

University of Toronto Toronto Ontario M5S 1A1

OFFICE OF PLANNING AND BUDGET

TO: Planning and Budget Committee

SPONSOR: Shirley Neuman, Vice-President and Provost 416 978-7116, d.mccammond@utoronto.ca

DATE: March 6th 2003 for March 26th 2003

AGENDA ITEM: #4

ITEM IDENTIFICATION:

Budget Report 2003/04.

JURISDICTIONAL INFORMATION:

The Committee recommends approval of guidelines for the development of the University's Operating Budget for the planning period and recommends approval of the annual budget.

PREVIOUS ACTION TAKEN:

None

HIGHLIGHTS:

The Budget Report serves two purposes. First it updates, utilizing the most current information available, the assumptions in the *Long Range Budget Guidelines and Projections, 1998-2004*. Second, it presents for approval the budget for each division including those budgets determined pursuant to Contractual Obligations and Policy Commitments. It also indicates the funding available in the Operating Budget Special Funds. The budget for 2003-04 ensures that the University will exit the current six-year planning period in compliance with the budget guidelines: that is to say, the annual budget will be in balance by 2003-04 and the accumulated deficit will be within policy limits.

Projected revenues for 2003-04 have increased by \$9.3 million in 2003-04 over that previously forecast in the 2002-03 Budget Report, while expenditure requirements have grown by \$27.7 million, increasing the annual shortfall from \$3.8 million to \$22.2 million. If left uncorrected, this would result in an accumulated deficit of \$44.1 million as of April 30th, 2004. Eliminating this shortfall and containing the accumulated deficit to within policy limits requires a base budget reduction of 4.45% (\$22.2M) and an OTO

clawback of 1.46% (\$7.3M) in 2003-04. The principal components contributing to the increased shortfall are;

- not realizing the assumption of a 1% inflation increase in government operating grant funding in 2002-03 and removing the 2% assumption for 2003-04 (\$9.6M),
- not realizing the assumption that funding for the indirect cost of Federal research would increase from the current 20% to a level of 30% (\$7.6M),
- a reduction of \$2.4M in the base investment revenue projected from the Expendable Funds Investment Pool (EFIP) and an increase of \$4.4M in the amortization costs of previous investment losses.

A comprehensive Capital Budget is established which encompasses academic, non-academic and endowed capital infrastructure. It includes construction for academic and administrative divisions, residences, parking garages, student activities and the matching funds that are such an important part of growing our endowment capital infrastructure. Given the existence of a finite institutional borrowing capacity, the Capital Budget will enable decisions on appropriate levels of debt financing for individual capital projects to be informed by the total capital institutional debt. The Capital Budget includes capital projects currently approved by Governance and, in particular all that will require capital funding in 2003-04. It identifies the funding sources for debt service costs, one of which is the operating budget.

Other initiatives signaled in this report include;

- A fund of \$2.5M has been created to assist Divisions to adjust to a lower payout from endowment funds.
- An allocation of \$1.25M will be used to assist a limited number of divisions who are in transition in 2003-04. The provision of this funding to divisions will be dependent on attainment of performance objectives developed by agreement with the Provost

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Planning and Budget Committee recommend the attached *Budget Report for 2003-04* to the Academic Board for approval.

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO BUDGET REPORT 2003-2004.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION.		3	
2.	FISCAL CONTEXT.		3	
3.	3. UPDATED LONG RANGE BUDGET PROJECTIONS THROUGH 2003-04.			
4.	PROPOSED OPERAT	ING BUDGET FOR 2003-04.	8	
5.	Administrative F Academic Priori Canada Research Enrolment Grow	ties Fund n Chairs Fund	9 9 9 10 10	
6.	PROPOSED CAPITAI	BUDGET, 2003-2010	10	
7.	DIVISIONAL BUDGE	T SCHEDULES AND FINANCIAL REPORTS.	15	
8.	APPENDICES.		41	
	Appendix A	Updated Table of Assumptions from the Long Range Budget Guidelines for Planning and Budgeting, 1998-2004.		
	Appendix B	Contractual Obligations and Policy Commitments (COPC) List.		

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO BUDGET REPORT, 2003-04.

1. Introduction.

The Budget Report, 2003-04 serves two purposes. First it updates, utilizing the most current information available, the assumptions in the *Long Range Budget Guidelines and Projections, 1998-2004* first approved by Governing Council in April 1998. Second, it presents for approval the budget for each division, including those budgets determined pursuant to Contractual Obligations and Policy Commitments. It also indicates the funding available in the Administrative and Academic Priority Funds, the Enrolment Growth Fund and the Canada Research Chairs Fund. The Budget Report, 2003-04 is the last budget in the planning period associated with the *Raising Our Sights* planning process.

2. FISCAL CONTEXT

As they entered the 1990's the University of Toronto and all other Ontario universities were experiencing a period of relative financial stability and recovery; government grants and tuition were increasing at rates slightly above the general inflation rate, and budget reductions were, relatively speaking, modest in scale. With the full onset of the economic recession in 1992 through 1994, operating grants were frozen and then reduced through the Social Contract (\$17.3 million) and the Expenditure Control Plan (\$5 million). Tuition fees increased by a range of 8 to 10 per cent annually to partially compensate for the loss of grant revenue. The Ontario Student Assistance Plan was modified by government from a combined grant/loan program to an all-loan program as a cost reduction measure.

In 1995 the new government fulfilled its election promise to further reduce operating grants to universities by \$280 million, a loss of \$53.9 million to the University of Toronto. Again as a partial offset to the loss of grant revenue, government permitted significant increases in tuition fee rates; 20 per cent in 1996-97 and 10 per cent on average in each subsequent year up to and including 1999-2000. Tuition fees were deregulated for international students, and for students in some professional and all graduate programs.

Government operating grants revenue reached a peak at approximately \$400 million in 1992-93, fell to \$339 million in 1997-98 and is projected to rise to \$413M in 2003-04 with the introduction of a number of new funding envelopes targeted to enrolment increases and performance indicators. However during the past decade, the system-wide *Government operating funding per BIU* has decreased in absolute terms by over 16% and in real terms by considerably more. At the same time, tuition revenue will increase from \$100 million in 1992-93 to a projected \$320 million in 2003-04, as a result of tuition fee rate and enrolment increases. Starting in 1996-97 the Government mandated that 10% of the revenue from tuition fee increases be spent on student aid; this was increased to 30% in 1997-98 and subsequent years.

The practical effect of the Government funding policy has been that the university has had to internally absorb a significant portion of cost increases for compensation, library acquisitions and utilities by way of budget reduction. The university's expenditure patterns have also changed significantly over this period: support for student aid has increased dramatically, from \$7.7 million in 1991 to a projected \$95.9 million in 2003-04. In effect the increase in expenditures on student financial aid is approximately 40% of the increase in tuition revenue making the University of Toronto one of the most accessible in the Country. Library acquisition costs have continued to increase sharply throughout the period, from \$9 million in 1991 to a projected \$22.2 million in 2003-04.

In 2000 the Government announced a cap on tuition fee increases for all regulated programs in each of the five years 2000-01 to 2004-05 at 2% per year, not compounded. The tuition fee schedule for 2003-04 that the Business Board will recommend to Governing Council for approval includes 5% fee increases for new and continuing domestic students in the deregulated programs with the exception of new students in programs in business (MBA), dentistry, computer science, engineering, information technology and law where the revenue from larger increases is being used to enhance quality in these programs.

3. UPDATED LONG RANGE BUDGET PROJECTIONS THROUGH 2003-04.

Table 1 presents the revised Long-Range Budget Projections, updated to reflect new information and changes in the *Guidelines*' assumptions. The last column shows the variance from the projections contained in the previous Budget Report, i.e. 2002-03. The following are the highlights of the updated projection.

Revenue

- Government operating grants are forecast to decrease by \$4.4 million from than previously budgeted due to the removal of the inflation provision for 2003-04 and the projected relief in 2002-03 of \$3.2 million, either through a special grant envelope or some relaxation in tuition regulation, partially offset by grant revenue from increased enrolment. The model now includes an assumption that we will receive full average operating funding for all undergraduate enrolment increase in 2003-04 (~ \$5.6M) but does not anticipate an increase in operating funding per student to meet inflationary cost increases.
- Tuition revenue is \$21.6 million higher than previously forecast, reflecting increased enrollment and higher tuition fee increases in programs in computer science, engineering, dentistry, law and business.
- The Federal budget contained an annual allocation of \$225 million for the indirect costs of federally funded research. The University's portion of these funds is assumed to be \$15.8 million in base in 2003-04 up from the \$14.6 million received OTO in 2001-02.
- Investment revenue has been reduced to \$11.3 million in 2003-04 some \$2.4M below the baseline level. The cost of amortization of investment losses has increased from \$5.6M to \$10.0M in 2003-04

Expense

- The operating budget now includes funding of \$11.7M of debt service costs reflecting the central administration's contribution to the total debt service costs associated with the Capital Budget, (see Section 6). This charge subsumes the debt service costs already in the budget for the \$90M of loans which funded the University Infrastructure Investment Fund and the \$5M payment to the MARS project for a net increase of \$2.8M.
- The enrollment growth fund, representing revenue-sharing agreements for increased grant and fee revenue associated with enrolment increases, is \$15.9 million higher than previously budgeted.
- An allocation of \$1.25M will be used to assist a limited number of divisions who are in transition in 2003-04. The provision of this funding to divisions will be dependent on attainment of performance objectives developed by agreement with the Provost.

The Net Result

• Revenues have increased by \$9.3 million in 2003-04 over previous forecasts, while expenditure requirements have grown by \$27.7 million, increasing the annual shortfall from

- \$3.8 million to \$22.2 million. If left uncorrected, this would result in an accumulated deficit of \$44.1 million as of April 30, 2004.
- Eliminating this shortfall and containing the accumulated deficit to within policy limits requires a base budget reduction of 4.45% (\$22.2M) and a OTO clawback of 1.46% (\$7.3M) in 2003-04.

Budget Strategy: Toward a New Budget Framework 2003-09

The budget for 2003-04 ensures that the University will exit the current six-year planning period in compliance with the budget guidelines; that is to say, that the annual budget will be in balance and that the accumulated deficit will be within policy limits. The Long Range Projections in the Budget Report for 2002-03 included a base budget cut of 1.5% in 2003-04 to achieve a balanced budget. Unfortunately the combination of not realizing increases in government operating revenues per student to cover increased costs and the projected increase in the rate at which the indirect cost of federal research would be assessed, together with reduced investment returns have required that the base cut be increased to 4.45% to produce a balanced budget. Also a 1.46% one time only (OTO) cut will be required to maintain the accumulated deficit below the maximum permitted, i.e. 1.5% of the operating budget.

