4,724,632	\$2,447,490	\$950,070	\$553,439	\$553,439	\$55,705,582
Total Annual Expense		\$46,476,514	\$4,724,632	\$2,447,490	\$950,070	\$553,439	\$553,439	\$55,705,582
Annual Revenue								
Central Operating Budget		\$11,700,000	\$1,953,620	\$553,439	\$950,070	\$553,439	\$553,439	\$16,264,005
Divisional Operating Budgets		\$8,621,374	\$1,026,020	\$1,531,826	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11,179,220
Ancillary Budgets & Student Levies		\$26,155,139	\$1,744,992	\$362,226	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$28,262,357
Other								
Total Annual Revenue		\$46,476,514	\$4,724,632	\$2,447,490	\$950,070	\$553,439	\$553,439	\$55,705,582
Annual Surplus (Deficit)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Table 3, Capital Budget

\* All capital projects that have been approved by Governance have been incorporated in this Capital Budget including those where the approval to proceed is conditional on raising campaign donations. If the University's budget situation were to deteriorate, it may be necessary to review the projects on the Capital Budget.
\*\* This funding is realized through a combination of internal and external borrowing with the source of the debt service costs identified. As indicated in the note above, the subtotal will be reduced by campaign donations before a number of projects can proceed. It does therefore overestimate the level of debt that will be required.

Tables for Budget Report1

3/18/2003

Table 4, Capital Budget, I	Details				<b></b>
	Project		urces of Funding		Total sources
	Cost	UIIF & Op. Budget	Divisional Debt	Other	of Funding
1 UTSC Phase 1 construction		\$0	\$28,980,000	\$0	\$28,980,000
1 ARC Academic Resource Centre	\$22,560,000	\$0		\$10,570,000	\$10,570,000
1 Management Building	\$15,530,000	\$0		\$1,160,000	\$1,160,000
1 Other small projects at UTSC	\$2,620,000	\$0	000 000 000	\$0	\$0
Sub-Total UTSC	\$40,710,000	\$0	\$28,980,000	\$11,730,000	\$40,710,000
2 UTM Phase 1 construction	1	\$0	\$26,100,000	\$0	\$26,100,000
2 CCIT at Mississauga	\$34,670,000	\$2,500,000		\$24,036,000	\$26,536,000
2 Kaneff Building	\$3,580,000	\$0		\$0	\$0
2 CABB Centre for Applied Bioscience and Biotechnology	\$3,394,500	\$0		\$2,080,000 \$0	\$2,080,000 \$0
2 Collegeway Access	\$2,500,000	\$0		\$0 \$0	\$0
2 Other small projects at UTM	\$10,571,500 \$54,716,000	\$0	\$26,100,000	\$26,116,000	\$54,716,000
Sub-Total UTM	\$54,716,000	\$2,500,000	\$20,100,000	\$20,110,000	404,710,000
3 CCBR	\$85,100,000	\$2,800,000	\$16,607,000	\$65,693,000	\$85,100,000
3 Pharmacy Building	\$69,000,000	\$6,200,000	\$12,360,000	\$50,440,000	\$69,000,000
3 Purchase of 500 University Ave.	\$15,817,668	\$15,817,668	\$0	\$0	\$15,817,668
3 Renovation of 500 University Ave.	\$11,123,400	\$0	\$11,123,400	\$0	\$11,123,400
3 TDSB Properties, Board of Education	\$17,000,000	\$17,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$17,000,000
4 BCIT	\$108,801,000	\$18,620,000	\$17,770,000	\$72,411,000	\$108,801,000
5 Sidney Smith Hall infili	\$3,075,000	\$2,000,000 \$1,570,000	\$875,000 \$0	\$200,000 \$0	\$3,075,000
6 Purchase of Canadiana Building [Building \$1.45 million plus moving expenses]	\$1,570,000	\$1,570,000	\$0	\$6,360,000	\$15,190,000
6 Gerstein Science Information Centre	\$15,190,000 \$2,140,000	\$8,830,000	\$850,000	\$690,000	\$2,140,000
6 Flavell House, Faculty of Law	\$2,140,000	\$2,700,000	\$850,000	\$1,900,000	\$4,600,000
7 King's College Road/Circle Precinct, Phase 1&2 7 Early Learning Centre, Childcare Facilities, St George Campus	\$4,300,000	\$4,300,000	\$0	\$0	\$4,300,000
7 Early Learning Centre, Childcare Facilities, St George Campus 7 Governing Council and Board Room renovations	\$1,593,000	\$1,593,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,593,000
7 Governing Council and Board Room Price attacks	\$1,700,000	\$1,700,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,700,000
7 South East Infrastructure	\$3,777,000	\$0	\$0	\$3,777,000	\$3,777,000
Durchase of Medical Arts Building	\$14,259,000	\$14,259,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,259,000
OISE/UT/UTS Renovations 371 Bloof St W (approved by P&B October 18, 2000)	\$23,240,000	\$3,500,000	\$0	\$19,740,000	\$23,240,000
Economics Building expansion and renovation (approved by P&B Nov 13, 2001)	\$14,300,000	\$980,000	\$0	\$13,320,000	\$14,300,000
Growth Facility for Plant Research (approved by P&B June 27, 2001)	\$6,900,000	\$1,374,000	\$0	\$5,526,000	\$6,900,000
Completed Projects with ongoing loans (outstanding at April 30,2002)	\$14,851,919	\$8,319,919	\$6,532,000	\$0	\$14,851,919
Small Capital Projects (listed below)	\$5,991,073	\$4,506,323	\$0	\$1,484,750 \$241,541,750	\$5,991,073 \$424,329,060
Sub-Total St George	\$424,329,060	\$116,669,910	\$66,117,400	\$241,541,750	\$424,329,000
Sub-Total, Non ancillaries	\$519,755,060	\$119,169,910	\$121,197,400	\$279,387,750	\$519,755,060
1 UTSC Residence Phase 4	\$16,250,000	\$0	\$16,250,000	\$0	\$16,250,000 \$13,923,695
1 Student Centre at UTSc	\$13,923,695	\$4,723,695 \$0	\$9,200,000 \$15,320,000	so	\$15,320,000
2 UTM Phase 7 Residence	\$15,320,000 \$21,000,000	\$7,000,000	\$14,000,000		\$21,000,000
2 UTM Wellness Centre	\$12,900,000	\$0	\$12,900,000	so	\$12,900,000
2 CCIT Parking	\$13,100,000	\$0	\$13,100,000	\$0	\$13,100,000
4 BCIT Parking 6 School of Contiuing Studies	\$7,100,000	\$0	\$4,500,000	\$2,600,000	\$7,100,000
8. Linivorsity College Residence	\$22,000,000	\$70,000	\$17,145,000	\$4,785,000	\$22,000,000
A New College Residence (annual subsidy of \$352,000 provided from the APF for 8 years)	\$26,760,000	\$0	\$26,760,000	\$0	\$26,760,000
8 Woodsworth Residence (annual subsidy of \$1,204,000 provided from the APF for 8 years)	\$31,842,000	\$1,360,000	\$28,300,000	\$2,182,000	\$31,842,000
Purchase of A9 Chestnut Street Residence	\$72,000,000		\$72,000.000		\$72.000.000
Completed Projects with ongoing loans (outstanding at April 30,2002)	\$76,926,000	\$0 \$13,153,695	\$76,926,000 \$306,401,000	\$0 \$9,567,000	\$76,926,000
Sub-Total Ancillaries	\$329,121,695	\$15,155,695	\$500,401,000	40,007,000	<b>4020</b> , 121,000
Total	\$848,876,755	\$132,323,605	\$427,598,400	\$288,954,750	\$848,876,755
	Total UIIF only	\$109,744,686	]		
a second a trabaladapara					
Small Capital Projects included above St Michael's College / Faculty of A & Sc. (approved by P&B Oct. 19, 1999)	\$1,300,000	\$875,000	\$0	\$425,000	
Dentistry Student Laboratory (approved by P&B Oct. 19, 1999)	\$393,250	\$99,000	\$0	\$294,250	
FALD Phase 3 (approved by P&B October 18, 2000)	\$600,000	\$345,000	\$0	\$345,000	
Nursing Building renovations (approved by P&B March 20, 2001)	\$354,000	\$354,000	\$0	\$0	
Department of Medicine renovations at UHN (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$0	\$0	
Accessibility Examination Centre, Robarts Library (approved by P&B Jan 9, 2002)	\$225,000	\$225,000	\$0	\$0	
Vertical Expansion of Soil Storage Facility (approved by P&B Jan 9, 2002)	\$1,068,323	\$718,323	\$0	\$350,000	
Upgrade 56 Spadina Ave., (approved by P&B Jan 9, 2002)	\$575,000	\$575,000	\$0	\$0	
PCAT facility (approved by P&B March 19, 2002)	\$460,000	\$460,000	\$0	\$0 \$65,000	
St Michael's College / A & Sc. Alumni Hall (approved by P&B March 19, 2002)	\$365,000	\$300,000	\$0 \$0	\$5,500	
FIS Bissel Building Student Study Space (approved by P&B September 16, 2002)	\$40,500	\$35,000 \$120,000		\$5,500	
Figure 2017 Family & Community Medicine relocation to 256 McCaul (approved by P&B October 15, 2002)	\$120,000 \$5,991,073	\$4,506,323	\$0		
Total	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
Allocations from UIIF not included above		CO 720 400	r		
Total allocations for 1999 and beyond approved by P&B prior to Oct. 1999		\$9,732,196			
Slowpoke cost overrup (within 10%, approved by MGF)		\$210,000			
Scarborough commitments under RCM (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)		\$1,153,550 \$2,070,000	1		
Magellan Project (Funding may come from CFI) (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)		\$2,070,000			
Transfer of ROS infrastructure items from APF to UIIF		ψ10,200,000	1		
University College Residence, \$1.3M (approved by P&B April 16, 2002 but on hold)	1	\$72,273			
Decommissioning of SLOWPOKE reactor, supplemental (approved by P&B October 15, 2002)			1		
Decommissioning of SLOWPOKE reactor, supplemental (approved by P&B October 15, 2002) Total Total UIIF allocations and other projects funded from operating budget		\$23,438,019 \$155,761,624			

 Completed Projects with Pre-Existing loans

 Non Ancillary Projects including MARS & \$3.3M negative balance in Capital Renewal Fund
 \$14,851,919

 Ancillary Projects
 \$76,926,000

	1	Balance \$15,274
Balance as of April 30, 1999 Transfers to UIIF as approved in the <i>Long Range Budget Guidelines</i>		φ10,274
May 1, 1999 net of contribution to UT Scarborough under RCM	\$13,436,280	\$13,451,554
May 1, 2000 net of contribution to UT Scarborough under RCM	\$13,248,360	\$26,699,914
May 1, 2001	\$14,800,000	\$41,499,914
May 1, 2002	\$0	\$41,499,914
May 1, 2003	\$0	\$41,499,914
Funding for May 1, 2001 included in 2001-02 Budget Report (Loan serviced from Op Budget)	\$30,000,000	\$71,499,914
Funding for May 1, 2003 included in 2002-03 Budget Report (Loan serviced from Op Budget)	\$30,000,000	\$101,499,914
Funding for May 1, 2002 included in 2002-03 Budget Report (Loan serviced from Op Budget)	\$30,000,000	\$131,499,914
Allocations:		\$131,499,914
Total allocations for 1999 and beyond approved by P&B prior to Oct. 1999	(\$9,732,196)	\$121,767,718
St Michael's College / Faculty of A & Sc. (approved by P&B Oct. 19, 1999)	(\$875,000)	\$120,892,718
Dentistry Student Laboratory (approved by P&B Oct. 19, 1999)	(\$99,000)	\$120,793,718
ATRF Research Projects (approved by P&B Oct. 19, 1999)	(\$2,673,000)	\$118,120,718
CIT, Quality Improvement (approved by P&B Oct. 19, 1999)	(\$10,000,000)	\$108,120,718
Flavell House, Faculty of Law (approved by P&B Dec 14, 1999)	(\$600,000)	\$107,520,718
Gerstein Science Information Centre (approved by P&B Dec 14, 1999)	(\$7,000,000)	\$100,520,718
King's College Road/Circle Precinct, Phase 2 (approved by P&B March 7, 2000)	(\$200,000)	\$100,320,718
King's College Road/Circle Precinct, Phase 1 (approved by P&B March 7, 2000)	(\$2,500,000)	\$97,820,718
FALD Phase 3 (approved by P&B October 18, 2000)	(\$345,000)	\$97,475,718
BCIT shelled in 6th floor (approved by P&B October 18, 2000)	(\$5,197,000)	\$92,278,718
OISE/UT/UTS Renovations, 371 Bloor St W (approved by P&B October 18, 2000)	(\$3,500,000)	\$88,778,718
CCBR (approved by P&B December 12, 2000)	(\$2,800,000)	\$85,978,718
Slowpoke cost overrun (within 10%, approved by MGF)	(\$210,000)	\$85,768,718
Purchase of Canadiana Building (approved by Rusiness Board March 27, 2000)	(\$1,570,000)	\$84,198,718
Purchase of 500 University Ave. (approved by Business Board January 15, 2001)	(\$15,817,668)	\$68,381,050
Sidney Smith Hall infill (approved by P&B February 27, 2001)	(\$1,289,000)	\$67,092,050
BCIT/Koffler connection (approved by P&B March 13, 2001)	(\$750,000)	\$66,342,05
Nursing Building renovations (approved by P&B March 20, 2001)	(\$354,000)	\$65,988,05
a) Basement of Woodsworth Residence, Shelled in (approved by P&B March 20, 2001)	(\$1,360,000)	\$64,628,05
Childcare Facilities, St George Campus (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)	(\$4,300,000)	\$60,328,05
Scarborough commitments under RCM (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)	(\$1,153,550)	\$59,174,500
Department of Medicine renovations at UHN (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)	(\$400.000)	\$58,774,500
b) Renovation of 500 University Ave. (design) (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)	(\$700,000)	\$58,074,500
School of CCIT at Mississauga (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)	(\$2,500,000)	\$55,574,500
Gerstein Cost over-run (\$2.6M Donor provides 40%) (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)	(\$1,830,000)	\$53,744,500
Magellan Project (Funding may come from CFI) (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)	(\$2,070,000)	\$51,674,50
Growth Facility for Plant Research (approved by P&B June 27, 2001)	(\$374,000)	\$51,300,50
Projects (a) and (b) funded from Facilities Renewal Funding (approved August 8, 2001)	\$2,060,000	\$53,360,50
Pharmacy Building (approved by P&B Nov 13, 2001)	(\$7,200,000)	\$46,160,50
Renovation of 500 University Ave. (bridge financing) (approved by P&B Nov 13, 2001)	(\$10,423,400)	\$35,737,10
Economics Building expansion and renovation (approved by P&B Nov 13, 2001)	(\$980,000)	\$34,757,10
Student Centre at UTSc (approved by P&B Nov 13, 2001)	(\$4,723,695)	\$30,033,40
Accessibility Examination Centre, Robarts Library (approved by P&B Jan 9, 2002)	(\$225,000)	\$29,808,40
Vertical Expansion of Soil Storage Facility (approved by P&B Jan 9, 2002)	(\$718,323)	\$29,090,08
Upgrade 56 Spadina Ave., (approved by P&B Jan 9, 2002)	(\$575,000)	\$28,515,08
TDSB Properties (approved by Academic Board Jan 24, 2001)	(\$17,000,000)	\$11,515,08
Sidney Smith Hall infill revision (approved by P&B March 19, 2002)	(\$711,000)	\$10,804,08
RCAT facility (approved by P&B March 19, 2002)	(\$460,000)	\$10,344,08
Governing Council and board Room renovations (approved by P&B March 19, 2002)	(\$1,593,000)	\$8,751,08
St Michael's College / A & Sc. Alumni Hall (approved by P&B March 19, 2002)	(\$300,000)	\$8,451,08
Transfer of ROS infrastructure items from APF to UIIF	(\$10,200,000)	(\$1,748,91
University College Residence, \$1.3M (approved by P&B April 16, 2002 but on hold)	(07.000.000)	(\$1,748,91
UTM Wellness Centre, Match to Levy	(\$7,000,000)	(\$8,748,91
Varsity Stadium demolition (approved at P&B June4,2002)	(\$1,700,000)	(\$10,448,91
University College Residence (approved by P&B September 16,2002)	(\$70,000)	(\$10,518,91
FIS Bissel Building Student Study Space (approved by P&B September 16, 2002)	(\$35,000)	(\$10.553.91
Decommissioning of SLOWPOKE reactor, supplemental (proposed to P&B October 15, 2002)	(\$72,273)	(\$10,626,19
Family and Community Medicine relocation to 256 McCaul (proposed to P&B October 15, 2002)	(\$120,000)	(\$10,746,19
		(\$10,746,19
Recovery from Faculty of Medicine	\$11,123,400	\$377,20
otal UIIF Allocations net Faculty of Medicine recovery	\$133,182,705	
Put as Designed a from the Operating Budget		
Other Projects funded from the Operating Budget Negative balance in Capital Renewal Fund to be funded from the operating budget	(\$3,319,919)	
Negative balance in Capital Renewal Fund to be funded from operating hudget	(\$5,000,000)	
MARS Equity (debt service already funded from operating budget) Purchase of Medical Arts Building, (from 2004-05 on debt service costs, net of rental revenue	(\$3,000,000)	
Purchase of Medical Arts Building, (from 2004-05 on debt service costs, net of rental revenue will be funded from the operating budget)	(\$14,259,000)	
WILL DE TUDDED TOM THE DOBLATION DUQUED	1	