There are a number of potential sources of increased revenue which if realized will, in the first instance, be used to reduce or eliminate this OTO cut. These include:

- The provision by the Provincial Government of full average funding for enrolment above the Enrolment Target Agreement (ETA) for 2002-03.
- A modest increase in the rate at which the indirect cost of federal research is assessed. The amount allocated in the Federal Budget was an increase of 12.5% over the OTO funding in 2000-01 while the projected increase in the funding realized by the University is only 8% reflecting the University's increased volume of federal research.
- The creation of a Double Cohort Quality Enhancement Fund currently being considered by the Provincial Government.
- Increased Accessibility Funding for graduate enrolment expansion to deal with issues arising from the undergraduate enrolment expansion.

The new budget framework for 2004-05 through 2008-09 will establish a new set of budget strategies and must necessarily deal with several significant structural issues in the budget including;

- the lack of recognition of inflationary costs in the provision of Government Operating funding,
- volatile returns on the University's investments,
- increased pension costs resulting from the dwindling surplus in the pension funds and a gap between projected earnings in a weaker investment climate and the University's pension liability,
- heavy demands for infrastructure funds,
- the cost of maintaining new space.

Projection of Operating Revenue		Table 1: Le	ona Rana	e Budget F	Projection					
Projection of Operating Grants					1.1			•		
Provincial Operating Grants 336,		1998-99	1999-00	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	4	Total	Variance
Provincian Operating Signature 177.5 205.3 218.8 237.5 272.6 320.2 230	Projection of Operating Revenue				•					
Tution Fees	Provincial Operating Grants									
Total Carlain Suis-Feath Chairs and Student Aid 23.5 28.3 29.7 34.1 34.6 28.7 28.7 40.8 (12.1)	• -	177.5	205.3	218.8	237.5	272.6	320.2	320.2	298.6	21.6
Endowment Revenue for Chairs and Student Aid 23.5	Total Grants plus Fees	513.6	551.5	582.0	611.3					
Revenue from a New Endowment for Graduate Student Aid Canada Research Chairs 6.8 14.3 21.0 29.3 29.3 29.3 0.1 1.0 2.0 1.0	Endowment Revenue for Chairs and Student Aid	23.5	26.3	29.7	34.1	34.6	28.7	1		
Canada Research Grants	Revenue from a New Endowment for Graduate Student Aid			6.0	142	21.0	20.3	1		
Indirect Cost Recovery on Febral Research Orients 12.1 11.8 12.7 20.4 13.7 7.6 7	Canada Research Chairs			0.0				1.		
investment Income 12,1 11,8 20,0 20,1 12,7 27,4 27,4 22,4 12,0 Divisional Income 16,4 20,7 10,8 10,4 109,6 124,4 124,4 124,6 10,6 14,8 Divisional Income 16,4 97,7 10,8 10,4 109,6 124,4 124,4 124,6 10,6 Total Operating Revenue 5650,2 \$707,5 \$752,9 \$812,7 \$587,3 \$977,6 \$985,3 \$93.5 Projection of Operating Expenditures, Peginning of Budget Process for the Year 639,0 665,6 708,2 758,3 823,2 904,3 639,0 639,0 0.0 Changes in Operating Expenditures, Year-Over-Year: 0,0 0,0 0.0 Expenditures Funded by Endowment for Chairs and Student Ald 14,7 3,5 3,4 4,4 0,5 (5,9) 20,6 32,7 (12,1) Expenditures Funded by Endowment for Chairs and Student Ald 14,7 3,5 3,4 4,4 0,5 (5,9) 20,6 32,7 (12,1) Expenditures Funded by Endowment for Chairs and Student Ald 14,7 3,5 3,4 4,4 0,5 (5,9) 20,6 32,7 (12,1) Expenditures Funded by Endowment for Chairs and Student Ald 14,7 3,5 3,4 4,4 0,5 (5,9) 20,6 32,7 (12,1) Expenditures Funded by Endowment for Chairs and Student Ald 14,7 3,5 3,4 4,4 0,5 (5,9) 20,6 32,7 (12,1) Expenditures Funded by Endowment for Chairs and Student Ald 14,7 3,5 3,4 4,4 0,5 (5,9) 2,6 2,5 2,5 0,0 0,0 Expenditures Funded by Endowment for Chairs and Student Ald 14,7 3,5 3,4 4,4 0,5 5,9 2,5 2,5 0,0 0,0 Expenditures Funded by Endowment for Chairs and Student Ald 14,7 13,1 4,1 2,3 3,5 14,8 39,6 2,5 2,5 0,0 2,5 Expenditures Funded by Endowment for Chairs and Student Ald 14,7 13,1 4,1 2,3 3,5 3,5 14,8 39,6 2,5 2,5 0,0 2,5 Expenditures Funded by Endowment for Chairs Fund 1,2 1,3 1,3 2,5	Indirect Cost Recovery on Federal Research Grants Stowardship and Investment Management Fees							7.6	7.6	
Other Income 16.4 20.2 20.0 20.0 109.5 124.4 124.4 100.8 1.6.0 1.0.0 0.0										
Total Operating Revenue Seb. Stock Sto								1		
Projection of Operating Expenditures Commission Com	Divisional Income	84.6	97.7	101.8	104.1	109.0	124.4	124.4		
Changes in Operating Expenditures, Beginning of Budget Process for the Year 639.0 665.6 708.2 758.3 829.2 904.3 639.0 639.0 0.0	Total Operating Revenue	\$650.2	\$707.5	\$752.9	\$812.7	\$887.3	\$977.6	\$977.6	\$968.3	\$9.3
Changes in Operating Expenditures, Beginning of Budget Process for the Year 639.0 665.6 708.2 758.3 829.2 904.3 639.0 639.0 0.0										
Changes in Operating Expenditures, Year-Over-Year: Expenditures Funded by Endowment for Chairs and Student Ald 14.7 3.5 3.4 4.4 0.5 (5.9) 2.06 32.7 (12.1)	Projection of Operating Expenditures									
Expenditures Funded by Endowment for Chairs and Student Ald 14.7 3.5 3.4 4.4 0.5 (5.9) 20.6 32.7 (12.1)	Operating Expenditures, Beginning of Budget Process for the Year	639.0	665.6	708.2	758.3	823.2	904.3	639.0	639.0	
Expenditures Funded by Endowment for Chairs and Student Aid	Changes in Operating Expenditures, Year-Over-Year:									
Partial Funding to Assist Divisions in Adjusting to Lower Endowment Payout 13.1		447	۰.	. 04	4.4	0.5	/F 0\		I.	
Partial Funding to Assist Divisional Incomer 13,1	Expenditures Funded by Endowment for Chairs and Student Aid		3.5	3.4	4.4	0.5				
Contractual Obligations and Policy Commitments 2.1 4.1 2.0 9.1 8.6 11.6 37.9 31.0 0.6 0.0 Changes in Contractual Obligations and Policy Commitmen (1.2) 2.4 (1.1) 1.7 1.2 (1.6) 1.4 2.0 (0.6) COST of Compensation Settlements 12.1 13.6 20.5 16.6 23.7 20.6 107.1 111.3 (4.2) Compensation Settlements (0.0) 5.2 3.5 6.7 20.0 33.5 68.9 53.0 15.9 Enrolment Growth Fund 5.1 7.9 1.3 2.6 (2.6) (2.0) (3.0) (3.2) (16.2) (16.2) (16.2) (16.3) 0.2 Compensation Settlements (0.0) 5.2 3.5 6.7 20.0 33.5 68.9 53.0 15.9 Student Aid Reinvestment 5.1 7.9 1.3 2.6 2.6 5.4 24.9 22.7 2.2 Student Aid Reinvestment 5.1 7.9 1.3 2.6 2.6 5.4 24.9 22.7 2.2 Student Aid Reinvestment 5.1 7.9 8.8 9.1 10.1 35.8 35.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	Partial Funding to Assist Divisions in Adjusting to Lower Endowme	an rayout	13.1	4.1	2.3	5.5		E .		
OTO Changes in Contractual Obligations and Policy Commitmen Cost of Compensation Settlements (2.8) (2.8) (2.6) (2.0) (2.6) (3.0) (3.2) (16.2) (16.3) 0.2 Componsation Savinge Due to Faculty Retirements (2.8) (2.8) (2.6) (2.0) (2.6) (3.0) (3.2) (16.2) (16.3) 0.2 Enrolment Growth Fund Student Aid Retirevestment (3.1) 7.9 1.3 2.6 2.6 5.4 24.9 22.7 2.2 Student Aid Retirevestment (4.2) 0.0 0.0 0.0 New Graduate Student Aid Funds (5.1) 7.9 1.3 2.6 2.6 5.4 24.9 22.7 2.2 Student Aid Retirevestment (6.2) (2.4) (2.2) (2.5) 1.2 8 12.8 12.8 0.0 O.0 0.0 0.0 Canada Research Chair Fund (CRCF) Salary Budget Transfers to Canada Research Chairs Fund (6.2) (2.4) (2.2) (2.5) (2.6) (9.4) (9.4) (9.4) 0.0 O.0 0.0 Canada Research Chair Fundige (APF) Salary Budget Transfers to Canada Research Chairs Fund (6.1) 1.5 1.5 1.7 6.1 5.2 0.9 Academic Priorities Funding (APF) Sullip Enhancement Funds from Tuition Revenue Other Allocation to Divisions from Federal Indirect Cost Recoveries Allocation to Divisions from Federal Indirect Cost Recoveries Allocation to Returna Under RCM, including MRA Fee Increases Allocation to Returna Under RCM, including MRA Fee Increases Allocation to Returna Under RCM, including MRA Fee Increases Allocation to Returna Under RCM, including MRA Fee Increases Allocation to Returna Under RCM, including MRA Fee Increases Allocation to Returna Under RCM, including MRA Fee Increases Allocation to Returna Under RCM, including MRA Fee Increases Allocation to Returna English (16.9) (16.9) (16.9) (16.9) (0.4) Budget Reductions Remaining from Previous Plan Allocation Requirement (6.1) (6.3) (6.3) (6.7) (6.8) (22.2) (35.8) (21.6) (14.2) Performance Based Funding New Reduction Requirement (6.1) (6.3) (6.3) (6.7) (6.8) (22.2) (35.8) (21.6) (14.2) Performance Based Funding New Reduction Requirement (6.1) (6.3) (6.3) (6.7) (6.8) (52.8) (52.8) (52.8) (52.8) (52.8)	Contractual Obligations and Policy Commitments	2.1	4.1							