### 7. DIVISIONAL BUDGETS.

PageDivisional Financial Reports16

**Divisional Budget Schedules** 

	RECOMMENDE	RECOMMENDED 2003-04 BUDGET		PROJECTED C	PROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2002-03	
		DIVISIONAL			DIVISIONAL	
	GROSS	REVENUE	NET	GROSS	REVENILE	NET
BUDGET GROUP	EXPENSE	(INCL RECOVERIES)	EXPENSE	EXPENSE	(INCL RECOVERIES)	EXPENSE
Total Academic Expense	770,661,714	244,933,572	525,728,142	61.223.918	2 610 102	58 613 816
Academic Services	72,028,721	14,618,119	57.410.602	646.865		010,010,010 646 066
Administration	79,043,522	18,428,863	60.614.659	2 007 664		
Student Assistance	64,553,279	2.801.000	61 752 279	(8 678 388)		400' /00'Z
Campus & Student Services	14 836 640	11 086 180	750 450		0	(0,0/0,300)
Physical Plant				5CN'0	0	6,053
Maintenance and Services	51,410,611	15,121,697	36.288.914	572,804	C	572 BUA
Utilities	42,364,367	11.625.946	30.738.421	398.400		308 400
Lease Cost of Off Campus Space	987,937	0	987,937	30.656		30.666
Accommodations & Facilities Directorate	732,799	. 0	732.799	(48.516)		30,030 /AB 616/
General University Expense	46,246,348	9,788,793	36,457,555	4,883,906	622.869	4 261 037
	1,142,865,938	331,404,170 0	811,461,768	61,043,362	3,232,971	57,810,391
						0
Municipal Taxes	3,559,425	0	3,559,425	255,075	0	255,075
I U I AL UPERATING BUDGET	1,146,425,363	331,404,170	815,021,193	61,298,437	3.232.971	58.065.466

(Financial Report)

	RECOMMENDE	RECOMMENDED 2003-04 BUDGET		PROJECTED	PROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2002-03	
BUDGET GROUP	GROSS EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE INCI RECOVEDIESA	NET EVDENCE	GROSS	DIVISIONAL	NET
		10-11-10-1-1-1-1		EVIENSE	(INCL RECOVERIES)	EXPENSE
ARTS and SCIENCE						
Arts and Science University College	184,661,304 465,812	33,081,923 121,512	151,579,381 344,300	8,287,243 (110,729)	1,451,549 0	6,835,694 (110,729)
Iner College	00	00	00	00		00
Federated Colleges Block Grant	6,933,838	0	6,933,338	(37,086)	0	(37,086)
	1,860,975	0	1,860,975	(60,654)		(60.654)
Erindale - Academic Scarborouch Academic	37,182,944	5,862,166	31,320,778	4,518,953	0	4,518,953
	32,929,938	5,592,761	30,337,177	5,426,401	71,428	5,354,973
ICIAL AND SCIENCE	267,034,811	44,658,362	222,376,449	18,024,128	1,522,977	16,501,151
SCHOOLS and COLLEGES						
School of Continuing Studies	12,141,111	12,141,111	0	0	C	C
Munk Centre	254,758	0	254,758	(2,491	0	(2.491)
School of Graduate Studies	4,188,501	000'609	3,579,501	18,577		18,577
Graduate Institutes and Centres	5,834,456	699,601	5,134,855	(34,444)		(34,444)
Transitional Year Programme	1,260,474	75,075	1,185,399	86,381		86,381
Woodsworth College	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL, SCHOOLS and COLLEGES	23,679,400	13,524,787	10,154,513	68.023	C	68.023

(Financial Report)

	RECOMMEND	RECOMMENDED 2003-04 BUDGET		PROJECTED	PROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2002-03	
BUDGET GROUP	GROSS EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE	GROSS EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE
HEALTH SCIENCES						
Dentistry Medicine Nursing Pharmacy Physical Education &Health (Curr. & Co_Curr.) <b>TOTAL, HEALTH SCIENCES</b>	23,005,556 118,445,149 6,793,615 7,972,240 20,748,475 <b>176,965,035</b>	8,087,265 53,739,223 1,159,922 936,922 17,888,617 <b>81,811,950</b>	14,918,291 64,705,926 5,633,693 7,035,317 2,859,858 95,153,085	378,878 378,878 2,682,139 1,336,012 1,264,578 470,517 <b>6,132,124</b>	142,856 0 0 142,856	236,022 2,682,139 1,336,012 1,264,578 470,517 <b>5,989,268</b>

	RECOMMENDE	RECOMMENDED 2003-04 BUDGET				
BUDGET GROUP	GROSS EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET FYDENGE	GROSS	GROSS REVENUE STORNOL	NET
				EXPENSE	(INCL RECOVERIES)	EXPENSE
OTHER PROFESSIONAL FACULTIES						
Applied Science and Engineering Architecture and Landscepe Architecture	55,129,136 4,478,192	9,835,178 340 260	45,243,958	2,523,266	487,129	2.036.137
OISE/UI OISE/IIT		004.000	4,101,302	118,035	0	118,035
Inst. of Child Study	51,719,856 368 099	44,649,719	7,070,137	2,332,405	285,712	2.046.693
University of Toronto Schools Additional Ortalification	6,970,700	6,754,374	82,387 216,326	82,387 0	00	82,387
Forestry	0	0	0	00		0 0
Law	2,3/3,662	8,000	2,365,662	233,176		0 233 176
Law Book Fund	0	687'178'7	11,311,084	1,715,238	100,000	1,615,238
Information Studies	4,082,907	1.117 560	0 2 065 347	0	0	
Management	40,002,998	17,470,402	22,532,596	7 065 907	0	379,977
	6,357,570	6,357,570	0		0 0	7,065,897
Executive M.B.A. Program	4,520,700	4,520,700	0			0 0
Centre for International Business	835,217 î	540,850	294,367	0		
International Centre for Tax Studies	5 0	00	0	0	0	0
Music	78177	011100	0	0	0	0
Social Work	5,013,139	014,100 773.752	6,907,668 4,739,387	269,076 867 520	71,428	197,648
TOTAL, OTHER PROFESSIONAL FACULTIES	203,766,823	96,399,972	107,366,851	15,586,986	0	867,529
					2014/11.20	11,1440,41
	99,215,645	8,538,501	90,677 144	21,412,657	0	21,412,657
TOTAL ACADEMIC EXPENSE	770,661,714	244,933,572	525,728,142	61,223,918	2,610,102	58 613 816
					401101-	010'010'00

(Financial Report)

	RECOMMENDE	RECOMMENDED 2003-04 BUDGET		PROJECTED	PROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2002-03	
		DIVISIONAL			DIVISIONAL	
BUDGET GROUP	GROSS EXPENSE	REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE	GROSS EXPENSE	REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET Exdense
ACADEMIC SERVICES						
University of Toronto Computing	15,825,254	8,645,491	7,179,763	(219,533)	0	(219,533)
St. George Library - Operations - Central Book Fund	30,419,755 18,579,714	5,788,853 0	24,630,902	155,708	00	155,708
	48,999,469	5,788,853	43,210,616	896,042		/40,334 896,042
Erindale Library Scarborough Library	2,944,205 4,259,793	85,000 98 775	2,859,205	13,150	00	13,150
TOTAL, ACADEMIC SERVICES	72,028,721	14,618,119	57,410,602	646,865	0	(42,794) 646.865

(Financial Report)

				PROJECTED	CHANCES EDOM JOST AN	
BUDGET GROUP	GROSS EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE	GROSS EXPENSE	EXPENSE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE
ADMINISTRATION						
Offices of the Governing Council and Ombudsperson	2,559,298	291,064	2,268,234	194,522	c	101 500
	1,121,092	0	1,121,092	15,221		15,022
	1,407,793	0	1,407,793	36.025		10,441
Office of the Vice-Pres. and Provost	2,781,615	312,422	2.469.193	85.010		30'073 91 010
Office of the Vice Provost Planning & Budget	2,043,217	109,400	1,933,817	43,952		60'018 10 050
Office of the Vice Provost Students - Stud. Affrs & Othe	13,491,096	5,054,359	8,436,737	134.985		134 005
Utilice of the Vice Provosi Space Planning	2,509,825	1,016,188	1,493,637	(37,834)		1000, 101
Office of the Vice-Pres Research & International Rel.	5,154,756	53,994	5,100,762	345.091		345,004
Orrice of the Vice-Pres Government & Institutional Rel	614,796	0	614,796	123,686		123,686
Office of the Vice-Pres. & Chief Advancement Officer	18,163,991	4,095,224	14,068,767	152,124		152 124
Office of the vice-Pres Human Resources	7,224,038	1,253,925	5,970,113	389,829	0	389.829
Omce of the vice-pres Business Affairs	13,875,375	3,927,626	9,951,749	462,798	0	462,798
TOTAL, ST.GEORGE CAMPUS	70,950,892	16,114,202	54,836,690	1,945,418	o	1,945,418
Erindale Administration	4,358,070	1,992,905	2,365,165	22,993	c	27 993
Scarborough Administration	3,734,560	321,756	3,412,804	(15,747)	0	(15,747)
TOTAL ADMINISTRATION	79,043,522	18,428,863	60,614,659	2,007,664	0	2.007.664

(Financial Report)

	RECOMMEND	RECOMMENDED 2003-04 BUDGET		PROJECTED	PROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2002-03	
BUDGET GROUP	GROSS EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE	GROSS EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET Expense
STUDENT ASSISTANCE (excl. Divisional Sumoor)						
University Student Assistance	8,556,696	2,801,000	5.755.696	c	c	c
Graduate Fellowships	2,124,862	0	2 124 862	000 873 000		
Graduate Student Aid	3,037,863	0	3.037.863	(6 787 000)		(2,4/3,000)
OGSST	2,600,000	0	2 600 000			(nnn' / a / 'a)
Student Aid Reinvestment	23,620,517	0	23,620,517	5 429 640		0
Student Aid Funded from Restricted Funds	18,376,216	0	18,376,216	(6 448 028)		0,429,040
Erindale	56,400	0	56.400			(070'440'020) 0
Scarborough	106,000	0	106,000	o c		
OISE/UT	6,074,725	0	6.074.725	1.600.000		
TOTAL, STUDENT ASSISTANCE	64,553,279	2,301,000	61,752,279	(8,678,388)		(8.678.388)

(Financial Report)

	RECOMMENDI	RECOMMENDED 2003-04 BUDGET		PROJECTED	PROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2002-03	
	GROSS	DIVISIONAL	NET	GROSS	DIVISIONAL	NET
BUDGET GROUP	EXPENSE	(INCL RECOVERIES)	EXPENSE	EXPENSE	(INCL RECOVERIES)	EXPENSE
CAMPUS & STUDENT SERVICES						
St. George	7,833,120	7,833,120	0	-	0	0
Erindale Scarborough	3,739,391 3,264,129	3,770,877 2,482,183	(31,486) 781,946	0 6,053	00	0 6,053
TOTAL CAMPUS & STUDENT SERVICES	14,836,640	14,086,180	750,460	6,053	3 0	6.053

	RECOMMENDE	RECOMMENDED 2003-04 BUDGET		PROJECTED	PROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2002.02	
BUDGET GROUP	GROSS EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE	GROSS EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE
FACILITIES AND SERVICES						
Maintenance and Services - St. George Campus - Erindale Campus - Scarborough Campus	44,330,226 2,831,252 4,199,133	13.779,549 277,176 1.064,972	30,600,677 2,554,076 3,134,161	695,910 (40,325) (82,781)	000	695,910 (40,325) (82,781)
TOTAL, MAINTENANCE and SERVICES	51,410,611	15,121,697	36,238,914	572,804	0	572,804
Utilities - St. George Campus - Erindale Campus - Scarborough Campus	37,151,098 2,687,637 2,525,632	11,475,446 150,500 0	25,675,652 2,537,137 2,525,632	240,161 (158,274) 316,513	000	240,161 (158,274) 316,513
TOTAL, UTILITIES	42,364,367	11,625,946	30,738,421	398,400	0	398,400
Lease Cost of Off Campus Space	987,937	0	987,937	30,656	o	30,656
Accommodations and Facilities (AFD)	732,799	0	732,799	(48,516)	0	(48.516)

(Financial Report)

DIVISIONAL EXPENSE         DIVISIONAL EXPENSE         REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)         NET EXPENSE         GROSS EXPENSE           SITY EXPENSE         5,014,898         0         5,014,398         (1,047,654)         0           SiTY EXPENSE         5,014,898         0         5,014,398         (1,047,654)         0         0           BITY EXPENSE         32,760         0         32,760         0         6,002         0         0           Inses Affairs         1,143,886         2,717         1,141,169         (6,002)         665,272         5,271,290		KECOMMEND	ACCOMMENDED 2003-04 BUDGEI		rkujecied (	FRUJECIEU CHANGES FROM 2002-03	
SITY EXPENSE 5,014,898 5,014,898 5,014,398 6,014,398 (1,047,654) 3,2,760 0 3,2,760 0 3,766,570 0 3,756,570 0 3,756,570 0 3,756,570 0 3,756,570 0 3,756,570 0 5,211,290 (5,002) (5,002) 0 4,007 (5,002) 0 4,007 (5,002) 0 4,007 (5,002) 0 4,007 (5,002) 0 4,007 (5,002) 0 4,007 (5,002) 0 4,007 (5,002) 0 4,007 (5,002) 0 4,007 (5,002) 0 4,007 (5,002) 0 4,007 (5,002) 0 4,007 (5,002) 0 4,007 (5,002) 0 5,014,398 (1,047,654) (1,047,654) (1,044,146	3UDGET GROUP	GROSS EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE	GROSS EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET FXPFNSF
5,014,898     0     5,014,898     0     5,014,898     (1       ainess Affairs     32,760     0     32,760     32,760       man Resources     1,143,886     2,717     1,141,169       alary, wage and     3,756,570     0     3,756,570       its, and recoveries from     36,298,134     9,785,076     26,512,058     5       AL UNIVERSITY EXPENSE     46,246,348     9,788,793     36,457,555     4	<b>3ENERAL UNIVERSITY EXPENSE</b>						
iness Affairs 1, 143,866 2,717 1, 141,169 man Resources 3,756,570 0 3,756,570 alary, wage and 36,298,134 9,785,076 26,512,058 5 ts, and recoveries from 46,246,348 9,788,793 36,457,555 4	President 3overning Council	5,014,898 32760	00	5,014,398	(1,047,654)	0	(1,047,654)
Main resources 5, 56,570 0 3,766,570 0 3,766,570 alary, wage and 36,298,134 9,788,076 26,512,058 5 ts, and recoveries from 46,246,348 9,788,793 36,457,555 4 AL UNIVERSITY EXPENSE 46,246,348 9,788,793 36,457,555 4	Vice-President - Business Affairs	1,143,886		1,141,169	u (5,002)	00	0 (5,002)
AL UNIVERSITY EXPENSE 46,246,348 9,788,793 36,457,555	General (including salary, wage and benefit commitments, and recoveries from	3,730,570 36,298,134	0 9,785,076	3,756,570 26,512,058	665,272 5,271,290	0 622,869	665,272 4,648,421
	ancillaries) TOTAL, GENERAL UNIVERSITY EXPENSE	46,246,348	9,788,793	36,457,555	4.883.906	622.869	4 261 037
MUNICIPAL TAXES 3,559,425 0 3,559,425 256,075	MUNICIPAL TAXES	3,559,425	0	3.559.425	255 075		9.55.075

(Financial Report)

					TROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2002-03	
		DIVISIONAL			DIVISIONAL	
BUDGET GROUP	GROSS EXPENSE	REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE	GROSS EXPENSE	(INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE
ERINDALE						
Academic	37,182,944	5.862.166	31.320.778	4 518 953	c	A 518 053
Academic Services - Library	2,944,205	85.000	2,859,205	13 150		12 160
Administration, incl Campus and Student			0010001	201121	5	10,130
Services	8,097,461	5,763,782	2,333,679	77.993	c	77 993
Facilities & Services - Maintenance & Services	2,831,252	277,176	2,554,076	(40,325)	, c	(40.325)
- Utilities	2,687,637	150,500	2.537.137	(158.274)		(158 274)
Student Assistance	56,400	0	56,400			
TOTAL, ERINDALE	53,799,899	12,138,624	41,661,275	4,411,497	0	4.411.497

IN THE PRECEDING FINANCIAL REPORT UNDER THE VARIOUS BUDGET GROUPS.

## (Financial Report)

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO RECOMMENDED 2003 - 04 BUDGET

	RECOMMEND	RECOMMENDED 2003-04 BUDGET		PROJECTED	PROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2002-03	
BUDGET GROUP	GROSS EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE	GROSS EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE
SCARBOROUGH						
Academic Academic Services - Library Administration, incl Campus and Student	35,929,938 4,259,793	5,592,761 98,775	30,337,177 4,161,018	5,426,401 (42,794)	71,428	5,354,973 (42,794)
Services Facilities & Services - Maintenance & Services	6,998,689 4,199,133 2,525,522	2,803,939 1,064,972	4,194,750 3,134,161	(9,694) (82,781)	0 0	(9,694) (82.781)
Student Assistance	106,000	0 0	2,525,632 106,000	316,513 0	00	316,513
IOTAL, SCARBURUUGH	54,019,185	9,560,447	44,458,738	5,607,645	71,428	5.536.217

IN THE PRECEDING FINANCIAL REPORT UNDER THE VARIOUS BUDGET GROUPS.

(Financial Report)

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO RECOMMENDED 2003 - 04 BUDGET

BUDGET GROUP I : ARTS & SCIENCE

DIVISIONAL BUDGET SCHEDULES

TOTAL	204,772,614	205,875,208				8,111,640 ^	0	100,000	73,955		(11,779,391)	(1,945,552)	0	(1,107,586)	0	(100,001)	16,520,315		149,379	(168, 543)	(19,164)	221.292.929	1.083.520	222,376,449		2.100.000	9,464,457	26,505,795	2,856,849	3,731,261	44,658,362	267,034,811	
		0	,		¢	0											0			0	0	c	0	0							0	0	
TORONTO SCHOOL OF THEOLOGY	1,921,629	1.921.629			c	Ð			(60,654)							(10) (64)	(90,03)			0	0	1.860.975	0	1,860,975							0	1,860,975	
FEDERATED I UNIVERSITIES BLOCK GRANT	6,670,924 300.000	6,970,924			c	2			131,457							131 457	104,101			(168,543)	(168,543)	6,802,381	131,457	6,933,838							C	6,933,838	
FEDERATED SCARBOROUGH UNIVERSITIES ACADEMIC BLOCK GRANT	1	24,982,204			1 789 747	0	• 0	5,872,910	0		(1,592,590)	(1,043)	0	(£01,862)		5 310 R61	Thefatefe		44,112	0	44,112	30,261,886	75,291	30,337,177		0	2,186,771	3,405,990	0	0	5,592,761	35,929,938	
ERINDALE SC ACADEMIC	26,745,304 56.521	26801,825			1.070.174	0	0	5,472,063	0		(170,000,1)	(660,166)	(105 11)	(190,41)	0	4515.736	071677-1		3,217	0	3,217	31,261,040	59,738	31,320,778		0	3,074,831	2,583,469	203,866	0	5,862,166	37,182,944	
COLLEGE	0	0														0			0		•	0	0	0			0	0	0		0	0	
NEW COLLEGE	00	0														0		c	0		0	0	0	0			0	0			0	0	
UNIVERSITY COLLEGE	455,029 0	455,029			15,187	0	0	0	0	(145 10)		0	(98 369)	0	0	(110,729)		c			0	344,300	0	344,300		0	46,512	75,000	0	0	121,512	465,812	
ARTS & SCIENCE	144,028,703 714,984	144,743,687			5,736,532	0	100,000	11,822,276	3,152	(8,504,233)	(1.587.410)	0	(736.673)	Ò	(100,000)	6,733,644		102 050	000,201	102 050	100,201	150,762,347	817,034	151,579,381		2,100,000	4,156,343	20,441,336	2,652,983	3,731,261	33,081,923	184,661,304	
	Net Base Budget for 2002-(3 One-Time-Only Budget for 2002-03	TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03	RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:	ADDITIONS TO BASE:	Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit Increase	Friorities Funds	Expense Outset by Additional income		COMPACT. VOUR. & FOLCY COMMUL. REDUCTIONS FROM BASE:	Budget Reduction	Academic PTR Recovery	Expense Recovery	Transfers	Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit.	(INCREASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE	RECOMMENDED BASE 3UDGET CHANGE		ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES: Research Overhead (Net Changes)	Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. (Net Changes)	RECOMMENDED ONF-TIME-ONI V RIDGET CHANGE		Recommended Net Base Budget for 2003-04	Recommended OTO Budget for 2003-04	TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2003-04	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)	Endowment Income :	External Income :	Internal Recoveries :	External Recoveries :	Negative Approp. :	TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)	<b>GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2003-04</b>	

BUDGET GROUP II : SCHOOLS AND COLLEGES

# **DIVISIONAL BUDGET SCHEDULES**

MUNK	240,494 9	16,755	0 257,249 10,086,590			7,011 403,401	0	0 000 241.325			(14,727) $(611,277)$			D	0	0 2.284 35.263	0 (4,775) <b>32,760</b>	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & (4.775) & 32.760 \\ \end{array}$	(	242,778 9,		0 254,758 10,154,613	c	1111 12,434,111	0	420,972	137,717	12,141,111 0 13,524,787
TRANSITIONAL SCHOOL OF YEAR CONTINUING PROCEDAMME STUTUES	<b>_</b>	1 200 010	1,099,018			56,306		98.817	×		(68,742)					86.381	 0	0		1,185,399	0	1,185,399		45,000 11,861,111				141,21 C/0,C/
GRADUATE TRAN CENTRES 3 & INSTITITES PROC		141,/99 5 120 200	o,109,299			183,013	0 0	0 54.031	1,814		(307,425)			0	0 0	(68.567)	 34,123 0	34.123		4,958,933	175,922	5,134,855	C	51,000	89,912	420,972	137,717	 699,601
SCHOOL OF GRADUATE STUDIES	4 8	2 561 034	4701,02,c			157,071		78,477			(220,383)					15.165	3,412	3.412		3,562,009	17,592	3,579,601		477,000	132,000			000,600
WOODSWORTH COLLEGE	0	U	D													0	0	GE		0		-04 0	 		ries : 0	ries :	o. :	5) U
	Net Base Budget for 2002-03 One-Time-Only Budget for 2002 03	TOTAL NET RUDGET FOR 2002-03		RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:	ADDITIONS TO BASE:	Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit Increase Priorities Funds	Frioritics Funds Exnense Offset by Additional Income	Transfers	Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit.	REDUCTIONS FROM BASE:	Budget Reduction	Academic P I K Recovery	Expense Recovery	I ransiers	Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit.	RECOMMENDED BASE BUDGET CHANGE	ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES: Research Overhead (Net Changes) Contract Oblic, & Policy Commit (Net Changes)	COMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE		Recommended Net Base Budget for 2003-04	Recommended OTO Budget for 2003-04	TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2003-04	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES) Fadowment Income	External Income :	Internal Recoveries :	External Recoveries :	Negative Approp.	I UI AL DIVISIUNAL KEVENUE (INCL. KECUVERIES)

	DENTISTRY	MEDICINE	UNISALIN		PHYSICAL EDUCATION & HEALTH	TOTAL
Net Base Budget for 2002-03 One-Time-Only Budget for 2002-03	14,334,904	60,777,975 1 245 812	4,287,675	5,733,285 37 454	(IIIUI AUI & Rec) 2,387,781 1 560	87,521,620
TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03	14,682,269	62,023,787	4,297,681	5,770,739	2,389,341	89,163,817
RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:						
ADDITIONS TO BASE:						c
Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit Increase	637,542	2,341,229	228,107	234,309	99,788	3,540,975
Priorities Funds	0 0			0	0	0
Expense Uttset by Additional Income Transfers	0	4 469 016	000 102 1	0	0	0
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit.	240	4,400,010	1,704,024	0,0,000,1 0	497,008 0	8,482,049 740
REDUCTIONS FROM BASE:	•			>	>	
Budget Reduction	(890,517)	(3,567,474)	(268, 689)	(355,072)	(131,603)	(5,213,355)
Academic PTR Recovery	0	(405,176)		(71,988)		(477,164)
Expense Recovery	0			0	0	0
Transfers	(81,531)	(44,000)		0	0	(125,531)
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit.	0			0	0	0
(INCREASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE	0			0	0	0
RECOMMENDED BASE BUDGET CHANGE	431,655	2,792,595	1,343,447	1,174,324	465,193	6,207,214
ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES:						
Research Overhead (Net Changes)	(195,633)	(110,456)	(7,435)	90,254	5,32	(217,946)
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. (Net Changes)	0			0	0	0
RECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE	(195,633)	(110,456)	(7,435)	90,254	5,324	(217,946)
Recommended Net Base Budget for 2003-04	14,766,559	63,570,570	5,631,122	6,907,609	2,852,974	93,728,834
Recommended OTO Budget for 2003-04	151,732	1,135,356	2,571	127,708		1,424,251
TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2003-04	14,918,291	64,705,926	5,633,693	7,035,317	2,859,858	95,153,085
DIVISIONAL REVENUE ( INCL. RECOVERIES) Fadowment Income	120.000	2.831.517	29.990	0	O	2.981.507
External Income :	4.304.675	14.642.337	408,800	779.067	11.246.89	31.381.772
Internal Recoveries :	3,243,116	3,463,003	404,315	157,856		13,889,699
External Recoveries :	419,474	32,802,366	316,817	0	20,315	33,558,972
Negative Approp. :	0	0		0	0	0
TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE ( INCL. RECOVERIES)	8,087,265	53,739,223	1,159,922	936,923	17,888,617	81,811,950 0

176,965,035

20,748,475

7,972,240

6,793,615

118,445,149

23,005,556

**GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2003-04** 

DIVISIONAL BUDGET SCHEDULES

**BUDGET GROUP III : HEALTH SCIENCES** 

DIVISIONAL BUDGET SCHEDULES BUDGET GROUP IV : PROFESSIONAL FACULTIES

TOTAL GROUP IV	90,141,880	2,582,254		3.295.214	0 100,000	11,084,173 6,205,074	0 (4,778,566)	(043,034) 0	(306,063) 0	(100,000)	14,851,748	0	(TCN*CN7)	(209,031)	104,993,628	2,373,223	107,366,851		3,746,465 67 157 048	26 856 318	1.407.017	2.232.224	96,399,972	0 203,766,823
SOCIAL WORK		42,071		164,407		918,406	(207,905)			011 000	8/4,908	(07 179)	(1)11	(7,379)	4,204,695	34,692	4,239,387		323,132	258 108	287		773,752	5,013,139
MUSIC	20	6,710,020		273,041		481,866 281	(404,022)		10,171	107 640	17/,040			0	6,907,668	0	6,907,668		307 594	571 512			874,106	7,781,774
JOSEPH L. ROTMAN SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT	15,705,806	15,761,066		0	0 0	938,880 6,171,162	000	000	00	0 7 110.042	740/0114	(44,145)	0	(44.145)	22,815,848	11,115	22,826,963		13 200 240	13.843257	179.250	0	28,889,522	51,716,485
7	2,566,013	2,585,370		124,056		425,010	(160,059)			389 007	1001000	(0:030)		(9,030)	2,955,020	10,327	2,965,347		1.024.560	93,000			1,117,560	4,082,907
	9,564,175 131.671	9,695,846		381,952	100,000	33,631	(618,577) (85,570)			(100,000) 1,702,940		(87,702)		(87,702)	11,267,115	43,969	11,311,084	000 005	539,500	1,626,495	355,794		2,821,789	14,132,873
FORESTRY	2,110,921 21,565	2,132,486		101,505	000.000	000'077	(131,639)	(15,000)		244,866		(11,690)		(11,690)	2,355,787	9,875	2,365,662			8,000			8,000	2,373,662
OISEUT U.T.S.	216,326	216,326								0				0	216,326	0 200 210	216,326	540.000	6,150,864	63,510		0	6,754,374	6,970,700
OISE/UT	4,875,223 148,221	5,023,444		362,887 0	0 2.616.794	00	(577,914) (43,226)	0 (191,765)	00	2,166,776		(37,696)	0	(37,696)	7,041,999	C7C'011	475,721,1	416 493	36,642,301	5,620,323	24,090	2,232,224	44,935,431	52,087,955
ARCHITECTURE, LANDSCAPE, & DESIGN	1,019,891 0	4,019,897		184,47) 0	) 186,863	0	(250,160)	0 (5,001)	• •	116,178		1,857	•	1,857	4,136,075	100 201 4	106,101,4	0	30,000	310,260	0	0	340,260	4,478,192
APFLIED AR SCIENCE & 1 ENGINEERING AI 043 712	2,164,109	43,207,821		1,702,896 0	0 3,234,845	0	(2,428,290) (347,573)	0 (112,495)	0 0	2,049,383		(13,246)	0	(13,246)	43,093,095	15 742 050	006,047,04	500.000	4,075,729	4,461,853	847,596	0	9,885,178	55,129,136
	One-Time-Only Budget for 2002-03	RECOMMENDED BUDGET FOR 2002-03	ADDITIONS TO BASE:	Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit Increase Priorities Funds	Expense Offiset by Additional Income Transfers	Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. REDUCTIONS FROM BAS3:	Budget Reduction Academic PTR Recovery Exmense Recovery	Transfers	CONTRACT. JOIR. & POLICY COMMIT. (INCREASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE	RECOMMENDED BASE BUDGET CHANGE	ONE-TIME ONLY PURCEY ON MODE	Research Overlead (Net Changes)	Collutact. Ublig. & Policy Commit. (Net Changes) RECOMMENDED ONE TIME ONLY DIFFECT CITATOR		Recommended Net Base Budget for 2003-04 Recommended OTO Budget for 2003-04	TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET RIDGET FOR 2003.04		DIVISIONAL REVENUE ( INCL. RECOVERIES) Endowment Incene :	External Income :	Internal Recoveries :	External Recoveries :	Negative Approp. :	TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)	GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2003-04

COSTS
2
5
Ē
- 🖓
Ū,
4
ER
H
Ы
>
à.
2
8
5
F
GE
×
5
B

## DIVISIONAL BUDGET SCHEDULES

ATOP/EGF 19,297,547	19,297,547	a to the state of			33,482,538		1080102960	(1011071-7)	9,280,549		0	0	28,578,096	0	060,010,02	0	28,578,096
RESEARCH SUPPORT P.D.& D. 345,657	345,657								0			0	345,657	0	1 (0,640	o	<u>345,657</u>
NON- 1 DEPARTMENTAL PROFESSORS 385,484	385,484	- - -	90C E						3,206			0	388,690	0 000		6,813	395,503
PROVOSTS RESERVE 0	0								0			0	0	0	2	0	0
BRIDGING PR FUND R	00								0		0	0	0 0			0	0
	1,937,320					0			0		(984,446)	(984,446)	0	972,874	0	0	952,874
. 1	2,250,000		0	0 0	1,250,000 0	000	00	0 0	1,250,000	0	0	0	3,500,000	3 500 000	0000	00	3.500.000
PROVOST'S RESERVE & CONTINGENCY 2,292,790	2,292,790				400,000 350,000	(106,281)			643,719			0	2,936,5(9 Å	2 936 509		0	2,936,5(9
F SHERIDAN F FINE ARTS CO 441,047	441,047				2,790,447				2,790,447			0	3,231,494	1 211 494		0	3,231,494
Net Base Budget for 2002-03 One-Time-Only Budget for 2002-03	TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03	RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:	ADDITIONS TO BASE: Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit increase Devention Errna.	Expense offset by Additional Income	tionisters Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. REDUCTIONS FROM BASE:	Budget Reduction Academic PTR Recovery	Expense Recovery Transfers	Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. (INCREASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE	KECUMMENDED BASEBUDGET CHANGE	ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES: Research Overhead (Net Changes)	Contract. Ublig. & Policy Commit. (Net Changes)	NEUOWINIENDED UNE-LINE-UNLY BUDGET CHANGE	Recommended Net Base Budget for 2003-04	TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET RIDGET FOR 2013-04	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES) Endowment Income : External Income : Internal Recoveries : External Recoveries	Negative Approp. : TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE ( INCL. RECOVERIES)	GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2003-04