Cost of Compensation Settlements 12.1 13.6 20.9 (2.6) (2.0) (2.6) (3.0) (3.2) (16.2) (16.3) (16.3) (16.2) (16.3) (16.2) (16.3) (16.2) (16.3) (16.2) (16.3) (16.2) (16.3) (16.2) (16.3) (16.2) (16.3) (16.3) (16.2) (16.3) (16.2) (16.	OTO Changes in Contractual Obligations and Policy Commitmen							i e		
Compensating Student Aid Fund Co.0 S.2 3.5 6.7 20.0 33.5 68.9 53.0 15.9	Cost of Compensation Settlements									
Student Aid Reinvestment S.1 7.9 1.3 2.6 2.6 5.4 24.9 22.7 2.2 2.0 0.0										
New Graduate Student Aid Funds 2,8 2,2 5,1 2,8 12,8 12,8 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0						2.6	5.4			
New Graduate Student Ald Fund (CRCF) 0.0 7.9 8.8 9.1 10.1 35.8 35.8 0.0	Stadent Ala Melineatinant									
Canada Research Chair Fund (CRCF) Salary Budget Transfers to Canada Research Chairs Fund (2.2) (2.4) (2.2) (2.6) (9.4) (9.4) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	New Graduate Student Aid Funds			2.8	2.2	5.1	2.8			
Salary Budget Transfers to Canada Research Chairs Fund (2.2) (2.4) (2.2) (2.6) (9.4) (9.4) (0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	a to Decision Front (ODCE)	0.0		7.9	8.8	9.1	10.1			
Academic Priorities Funding (APF) Funds Available through Reallocation Quality Enhancement Funds from Tuition Revenue Other Allocation to Divisions from Federal Indirect Cost Recoveries Administrative Priorities Campaign Costs Allocation to Rotman Under RCM, including MRA Fee Increases Allocation to Scarborough Under RCM Allocation of Revenue to OISE/UT Budget Reductions Remaining from Previous Plan Performance Based Funding New Reduction Requirement Reallocation Requirement Reallocation Requirement Reallocation Requirement Resilocation Resil	Canada Research Chair Fund (CROF) Soloni Budget Transfers to Canada Research Chairs Fund	, 0.0						(9.4)	(9.4)	0.0
Academic Priorities Funding (APF) Funds Available through Reallocation Quality Enhancement Funds from Tuition Revenue Other Allocation to Divisions from Federal Indirect Cost Recoveries Administrative Priorities Campaign Costs Allocation to Rotman Under RCM, including MRA Fee Increases Allocation to Scarborough Under RCM Allocation of Revenue to OISE/UT Budget Reductions Remaining from Previous Plan Performance Based Funding New Reduction Requirement Reallocation Requirement Operating Expenditure Budget For the year 5.9 5.9 5.9 5.3 5.5 12.3 1.5 36.4 34.9 1.5 1.0 2.8 1.6 3.0 18.3 19.1 (0.8) 1.9 1.0 1.5 1.7 6.1 5.2 0.9 3.7 0.3 4.0 3.7 0.3 4.0 3.7 0.3 4.0 3.7 0.3 4.0 3.7 0.3 4.0 3.7 0.3 4.0 1.5 5.2 0.9 9.9 9.9 9.9 0.0 1.8 1.8 1.4 0.7 7.2 8.0 (0.8) 9.9 9.9 9.9 0.0 1.9 9.9 9.9 0.0 1.1 15.5 14.4 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1	Salary Budget Hanslers to Ganada Rossalish Grame Land									
Funds Available through Reallocation 5.9 5.9 5.3 5.3 12.3 13.3 18.3 19.1 (0.8) Quality Enhancement Funds from Tuition Revenue Other 1.9 1.0 1.5 1.7 6.1 5.2 0.9 Allocation to Divisions from Federal Indirect Cost Recoveries 3.7 0.3 4.0 3.7 0.3 Administrative Priorities 0.9 0.6 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.4 0.7 7.2 8.0 (0.8) 9.9 0.0 Campaign Costs 9.9 9.9 9.9 0.0 Allocation to Rotman Under RCM, including MRA Fee Increases Allocation to Scarborough Under RCM 1.9 2.5 1.7 6.1 6.1 6.1 0.0 Allocation of Revenue to OISE/UT 0.3 0.8 1.7 0.7 0.7 (0.4) 3.8 5.0 (1.1) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Academic Priorities Funding (APF)					10.0	4 5			
Allocation to Divisions from Federal Indirect Cost Recoveries Administrative Priorities Campaign Costs Allocation to Rotman Under RCM, including MRA Fee Increases Allocation to Scarborough Under RCM Allocation to Scarborough Under RCM Allocation of Revenue to OISE/UT Budget Reductions Remaining from Previous Plan Performance Based Funding New Reduction Requirement Reallocation Requirement (6.1) (6.3) (6.7) (6.6) (50.0)	Funds Available through Reallocation							4	l	
Administrative Priorities Administrative Priorities Campaign Costs Allocation to Rotman Under RCM, including MRA Fee Increases Allocation to Scarborough Under RCM Allocation to Scarborough Under RCM Allocation of Revenue to OISE/UT Budget Reductions Remaining from Previous Plan Performance Based Funding New Reduction Requirement Operating Expenditure Budget For the year O 9 0.6 1.8 1.8 1.4 0.7 7.2 8.0 (0.8) 1.9 0.0 9.4 6.1 15.5 14.4 1.1 1.0 0.0 9.4 6.1 6.1 0.0 1.1 0.0 9.4 6.1 6.1 0.0 1.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.3 0.3 0.8 1.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 (0.4) 1.3 1.3 0.0 0.0 1.3 1.3 0.0 1.3 1.4 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7		4.4	2.0							
Administrative Priorities Campaign Costs Allocation to Rotman Under RCM, including MRA Fee Increases Allocation to Scarborough Under RCM Allocation to Scarborough Under RCM 1.9 2.5 1.7 0.7 0.7 (0.4) 3.8 5.0 (1.1) Allocation of Revenue to OISE/UT Budget Reductions Remaining from Previous Plan Performance Based Funding New Reduction Requirement Coperating Expenditure Budget For the year O.9 0.6 1.8 1.8 1.4 0.7 7.2 8.0 (0.8) 1.9 0.0 9.4 6.1 15.5 14.4 1.1 6.1 6.1 0.0 6.1 6.1 0.0 6.1 6.1 0.0 6.1 6.1 0.0 6.1 0.0 6.1 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.4 0.7 7.2 8.0 (0.8) 9.9 9.9 9.9 0.0 1.1 1.5 14.4 1.1 1.2 0.0 0.0 6.1 6.1 0.0 6.1 6.1 0.0 6.1 6.1 0.0 6.2 (34.2) (34.2) 0.0 6.3 (34.2) (34.2) 0.0 6.4 (34.2) (34.2) 0.0 6.5 (35.8) (21.6) (14.2) 6.6 (25.8) (26.6) 0.8						37	0.3	40	37	. 03
Administrative Priorities Campaign Costs Allocation to Rotman Under RCM, including MRA Fee Increases Allocation to Scarborough Under RCM Allocation to Scarborough Under RCM Allocation of Revenue to OISE/UT Budget Reductions Remaining from Previous Plan Performance Based Funding New Reduction Requirement Reallocation Requirement Operating Expenditure Budget For the year Solution 9.9 9.9 9.9 9.9 9.9 9.9 9.9 9.9	Allocation to Divisions from Federal Indirect Cost Recoveries									
Campaign Costs Allocation to Rotman Under RCM, including MRA Fee Increases Allocation to Scarborough Under RCM Allocation to Scarborough Under RCM 1.9 2.5 1.7 0.7 0.7 (0.4) 3.8 5.0 (1.1) Allocation of Revenue to OISE/UT Budget Reductions Remaining from Previous Plan Performance Based Funding New Reduction Requirement Reallocation Requirement Coperating Expenditure Budget For the year See See See See See See See See See Se	Administrative Priorities	0.9	0.6	1.8		1.4	0.7			
Allocation to Roman Under RCM, including thirst set studies and a					9.9			9.9	9.9	0.0
Allocation to Scarborough Under RCM Allocation of Revenue to OISE/UT 0.3 0.8 1.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.04 3.8 5.0 (1.1) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Allocation to Rotman Linder RCM, including MRA Fee Increases				0.0	9.4	6.1	15.5		
Allocation of Revenue to OISE/UT Budget Reductions Remaining from Previous Plan Performance Based Funding New Reduction Requirement Reallocation Requirement Coperating Expenditure Budget For the year 1.3 1.3 0.0 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3	Allocation to Scarborough Under RCM	1.9	2.5	1.7						
Budget Reductions Remaining from Previous Plan Performance Based Funding New Reduction Requirement Reallocation Requirement Operating Expenditure Budget For the year (16.9) (1		0.3	8.0	1.7	0.7	0.7	(0.4)			
1.3 1.3 0.0 1.3	District Dis	/4E (1)	(16.0)	(0 A)						
New Reduction Requirement (13.6) (22.2) (35.6) (21.5) (14.2) (8.3) (6.7) (6.6) (25.8) (26.6) (26.6) (25.8) (26.6)		(10.8)	(10.8)	(5.7)			1.3			
Reallocation Requirement (6.1) (6.3) (6.7) (6.0) (20.0) (2										
Operating Expenditure Budget For the year \$665.6 \$708.2 \$758.3 \$823.2 \$904.3 \$977.6 \$977.6 \$964.1 \$13.5	Reallocation Requirement			(6.1)	(6.3)	(6.7)	(6.6)	(25.8)	(26.6)	0.8
Operating Surplus/(Deficit) for the year (\$15.4) (\$0.8) (\$5.4) (\$10.4) (\$17.0) (\$0.0) \$4.2 (\$4.2)		\$665.6	\$708.2	\$758.3	\$823.2	\$904.3	\$977.6	\$977.6	\$964.1	\$13.5
	Operating Surplus/(Deficit) for the year	(\$15.4)	(\$0.8)	(\$5.4)	(\$10.4)	(\$17.0)	(\$0.0)	(\$0.0)	\$4.2	(\$4.2)

Table 1: Long Range Budget Projection (contd.)