BUDGET GROUP V: OTHER ACADEMIC COSTS

TOTAL GROUP V	66,462,417 2.802.070	69,264,487			203,206	• •	2,624,493	54,000,242	(106,281)	0	0	(/ 1/,086,96) 0	100.000	22,440,943	0	(1,028,286)	(1,028,286)	88,903,360	1,773,784	90,677,144	1,257,000	500,000	6,781,501	0	0	8,538,501 0	99,215,645
OFHER Fields Institute Griev, Conf. Sup Search Comm., & Adv Acad Poet		456,038			0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0		00	0	0	0	0	456,038	0	456,038	0	500,000	0	0	0	500,000	<u>956,038</u>
INDEMNITY AGAINST ENDOWMENT PAYOUT 1 OSSES								2,500,000						2,500,000		0	0	2,500,000	0	2,500,000						0	2,500,000
OVERHEAD NO FEDERAL RESEARCH GRANT	3,652,179							901,106						307,156			0	3,959,335	0	3,959,335						0	3,959,335
PERFORMANCE BASED FUNDING							. 100	000,000,1						1,300,000		0	0	1,300,000	0	1,300,000						0	1,300,000
CANADA P RESEARCH CHAIRS	21,009,271	21,009,271			200,000		974,493	666 744 1						8,617,492		0	0	29,626,763	0	29,626,763			6,774,688			6,774,688	36,401,451
DIVISIONAL CAMPAIGN EXPENSES	2,100,000	2,100,000												0		0	0	2,100,000	0	2,100,000						0	2,100,000
ACADEMIC I SERVICE ( NITIATIVES								000 <sup>1</sup> 000						500,000			0	500,000	0	500,000							500,000
ACAD / PRUORITIES / FUND II	15,264,097	15,264,097					CU1 101 5				(10,178,728)			(4,851,626)		0	0	10,412,471	0	10,412,471						0	10,412,471
	(1,257,000)	(1.257,000)											100,000	100,000			0	(1,157,000)	0	(1,157,000)	1,257,000	0				,257,000	100,000
ENDOW CHAIRS/ UNIV. IROF'S. ACADEMIC ADMINISTRATORS MATCHIG FDS. REVIEWS ON LEAVE INCOME	864,750	864,750							0					0		(215,040)	(215,040)	0	649,710	649,710						0	649,710
ACADEMIC A	225,307	225,307												0		171,200	171,200	225,307	171,200	396,507						0	396.507
	Net Base Budget for 2002-03 One-Time-Only Budget for 2002-03	TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03	RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:	ADDITIONS TO BASE:	batance of ration rears satary/renefit increase Priorities Funds	Expense Offset by Additional Ircome	I ransters Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit	REDUCTIONS FROM BASE.	Budget Reduction Academic PTR Recovery	Exmense Recovery	Transfers	Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit.	(INCREASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE	RECOMMENDED BASE BUDGET CHANGE	ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES: Research Overhead (Net Changes)	Contract. Oblig. & Policy Comnit. (Net Changes)	RECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE	Recommended Net Base Budget for 2003-04	Recommended OTO Budget for 2003-04	TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2003-04	DIVISIONAL REVENUE ( INCL. RECOVERIES) Endownent Income :	External Income :	Internal Recoveries :	External Recoveries :	Negative Approp. :	TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)	GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET 7OR 2003-04

BUDGET GROUP VI: ACADEMIC SERVICES

# DIVISIONAL BUDGET SCHEDULES

BUDGET GROUP VII: CAMPUS & STUDENT SRVCS

**DIVISIONAL BUDGET SCHEDULES** 

	ST GEORGE CAMPUS &	ERINDALE CAMPUS &	SCARBOROUGH CAMPUS &	TOTAL
Net Base Budoet for 2002-03	SI SKVCS	ST SRVCS	ST SR	<b>GROUP VII</b>
One-Time-Only Budget for 2002-03	00	(31,486) 0	) 775,893 0	744,407 0
TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03	0	(31,486)	) 775,893	744,407
RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:				
ADDITIONS TO BASE:				
Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit Increase	0	0	6,053	0 6,053
Friorities Funds	0	0		0
Expense Ottset by Auditional Income Transfers	0 0	0		0
Contract Oblig & Dolicy Commit	0 (	0		0
REDUCTIONS FROM BASE:	0	0	0	0
Budget Reduction	0	C	C	
Academic PTR Recovery	0	0		• •
Expense Recovery	0	0		ò
Transfers	0	0	0	• •
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit.	0	0		0
(INCREASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE	0	0		0
RECOMMENDED BASE BUDGET CHANGE	0	0	6,053	6,053
ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES: Research Overhead (Net Changes)	0	0		0
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. (Net Changes)	0	0		0
RECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE	0	0	0	0
Recommended Net Base Budget for 2003-04	0	(31,486)	) 781,946	750,460
Recommended OTO Budget for 2003-04	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2003-04	0	(31,486)	781,946	750,460
DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES) Endowment Income :	0	0	0	0
External Income :	7,225,630	3,322,101	2	12,658,499
Internal Recoveries :	606,790	404,790	371,415	1,382,995
External Recoveries :	700	43,986		44,686
Negative Approp. :	0	0	0	0
TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE ( INCL. RECOVERIES)	7,833,120	3,770,877	2,482,183	14,086,180
GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2003-04	7,833,120	3,739,391	3.264,129	14,836,640

SSISTANCE	
TUDENT ASS	
VIII : S	
UDGET GROUP	
BUD	

DIVISIONAL BUDGET SCHEDULES

TOTAL CROUP VIII	70,430,667	70,430,667			0	0	0	1,915,000	1,/81,012	0	0	0	(12,375,000)	• •	(8,678,388)	0	0	0	61,752,279	0	61,752,179	0 2.801.000	0	0 0	2,801,000	64,553,179
OISEALT	25	4,474,725			0			1,600,000							1,600,000			0	6,074,725	0	6,074,725		0		0	6,074,725
SCARBOROLIGH	106,000	106,000			0	0	0	0 0	D	0	0	0	0	00	0	0	0	0	106,000	0	106,000	c	0	00	ò	106,000
ERINDALE SC		56,400			0	0	0	00	>	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	56,400	0	56,400	c	0	0 0	0	56,400
SUB TOTAL CENTRAL ST ASSIST	65,793,542 0	65,793,542			0	0	0	315,000		0	0	0	(12,375,000)	00	(10,278,388)	0	0	0	55,515,154	0	55,515,154	2.801.000	0	00	2,801,000	58,316,154
STUDENT AID FUNDED FROM RESTRICTED FUNDS	24,824,244 0	24,824,244			0			0 (6 448 028)							(6,448,028)		0	0	18,376,216	0	18,376,216				0	18.376.216
STUDENT F AID REINVESTMENT	18,190,877 0	18,190,877			0			5.429.640	•						5,429,640		0	0	23,620,517	0	23,620,517				0	23,620,517
OGSST RU	2,600,000 0	2,600,000													0		0	0	2,600,000	0	2,600,000				0	2,600,000
GRADUATE STUDENT AID	9,824,863 0	9,824,863						2,800,000				1000 202 00	(000,100,4)		(6,787,000)		0	0	3,037,863	0	3,037,863				0	3,037,863
	4,597,862 0	4,597,862					315 000	000'010				1000 882 67	(000,000,12)		(2,473,000)	·	0	0	2,124,862	0	2,124,862		0		0	2,124,862
UNDERGRAD STUDENT GRADUATE ASSISTANCE FELLOWSHIPS	5,755,696	5,755,696													0	c	0	0	5,755,696	0	5,755,696	2,801,000	0	0	2,801,000	8,556,696
	Net Base Budget for 2002-03 One-Time-Only Budget for 2002-03	TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03	RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:	ADDITIONS TO BASE:	Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit Increase Priorities Funds	Expense Offset by Additional Income	Transfers	Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit.	REDUCTIONS FROM BASE:	buaget Reauction Academic PTR Recovery		Transfers	Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit.	(INCREASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE	KECOMMENDED BASE BUDGET CHANGE	ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES: Research Overhead (Net Changes)	Contract. Ublig. & Policy Commit. (Net Changes)	KECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE	Recommended Net Base Budget for 2003-04	Recommended OTO Budget for 2003-04	TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2003-04	DIVISIONAL REVENUE ( INCL. RECOVERIES) Endowment Income : External Income :	Internal Recoveries :	External Recoveries: Negative Approp.	TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)	GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2(03-04

BUDGET GROUP IX : FACILITIES AND SERVICES

DIVISIONAL BUDGET SCHEDULES

TOTAL GROUP IX	66,002,131	54,000			950,864	•	0 488.959	1,245,396	(1,581,974)	0	0	(83,041)	0	1,020,204		0	(49,000)	(49,000)	67,022,335	5,000	67,027,335	-	7.865.374	18,882,269	0	0	26,747,643 0	<u>93,774,978</u>
SCARBOROUGH	2,209,119	2.209.119			0	0 0	0 0	316,513	0	0	0	0	0 0	316,513		0 0	0	0	2,525,632	0	2,525,632	c	0	0	0	0	0	2,525,632
SCARBOROUGH MAINTENANCE 8 & SERVICES	3,216,942	3,216,942			62,038	0 0	44,987	0	(189,806)	0	0	0	0 0	(82,781)		0 0		0	3,134,161	0	3,134,161	C	31,435	1,033,537	0	0	1,064,972	4,199,133
S ERINDALE 1 UTILITIES	2,695,411 0	2,695,411			0 0		ò	(158,274)	0	0	0	0	0 0	(158,274)		0 0		0	2,537,137	0	2,537,137	0	0	150,500	0	0	150,500	2,687,637
ERINDALE MAINTENANCE & SERVICES	2,594,401 0	2,594,401			59,356 0		37,252	0	(136,933)	0	0	0 0		(40,325)		00			2,554,076	0	2,554,076	0	12,000	265,176	0	0	277,176	2,831,252
ST GEORGE UTILITIES	25,435,491 0	25,435,491			0			240,161						240,161		c			25,675,652	0	25,675,652		5,556,948	5,918,498			11,475,446	37,151,098
PROPERTY MANAGEMENT DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION	0 0	0		c	0	0	0						C	) 0		C		0	0	0	0		0	0			0	0
ST GEORGE MAINTENANCE & SERVICES	29,850,767 54,000	29,904,767			074,410		406,720	846,996	(1,255,235)		(110 60)	(140,08)		744,910		(49.000)	(000(0))	(000'6+)	30,595,677	5,000	30,600,677		2,264,991	11,514,558			13,779,549	44,380,226
	Net base budget for 2002-03 One-Time-Only Budget for 2002-03	TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03	RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:	ADDITIONS TO BASE: Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Banafit Increases	Priorities Funds	Expense Offset by Additional Income	Transfers	CONTRACT. JOILS & FOLKY COMMIL. REDUCTIONS FROM BASE:	Budget Reduction	Evenue Provery	Expense recovery Transfers	Contract Oblig & Policy Commit	(INCREASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE	RECOMMENDED BASE BUDGET CHANGE	ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES: Received Overhead Over Charactery	Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. (Net Changes)	RECOMMENDED ONE TIME ONI V RUDGET CHANGE		Recommended Net Base Budget for 2003-04	Recommended OTO Budget for 2003-04	TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2003-04	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIE3) Endowment Income:	External Income :	Internal Recoveries:	External Recoveries:	Negative Approp.:	IOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE ( INCL. RECOVERIES)	GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2003-04

•

BUDGET GROUP X : ADMINISTRATIVE & GOVERNANCE	4CE		DIVISIONALI	DIVISIONAL BUDGET SCHEDULES													
	GOVERNING		UNT.	OFFICE OF THE VICE-PRES		VICE PROVOST - STUDENTS OFFICE OF	STUDENTS	VICE	VICE	VICE	VICE	VICE	ante				
-	COUNCIL, OMBUDSPERSON, INTERNAL AUD.T	OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT	COST (incl Sexual Harassment) 1	& PROVOST V (incl Office of TeachAdvancm()	VICE-PROVOST PLANNING * BUDGET /:	STUDENT VI AFFAIRS	VICE-PROVOST 1 STUDENTS	PROVOST PE SPACE B	5 22	5 - 1		PRESIDENT GOVERNMENT	PRESIDENT FESEARCH &	SUBTOTAL ST GEORGE	ERINDALE SCARBOROUGH	ARBOROUGH	TOTAL
Net Base Budgel for 2002-03 Dne-Time-Only Budgel for 2002-03	2,073,712	1,105,871	0000	2,384,174	1	26,325	52				13,916,643	& INST. RELTNS 491,110	NTL. RELTNS 4,755,671	52,891,272	ADMIN 2,237,172	ADMIN 3,428,551	CROUP X 58,606,995
TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2002-0)	2.073,712	1,105,871	1,371,768	2,384,174	1,889,865	26.325	8.275.427	1.531.471	9 488 951	0 100 2	11 016 241	011.101	0	0	0	0	0
RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:									In the second	107'000'0	640'016'01	491,110	4,755,671	52,891,272	2,287,172	3,428,551	58,606,995
ADDITIONS TO BASE:																	
Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit herease Priorities Funds	107,748	49,350	35,279	143,389	91,865		245,073	66,282	451,224	243,597	345 519	20.419	200 801	000 000 1			
Expense Offset by Additional Income				00			0	0	0	0	0	D11-0-4	0/0/1-01	072'556'1	0 0	200,161	2,361,604
Transfers	93,849	17,278	133,360	81,658	70.000		00000	•	0	0	0			0	0	0	
CURRENCTIONS FROM BASE: REDUCTIONS FROM BASE:	¢		0	50,000			0	. 0	0	138,000	1/1,94	126,031	430,999	2,157,194	0 0	0	2,157,194
Budget Reduction Academic PTR Becovery	(97,075)	(51,407	(62,614)	(150,390)	(117,913)		(455.088)	195.0671	1447 TAAN	1003 6963	1010 EUG			000'001	0	0	188000
Expense Recovery			• •	0 0				0	0	0	(995'/87) 0	(22,763)	(217,594)	(2,247,519)	(149,630)	(215,908)	(2,613,057)
Transfers	00'06		(70,000)	0 (39,638)			0	0	0	0	0					• •	• •
Contract. Ublig. & Policy Commit.	•		0	0			0	(9,049) 0	(000'01)	• •	0 (		(065.2)	(96,077)	. 0	• •	(96077)
RECOMMENDED BASE BUICKET CHANGE	0 101	105.31	0	0			0	. 0			0 0			0	0	0	e
	370'661	177'61	CZU,0Ł	85,019	43,952	0	134,985	(37,834)	462,798	379,829	152.124	121 686	146 001	0 17 210 1	0	0	0
ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES:													1000	014/0021	666,11	(/ 4/, (1)	1,997664
Acsentation Overnead (Net Changes) Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. Net Changes)	•	0	o (	0		0	0	0	0	0	o		c	¢	•		
RECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE					0		0	0	0	10,000	0		0	00001		0 1	•
		~	>		0	0	0	0	0	10,000	0	0	0	10.000			10.000
Recommended Net Base Budget for 2003-04 Recommended OTO Budget for 2003-04	2,268,234	1,121,092	1,407,793	2,469,193	1,933,817	26,325	8,410,412	1,493,637	9,951,749	5,960,113	14,068,767	614.796	5.100.762	102 203 620	3 26 166	100 111 1	
TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2003-04	2,268,234	1,121,092	1,407,793	2,469,193	1,933,817	26.325	8.410.412	0	9 951 749	10,000	0	0	0	000'01	0	9,412,804 0	10,000
DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)											101'000'11	014,790	5,100,762	54,836,690	2,365,165	3,412,804	60,614,659
Endowment Income:	•		0	0			c	<	¢								
External Income:	14,00)		0	0		2,755,361	077.277.1	\$60.625	101 181	0 26 400	0			0	0	0	0
Internal Recoveries:	277,06	0 0	0	312,422	109,400	140,628	383,000	455,563	3,543,325	1,227,425	2,062,724	c	100 15	7,548,657	322,705	177,400	8,048,762
Negative Approx	• •	P	2 4	0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	000.851	101,4/101
TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE ( INCL. RECOVERIES)	291,064	0	0	312.422	109 400	7 205 020	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	e
						602"FED'7	0/ 5'95 1'7	1,010,188	9791176'5	526,552,1	4,095,224	0	53,994	16,114,202	1,992,905	321,756	18,428863
GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2003-04	2.559.291	1.121.092	1.407.793	2,781,615	2.043.217	2.922.314	10.568.782	2 509 825	276.975	7.224.038	18.163.991	614,726	5.154.756	70.250.892	4,358,070	3.734.560	79.043.522

	GENERAL	ACCOMMODATION LEASE COST OF	LEASE COST OF		
	UNIVERSITY EXPENSE	& FACILITIES DIRECTORATE	OFF CAMPUS SPACE	MUNICIPAL TAXES	TOTAL GROUP XI
Net Base Budget for 2002-03 One-Time-Only Budget for 2002-03	32,133,518 63,000	283,024 498,291	957,281	3,304,350	36,678,173 561.291
TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03	32,196,518	781,315	957,281	3,304,350	37,239,464
RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:					
ADDITIONS TO BASE:					
Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit Increase	(20,200,355)				(20,200,355)
Expense Offset by Additional Income	0				0
Transfers	2,108,048	4,434			2,112,482
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. DEDITICTIONS EPOM BASE.	28,152,158		30,656		28,182,814
Budget Reduction	165,569	(16,840)			148,729
Academic PTR Recovery	0				0
Expense Recovery	(1,172,856)				(1,172,856)
Transfers	(4,628,527)				(4,628,527)
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit.	000000000000000000000000000000000000000			255,075	255,075 (100,000)
RECOMMENDED BASE BLDGET CHANGE	4,324,037	(12,406)	30,656	255,075	4,597,362
ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES:					
Research Overhead (Net Changes)	0	(36,110)	0	0	(36,110)
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. (Net Changes) RECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE	(63,000) (63,000)	(36,110)	0 (	0	(000,00) (99,110)
	257 724 25	270.618	987 937	3 559 425	41.275.535
Kecommended Net Base Budget for 2003-04 Docommended ATA Budget for 2003-04	0	462.181		0	462,181
TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2003-04	36,457,555	732,799	987,937	3,559,425	41,737,716
DIVISIONAL REVENUE ( INCL. RECOVERIES) Fridowment Income	C				0
External Income:	2,71				2,717
Internal Recoveries:	9,786,07				9,786,076
External Recoveries :	0 0				0 0
TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)	9,788,793	0	0	0	9,788,793 0
GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2003-04	46,246,348	732,799	987,937	3,559,425	<u>51,526,509</u>

DIVISIONAL BUDGET SCHEDULES

BUDGET GROUP XI : GENERAL UNIVERSITY EXPENSE & OTHERS

39

EXPENSE SUMMARY

DIVISIONAL BUDGET SCHEDULES

Nei Base Budient fer 2003.03	TOTAL GROUP I: ARTS & SC 204 777 614	TOTAL GROUP II: SCHOOLS/COLLS	TOTAL GROUP III: HLTH SCI	TOTAL GROUP IV: PROF FACS	TOTAL GROUPV: OAC	SUBTOTAL GROUPS I-V: ACADEMIC	TOTAL GROUP VI: AC SRVCS	TOTAL GROUP VII: C & S S		TUTAL GROUP IX: FAC & SRVCS	TOTAL GROUP X: ADM & GOV	TOTAL GROUP XI: GEN UNIV & OTH	TOTAL
One-Time-Only Budget for 2002.03	1,102,684	172,734	1,642,197	90,141,880 2,582,254	00,462,417 2,802,070	458,812,387 8,301,939	56,753,737 0	744,407 0	70,430,667 0	66.002,131 54.000	58,606,995 0	36,678,173 561 291	748,038,497 8 917 230
INCINE DUDGELFUR 2002-03	205,875,298	10,086,590	89,163,317	92,724,134	69,264,487	467,114,326	56,763,737	744,407	70,430,667	66,056,131	58,606,995	37,239,464	756,955,727
KECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES: ADDITIONS TO BASE:													
Balance of Prior Y ear's Salary/Eenefit Increase Priorities Funds	8,111,640 0	403,401	3,540,975	3,295,214	203,206	15,554,436	1,327,398	6,053	0	950,864	2,361,604	(20,200,355)	0
Expense Offset by Additional Ircome	100,000	00		0	0 0	000.005	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0
Transfers	23,167,249	241,325	8,482,049	11,084,173	2,624,493	45.599.289	0 879.618	0 0	0	0	0	0	200,000
Contract. Ublig. & Policy Commit. REDUCTTONS FROM BASE:	73,955	1,814	240	6,205,074	54,000,242	60,281,325	842,568	0	1,781,612	1,245,396	188,000	2,112,482 28,182,814	53,102,542 92,521,715
Budget Reduction Academic PTR Recovery	(11,779,391)	(611,277)	(5,213,355)	(4,778,566)	(106,281)	(22,488,870)	(2,248,403)	0	0	(1,581,974)	(2,613,057)	148.729	0 (28.783.575)
Expense Recovery	0	00	(4//,104) 0	(048,U84) 0	00	(3,070,800)	(104,316)	00	•	0	0	0	(3,175,116)
Transfers	(1,107,586)	0	(125,531)	(306,063)	(34,380,717)	(35,919,897)	0		0	0	0	(1,172,856)	(1,172,856)
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	(1+0,co)	(//0'96) 0	(4,628,527)	(53,102,542)
(HACKEASE) DECKEASE IN LAVISIONAL KEVENUE DECOMMENDED DARE DI DOET ON ANOS	(100,000)	0	0	(100,000)	100,000	(100,000)	0	0	0	0	0	(100:000)	(200.000)
COMMENDED BASE BUDGE1 CHANGE	c15'07C'01	35,263	6,207,214	14,851,748	22,440,943	60,055,483	646,865	6,053	(8,678,388)	1,020,204	1,997,664	4,597,362	59,645,243
ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES: Research Overhead (Net Changes)	149,379	32,760	(217,946)	(209,031)	0	(244,838)	0	c	0	0	o	(36.110)	(280.948)
Contract. Uotig. & Policy Commit. (Net Changes)	(168,543)	0	0	0	(1,028,286)	(1,196,829)	0	0	0	(49,000)	10,000	(63,000)	(1,298,829)
COMMENDED ONE-LIME-UNLY BUDGET CHANGE	(19,164)	32,760	(217,946)	(209,031)	(1,028,286)	(1,441,667)	0	0	0	(49,000)	10,000	(011'66)	(1,579,777)
Recommended Net Base Budget for 2003-04	221,292,929	9,949,119	93,728,834	104,993,628	88,903,360	518,867,870	57,410,602	150,460	61,752,279	67,022,335	60.604.659	41.275.535	807.683.740
Recommended OTO Budget for 2003-04	1,083,520	205,494	1,424,251	2,373,223	1,773,784	6,860,272	0	0	0	5,000	10,000	462.181	7.337.453
TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2003-04	222,376,449	10,154,613	95,153,385	107,366,851	90,677,144	525,728,142	57,410,602	150,460	61,752,279	67,027,335	60,614,659	41,737,716	815,021,193
DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES) Endowment Income :	2,100,000	0	2.981.507	3.746.465	1.257.000	10.084.972	c	c	c	c	c	c	10 00 01
External Income :	9,464,457	12,434,111	31,381,772	62,157,948	500,000	115,938,288	4,668,643	12.658.499	2.801.000	7865 374	8 048 762	2176	151 983 283
Internal Recoveries :	26,505,795	531,987	13,889,599	26,856,318	6,781,501	74,565,300	8,457,199	1,382,995	0	18,882,269	10.374.101	9.786.076	123,447,940
External Recoveries :	2,856,849	420,972	33,558,972	1,407,017	0	38,243,810	1,455,277	44,686	0	0	6,000	0	39,749,773
Negative Approp. : TOTAT DIVISIONAL BEVENUE (INCT DECONDEDES)	3,731,261	137,717	0	2,232,224	0	6,101,202	37,000	0	0	0	0	0	6,138,202
	700'000'55	101,420,01	000,110,10	716'665'06	Inc'acc'a	7/ 5,556,447	14,018,119	14,080,180	2,801,000	26,747,643	18,428,863	9,788,793	331,404,170
GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2003-04	267,034,811	23,679,400	176,965,035	203,766,823	99,215,645	770,661,714	72,028,721	14,336,640	64.553.279	93,774,978	79,043,522	51,526,509	1,146,425,363

#### 8. APPENDICES.

Appendix A	Updated Table of Assumptions from the Long Range Budget
	Guidelines for Planning and Budgeting, 1998-2004.

Appendix B Contractual Obligations and Policy Commitments (COPC) List.

These appendices were distributed for the March  $18^{th}$  P lanning and Budget Committee Meeting (and its Agenda Planning Meeting).

# APPENDIX A, LONG-RANGE BUDGET GUIDELINES AND PROJECTIONS 1998-2004, AN UPDATE, FEBRUARY 2003.

The Long-Range Guidelines for Planning and Budgeting, 1998/99 through 2003/04 was approved by Governing Council in April 1998. The Guidelines describes the financial environment in which the Raising Our Sights planning exercise is placed. The Guidelines was followed by the Budget Report, 1998-2000; a two-year budget was possible because the Provincial Government had made a commitment on funding levels for both 1998/99 and 1999/2000 and a two-year tuition fee schedule was approved. Thus our principal revenues for the two-year period were identified. In taking forward the Guidelines and two-year budget for approval, the Administration undertook to provide annual updates. The updates approved to date are included in the following table of budget assumptions. The final column is the update of the budget assumptions and projections for 2003-04 and include the latest information on revenue and expense. These will inform the Budget Report, 2003-04. The Administration will bring forward a Planning Framework for 2004-09, continuing the practice of long range planning exercises established in 1990.

#	Assumption	March, 1998	Update April, 1999	Update March 2000	Update February 2001	Update February 2002	Update February 2003
1.	Length of Budget	1998/99 to 2003/04.					
	Guidelines						
2.	Omnibus	The practice of discounting the					
	Discount	negative effect of the variance					
		associated with not realizing					
		budget assumptions will continue					
		in the case of assumptions that		The positive variance on expense			
		entail a level of risk or		associated with ATB			
		uncertainty. The actual rate of		compensation assumptions in			
		discount will be re-evaluated		2002/03 and beyond that are less			
		each year.		than CPI will be discounted by			
				50%.			
		The positive variance on expense	The Food Ancillary is projected				
		associated with ATB	to provide \$250,000 of revenue	No further global expense			
		compensation assumptions less	in the steady state, \$150,000 has	restraint / revenue enhancement			
		than CPI will be discounted by	been generated this year.	assumption is being made due to			
		50%.		revenue enhancements realized in			
				contributions from ancillaries and			
		\$1.5M COPC expense restraint /		research overhead.			
		revenue enhancement from					
		sources other than tuition fees					
		and Government Operating					
		Grant.					

#### Long Range Budget Guidelines, Updated Budget Assumptions

3.	General Rate of Inflation (CPI)	CPI assumed to be 2.0% annually.			Notwithstanding recent increases in CPI, principally due to energy pricing, the consensus position among economists is that inflation will remain at around 2% over the longer term.	The consensus position among economists is that inflation will still remain at around 2% over the longer term. CPI has been below 2% for the past 4 months.	CPI assumed to be 2% for 2003-04 based on recent long range forecasts. The Ontario CPI has varied from 0.8% to 3.8% over the past 12 months.
4.	Yield Rate on Investment	Internally Managed Funds: CPI plus 2%. Externally Managed Funds: CPI plus 5.15%. Core portion of EFIP: \$75M.	Internally Managed Funds: 1999/2000 5.71%, other years CPI plus 2%. Externally Managed Funds: 1999/2000 6.24%, other years CPI plus 5.15%. Core portion of EFIP reduced to \$60M	Internally Managed Funds: 2000/01 5.52%, other years CPI plus 3.25%. Externally Managed Funds: 2000/01 6.31%, other years CPI plus 4.4%. Target increase in investment revenue due to new investment strategy, \$0.5M in 2001/02 and \$1M in 2002/03 and beyond.	The investment revenue flowing to General University Operating Funds is maintained at the previously projected level. The extra revenue from the more aggressive investment strategy adopted by UTAM and an increase in the EFIP funds invested in long-term investments will be used for graduate student aid. In the short-term it will be used to bridge finance the \$3M payout projected from the \$60M graduate aid endowment which is part of the Capital Campaign. The remainder will be directed to an endowment for graduate student aid. Increased revenue from administrative and stewardship charges to investment funds will be used to fund the development campaign and UTAM.	Investment revenue was significantly below projected levels in 2001-2 and is expected to be lower than projected in 2002-03. Losses against projections of \$21.8M are being amortized over a three-year period and the projection for 2003-04 is being reduced to the baseline level of \$13.7M. The model assumes that there will be no available investment revenue to transfer to graduate student aid. The funds needed to bridge to the payout from the Capital Campaign Graduate Endowment will be obtained from General University Revenue.	Long Term Investments 0% Short Term Investments 2.6% Fixed Income Investments 4.5% Investment revenue available from the EFIP is expected to be lower than the projected level in 2002-3. These losses are being amortized over a five- year period. The projection for 2003-04 is being further reduced to \$11.3M from \$13.7M.

5.	Domestic U/G Enrollment	Enrollment agreements in place for Arts and Science, Applied	The Provincial Government has indicated a desire for enrolment	The University has developed a framework-planning document	The University's intake target for 2001/02 will be essentially	The University is proceeding with its enrolment expansion	Enrollment Expansion Plans were approved by P&B in
		Science and Engineering, and	increases to deal with the double	on enrollment expansion.	the same as 2000/01. There	plan now that the Provincial	January for all three campuses.
		Erindale.	cohort and demographic driven	The University's intake target for	will be a further increase of 94 students admitted to the BEd	Government has announced full average funding for first-	Expansion is to proceed in two Phases. The second Phase
		Upper year retention/course	increases in the applicant pool.	2000/01 will be the same as	program and the increase of 13	5 5	would only proceed after
		loading will be based on multi-	Divisions with agreements for	1999/2000 adjusted for the	in the number of students	undergraduate programs and an	satisfactory resolution of
		year average of actual	revenue sharing on enrolment	increase required under the	admitted to the MD program in		current discussions on the
		experience.	over currently planned levels must make up for any	Access To Opportunities Program plan. The ATOP steady	2000/01 will be maintained. The ATOP steady state intake	remaining second-entry undergraduate programs. The	means to fund the capital expansion needed to
		Divisions in future receive 65%	enrollment below planned levels		target was reached in 2000/01	second phase of the expansion	accommodate the Phase 2
		of the additional tuition revenue,	in future years.	in 2000/01 and will require an	and the flow through will	at UTM and UTSC will be	enrolment increase. Revenue
		net of increases to student aid,		increase of 166 in the overall	produce a total enrolment	subject to satisfactory	projections are based on the
		attributable to approved enrollment increases over		domestic undergraduate intake target over the 1999/2000 target	increase of 1,540 over the 1997/98 level in computer	resolution of the need for additional capital funding. A	signed October 15 <sup>th</sup> 2002 Enrolment Target Agreement
		currently planned levels.			science and engineering	detailed plan for the expansion	with MTCU.
					programs. Discussions are currently taking place on a possible enrolment increase in the $2^{nd}$ entry, undergraduate	and the funding that will be available to the divisions will be brought forward to a subsequent P&B committee	In general, Divisions will receive 75% of the tuition fee revenue net of mandated
					Nursing and Pharmacy programs.	meeting.	student aid plus 75% of the operating grant revenue generated by the <u>approved</u>
							increased enrolment. Funding will not be allocated for enrolment increases already
							planned and funded nor those increases funded from another funding envelope.
							Enrolment projections will use upper year retention/course loading parameters based on rolling multi-year average of
							actual experience.