	1998-99 Actual	1999-00 Actual	2000-01 Actual	2001-02 Actual	2002-03 Forecast	2003-04
Planned Annual Operating Surplus/(Deficit)	(15.1)	(0.8)	(5.4)	(10.4)	(17.0)	(0.0)
Current Service Pension SavIngs Available for Reallocation		16.8	17.7	18.6	19.8	21.2
Required Contribution to Pension Plan						(18.8)
Redirection of Pension Savings Previously Allocated to UIIF						19.7
	(15.1)	16.0	12.3	8.2	2.8	22.1
Transfer to Academic and AdministrativeTransitional funds		(2.5)	(3.6)	(1.8)		
Matching Fund Requirements					0.0	0.0
Amortization of Investment Losses				(9.0)	(7.2)	(10.0)
Transfer to Graduate Aid Endowment				(3.0)		
Transfer to Infrastructure Investment Fund		(14.3)	(14.1)	(14.8)		0.0
	(15.1)	(0.8)	(5.4)	(20.4)	(4.4)	12.1
One Time Only Deficit Control Measures	2.2	1.2	2.4	13.5	0.0	7.3
Budgeted Surplus/(Deficit) for the Year	(12.9)	0.4	(3.0)	(6.9)	(4.4)	19.4
Adjustment of Budget to Forecast Actual	5.2	0.7	(2.4)	(0.4)	(11.6)	
Accumulated Surplus/(Deficit), Beginning of Year	1.4	(6.3)	(5.3)	(10.7)	(18.1)	(34.0)
Accumulated Surplus/(Deficit), End of Year	(\$6.3)	(\$5.3)	(\$10.7)	(\$18.1)	(\$34.0)	(\$14.6)
Maximum Deficit Permissable by Policy	(\$9.4)	(\$10.1)	(\$11.3)	(\$12.2)	(\$13.3)	(\$14.7)

Table 2, Budget Summary, total University of Toronto (including RSM and OISE/UT)

			Changes from
	2002-2003	2003-2004	2002-2003
	Budget	Budget	Incr (Decr)
	\$	\$	\$
	*	•	*
Income			
income			
General University income:			
Provincial Government Operating Grants	391,231,603	412,916,077	21,684,474
Student Fees (B.I.U. eligible programs)	272,599,000	320,222,421	47,623,421
Total formula income	663,830,603	733,138,498	69,307,895
Endowment Income	34,600,000	28,651,972	(5,948,028)
Canada Research Chairs	21,000,000	29,250,000	8,250,000
Indirect Cost Recovery on Federal Research Grants	14,600,000	15,828,623	1,228,623
Stewardship & Investment Management Fees	7,300,000	7,607,250	307,250
Investment Income	13,700,000	11,304,000	(2,396,000)
Other Income	22,690,175	27,399,000	4,708,825
	777,720,778	853,179,343	75,458,565
Divisional income *	109,600,000	124,391,501	14,791,501
	887,320,778	977,570,844	90,250,066
Municipal taxes **	3,304,350	3,559,425	255,075
	890,625,128	981,130,269	90,505,141
Expense			
Total Academic Expense	570,204,296	626,718,987	56,514,691
Academic Services	60,730,637	62,079,245	1,348,608
Administration	63,042,069	65,908,060	2,865,991
Student Assistance	84,257,963	89,729,575	5,471,612
Campus & Student Services	14,900,689	16,164,320	1,263,631
Maintenance and Services	38,054,422	38,597,340	542,918
Utilities	35,896,969	36,295,369	398,400
General University Expense (incl salary incr provision)	37,209,185	42,077,948	4,868,763
Total expenses of operating fund	904,296,230	977,570,844	73,274,614
Municipal taxes **	3,304,350	3,559,425	255,075
The state of the s	907,600,580	981,130,269	73,529,689
Surplus (Deficit)	(16,975,452)	0	16,975,452

^{*} Increase in divisional income results in an offsetting increase in divisional expenditure budgets ** Municipal taxes income and expense are offsetting

5. THE OPERATING BUDGET SPECIAL FUNDS.

The operating budget special funds receive base or one time only (OTO) transfers from operating revenues. The Administration brings forward divisional allocations from these funds to the Planning and Budget Committee for approval. Allocations from the Academic and Administrative Priorities Funds and the Canada Research Chairs Fund are made in response to the Planning Process. Allocations from the Enrolment Growth Fund reflect divisions' enrolment plans and those from the University Infrastructure Investment Fund have been in support of approved Capital Projects, renovations and other infrastructure projects.

Administrative Priorities Fund

The 1.5% reallocation requirement will not be applied to the administrative divisions in 2003-04 and so the transfer from the operating fund is reduced to the \$0.7M identified in the budget guidelines to help meet the demands of the enrolment increase. The Administrative Priorities Fund will have zero carry-forward at year-end 2002-03.

Administrative Priorities Fund (\$Million)

	2002-03	2003-04
Balance at beginning of year	\$0.0	\$0.0
Transfer from Operating Fund	\$1.4	\$0.7
Allocations	(\$1.4)	(\$0.5)
Unallocated balance	\$0.0	\$0.2

Academic Priorities Fund

Allocations from the Academic Priorities Fund (APF) are made in support of divisional plans to sustain and enhance program quality and to provide for new initiatives emerging from divisional planning processes. There are two principal sources of funding for the APF indicated in the *Long-Range Guidelines for Planning and Budgeting*, 1998-2004;

- a reallocation of 1.5% of the divisions operating budget,
- 75% of the revenue from tuition fee increases greater than 5%, net of the 30% for student aid,

The table below shows the current status of the fund. The timing of the re-allocative transfers to the APF from the operating budget was accelerated to reflect the fact that the Divisions are hiring new faculty at a faster rate than originally planned in order to deal with increased enrolment.

Academic Priorities Fund (\$Million)

		,
	2002-03	2003-04
Balance at Beginning of Year	(\$16.3)	(\$3.1)
Transfer from Operating Fund		
Reallocation Requirement	\$12.3	\$1.5
Quality Enhancement from Tuition Revenue	\$1.6	\$3.0
Other	\$1.5	\$1.7
Allocations	(\$2.2)	(\$3.0)
Balance at End of Year	(\$3.1)	\$0.0

Canada Research Chairs Fund

The funding from the Canada Research Chairs Program, net of a component for central indirect costs, together with funds released from the salary budget flows to the CRCF. This program is described in detail in the discussion paper, *A Framework for Allocating Canada Research Chairs at the University of Toronto*. The initial balance for 2003-04 reflects an allocation of \$4.6M in 2002-03 made up of \$2.6M for Chairs outside the Faculty of Medicine and \$2.0M for Chairs inside the Faculty of Medicine for 29 Chairs approved in the September and December 2001 CRC competitions.

Canada Research Chairs Fund (\$Million)

	2002-03	2003-04
Balance at Beginning of Year	\$7.0	\$11.5
Transfer from Operating Fund	\$9.1	\$10.1
Allocations	(\$4.6)	
Balance at End of Year	\$11.5	\$21.6

Enrollment Growth Fund

A portion of the Tuition Fee and Government Operating Grant revenue associated with new program plans and approved enrolment growth, including that resulting from the double cohort and increased applicant pool, flows in accordance with the *Long-Range Budget Guidelines*, to the Enrolment Growth Fund for subsequent allocation to the respective academic divisions as enrolment targets are achieved. Funding for permanent expansion flows as OTO in year and as base the following year. The unallocated balance in this fund is zero at the end of each year.

University Infrastructure Investment Fund

A listing of the allocations approved from the UIIF and the current unallocated balance is shown in Table 5 of Section 6.

6. PROPOSED CAPITAL BUDGET, 2003-09.

Prior to 2001, academic capital projects were, in general, funded from a combination of campaign donations, federal and provincial government capital grant allocations and funds from the University's operating budget. Debt financing was restricted to resolving cash-flow shortfalls during construction of academic buildings but was routinely used to provide the majority of the cost of residence construction where the debt service costs were recovered from the room rental revenue. The University Infrastructure Investment Fund (UIIF) is currently the vehicle used to allocate operating budget funds to capital projects. Since 2001, the traditional sources of funding have been insufficient to meet the capital construction demands driven by research initiatives and the enrolment expansion associated first with the Access To Opportunities Program (ATOP) and now with the double cohort and demographic pressures.

The update to the *Long-Range Budget Guidelines* in the Spring of 2001 provided \$30M of funding to the UIIF in each of 2001-02, 2002-03 and 2003-04 in the form of loans with the debt service costs charged to the operating budget. In addition, funding shortfalls in individual project budgets, after deployment of government, campaign and UIIF funding, were to be the responsibility of the occupying divisions. This was the first recognition that capital construction of academic buildings could no longer be funded on a cash basis and that the use of debt financing would be necessary in the future. It also argued for the evolution to a Capital Budget separate from the Operating Budget but where the Operating Budget would be one source of revenue for the debt service charges associated with the Capital Budget.

The Capital Budget proposed is a comprehensive one encompassing academic, non-academic and endowed capital infrastructure. It would include construction for academic and administrative divisions, residences, parking garages, student activities and the matching funds that are such an important part of growing our endowment capital infrastructure. Given the existence of a finite institutional borrowing capacity, the Capital Budget would enable decisions on appropriate levels of debt financing for individual capital projects to be informed by the total capital institutional debt.

A Capital Budget that includes capital projects currently approved by Governance and, in particular all that will require capital funding in 2003-04, is attached as Table 3. The majority of the expenditure allocations from the UIIF since the academic year 1999-2000 (\$109.7M out of \$133.2M), Tables 4 & 5, are transferred to the new budget, as is the \$5M equity in MARS and the \$3.3M negative balance in the Capital Renewal Fund. The \$14M of matching funds previously in the 2003-04 budget projections together with matching funding commitments through to 2008-09 are also transferred. The debt service costs associated with these transfers will require an added expense to the Operating Budget estimated at \$2.8M in 2003-04 rising to \$7.3M by 2008-09. This expense is in addition to the \$8.9M cost already in the budget projections for the \$90M loan to the UIIF and the \$5M equity in MARS.