6.	International U/G Enrollment	International enrollment will return to 1989 levels by the end of the Guidelines period. Divisions will in future receive 50% of the additional tuition revenue, net of increases to student support, attributable to increases in enrollment above current levels. International enrollment strategy to be reviewed and targets to be set as an integral part of new Divisional plans. Divisions must continue to meet domestic enrollment targets while increasing international enrollment.	International undergraduate and graduate enrollment increases on the St George and Scarborough campuses in 1998- 99 are on a trajectory to meet the target of a return to 1989 levels by 2003/04. Revenue equivalent to that for domestic students under 5 above will be flowed to the divisions and the student aid budget, from the planned enrolment increase. Divisional international enrolments must not fall below 1997/98 actual levels if divisions are to benefit fully from the tuition fee revenue	International undergraduate and graduate enrollment increases are above the trajectory needed to meet the target of a return to 1989 levels by 2003/04. This strategy appears to be sound	The program to return international enrolment to 1989 levels will continue.	Undergraduate and Graduate enrolments are at their highest levels in 8 years.	International enrollment will be maintained at least at the 2000-01 level. Divisions will receive 60% of the additional tuition revenue, attributable to <u>approved</u> increases in enrollment above 2000-01 levels. International enrollment strategy to be reviewed and targets to be set as an integral part of the new planning process.

7.	Graduate Enrollment and support	Domestic and international doctoral stream and professional masters enrollment targets and revenue sharing arrangements to be negotiated as part of new Divisional plans. International enrollment will return to 1989 levels by the end of the Guidelines period. For modeling purposes, 100% of the revenue attributable to increases in international enrollment above current levels will be required for recruitment, student support or enhancement of divisional programs.	New \$1,000,000 OTO international student fee waivers program to be implemented commencing in 1999/2000. 65% of the tuition revenue from increases in domestic or international student enrolment increase flows to the APF to be used to enhance graduate student financial support. Until graduate enrollment agreements are established in divisional plans, divisional graduate enrolments must at least meet 1997/98 actual levels if divisions are to benefit fully from the tuition fee revenue sharing arrangement in 5 above.	The first of four annual OTO installments was added to the Graduate Student Aid budget in 1999/2000, to be used to assist departments as they increase international graduate student enrolment. The allocation for 1999/2000 was \$250,000 and for 2000/01 will be \$500,000 increasing to \$1M in 2002/03. Funding is being added to the Graduate student aid budget to help meet the cost of recommendations in the report of the Task Force on Graduate Student Financial Support. The amount increases from \$2.7 in 2000/01 to \$9.4M in 2003/04. This includes \$3M in 2003/04 generated by a new \$60M endowment fund. The Task Force recommended that an endowment of \$200M is raised, the administration proposes \$100M as being an attainable goal, the budget report adopts a more prudent assumption of \$60M by 2003/04.	The funding to the graduate student aid budget is projected to increase by \$3.8M in 2001- 02 and by a further \$8M in 2003-04 over the 2000-01 amount, this includes the funding described in 4 above and the Ontario Graduate Scholarships program (OGS). Effective the 2001/02 cycle, the OGS will be paid via the University and will have a 1 for 2 matching requirement.	Graduate student funding from the University operating budget (excluding TA funding) increased by \$4.6M in 2001- 02. This is projected to increase by a further \$5.1M in 2002-03 and by \$2.8M in 2003-04.	The central subsidy of \$5,000 per OGS award ended as planned in 2002-03. The University's portion of the OGS award is replaced by the revenue generated from OGS campaign donations and, if necessary, other departmental graduate funding. Graduate enrolment planning is an extremely important component of the next planning cycle. The University is engaged with MTCU concerning the permanent funding arrangements for Graduate enrolment increases over 2000-01 levels.
8.	Position Within Enrollment Corridor	Below midpoint: strategy is to move upward to 1% above the mid-point of the corridor.	BIU enrolment increased in 1998/99. Moving average will continue to decrease for several more years as the effect of losing BIU eligibility for international students and Additional Qualification students works through the funding algorithm but is expected to stabilize close to the corridor mid-point.	BIU Enrollment five-year Moving Average will decrease for two more years but is expected to stabilize close to the funding corridor mid-point, see Figure 1.	BIU Enrollment five-year moving average will decrease for one more year and is projected to stabilize above the funding corridor mid-point, see Figure 1. The annual BIU count is currently at 3.6% above the corridor mid-point.	The University will receive BIU funding for the corridor mid-point plus the increase in BIUs associated with first- entry and some second-entry undergraduate programs over their 2000-01 levels. There will also be a funding envelope for the remaining second-entry and all graduate program enrolment increases. The distribution algorithm for the latter has yet to be determined.	The University will receive BIU funding for enrolment up to the corridor mid-point plus U/G enrolment beyond 2000- 01 levels up to the level in the signed October 15 <sup>th</sup> 2002 Enrolment Target Agreement. For graduate enrolment increase above 2000-01 levels, a fixed amount of funding will be allocated between Universities based on a set of graduate and research parameters.

9.	Tuition Fee Rates: Domestic.	Fees in regulated programs to be increased an average of 10% on the 1997/8 rates (5% to improve the quality and 5% for additional educational program improvements) in each of 1998/9 and 1999/2000. Academic divisions will receive 65% of the fee revenue, net of the increase to student aid, from the second 5% fee increase. There will be a broad range of fee changes in the deregulated programs. Increases that enhance program quality may be developed in the planning process. In the case of doctoral stream programs, fees will increase by 10% in 1998/9 and 1999/2000. Professional program fees will have, on average, doubled over 1995/6 levels by 1999/2000. The funding which will flow to the	A two-year Tuition Fee Schedule was approved in May 1998 for all programs except engineering. With the acceptance of the University's Access to Opportunity Plan the fee for undergraduate engineering programs will be increased in 1999/2000 beyond the regulated maximum increase of 10%. Fees for incoming students will be set at \$5,000; fees for continuing students will be increased by 20%. Sixty-five percent of the revenue from the tuition fee increases above 5%, net of the 30% directed to student aid, was flowed to the Academic Priorities Fund (APF). Allocations totaling \$15.8M have been made to the divisions from the APF for program improvement and quality	The University will increase tuition fees in Arts and Science, Music and PE&H Programs to the maximum permissible fee of \$3,951 in 2000/01 and to \$4,029, \$4,107 and \$4,185 in 2001/02, 2002/03 and 2003/04 respectively. The remaining regulated tuition fees will increase by 2% annually, not compounded. Tuition fees in the deregulated programs for continuing students will increase by 5% in 2000/01. Tuition fees in the deregulated programs for new students will also increase by 5% in 2000/01 with the exception of fees for programs in Computer Science, Commerce, Business, Law, Medicine, Dentistry, Management and Pharmacy. Revenue is modeled with 5% increases in all deregulated programs in subsequent years.	Tuition fees in the deregulated programs for continuing students will increase by 5% in 2001/02. Tuition fees in the deregulated programs for new students will also increase by 5% in 2001/02 with the exception of fees for the MBA program in Management and the LLB program in Law as described in note 1. Fee revenue is modeled with 5% increases in all other deregulated programs in subsequent years.	Tuition fees in the regulated programs will increase by 1.94%. Tuition fees in the deregulated programs for continuing students will increase by 5% in 2002/03. Tuition fees in the deregulated programs for new students will also increase by 5% in 2002/03 with the exception of fees for the MBA program in Management, the LLB program in Law, the DDS program in Dentistry and undergraduate programs in Commerce, Business Administration, Computer Science, CCIT at UTM and	Tuition fees in regulated programs to be increased by 2% of the 1999-2000 fee in 2003-04 as currently mandated by the Provincial Government. Tuition fees in the deregulated programs for all new and continuing students will increase by 5% in 2003-04 with the exception of new students in undergraduate programs in Law, Computer Science and Engineering, the MBA and programs in Dentistry. In all but Dentistry the larger increases had been signaled in the 2002-03 Tuition Fee Schedule.
		fee changes in the deregulated programs. Increases that enhance program quality may be	be increased by 20%. Sixty-five percent of the revenue from the tuition fee	will increase by 5% in 2000/01. Tuition fees in the deregulated programs for new students will also increase by 5% in 2000/01	students will also increase by 5% in 2001/02 with the	2002/03. Tuition fees in the deregulated programs for new students will also increase by	programs for all new and continuing students will increase by 5% in 2003-04
		1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-			
		programs, fees will increase by	flowed to the Academic	Commerce, Business, Law,	described in note 1. Fee	LLB program in Law, the DDS	Science and Engineering, the
						0 1 0	
				e	subsequent years.	, I	
							Fee Schedule.
		Academic Divisions will be determined in the planning	enhancement.	Sixty-five percent of the revenue from the tuition fee increases in		Engineering. Fee revenue is modeled with 5% increases in	
		process. For modeling purposes	A fee of \$1,950 was introduced	excess of the percentage fee		all other deregulated programs	
		the academic divisions will	for Postgraduate Medical	increase for the regulated		in 2003-04.	
		receive 65% of the fee revenue,	Trainees entering in 1999/2000.	programs, net of the required		More complete details on	
		net of the increase to student aid,	25% of the increased tuition	30% directed to student aid, will		tuition fee rates can be found	
		resulting from fee increases in	revenue, net of 30% for student	flow to the APF to be allocated		in the <i>Tuition Fee Schedule for</i>	
		excess of 5% in 1998/9 and	aid, will flow to the APF to be	for program improvement and		Publicly Funded Programs,	
		1999/2000.	used to improve the educational experience for Postgraduate	quality enhancement and to the Faculty Retention Fund.		2002-03.	
		The combined revenue from	Medical Trainees. The funding	Tuition fee revenue from	The recommendation of the		
		Government Operating Grants	for student aid will provide	Postgraduate Medical Trainees is	Task Force that a tuition fee of		
		and Tuition Fees shall increase	interest remission on student	modeled on a tuition fee of	zero be assigned to		
		by CPI + 2% annually from	loans for Postgraduate Medical	\$1,950. It is assumed that any	Postgraduate Medical Trainees		
		2000/1 to 2003/4. Of this 75% of	Trainees.	revenue loss, as a result of	was implemented in 2000-01.		
		the revenue above that generated		recommendations from the Task			
		by an increase of CPI is assumed	Increased revenue may also	Force chaired by the Dean of			
		to be targeted revenue, including	result from plans to deal with	Medicine, will be offset by			
		for student aid, and is unavailable	the Double Cohort.	increased revenue from other			
		for general operating purposes.		sources or in reduced expenses.			03/24/03

10.	Tuition Fee Rates: International.	Undergraduate and Graduate International Fees will increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees. Academic divisions will receive the same \$ amount as they would	The tuition fees for international engineering students will increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees. Revenue from international	Undergraduate and Graduate International Fees, except for those in Computer Science, Commerce, Business and Management will increase by the same \$ amount as the	Undergraduate and Graduate International Fees, except for those in MBA and LLB programs will increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees,	The University has, for a number of years, maintained a constant \$ differential over time between domestic and international fees by increasing the international fee by the	In general, undergraduate and graduate international fees will increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees.
		from corresponding domestic fee revenue increase.	tuition fee increases, equivalent to that for domestic students under 9 above, will be flowed to the APF. For undergraduate international students, revenue from tuition fee increases, equivalent to that for domestic undergraduate students under 9 above, will be flowed to the student aid budget.	corresponding domestic fees, see note 7.	see note 1.	same dollar amount as those for domestic students in the same program. It now proposes to set that differential, in general, at a level where the international fee will generate the same revenue as that generated by a domestic student from both fees and Government funding. This produces additional increases in a number of programs, see the <i>Tuition Fee Schedule for</i> <i>Publicly Funded Programs</i> , 2002-03 for more details.	

Government Operating Grants.	<ul> <li>1998/9; zero increase, possible loss of \$1.8M in pay equity grants.</li> <li>1999/2000; increase of 1%.</li> <li>Current policy objective is to shift \$72 million from base grants envelope to research infrastructure envelope. This would represent an improvement of approximately \$10 million to U of T. This assumption is NOT included in the projection.</li> <li>The combined revenue from Government Operating Grants and Tuition Fees shall increase by CPI + 2% in 2000/01. Of this 75% of the revenue above that generated by an increase of CPI is assumed to be targeted revenue, including for student aid, and is unavailable for general operating purposes.</li> <li>The combined revenue from Government Operating Grants and Tuition Fees shall increase by CPI + 2% annually from 2001/2 to 2003/4. Of this 75% of the revenue above that generated by an increase of CPI is assumed to be targeted revenue, including for student aid, and is unavailable for general operating purposes.</li> </ul>	\$1.7M over 10 years for OGSST. The SGS graduate financial assistance budget increased by \$2.6M Increased revenue may also result from plans to deal with	<ul> <li>For 2000/01, consistent with A Framework for Enrolment Expansion at the University of Toronto, the University will elect not to participate in the Provincial Government's Accessibility Fund. It is assumed that the University will however, receive \$2.7M from the Performance Fund in 2000/01. Government operating grant revenue is assumed to increase by 2% annually in 2001/02 through 2003/04. The revenue in 2000/01 and beyond will be reduced by \$1.6M as a result of folding the pay equity grant into the base operating grant.</li> <li>Provincial Government operating revenue for the approved enrollment increases under ATOP and in the BEd Program, the latter for the four years 1999- 2003, is included in the projections. The revenue, less 23% for institutional overhead as per the ATOP plans, flows to the Enrolment Growth Fund for expenses related to these initiatives.</li> <li>The Graduate Student Aid Budget of the OGSST Divisions has increased by \$2.6M</li> </ul>	In the absence of information from the Provincial Government on operating funding, it is assumed that operating grant revenue will increase by 2% annually in 2001/02 through 2003/04 and that the University will also receive \$3.4M from the Performance Fund. The MTCU has, in consultation with the COU, modified the base on which the Performance Fund is calculated to include graduate enrolment as well as undergraduate. As a result the University's share increases from \$2.7M to \$3.4M. Provincial Government operating revenue for the approved enrollment increases under ATOP and in the MD and BEd Programs is included in the projections. The revenue, less 23% for institutional overhead, flows to the Enrolment Growth Fund for expenses related to these initiatives. Ontario Graduate Scholarship funding of some \$7M, with its \$3.5M match, will now be distributed by the University	The University did not receive the assumed 2% increase in operating grant funding to cover increased costs in 2001- 02. For 2002-03 the budget model assumes that additional revenue, equal to 1% of the operating grant funding, will be received either in the form of an increase in operating grant revenue or from a relaxation of the 2% cap on tuition fees in the regulated programs. In 2003-04 it is assumed that the operating grant revenue will increase by 2% to cover increased costs.	The assumption that additional revenue, equal to 1% of the operating grant funding, would be received either in the form of an increase in operating grant revenue or from a relaxation of the 2% cap on tuition fees in the regulated in programs was not realized in 2002-03. The University will receive full average funding for U/G enrolment increases up to the level defined in the ETA and it is assumed that the algorithm used to distribute funding from the Graduate Enrolment Expansion Pool will yield an allocation to the University of Toronto approximately proportional to our current share of enrolment in these programs. It is assumed that there will be no increase in the operating grant revenue per student to cover increased costs in 2003- 04. The results of the COU initiative directed at obtaining operating grant increases for program quality enhancement is still uncertain. No assumption of such increased revenue is being built into the budget for 2003-04.

11a	Canada Research Chairs Program			Projected revenue from the Federal Government's Canada Research Chairs Program, together with funds released from the salary budget, is flowed to the Canada Research Chairs Fund (CRCF). A component is retained for central indirect expense and the remainder will be allocated to the Divisions in accordance with Divisional Plans and the Program requirements.	A refining of the input data on research funding has produced an increase of 20 in the number of Canada Research Chairs allocated to the University.	
12.	Government Operating Grants and Tuition Fee Rates, 2000/1 to 2003/4.	This has been merged into rows 9 and 11.	This has been merged into rows 9 and 11.	This has been merged into rows 9 and 11.	This has been merged into rows 9 and 11.	
13.	Overhead Charges to Self- Funded Academic Programs	<ul> <li>Recently approved or proposed Self-Funded Programs:</li> <li>M Eng (Telecommunications)</li> <li>M Sc (Mathematics for Finance)</li> <li>B Sc (Radiation Sciences).</li> <li>Certificate (Case Management)</li> </ul>	Recently approved or proposed Self-Funded Programs: • Qualifying Program (Dentistry)	<ul> <li>Recently approved or proposed Self-Funded Programs:</li> <li>Global Executive MBA</li> <li>Joint MEng degree in Design and Manufacturing</li> <li>Diploma Program in Professional Pilot and Aviation Management</li> </ul>		

14.	Research Overhead and Royalties	All increases in the general university portion of research overhead and royalties income will be used to finance the technology transfer plan initiative through to the year 2000. A decision concerning revenue beyond that point is required.	The current arrangement of using increased overhead revenues to fund the Tech Transfer Strategy will be reviewed in 1999/2000 and a decision taken on future disbursement of any increase in the General University portion of overhead and royalty revenue. Overhead revenue will be one source of funding for the operating cost of new space. Substantial new overhead revenue was realized including overhead from Provincial Centers of Excellence, CFI/ORDCF research projects and related industrial contracts.	Substantial new overhead revenue was realized principally from CFI/ORDCF research projects and related industrial contracts.	There continues to be a modest increase in research overhead revenue.	The Federal Government introduced a fund of \$200M for the indirect costs of Federally supported research in 2001-02. The University's share, excluding the affiliated teaching hospitals, is projected at \$14.6M. This is a first step in a program where the level of indirect costs is expected to double to 40% over time. The budget model assumes that the university's share will remain at \$14.6M in 2002-03 and increase to \$21.9M in 2003-04.	The Federal Government announced in the February budget that \$225M would be available annually for the indirect costs of Federally supported research. The University of Toronto's portion of that funding is assumed to be \$15.8M.
14a	Research Performance Fund			The recent Provincial Budget included the creation of a \$30M Ontario Research Performance Fund to cover indirect costs associated with Ontario-funded research. Distribution to Universities and Colleges is based on an Institution's share of Ontario research funding. The University's share of the funding is estimated at \$12.5M.	It is assumed that the University's share of the Ontario Research Performance Fund will continue to be \$12.5 annually.	The \$12.5M share from the Ontario Research Performance Fund received in 2000-01 included \$3.7M for hospital based research leaving the University with a net of \$8.8M. This dropped to \$5.4M in 2001-02 and is projected to be \$6.9M in 2002-03 and 2003- 04. The reduction is the result of a drop in the University's share of Ontario research funding used in the allocation algorithm.	The University is projected to receive \$5.7M from the Ontario Research Performance Fund in 2003-04.

15.	Matching Strategy for Endowed Chairs	Current strategy calls for 75 matched chairs to be achieved by 1999/2000, for increased leverage on General University Income of \$1.9 million. To date, 52 chair agreements have been completed, and 7 are in progress.	To date, 77 EAF matched chair agreements have been completed. New, simplified matched chair program approved which is neutral to the budget bottom line but does not provide leverage on General University Income. A total of \$1.3M has been realized from chair agreements under the former strategy.	To date, 98 EAF matched chair agreements have been completed.	To date, 118 EAF matched chair agreements have been completed.	A total of 164 endowed chairs, including approved proposals, have been raised in the Campaign bringing the total number of endowed chairs at the University to 178. Of the 164, a total of 143 were matched chairs with the remaining 21 being fully endowed.	<ul> <li>The Matching Chair Program using University funds as an endowed match has ended. The number of endowed chairs at the University excluding CRC matched chairs noted below, has increased to 170 and there are 9 approved proposals for matched chairs.</li> <li>Two matching chairs programs still exist;</li> <li>campaign contributions may be matched with Canada Research Chairs to produce a named Canada Research Chair (3 have been created), and</li> <li>the payout from an endowed contribution may be matched using an existing vacant position.</li> </ul>
16.	Revenue Contribution from Ancillary Operations	No new strategies at present. Income potential from Food Service Ancillary and possible creation of Real Estate Ancillary.	Food Service Ancillary contributing \$250,000 in steady state. Ancillaries will contribute \$2.7M to General University and particular Divisional Income in 1999/2000.	The Parking Ancillary contribution to general university income is projected to decrease as underground parking garages replaces surface parking lots. The ancillaries are budgeted to contribute \$2.3M to general university income in 2000/01 reducing to \$1.7M in 2003/04.	There will be a further loss of revenue from the Parking Ancillary of \$0.8M when parking is eliminated from King's College Circle	The land rent contribution from the Parking Ancillary is projected at \$1.1M in 2002-03 and \$1.2M in 2003-04. It is projected that the Food Service Ancillary will no longer contribute to general university income, a loss of \$0.25M.	The land rent contribution from the Parking Ancillary is projected at \$0.9M in 2003-04.
17.	Student Aid	30% of all revenue from domestic and international tuition fee increases will be directed to student aid 1998/9 and 1999/2000.	The total student aid budget for 1998/99 was \$43M. It will increase to \$57M in 1999/2000 and \$65M in the steady state as all of the OSOTF and redirected tuition fee revenue becomes available.	The total student aid budget for 1999/2000 was \$58.9M. It is projected to increase to \$67.8M in 2000/01 and \$82.8M in the steady state as all of the OSOTF, OGSST, redirected tuition fee revenue and new graduate student aid becomes available.	The total student aid budget for 2000/01 was \$74.5M. It is projected to increase to \$84.1M in 2001/02 and \$101.7M in the steady state as all of the OSOTF, OGSST, OGS, redirected tuition fee revenue and new graduate student aid becomes available.	The total student support budget for 2001/02 was \$84.8M. It is projected to increase to \$92.9M in 2002/03.	The total student support budget is projected to increase to \$95.9M in 2003/04 despite a reduction of \$6.7M in student aid investment income.

18.	Contractual	New agreement with Sheridan	Memorandum of Agreement		The BIU eligible enrolment at		
	Obligations to	College included in long-range	with Federated Universities has		TST appears to have stabilized	The TST Theology Grant will	New agreements with Sheridan
	Affiliated	projection.	been signed; the increased cost	Enrollment levels at the Toronto	at ~575 BIUs, substantially	be reduced by \$0.04M in 2002-	College, Centennial College
	Institutions		to the budget is estimated at	School of Theology (TST) have	below their corridor mid-point	03 and a further \$0.09 in 2003-	and the Michener Institute are
		Memorandum of Agreement with	\$260,000 (4.6%).	been below target in recent years	of 717. A new mid-point of	04 as a result of the new	included in the projections.
		Federated Universities is being		and the new Director has been	585 has been proposed and	corridor mid-point agreement.	
		re-negotiated. For modeling	Memorandum of Agreement	asked to submit an enrollment	funding reduced accordingly	There was a reduction of	
		purposes it is assumed that	with TST under review. Block	plan. Funding to TST will be	with the reduction phased over	\$0.014 in 2001-02.	
		changes will be neutral to the	Grant flat-lined to 2003/04	flat lined pending the receipt of	3 years. There will be a saving		
		budget.	pending outcome of review.	the plan.	to the budget of \$0.125M in		
					2001/02 growing to \$0.375M		
					in 2003/04.		

19. Compensation	Agreements in place with UTSA and SMG through to 1999/2000. Agreement in place with UTFA and the unions to 1998/9. Assumptions: UTSA: 1.5% ATB plus merit annually from 2000/1 to 2003/4.	First contract negotiations are under way with the United Steelworkers of America (USWA). Memorandum of Agreement negotiations are also underway with UTFA.	Agreements in place with UTFA, USWA, CUPE 1230, CUPE 3261 OPSU 578 and University Workers Local 1 through to 2001/02 and most other unions to 2000/01. Assumptions:	Agreements in place with UTFA, USWA, CUPE 1230, CUPE 3261 OPSU 578 and University Workers Local 1 through to 2001/02. Assumptions: UTFA: 2% plus PTR	Agreements are in place with CUPE 3902 (TA union) to April 2005 and with the unions representing the trades to 2003/04, Assumptions for the total cost of compensation increases, including costs associated with	Agreements are in place with all bargaining units for 2003- 04 with the exception of UTFA. The total cost of compensation increases, including costs associated with benefits improvements, in 2003-04 for
	SMG: 0% ATB, 3% merit annually from 2000/1 to 2003/4. UTFA: 1.5% plus PTR annually from 1999/2000 to 2003/4. Unions: 1.5% ATB annually from 1999/2000	The PTR recovery will be set at \$65,000 plus benefits in 2000/01 and will be indexed in	UTFA: 1.5% plus PTR annually in 2002/03 and 2003/4. SMG: 0% ATB, 4% merit in 2000/01 and 0% ATB, 3.5% merit annually 2001/02 through 2003/4.	annually in 2002/03 and 2003/4. SMG: 0% ATB, 4% merit annually 2002/03 and 2003/4. Other Managers and Confidentials: 2% plus merit annually	benefits improvements, for the remaining employee groups are: UTFA: 3% plus cost of PTR annually in 2002/03 and 2003/04. Other employees 3% annually 2002/03 and	UTFA is projected at 3% plus cost of PTR. This projection is for modeling purposes only and does not represent a strategy for salary negotiations.
	to 2003/4. Introduction of differential replacement salary provision for different disciplinary groups, rather than a single institution- wide replacement value, e.g., a constant PTR recovery.	subsequent years by the ATB salary increases. Divisions requiring starting salaries greater than \$65,000 should make the case in their response to <i>Raising Our Sights</i> . The cost will be met from the APF.	Other Managers and Confidentials: 2% plus merit in 2000/01 and 1.5% plus merit annually 2001/02 to 2003/04. Unions: 1.5% ATB annually in those years	2002/03 and 2003/04. Unions: 2% ATB annually in those years beyond their current contract. These assumptions are for modeling purposes only and do not represent a strategy for	2003/04. These assumptions are for modeling purposes only and do not represent a strategy for salary negotiations.	
	Academic salary anomaly and retention fund: \$500,000 annually. Administrative Salary Anomalies: \$80,000 annually.	Academic salary anomaly and retention fund increased to \$900,000 annually beginning 2000/2001. Administrative Salary Anomaly budget reduced to \$40,000 annually.	beyond their current contract. These assumptions are for modeling purposes only and do not represent a strategy for salary negotiations.	salary negotiations. If compensation agreements result in ATB increases in excess of 2%, additional base budget cuts will be required. Each increase of 0.1% beyond the assumed value in either of		The Faculty Retention Fund
		amaany.	The Faculty Retention Fund will be set at \$1.5M in 2000/01, \$1.3M in 2001/02 and \$1.0M in 2002/03 and 2003/04.	in an additional base budget cut of ~0.09%		will be decreased to \$0.7M in 2003/04.

20.	Employer Benefits Costs	Proposed introduction of standard benefit cost rate: 18.25% for appointed staff, 8.25% for non-appointed staff. Substantial increase in CPP premiums anticipated which would be partially offset by a decrease in UI premiums. Current service cost of pension reduced effective 1997/8. Current service cost of SRA included in pension contribution budget. Current service costs of the main registered pension plan are assumed to be available until 2001/2, (to be reviewed annually). Funds to be redirected to finance the SRA with balance transferred to the University Infrastructure Investment Fund and Transitional Funds. The operating grant portion of the LTD insurance plan rebate will be transferred to the University Infrastructure Investment Fund and Transitional Funds.	A contingency of \$2.2M will be provided for the benefits budget in 1999/2000. The standard benefit rates will be reviewed for 2000/01 and adjusted, if necessary, to better reflect actual experience. The review of the strategy on funding the University pension plans has determined that the funds available for transfer to the UIIF in 1999-2000, 2000-01 and 2001-02 can be increased by \$4.3M, \$4.3M and \$5.0M respectively.	The standard benefit cost rate will increase to 18.75% for appointed staff and 9.5% for non- appointed staff. The Benefits budget will increase by \$6.6M in 2000/01 over the revised 1999/2000 budget, this is \$3.3M more than the increase projected previously for this year. The revised 1999/2000 budget was approved in April 1999 and included a \$2.2M addition. The balance of funds available from the current service pension cost provision in the operating budget for transfer to the University Infrastructure Investment Fund (UIIF) and the Transitional Funds in 2000/01 is \$17.7M and in 2001/02 is \$18.6M. The balance in 2002/03 (\$19.4M) will be used for approved matching endowment programs and in 2003/04 (\$16.3M) will be transferred to the UIIF.	The Benefits budget is projected to increase by \$4.2M in 2001/02, with further increases of \$4.4M and \$4.0M in 2002/03 and 2003/04 respectively. The balance of funds available from the current service pension cost provision in the operating budget for transfer to the University Infrastructure Investment Fund (UIIF) and the Transition Funds in 2001/02 is \$18.6M. The balance in 2002/03 (\$19.8M) will be used for approved matching endowment programs and in 2003/04 (\$21.2M) will be transferred to the UIIF.	The increase in the benefits budget for 2002/03 is projected to be \$2.8M over the 2001-02 budget, a reduction of \$1.6M from the \$4.4M signaled last year. The 2001-02 actual expenditures were \$2.2M under budget. The budget for 2003-04 is projected to be a further \$5.0M larger than in 2002-03. The standard benefit rates will remain at 19.5% for appointed employees and at 9.5% for non-appointed employees. The Vice- Presidents Business Affairs and Human Relations will review benefits costs with the aim of reducing the magnitude of the continuing cost escalation. In 2003-04, \$14M of the balance of funds available from the current service pension cost provision in the operating budget will be used for approved matching endowment programs. The remainder of the funds in 2002-03 will be used to offset the need for OTO deficit reduction control measures.	A contribution of 18.8M is being made to the regular pension plan. Funds budgeted for the current service pension cost provision in the operating budget in future will no longer assumed to be available for other uses.
-----	-------------------------------	---	---	---	--	---	--

21.	Utilities Costs	Utility costs assumed to increase at CPI plus 0.5% per annum beyond 1998/9.	Cost increase of \$600,000 predicted in 1999/2000, principally because of increased gas prices.	An increase of \$2.0M in base is projected for 2000/01, of which \$1.1M is from rate increases and \$0.5M results from the negative variance in the current year. Increasing costs for natural gas contributes \$0.8M to the increase.	Based on current estimates of gas and steam prices, the 2000/01 actual energy expense will be some \$3M above budget. The budget for 2001/02 projects an increase of \$5M, or approximately 24%, over the 2000/01 budget.	Rate increases of 33.8% (including an 11.8% <i>debt</i> <i>surcharge</i> ) for electricity, 20.3% for steam and water and reductions of 18.2% for natural gas and 9.1% for oil are projected in 2001/02. The result of these rate changes together with the commissioning of the BCIT, the purchase of 500 University Ave etc. is projected to produce utilities cost increases of \$4.6M in 2002-03 and a further \$ 2.0M in 2003-04.	Utility costs are projected to be \$1.4M over budget in 2002-03. The major negative variances result from higher than expected gas costs, problems with the heat recovery project and emergency repairs to the cogeneration project Utility costs for 2003-04 are projected to be \$0.4M over last year's budgeted level.
22.	Operating cost of New or Newly Renovated Space	These additional costs will be the responsibility of the Division occupying the space. Funds may be requested from the Academic Priorities Fund, (or the Administrative Priorities Fund, as appropriate).	The Centre for Information Technology is expected to be the first new building where this policy will apply.	With the acquisition of the OISE Building from the Ontario Realty Corporation, the University will assume full responsibility for maintenance and operating costs for the building, \$0.5M will be added to Facilities and Services budget in 2001/02 with partial funding in 2000/2001. There will also be a reduction of \$2.2M in revenue and an offsetting reduction in lease expense.			
23.	Cost of Leased Space	Cost forecast to decline from \$962,000 in 1997/8 to approximately \$200,000 in 2003/4. Savings are being used on an OTO basis to fund moving and renovation costs.	Costs of leased space now predicted to decrease to \$325,000 due to pressure for space on campus.	Costs of leased space now predicted to decrease to \$556,000 in 2003/04 due to pressure for space on campus, particularly transition space during new construction.	Costs of leased space now predicted to be \$795,417 in 2003/04. The increase is principally due to the space requirements of the Research & International Relations and Development portfolios.	Costs of leased space now predicted to be \$951,216 in 2003/04. A small group under the direction of the Vice- Provost Space and Facilities Planning is reviewing the need for leased space in light of recent property acquisitions.	Costs of leased space now predicted to be \$0.99M in 2003/04.