It is important to note that the list of projects in Tables 3 & 4 include a number where the funding identified includes significant campaign donations that are still being sought. These projects will not go forward to the Business Board for approval to expend funds on design and construction until funding has been secured. In the meantime, since any shortfall in project funding is back-stopped by the division(s) sponsoring the project, the shortfall is catagorized as *funded by divisional/ancillary budgets*. The sub-total in Table 3 of \$603.9M in the *approved projects* column cannot therefore be considered as the level of borrowing contemplated by the University for its capital construction plans. It is also possible that, if the University's budget situation were to deteriorate, it would be necessary to review the projects on the Capital Budget.

The Capital Budget is a living document and will be updated with each approval by Governance of a new capital project. It is expected that the next additions will be those associated with the current SuperBuild competition.

Table 3, Capital Budget

	Approved		luc	remental Capit	Incremental Capital Requirements			Total
	Projects	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2008-09
Capital Projects, Academic and Non-Academic	\$519,755,060	\$369,893,202	\$97,083,258	\$17,806,774	\$34,971,826	\$0	\$0	\$519,755,060
Capital Projects, Ancillaries	\$329,121,695	\$303,721,695	\$25,400,000	0\$	0\$	20	20	\$329,121,695
Matching Funds for endowment capital	\$44,000,000	\$14,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$44,000,000
Total Capital Projects *	\$892,876,755	\$687,614,897	\$128,483,258	\$23,806,774	\$40,971,826	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$892,876,755
Funding Sources								
Federal Government		\$21,767,198	\$14,686,721	\$1,067,881	\$0	80	C\$	\$37.521.800
Provincial Government		\$135,142,303	\$6,593,644	\$1,085,484	\$0	0,5	S	\$142,821,430
Campaign Donations		\$42,263,388	\$6,020,344	\$11,030,352	\$29,638,986	0\$	8	\$88,953,070
University		\$5,641,325	\$1,148,522	\$166,974	\$327,930	80	S	\$7,284,750
Other (Interest, Municipal, AFD etc.)		\$11,849,337	\$524,829	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$	\$12,374,167
Sub-Total	\$288,954,750	\$216,663,551	\$28,974,059	\$13,350,690	\$29,966,916	0\$	\$	\$288,955,217
Funded by the Central Operating Budget	\$176,323,605	\$126,843,338	\$21,179,800	\$6,000,000	\$10,300,000	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$176,323,138
Funded by Academic Divisional Budgets	\$121,197,400	\$93,467,000	\$11,123,400	\$16,607,000	\$0	\$0	₽	\$121,197,400
Funded by Arcillaries Budgets and Student Levies	\$306,401,000	\$283,556,000	\$18,918,000	\$3,927,000	\$0	\$0	₽	\$306,401,000
Funded from other sources								
Sub-Total **	\$603,922,005	\$503,866,338	\$51,221,200	\$26,534,000	\$10,300,000	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$603,921,538
Total Funding identified	\$892,876,755	\$720,529,889	\$80,195,259	\$39,884,690	\$40,266,916	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$892,876,755
Total Funding surplus/(deficit)	0\$	\$32,914,992	(\$48,287,998)	\$16,077,916	(\$704,910)	0\$	S\$	(0\$)
Annual Expense					1	1		1
Debt Service Costs		\$46,476,514	\$4,724,632	\$2,447,490	\$950,070	\$553,439	\$553,439	\$55,705,582
Total Annual Expense		\$46,476,514	\$4,724,632	\$2,447,490	\$950,070	\$553,439	\$553,439	\$55,705,582
Annual Revenue								
Central Operating Budget		\$11,700,000	\$1,953,620	\$553,439	\$950,070	\$553,439	\$553,439	\$16,264,005
Divisional Operating Budgets		\$8,621,374	\$1,026,020	\$1,531,826	\$0	\$0	\$	\$11,179,220
Ancillary Budgets & Student Levies		\$26,155,139	\$1,744,992	\$362,226	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$28,262,357
Other			_					
Total Annual Revenue		\$46,476,514	\$4,724,632	\$2,447,490	\$950,070	\$553,439	\$553,439	\$55,705,582
A A A MARIA A				4				
Annual Surplus (Deficit)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	20	\$0 \$0	20

* All capital projects that have been approved by Governance have been incorporated in this Capital Budget including those where the approval to proceed is conditional on raising campaign donations. If the University's budget situation were to deteriorate, it may be necessary to review the projects on the Capital Budget.
** This funding is realized through a combination of internal and external borrowing with the source of the debt service costs identified. As indicated in the note above, the subtotal will be reduced by campaign donations before a number of projects can proceed. It does therefore overestimate the level of debt that will be required.

Table 4, Capital Budget, I	Details				
	Project	Sou	rces of Funding		Total sources
	Cost	UIIF & Op. Budget	Divisional Debt	Other	of Funding
1 UTSC Phase 1 construction		\$0	\$28,980,000	\$0	\$28,980,000
1 ARC Academic Resource Centre	\$22,560,000	\$0		\$10,570,000	\$10,570,000
1 Management Building	\$15,530,000	\$0		\$1,160,000	\$1,160,000
	\$2,620,000	\$0		\$0	\$0
1 Other small projects at UTSC	\$40,710,000	\$0	\$28,980,000	\$11,730,000	\$40,710,000
Sub-Total UTSC					
2 UTM Phase 1 construction	1	\$0	\$26,100,000	\$0	\$26,100,000
2 CCIT at Mississauga	\$34,670,000	\$2,500,000		\$24,036,000	\$26,536,000
2 Kaneff Building	\$3,580,000	\$0		\$0	\$0
2 CABB Centre for Applied Bioscience and Biotechnology	\$3,394,500	\$0		\$2,080,000	\$2,080,000
2 CABB Centre for Applied Bioscience and Biotosimology	\$2,500,000	\$0		\$0	\$0
2 Collegeway Access	\$10,571,500	\$0		\$0	\$0
2 Other small projects at UTM	\$54,716,000	\$2,500,000	\$26,100,000	\$26,116,000	\$54,716,000
Sub-Total UTM	77.11				
	\$85,100,000	\$2,800,000	\$16,607,000	\$65,693,000	\$85,100,000
3 CCBR	\$69,000,000	\$6,200,000	\$12,360,000	\$50,440,000	\$69,000,000
3 Pharmacy Building	\$15,817,668	\$15,817,668	\$0	\$0	\$15,817,668
3 Purchase of 500 University Ave.	\$11,123,400	\$0	\$11,123,400	\$0	\$11,123,400
3 Renovation of 500 University Ave.	\$17,000,000	\$17,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$17,000,000
3 TDSB Properties, Board of Education	\$108,801,000	\$18,620,000	\$17,770,000	\$72,411,000	\$108,801,000
4 BCIT	\$3,075,000	\$2,000,000	\$875,000	\$200,000	\$3,075,000
5 Sidney Smith Hall infill 6 Purchase of Canadiana Building [Building \$1.45 million plus moving expenses]	\$1,570,000	\$1,570,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,570,000
6 Purchase of Canadiana Building [Building \$1.45 filling Flas Hoving expenses]	\$15,190,000	\$8,830,000	\$0	\$6,360,000	\$15,190,000
6 Gerstein Science Information Centre	\$2,140,000	\$600,000	\$850,000	\$690,000	\$2,140,000
6 Flavell House, Faculty of Law	\$4,600,000	\$2,700,000	\$0	\$1,900,000	\$4,600,000
7 King's College Road/Circle Precinct. Phase 1&2 7 Early Learning Centre, Childcare Facilities, St George Campus	\$4,300,000	\$4,300,000	\$0	\$0	\$4,300,000
7 Early Learning Centre, Childcare Facilities, of George Sample 7 Governing Council and Board Room renovations	\$1,593,000	\$1,593,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,593,000
	\$1,700,000	\$1,700,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,700,000
7 Varsity Stadium demolition	\$3,777,000	\$0	\$0	\$3,777,000	\$3,777,000
7 South East Infrastructure	\$14,259,000	\$14,259,000	\$0	\$0	\$14,259,000
Purchase of Medical Arts Building OISE/UT/UTS Renovations, 371 Bloor St W (approved by P&B October 18, 2000)	\$23,240,000	\$3,500,000	\$0	\$19,740,000	\$23,240,000
Economics Building expansion and renovation (approved by P&B Nov 13, 2001)	\$14,300,000	\$980,000	\$0	\$13,320,000	\$14,300,000
Growth Facility for Plant Research (approved by P&B June 27, 2001)	\$6,900,000	\$1,374,000	\$0	\$5,526,000	\$6,900,000
Completed Projects with ongoing loans (outstanding at April 30,2002)	\$14,851,919	\$8,319,919	\$6,532,000	\$0	\$14,851,919
Small Capital Projects (listed below)	\$5,991,073	\$4,506,323	\$0	\$1,484,750	\$5,991,073
	\$424,329,060	\$116,669,910	\$66,117,400	\$241,541,750	\$424,329,060
Sub-Total St George					
	\$519,755,060	\$119,169,910	\$121,197,400	\$279,387,750	\$519,755,060
Sub-Total, Non ancillaries	7.7.1 1				
1 UTSC Residence Phase 4	\$16,250,000	\$0	\$16,250,000	\$0	\$16,250,000
1 Student Centre at UTSc	\$13,923,695	\$4,723,695	\$9,200,000		\$13,923,695
	\$15,320,000	\$0	\$15,320,000	\$0	\$15,320,000
2 UTM Phase 7 Residence	\$21,000,000	\$7,000,000	\$14,000,000		\$21,000,000
2 UTM Wellness Centre	\$12,900,000	\$0	\$12,900,000	\$0	\$12,900,000
2 CCIT Parking	\$13,100,000	\$0	\$13,100,000	\$0	\$13,100,000
4 BCIT Parking	\$7,100,000	\$0	\$4,500,000	\$2,600,000	\$7,100,000
6 School of Contiuing Studies	\$22,000,000	\$70,000	\$17,145,000	\$4,785,000	\$22,000,000
8 University College Residence 8 New College Residence (annual subsidy of \$352,000 provided from the APF for 8 years)	\$26,760,000	\$0	\$26,760,000	\$0	\$26,760,000
8 New College Residence (annual subsidy of \$352,000 provided from the APF for 8 years) 8 Woodsworth Residence (annual subsidy of \$1,204,000 provided from the APF for 8 years)		\$1,360,000	\$28,300,000	\$2,182,000	\$31,842,000
8 Woodsworth Residence (annual subsidy of \$1,204,000 provided from the APP for 8 years)	\$72,000,000	1,555,500	\$72,000,000	,	\$72,000,000
Purchase of 69 Chestriut Street Residence Completed Projects with ongoing loans (outstanding at April 30,2002)	\$76,926,000	\$0	\$76,926,000	\$0	\$76,926,000
	\$329,121,695			\$9,567,000	\$329,121,695
Sub-Total Ancillaries	7020,121,000	1.0,.00,000	,,		
	\$848,876,755	\$132,323,605	\$427,598,400	\$288,954,750	\$848,876,755
Total	Total UIF only		1		
	Total Oll Olly	1 \$100,177,000	J		