24.	Library Acquisitions	Policy to be reviewed during 1999/2000. Current policy is producing annual increases of just over 4%.	Original assumption retained pending outcome of review to be conducted in 1999/2000.	The assumption currently in the budget model of a 4.2% annual increase in the Library Acquisition Budget will be retained. The policy on the provision of inflation protection for the library's acquisition budget is being reviewed; the algorithm for determining annual changes to the budget is also being examined.	The assumption currently in the budget model of a 4.2% annual increase in the Library Acquisition Budget will be retained pending the outcome of the review of the policy on the provision of inflation protection for the library's acquisition budget and the algorithm for determining annual changes to the budget.		
25.	Priorities Funds	Annual provision of 1.5% in base to provide for both academic and administrative priorities in years 2000/1 to 2003/4.	The APF will be augmented by \$5.0M in the years 2000/1 to 2003/4 as revenue from the 1998/99 and 1999/2000 tuition fee increases reaches steady state.	Some \$10.3M of additional revenue from tuition fee increases in excess of the percentage increase for the regulated programs will be available in the APF for program improvement and quality enhancement. Analysis of the Business Plans for new student residences indicate that, in the absence of a significant down-payment, a subsidy will be required to maintain room rates at an affordable level. \$1.7M will be added to the APF to provide assistance to Colleges undertaking new residence construction.	Some \$1.8M of additional revenue from tuition fee increases in excess of the percentage increase for the regulated programs will be available in the APF for program improvement and quality enhancement.	Some \$2.2M of additional revenue from tuition fee increases in excess of 5% will be available in the APF in 2002-03 and a further \$3.8M in 2003-04 for program improvement and quality enhancement. 0.5M in base is allocated to the Administrative Priorities Fund in each of 2002-04 and 2003- 04.	\$0.5M in base will be made available to provide increased resources to enable the Academic Services to meet the increased demand resulting from enrolment expansion. An additional \$0.2M in base will be made available to provide increased resources to enable the Administrative Services to meet the increased demand resulting from enrolment expansion.
25a.	Provost's Contingency Fund						A base component of \$350,000 renewable each year will be established in 2003-04. The existing contingency fund, which can be used OTO each year, will be reduced by \$350,000.

26.	Transitional Funds	Requirement for transitional funding will diminish with the revised funding approach to benefit costs. Funding will be provided through transfer of available pension current service cost funds and the		The balance of funds available from the current service pension cost provision in the operating budget for transfer to the Transition Funds in 2000/01 is \$3.6M, and in 20001/02 is \$3.8M.			
27.	Infrastructure Funds	LTD rebate. Funding will be provided through transfer of available pension current service cost funds and the LTD rebate. Majority of funds will be deployed to meet matching requirements of Federal and Provincial deferred maintenance and research infrastructure funding programs and other critical deferred maintenance needs. Any positive variance against budget to be added to the UIIF annually.	funding the University pension	The balance of funds available from the current service pension cost provision in the operating budget for transfer to the UIIF in 2000/01 is \$14.1M, in 20001/02 is \$14.8M and in 2003/04 is \$16.3M. All future Capital Project budgets will identify 1% of the project cost to be used for adjacent open space improvements. In addition, funding at a level of 2% of project cost will be identified to be used to further the Open Space Plan. For Academic Capital Projects the allocation will be from the UIIF or the Capital Renewal Fund.	The balance of funds available from the current service pension cost provision in the operating budget for transfer to the UIIF is \$16.8M in 2001/02 and \$21.2M in 2003/04. In addition, \$30M is being borrowed with a 20 year term and added to the UIIF budget to meet current capital commitments within the planning period. Principal and interest payments will be a charge to the University operating budget.	A further \$30M is being borrowed in 2002-03 and \$30M in 2003-04, each with a 25 year term, for the UIIF budget to meet current capital commitments within the planning period. Principal and interest payments will be a charge to the University operating budget.	A separate Capital Budget is proposed which will encompass construction for academic and administrative divisions, residences, parking garages, student activities and the matching funds that are such an important part of growing our endowment capital infrastructure. The portion of the debt service cost to be funded from the operating budget in 2003-04 is estimated at \$11.7M including all debt service costs currently included in the operating budget.
28.	Performance Based Funding						\$1.25M will be allocated to provide performance based funding in 2003-04. This fund will be used to assist a limited number of divisions who are in transition. The provision of funding will be dependent on attainment of performance objectives developed by agreement with the Provost

<	Base	)	>		
	Price Inflation				Total
2002-03 BUDGET		Other	Total	0.T.O	2003-2004 BUDGET
	2				
-					
6,670,924	131,457		131,457	131,457	6,933,838
1,921,629	(60,654)		(60,654)		1,860,975
441,047	9,079	2,781,368	2,790,447		3,231,494
9,033,600	79,882	2,781,368	2,861,250	131,457	12,026,307
-					
957,281	30,656		30,656		987,937
-	6,670,924 1,921,629 441,047 <b>9,033,600</b>	2002-03 BUDGET         Price Inflation & Formula Adjustments           6,670,924         131,457           1,921,629         (60,654)           441,047         9,079           9,033,600         79,882	Price Inflation           2002-03         & Formula           BUDGET         Adjustments         Other           6,670,924         131,457           1,921,629         (60,654)           441,047         9,079         2,781,368           9,033,600         79,882         2,781,368	Price Inflation           2002-03         & Formula           BUDGET         Adjustments         Other         Total           6,670,924         131,457         131,457           1,921,629         (60,654)         (60,654)           441,047         9,079         2,781,368         2,790,447           9,033,600         79,882         2,781,368         2,861,250	Price Inflation           2002-03         & Formula           BUDGET         Adjustments         Other         Total         O.T.O           6,670,924         131,457         131,457         131,457         131,457           1,921,629         (60,654)         (60,654)         441,047         9,079         2,781,368         2,790,447           9,033,600         79,882         2,781,368         2,861,250         131,457

\* SEE COPC CONTINGENCY

	<	Base	)	>		
	2002-03 BUDGET	Price Inflation & Formula Adjustments	Other	Total	0.T.O	Total 2003-2004 BUDGET
l	BODGET	Aujustments	Other	Total	0.1.0	BUDGET
3. INSTITUTIONAL STATUTORY COMMITMENTS						
Membership fees :						
-A.U.C.C.	163,797	5,307		5,307		169,104
-COU Subscriptions	631,684	6,317		6,317		638,001
-Total Other Membership	66,860	17,339		17,339		84,199
Subtotal- Membership Fees	862,341	28,963	-	28,963		891,304
Negotiating Expenses (a) UTFA	75,037	-	-	-		75,037
Negotiating Expenses (b) USWA	15,000			-	-	15,000
Negotiating Expenses (c) TA	19,694	-		-		19,694
TA/ TATP		-	50,000	50,000		50,000
lob Accommodation Fund/ Program	25,000		-	-		25,000
_egal Fees *	2,368,222		423,778	423,778		2,792,000
Pension Consulting	50,000		-	-		50,000
Radioactive & Chemical Waste Disposal *	370,000		100,000	100,000		470,000
Biomedical Waste Disposal (F&S)	-		41,712	41,712		41,712
Environmental Health & Safety Fund			38,000	38,000	10,000	48,000
Drinking Water Regulation Testing - F&S.	5,000	-		-	-	5,000
Environmental Health & Safety - Certification Training & transfer from USWA	32,000		-	-		32,000
TOTAL- INSTITUTIONAL STATUTORY COMMITMENTS	3,822,294	28,963	653,490	682,453	10,000	4,514,747

\* SEE COPC CONTINGENCY

	<	<>				
	2002-03 BUDGET	Price Inflation & Formula Adjustments	Other	Total	0.T.0	Total 2003-2004 BUDGET
4. UTILITIES						
Utilities: St George	26,580,397	745,516	(1,786,781)	(1,041,265)		25,539,132
Energy Management Fund	250,000		(50,000)	(50,000)		200,000
Relamping Project	(338,467)		175,000	175,000		(163,467)
Co-Generation Savings	(1,230,000)		937,520	937,520		(292,480)
Flue Heat Recovery Project	173,561	745 540	218,906	218,906		392,467
Sub total - St George Utilities	25,435,491	745,516	(505,355)	240,161	-	25,675,652
Utilities : Scarborough	2,183,659	316,513		316,513		2,500,172
Utilities : Erindale	2,695,411	(158,274)	-	(158,274)		2,537,137
TOTAL - UTILITIES	30,314,561	903,755	(505,355)	398,400	-	30,712,961
5. ADDITIONAL COST OF NEW SPACE						
5. ADDITIONAL COST OF NEW SPACE Southwest Chiller Plant				-		-
	 		33,896	- 33,896		- 73,226
Southwest Chiller Plant Gerstein Addition - 3/12's Earth Science Greenhouse - 2/12's	13,035		69,235	69,235		82,270
Southwest Chiller Plant Gerstein Addition - 3/12's			,			
Southwest Chiller Plant Gerstein Addition - 3/12's Earth Science Greenhouse - 2/12's	13,035		69,235	69,235		82,270
Southwest Chiller Plant Gerstein Addition - 3/12's Earth Science Greenhouse - 2/12's Haultain Bldg Conversion	13,035 19,363		69,235 6,455	69,235 6,455		82,270 25,818
Southwest Chiller Plant Gerstein Addition - 3/12's Earth Science Greenhouse - 2/12's Haultain Bldg Conversion Canadiana Building	13,035 19,363 40,295		69,235 6,455 35,309	69,235 6,455 35,309	5,000	82,270 25,818 75,604
Southwest Chiller Plant Gerstein Addition - 3/12's Earth Science Greenhouse - 2/12's Haultain Bldg Conversion Canadiana Building Sid Smith Infill - 4/12's	13,035 19,363 40,295		69,235 6,455 35,309 13,039	69,235 6,455 35,309 13,039	5,000	82,270 25,818 75,604 26,142
Southwest Chiller Plant Gerstein Addition - 3/12's Earth Science Greenhouse - 2/12's Haultain Bldg Conversion Canadiana Building Sid Smith Infill - 4/12's New Daycare Centre (10/12)	13,035 19,363 40,295		69,235 6,455 35,309 13,039 64,525	69,235 6,455 35,309 13,039 64,525	5,000	82,270 25,818 75,604 26,142 69,525
Southwest Chiller Plant Gerstein Addition - 3/12's Earth Science Greenhouse - 2/12's Haultain Bldg Conversion Canadiana Building Sid Smith Infill - 4/12's New Daycare Centre (10/12) 500 University (12/12)	13,035 19,363 40,295		69,235 6,455 35,309 13,039 64,525 519,834	69,235 6,455 35,309 13,039 64,525 519,834	5,000	82,270 25,818 75,604 26,142 69,525 519,834
Southwest Chiller Plant Gerstein Addition - 3/12's Earth Science Greenhouse - 2/12's Haultain Bldg Conversion Canadiana Building Sid Smith Infill - 4/12's New Daycare Centre (10/12) 500 University (12/12) Medical Arts (12/12)	13,035 19,363 40,295		69,235 6,455 35,309 13,039 64,525 519,834 45,041	69,235 6,455 35,309 13,039 64,525 519,834 45,041	5,000	82,270 25,818 75,604 26,142 69,525 519,834 45,041
Southwest Chiller Plant Gerstein Addition - 3/12's Earth Science Greenhouse - 2/12's Haultain Bldg Conversion Canadiana Building Sid Smith Infill - 4/12's New Daycare Centre (10/12) 500 University (12/12) Medical Arts (12/12) Board of Ed - 155 College (8/12)	13,035 19,363 40,295		69,235 6,455 35,309 13,039 64,525 519,834 45,041 488,065	69,235 6,455 35,309 13,039 64,525 519,834 45,041 488,065	5,000	82,270 25,818 75,604 26,142 69,525 519,834 45,041 488,065
Southwest Chiller Plant Gerstein Addition - 3/12's Earth Science Greenhouse - 2/12's Haultain Bldg Conversion Canadiana Building Sid Smith Infill - 4/12's New Daycare Centre (10/12) 500 University (12/12) Medical Arts (12/12) Board of Ed - 155 College (8/12) Board of Ed - 255 McCaul (8/12)	13,035 19,363 40,295		69,235 6,455 35,309 13,039 64,525 519,834 45,041 488,065 225,587	69,235 6,455 35,309 13,039 64,525 519,834 45,041 488,065 225,587	5,000	82,270 25,818 75,604 26,142 69,525 519,834 45,041 488,065 225,587

	<	<basebase></basebase>				
	2002-03 BUDGET	Price Inflation & Formula Adjustments	Other	Total	0.T.0	Total 2003-2004 BUDGET
6. PAID LEAVE COMMITMENTS						
Administrative leaves :						
- University Administration	-			-	649,710	649,710
- Federated & Constituent Colleges				-	-	-
Subtotal Administrative Leaves	-	-	-	-	649,710	649,710
UTFA Released Time	163,040	38,227		38,227		201,267
USWA Released Time	172,443	-	-	-		172,443
CUPE 3261 Released Time	20,000	18,403	-	18,403		38,403
CUPE 1230 Released Time	-	24,388	-	24,388		24,388
TOTAL- PAID LEAVE COMMITMENTS	355,483	81,018	-	81,018	649,710	1,086,211

\* SEE COPC CONTINGENCY

	<	Base	9	>		
	2002-03 BUDGET	Price Inflation & Formula Adjustments	Other	Total	O.T.O	Total 2003-2004 BUDGET
7. LIBRARY ACQUISITIONS COMMITMENTS						
Library acquisitions						
St George (excl. binding)						
-Books *	5,733,139	237,925		237,925		5,971,064
-Periodicals *	8,318,100	345,201		345,201		8,663,301
-Automation	3,788,138	157,208		157,208		3,945,346
	17,839,377	740,334	-	740,334	_	18,579,711
Scarborough	1,-	-,		- ,		- , ,
-Books *	1,169,414	48,531		48,531		1,217,945
-Periodicals *	-	-		-		-
-Automation	<u>-</u>	-		-		-
	1,169,414	48,531	-	48,531	_	1,217,945
Erindale	,,	-,		-,		, , ,
-Books *	282,200	11,711		11,711		293,911
-Periodicals *	733,328	30,433		30,433		763,761
-Automation	204,190	8,474		8,474		212,664
	1,219,718	50,618	-	50,618	-	1,270,336
Law						
-Books *	133,372	5,535		5,535		138,907
-Periodicals * -Automation	533,489 143,514	22,140 5,956		22,140 5,956		555,629 149,470
Automation	810,375	33,631	-	33,631	-	844,006
Graduate Institutes & Centres	43,713	1,814		1,814		45,527
Audio Visual Library	74,335	3,085		3,085		77,420
Dentistry	5,779	240		240		6,019
Innis College	10,042	417		417		10,459
Management	53,495	-		-		53,495
Music	6,781	281		281		7,062
New College	18,086	751		751		18,837
Fine Arts	40,273	1,671		1,671		41,944
University College	7,533	313		313		7,846
TOTAL - LIBRARY ACQUISITIONS	21,298,921	881,685	-	881,685	-	22,180,606

	<> Price Inflation					Total	
	2002-03	& Formula				2003-2004	
	BUDGET	Adjustments	Other	Total	0.T.O	BUDGET	
8. OTHER POLICY COMMITMENTS							
Expenses Funded from Overhead on Contract Research (note 1)	-			-	6,370,086	6,370,086	
Expenses Funded from Overhead on Federal Research Grant	3,652,179	-	307,156	307,156		3,959,335	
Overhead on University Student Assistance	871,960	-		-		871,960	
Insurance *	860,208	-		-		860,208	
Governing Council Elections *	32,760	-		-		32,760	
OCGS Periodic Appraisal Costs	-			-	171,200	171,200	
* SEE COPC CONTINGENCY							
TOTAL- OTHER POLICY COMMITMENTS	5,417,107	-	307,156	307,156	6,541,286	12,265,549	

(Note 1: OTO Budget in 2001-02 \$7,635,480)

#### 9. OPERATING FUND TRANSFER TO CAPITAL

Transfer for Capital Budget debt service costs	6,073,416	-	5,676,584	5,676,584		11,750,000
10. COPC CONTINGENCY	3,691,542	-	179,208	179,208	-	3,870,750
TOTAL - ITEMS 1 TO 10	81,089,331	2,005,959	9,897,735	11,903,694	7,337,453	100,330,478

	<>						
		Price Inflation				Total	
	2002-03	& Formula				2003-2004	
	BUDGET	Adjustments	Other	Total	0.T.O	BUDGET	
CONTINGENCY ITEMS	LOW	HIGH	RANGE		BASE	ОТО	
Library books (currency fluctuations)	-	4,500,000	4,500,000		4,500,000		
Legal Fees	-	500,000	500,000		500,000		
UTFA Negotiation Expense	-	50,000	50,000		50,000		
Hazardous Waste Disposal Costs	470,000	545,000	75,000		75,000		
Environmental Health & Education Fund	_	25,000	25,000		25,000		
Governing Council Elections	-	11,000	11,000		11,000		
Insurance	-	100,000	100,000		100,000		
			-		-		
					-		
TOTAL	470,000	5,631,000	5,161,000	-	5,161,000	-	
			3,870,750		2 970 750		
CONTINGENCY COVERAGE OF RISK 2003-04 @ 75%			5,670,750		3,870,750	-	