all Capital Projects included above St Michael's College / Faculty of A & Sc. (approved by P&B Oct. 19, 1999)	\$1,300,000	\$875,000	\$0	\$425,000
Dentistry Student Laboratory (approved by P&B Oct. 19, 1999)	\$393,250	\$99,000	\$0	\$294,250
ALD Phase 3 (approved by P&B October 18, 2000)	\$690,000	\$345,000	\$0	\$345,000
ALID Priase 3 (approved by Pad Goldson 19, 2004)	\$354,000	\$354,000	\$0	\$0
Department of Medicine renovations at UHN (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$0	\$0
Accessibility Examination Centre, Robarts Library (approved by P&B Jan 9, 2002)	\$225,000	\$225,000	\$0	\$0
/ertical Expansion of Soil Storage Facility (approved by P&B Jan 9, 2002)	\$1,068,323	\$718,323	\$0	\$350,000
Ipgrade 56 Spadina Ave., (approved by P&B Jan 9, 2002)	\$575,000	\$575,000	\$0	\$0
RCAT facility (approved by P&B March 19, 2002)	\$460,000	\$460,000	\$0	\$0
thichael's College / A & Sc. Alumni Hall (approved by P&B March 19, 2002)	\$365,000	\$300,000	\$0	\$65,000
FIS Bissel Building Student Study Space (approved by P&B September 16, 2002)	\$40,500	\$35,000	\$0	\$5,500
Family & Community Medicine relocation to 256 McCaul (approved by P&B October 15, 2002)	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$0	\$0
al	\$5,991,073	\$4,506,323	\$0	\$1,484,750

Allocations from UIIF not included above	
Total allocations for 1999 and beyond approved by P&B prior to Oct. 1999	\$9,732,196
Slowpoke cost overrun (within 10%, approved by MGF)	\$210,000
Scarborough commitments under RCM (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)	\$1,153,550
Magellan Project (Funding may come from CFI) (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)	\$2,070,000
Transfer of ROS infrastructure items from APF to UIIF	\$10,200,000
University College Residence, \$1.3M (approved by P&B April 16, 2002 but on hold)	
Decommissioning of SLOWPOKE reactor, supplemental (approved by P&B October 15, 2002)	\$72,273
Total	\$23,438,019
Total UIIF allocations and other projects funded from operating budget	\$155,761,624
Total Offic allocations and other projecte ranges were open and	

Completed Projects with Pre-Existing loans			
Non Ancillary Projects including MARS & \$3.3M negative balance in Capit	al Renewal Fund	\$14,851,919	
	1	\$76,926,000	
Ancillary Projects		4.0,000	

Table 5, University Infrastructure Investment Fund

Table 5, Oniversity initiastructure investment rund		Balance
Balance as of April 30, 1999	I	\$15,274
Transfers to UIIF as approved in the Long Range Budget Guidelines		V.012
May 1, 1999 net of contribution to UT Scarborough under RCM	\$13,436,280	\$13,451,554
May 1, 2000 net of contribution to UT Scarborough under RCM	\$13,248,360	\$26,699,914
May 1, 2001	\$14,800,000	\$41,499,914
May 1, 2002	\$0	\$41,499,914
May 1, 2003	\$0	\$41,499,914
Funding for May 1, 2001 included in 2001-02 Budget Report (Loan serviced from Op Budget)	\$30,000,000	\$71,499,914
Funding for May 1, 2003 included in 2002-03 Budget Report (Loan serviced from Op Budget)	\$30,000,000	\$101,499,914
Funding for May 1, 2002 included in 2002-03 Budget Report (Loan serviced from Op Budget)	\$30,000,000	\$131,499,914
Allocations:	(60 722 106)	\$131,499,914
Total allocations for 1999 and beyond approved by P&B prior to Oct. 1999 St Michael's College / Faculty of A & Sc. (approved by P&B Oct. 19, 1999)	(\$9,732,196) (\$875,000)	\$121,767,718 \$120,892,718
Dentistry Student Laboratory (approved by P&B Oct. 19, 1999)	(\$99,000)	\$120,793,718
ATRF Research Projects (approved by P&B Oct. 19, 1999)	(\$2,673,000)	\$118,120,718
CIT, Quality Improvement (approved by P&B Oct. 19, 1999)	(\$10,000,000)	\$108,120,718
Flavell House, Faculty of Law (approved by P&B Dec 14, 1999)	(\$600,000)	\$107,520,718
Gerstein Science Information Centre (approved by P&B Dec 14, 1999)	(\$7,000,000)	\$100,520,718
King's College Road/Circle Precinct, Phase 2 (approved by P&B March 7, 2000)	(\$200,000)	\$100,320,718
King's College Road/Circle Precinct, Phase 1 (approved by P&B March 7, 2000)	(\$2,500,000)	\$97,820,718
FALD Phase 3 (approved by P&B October 18, 2000)	(\$345,000)	\$97,475,718
BCIT shelled in 6th floor (approved by P&B October 18, 2000)	(\$5,197,000)	\$92,278,718
OISE/UT/UTS Renovations, 371 Bloor St W (approved by P&B October 18, 2000)	(\$3,500,000)	\$88,778,718
CCBR (approved by P&B December 12, 2000)	(\$2,800,000)	\$85,978,718
Slowpoke cost overrun (within 10%, approved by MGF)	(\$210,000)	\$85,768,718
Purchase of Canadiana Building (approved by Rusiness Board March 27, 2000)	(\$1,570,000) (\$15,817,668)	\$84,198,718 \$68,381,050
Purchase of 500 University Ave. (approved by Business Board January 15, 2001)	(\$13,817,000)	\$67,092,050
Sidney Smith Hall infill (approved by P&B February 27, 2001) BCIT/Koffler connection (approved by P&B March 13, 2001)	(\$750,000)	\$66,342,050
Nursing Building renovations (approved by P&B March 20, 2001)	(\$354,000)	\$65,988,050
(a) Basement of Woodsworth Residence, Shelled in (approved by P&B March 20, 2001)	(\$1,360,000)	\$64,628,050
Childcare Facilities, St George Campus (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)	(\$4,300,000)	\$60,328,050
Scarborough commitments under RCM (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)	(\$1,153,550)	\$59,174,500
Department of Medicine renovations at UHN (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)	(\$400.000)	\$58,774,500
(b) Renovation of 500 University Ave. (design) (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)	(\$700,000)	\$58,074,500
School of CCIT at Mississauga (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)	(\$2,500,000)	\$55,574,500
Gerstein Cost over-run (\$2.6M Donor provides 40%) (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)	(\$1,830,000)	\$53,744,500
Magellan Project (Funding may come from CFI) (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)	(\$2,070,000)	\$51,674,500
Growth Facility for Plant Research (approved by P&B June 27, 2001)	(\$374,000)	\$51,300,500
Projects (a) and (b) funded from Facilities Renewal Funding (approved August 8, 2001)	\$2,060,000 (\$7,200,000)	\$53,360,500 \$46,160,500
Pharmacy Building (approved by P&B Nov 13, 2001) Renovation of 500 University Ave. (bridge financing) (approved by P&B Nov 13, 2001)	(\$10,423,400)	\$35,737,100
Economics Building expansion and renovation (approved by P&B Nov 13, 2001)	(\$980,000)	\$34,757,100
Student Centre at UTSc (approved by P&B Nov 13, 2001)	(\$4,723,695)	\$30,033,405
Accessibility Examination Centre, Robarts Library (approved by P&B Jan 9, 2002)	(\$225,000)	\$29,808,405
Vertical Expansion of Soil Storage Facility (approved by P&B Jan 9, 2002)	(\$718,323)	\$29,090,082
Upgrade 56 Spadina Ave., (approved by P&B Jan 9, 2002)	(\$575,000)	\$28,515,082
TDSB Properties (approved by Academic Board Jan 24, 2001)	(\$17,000,000)	\$11,515,082
Sidney Smith Hall infill revision (approved by P&B March 19, 2002)	(\$711,000)	\$10,804,082
RCAT facility (approved by P&B March 19, 2002)	(\$460,000)	\$10,344,082
Governing Council and board Room renovations (approved by P&B March 19, 2002)	(\$1,593,000)	\$8,751,082
St Michael's College / A & Sc. Alumni Hall (approved by P&B March 19, 2002)	(\$300,000)	\$8,451,082
Transfer of ROS infrastructure items from APF to UIIF	(\$10,200,000)	(\$1,748,918)
University College Residence, \$1.3M (approved by P&B April 16, 2002 but on hold)	(\$7,000,000)	(\$1,748,918) (\$8,748,918)
UTM Wellness Centre, Match to Levy	(\$7,000,000)	(\$8,748,918) (\$10,448,918)
Varsity Stadium demolition (approved at P&B June4,2002)		(\$10,518,918)
University College Residence (approved by P&B September 16,2002) FIS Bissel Building Student Study Space (approved by P&B September 16, 2002)	(\$70,000) (\$35,000)	(\$10,516,916)
Decommissioning of SLOWPOKE reactor, supplemental (proposed to P&B October 15, 2002)	(\$72,273)	(\$10,626,191)
Family and Community Medicine relocation to 256 McCaul (proposed to P&B October 15, 2002)	(\$120,000)	(\$10,746,191)
I amily and Community medicane relocation to 200 medical (proposed to 1 do coloser 10, 2002)	(4.25,000)	(\$10,746,191)
Recovery from Faculty of Medicine	\$11,123,400	\$377,209
Total UIIF Allocations net Faculty of Medicine recovery	\$133,182,705	
Other Projects funded from the Operating Budget		
Negative balance in Capital Renewal Fund to be funded from the operating budget	(\$3,319,919)	
MARS Equity (debt service already funded from operating budget)	(\$5,000,000)	
Purchase of Medical Arts Building, (from 2004-05 on debt service costs, net of rental revenue	(\$14,259,000)	
will be funded from the operating budget)		
Total UIIF Allocations net F of Mcd recovery plus Other Projects funded from the Operating Budget	\$155,761,624	

7. DIVISIONAL BUDGETS.

	Page
Divisional Financial Reports	10
Divisional Budget Schedules	28

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO RECOMMENDED 2003 - 04 BUDGET

	RECOMMENDE	RECOMMENDED 2003-04 BUDGET		PROJECTED	PROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2002.03	
		DIVISIONAL			DIVISIONAL	
	GROSS	REVENUE	NET	GROSS	REVENUE	NET
BOUGEI GROUP	EXPENSE	(INCL RECOVERIES)	EXPENSE	EXPENSE	(INCL RECOVERIES)	EXPENSE
Total Academic Expense	770,661,714	244.933.572	525.728.142	R1 223 918	2 840 402	50 613 046
Academic Services	72,028,721	14,618,119	57 410 602	646.865	2,010,102	0.0,0.0,0.0
Administration	79,043,522	18.428.863	60.614.659	2 007 664	0	040,000
Student Assistance	64,553,279	2,801,000	61.752.279	(8 678 388)		2,007,004
Campus & Student Services	14,836,640	14,086,180	750,460	(5,0,0,0,0)	o c	(0,070,388)
Physical Plant				-	,	200,0
Maintenance and Services	51,410,611	15,121,697	36,288,914	572.804	C	572 804
Utilities	42,364,367	11,625,946	30,738,421	398.400		308 400
Lease Cost of Off Campus Space	987,937	0	987.937	30,656	0	30,456
Accommodations & Facilities Directorate	732,799	0	732,799	(48.516)	· c	(48 516)
General University Expense	46,246,348	9,788,793	36,457,555	4,883,906	622.869	4.261.037
	1,142,865,938	331,434,170 0	811,461,768	61,043,362	3,232,971	57,810,391
Municipal Taxes	3,559,425	0	3,559,425	255,075	0	0 255,075
TOTAL OPERATING BUDGET	1,146,425,363	331,404,170	815,021,193	61,298,437	3,232,971	58,065,466

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO RECOMMENDED 2003 - 04 BUDGET

	GROSS	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE	GROSS	GROSS REVENUE EXPENSE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET
AKIN and SCIENCE						
Arts and Science University College New College Innis College	184,661,304 465,812 0	33,081,923 121,512 0	151,579,381 344,300 0	8,287,243 (110,729) 0 0	1,451,549 0 0	6,835,694 (110,729) 0 0
Federated Colleges Block Grant Toronto School of Theology Erindale - Academic Scarborough - Academic	6,933,838 1,860,975 37,182,944 35,929,938	0 0 5,862,166 5,592,761	6,933,838 1,860,975 31,320,778 30,337,177	(37,086) (60,654) 4,518,953 5,426,401	0 0 0 71 428	(37,086) (60,654) 4,518,953
TOTAL ARTS AND SCIENCE	267,034,811	44,658,362	222,376,449	18,024,128	1,522,977	16,501,151
SCHOOLS and COLLEGES						
School of Continuing Studies Munk Centre	12,141,111	12,141,111	0 054 758	0 404	0 (0
School of Graduate Studies	4,188,501	000'609	3,579,501	18,577		(2,491)
Graduate Institutes and Centres	5,834,456	699,601	5,134,855	(34,444)	0	(34,444)
Iransitional Year Programme Woodsworth College	1,260,474 0	75,075 0	1,185,399	86,381	00	86,381
TOTAL, SCHOOLS and COLLEGES	23,679,400	13,524,787	10,154,513	68,023	0	68,023

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO RECOMMENDED 2003 - 04 BUDGET

	RECOMMEND	RECOMMENDED 2003-04 BUDGET		PROJECTED	PROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2002-03	
BUDGET GROUP	GROSS EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE	GROSS	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET
HEALTH SCIENCES						
Dentistry Medicine Nursing Pharmacy Physical Education &Health (Curr. & Co_Curr.) TOTAL, HEALTH SCIENCES	23,005,556 118,445,149 6,793,615 7,972,240 20,748,475 176,965,035	8,087,265 53,739,223 1,159,922 936,923 17,888,617 81,811,950	14,918,291 64,705,926 5,633,693 7,035,317 2,859,858 95,153,085	378,878 2,682,139 1,336,012 1,264,578 470,517 6,132,124	142,856 0 0 0 0 142,856	236,022 2,682,139 1,336,012 1,264,578 470,517 5,989,268

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO RECOMMENDED 2003 - 04 BUDGET

	RECOMMENDE	RECOMMENDED 2003-04 BUDGET		PROJECTED C	PROJECTED CHANGES EDOM 2002 22	
BUDGET GROUP	GROSS EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE	GROSS	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET
OTHER PROFESSIONAL FACULTIES						
Applied Science and Engineering Architecture and Landscspe Architecture OISE/UT	55,129,136 4,478,192	9,835,178 340,260	45,243,958 4,137,932	2,523,266 118,035	487,129	2,036,137
United Study University of Toronto Schools Additional Qualification	51,715,856 368,099 6,970,700 0	44,649,719 285,712 6,754,374	7,070,137 82,387 216,326	2,332,405 82,387	285,712 0 0	2,046,693 82,387 0
Forestry Law Law Book Fund	2,373,662 14,132,873 0	8,000 2,821,789 0	2,365,662	233,176 1,715,238	0 0 100,000	0 233,176 1,615,238
Management Management Executive Frogram	4,082,907 40,002,998 6,357,570	1,117,560 17,470,402 6,357,570	2,965,347 22,532,596 0	379,977 779,977 7,065,897	0000	379,977 7,065,897
Executive M.B.A. Program Business Information Centre Centre for International Business	4,520,700 835,217 0	4,520,700 540,850 0	294,367 0	000	000	000
Ministration of the source of	7,781,774 5,013,139	0 874,106 773,752	0 6,907,668 4,239,387	0 269,076 867,529	71,428	197,648 867,520
OTHER ACADEMIC COSTS	99,215,645	96,399,972	107,366,851	15,586,986	944,269	14,642,717
TOTAL ACADEMIC EXPENSE	770,661,714	244,933,572	525,728,142	61,223,918	2,610,102	21,412,657 58,613,816

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO RECOMMENDED 2003 - 04 BUDGET

	RECOMMEND	RECOMMENDED 2003-04 BUDGET		PROJECTED	PROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2002-03	
BUDGET GROUP	GROSS EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE	GROSS	DIVISIONAL REVENUE	NET
					(1102 1100 1100)	EAFENSE
ACADEMIC SERVICES						
University of Toronto Computing	15,825,254	8,645,491	7,179,763	(219,533)	0	(219,533)
St. George Library - Operations	30,419,755	5,788,853	24,630,902	155,708	O	155 708
- Central Book Fund	18,579,714	0	18,579,714	740,334	0	740,334
	48,999,469	5,788,853	43,210,616	896,042	0	896,042
Erindale Library	2,944,205	85,000	2,859,205	13,150	C	13 150
Scarborough Library	4,259,793	98,775	4,161,018	(42,794)		(42.794)
TOTAL, ACADEMIC SERVICES	72,028,721	14,618,119	57,410,602	646,865	0	646 865

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO RECOMMENDED 2003 - 04 BUDGET

	RECOMMEND	RECOMMENDED 2003-04 BUDGET		PROJECTED	PROJECTED CHANGES EDOM 2002 02	
BUDGET GROUP	GROSS	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCI RECOVERIES)	NET	GROSS	DIVISIONAL	NET
		(יייכב ואבסס בואורס)	EATENSE	EAPENSE	(INCL RECOVERIES)	EXPENSE
ADMINISTRATION						
Offices of the Governing Council and Ombudsperson	2,559,298	291,064	2,268,234	194 522	c	3
Office of the President	1,121,092		1 121 092	110,10.	0 (194,522
Other Institutional Cost	1,407,793	· · ·	1 407 703	127.61	0	15,221
Office of the Vice-Pres, and Provost	2 704 645	000	1,101,1	30,05	0	36,025
Office of the Vice Provost Planning & Budget	2,701,013	312,422	2,469,193	85,019	0	85,019
Office of the Vice Provosit Students Students	2,043,217	109,400	1,933,817	43,952	0	43,952
Office of the Vice Proved Space Planting - Olde	13,491,096	5,054,359	8,436,737	134,985	0	134.985
Office of the Vice Proves opace Prairing	2,509,825	1,016,188	1,493,637	(37,834)	0	(37 834)
Office of the Vice-Trees, Research & International Ref.	5,154,756	53,994	5,100,762	345,091	0	345.091
Office of the vice-Fres Government & Institutional Rel	614,796	0	614,796	123,686		123,68
Uffice of the Vice-Pres. & Chief Advancement Officer	18,163,991	4,095,224	14,068,767	152 124		153,000
Office of the Vice-Pres Human Resources	7,224,038	1,253,925	5,970,113	389,829		380.820
Office of the Vice-Pres Business Affairs	13,879,375	3,927,626	9,951,749	462,798	0	462,798
TOTAL, ST.GEORGE CAMPUS	70,950,892	16,114,202	54,836,690	1,945,418	0	1,945,418
Erindale Administration	4,358,070	1,992,905	2,365,165	77.993	c	77 003
Scarborough Administration	3,734,560	321,756	3,412,804	(15,747)	0	(15,747)
TOTAL ADMINISTRATION	79,043,522	18,428,863	60,614,659	2,007,664	0	2.007.664
						10061006

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO RECOMMENDED 2003 - 04 BUDGET

	RECOMMEND	RECOMMENDED 2003-04 BUDGET		PROJECTED	PROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2002-03	
BUDGET GROUP	GROSS EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE	GROSS EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET
STUDENT ASSISTANCE (excl. Divisional Support)						
University Student Assistance	8,556,696	2.801.000	5 755 696	c	c	•
Graduate Fellowships	2.124.862		2 124 982	000 (27 ()	0 1	>
Graduate Student Aid	2 027 062		2,124,002	(2,473,000)	0	(2,473,000)
DOSCIT.	500, 750,6	3	3,037,863	(6,787,000)	0	(6,787,000)
	2,600,000	0	2,600,000	0	0	C
Student Aid Keinvestment	23,620,517	0	23,620,517	5,429,640	C	5 429 640
Student Aid Funded from Restricted Funds	18,376,216	0	18,376,216	(6,448,028)		(6.448.028)
Erindale	56,400	0	56,400	C		(020,011,0)
Scarborough	106,000	0	106,000	· C		
OISE/UT	6,074,725	0	6.074.725	1.600.000		1 800 000
TOTAL, STUDENT ASSISTANCE	64,553,279	2,301,000	61,752,279	(8,678,388)		(8.678.388)

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
RECOMMENDED 2003 - 04 BUDGET

	RECOMMEND	RECOMMENDED 2003-04 BUDGET		PROJECTED	PROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2002-03	
BUDGET GROUP	GROSS EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE	GROSS EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE
CAMPUS & STUDENT SERVICES			agentina a managan a			
St. George	7,833,120	7,833,120	0		0	0
Erindale Scarborough	3,739,391 3,264,129	3,770,877 2,482,183	(31,486) 781,946	0 6,053	0 0	0 6,053
TOTAL CAMPUS & STUDENT SERVICES	14,836,640	14,086,180	750,460	6.053	3	6.053

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO RECOMMENDED 2003 - 04 BUDGET

	RECOMMENDE	RECOMMENDED 2003-04 BUDGET		PRO IFCTED	PROJECTED CHANGES EDOM 2002 02	
BUDGET GROUP	GROSS EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET	GROSS	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET
FACILITIES AND SERVICES						
Maintenance and Services - St. George Campus - Erindale Campus - Scarborough Campus	44,380,226 2,831,252 4,199,133	13779,549 277,176 1064,972	30,600,677 2,554,076 3,134,161	695,910 (40,325) (82,781)		695,910 (40,325) (82,781)
TOTAL, MAINTENANCE and SERVICES	51,410,611	15,121,697	36,238,914	572,804	0	572,804
Utilities - St. George Campus - Erindale Campus - Scarborough Campus	37,151,098 2,687,637 2,525,632	11,475,446 150,500 0	25,675,652 2,537,137 2,525,632	240,161 (158,274) 316,513	000	240,161 (158,274) 316,513
TOTAL, UTILITIES	42,364,367	11,625,946	30,738,421	398,400	0	398,400
Lease Cost of Off Campus Space	786,786	0	987,937	30,656	0	30,656
Accommodations and Facilities (AFD)	732,799	0	732,799	(48,516)	0	(48,516)

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO RECOMMENDED 2003 - 04 BUDGET

	RECOMMEND	RECOMMENDED 2003-04 BUDGET		PROJECTED (PROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2002-03	
BUDGET GROUP	GROSS EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE	GROSS	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET
GENERAL UNIVERSITY EXPENSE						
President Governing Council Vice-President - Business Affairs Vice-President - Human Resources Vice-President - Human Resources General (including salary, wage and benefit commitments, and recoveries from ancillaries) TOTAL, GENERAL UNIVERSITY EXPENSE	5,014,898 32,760 1,143,886 3,756,670 36,298,134	0 0 2,717 0 9,785,076 9,788,793	5,014,398 32,760 1,141,169 3,756,370 26,512,058	(1,047,654) 0 (5,002) 665,272 5,271,290	622,869 622,869	(1,047,654) 0 (5,002) 665,272 4,648,421
MUNICIPAL TAXES	3,559,425	0	3,559,425	255,075	0	255.075

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO RECOMMENDED 2003 - 04 BUDGET

	RECOMMEND	RECOMMENDED 2003-04 BUDGET		PROJECTED	PROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2002-03	
BUDGET GROUP	GROSS EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE	GROSS	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE
ERINDALE						
Academic	37,182,944	5,862,166	31,320,778	4.518.953	c	4 518 953
Academic Services - Library Administration incl Campus and Student	2,944,205	85,000	2,859,205	13,150		13,150
Services	8,097,461	5,763,782	2,333,679	77,993	C	77 993
Facilities & Services - Maintenance & Services	2,831,252	277,176	2,554,076	(40,325)	0	(40.325)
- Utilities	2,687,637	150,500	2,537,137	(158,274)	0	(158.274)
Student Assistance	56,400	0	56,400			0
TOTAL, ERINDALE	53,799,899	12,138,624	41,661,275	4,411,497	0	4,411,497

THIS IS A SUMMARY OF THE ERINDALE BUDGETS WHICH ARE REPORTED IN THE PRECEDING FINANCIAL REPORT UNDER THE VARIOUS BUDGET GROUPS.

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO RECOMMENDED 2003 - 04 BUDGET

RECOMMENDED 2003 - 04 BUDGET (Financial Report)

	RECOMMEND	RECOMMENDED 2003-04 BUDGET		PRO JECTED	PROJECTED CHANGES EDOM 2002 02	
BUDGET GROUP	GROSS EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE	GROSS	DIVISIONAL REVENUE	NET
SCARBOROUGH					(23,23,23,23,23,23,23,23,23,23,23,23,23,2	L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L
Academic Academic Services - Library Administration, incl Campus and Student	35,929,938 4,259,793	5,592,761 98,775	30,337,177 4,161,318	5,426,401 (42,794)	71,428	5,354,973 (42,794)
Services Facilities & Services - Maintenance & Services	6,998,589 4,199,133	2,803,939	4,194,750	(9,694) (82,781)	0 0	(9,694)
Student Assistance	2,525,532 106,000	0 0	2,525,632	316,513	00	316,513
יייין אלא אפטאספפון	54,019,185	9,560,447	44,458,738	5,607,645	71,428	5,536,217

THIS IS A SUMMARY OF THE SCARBOROUGH BUDGETS WHICH ARE REPORTED IN THE PRECEDING FINANCIAL REPORT UNDER THE VARIOUS BUDGET GROUPS.

BUDGET GROUP I: ARTS & SCIENCE

ARTS & SCIENCE
144,028,703 455,029 714,984 0
144,743,687 455,029
5,736,532 15,187
000'001
11,822,276 0
(8,504,233) (27,547)
(1,587,410) 0
(98,369)
0 (100,001)
6,733,644 (110,729)
102,050 0
102,050 0
150,762,347 344,300
_
151,579,381 344,300
2 100 000
46,51
20,441,336 75,000
2,652,983 0
3,731,261 0
33,081,923 121,512
184,661,304 465,812

BUDGET GROUP II: SCHOOLS AND COLLEGES

	WOODSWORTH	SCHOOL OF GRADUATE	GRADUATE CENTRES	TRANSITIONAL YEAR	SCHOOL OF CONTINUING	MUNK	TOTAL
Net Base Budget for 2002-03	COLLEGE	3 546 844	\$ 027 500	1 099 018	STODIES	CENTRE 240 404	GROUP II
One-Time-Only Budget for 2002-03		14,180	141,799	***************************************		16,424	0.0,515,630
TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03	0	3,561,024	5,169,299	1,099,018	0	257,249	10,086,590
RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:							
ADDITIONS TO BASE:							
Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit Increase		157,071	183,013	56,306		7,011	403,401
Friorines Funds Expense Offset by Additional Income			0 0				0 0
Transfers		78,477	54,031	98,817		10,000	241,325
Contract, Oblig. & Policy Commit.			1,814				1,814
KEDUCTIONS FROM BASE:		(600,000)				1	
Budget Keduction		(220,383)	(307,423)	(68,742)		(14,727)	(611,277)
Expense Recovery							· •
Transfers			0				· •
Contract, Oblig. & Policy Commit.			0				0
(INCREASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE			0				0
RECOMMENDED BASE BUDGET CHANGE	0	15,165	(68,567)	86,381	0	2,284	35,263
ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES:							
Research Overhead (Net Changes)	0	3,412	34,123	0	0	(4,775)	32,760
CONTRACT. CONG. & FOILEY COMMINE. (1961 CHANGE) RECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE	C	3.412	34.123	0	C	(4.775)	32.760
						(2) (1)	
Recommended Net Base Budget for 2003-04	0	3,562,009	4,958,933	1,185,399	0	242,778	9,949,119
Recommended OTO Budget for 2003-04	0	17,592	175,922	0	0	11,980	205,494
TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2003-04	0	3,579,601	5,134,855	1,185,399	0	254,758	10,154,613
DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES) Endowment Income:			0				0
External Income :	0	477,000	51,000	45,000	11,861,111		12,434,111
Internal Recoveries:	0	132,000	89,912	30,075		0	531,987
External Recoveries			420,972				420,972
Negative Approp.			137,717				137,717
TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)	0	000,609	699,601	75,075	12,141,111	0	13,524,787
GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2003-04	0	4,188,601	5,834,456	1.260,474	12,141,111	254,758	23,679,400

BUDGET GROUP III: HEALTH SCIENCES

	DENTISTRY	MEDICINE	NURSING	PHARMACY	PHYSICAL EDUCATION & HEALTH (Incl Ath & Rec)	TOTAL
Net Base Budget for 2002-03 One-Time-Only Budget for 2002-03	14,334,904 347,365	60,777,975	4,287,675	5,733,285	2,387,781	87,521,620
TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03	14,682,269	62,023,787	4,297,681	5,770,739	2,389,341	89,163,817
RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:						
ADDITIONS TO BASE:						c
Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit Increase	637,542	2,341,229	228,107	234,309	99,788	3,540,975
Priorities Funds Expense Officet hy, Additional Income	0			0	0	0
Transfers	765.921	4.468.016	1.384.029	1.367.075	0 497 008	9 482 049
Contract, Oblig, & Policy Commit.	240			0	0	240
REDUCTIONS FROM BASE:						
Budget Reduction	(890,517)	(3,567,474)	(268,689)	(355,072)	(131,603)	(5,213,355)
Academic PTR Recovery	0	(405,176)		(71,988)	0	(477,164)
Expense Recovery	0			0	0	0
Transfers	(81,531)	(44,000)		0	0	(125,531)
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit.	0			0	0	0
(INCREASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE	0			0	0	0
RECOMMENDED BASE BUDGET CHANGE	431,655	2,792,595	1,343,447	1,174,324	465,193	6,207,214
ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES:	(105 623)	(350 011)	(7.435)	12000	200	(310,046)
Kesearch Overnead (Net Changes) Contract, Oblig. & Policy Commit. (Net Changes)	(193,033)	(110,420)	(554,1)	90,234 0	3,324	0 (21,746)
RECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE	(195,633)	(110,456)	(7,435)	90,254	5,324	(217,946)
Recommended Net Base Budget for 2003-04	14,766,559	63,570,570	5,631,122	6,907,609	2,852,974	93,728,834
Recommended OTO Budget for 2003-04	151,732	1,135,356	2,571	127,708	6,884	1,424,251
TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2003-04	14,918,291	64,705,926	5,633,693	7,035,317	2,859,858	95,153,085
DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)	120 000	2 831 517	29 990	0	0	2.981,507
External Income	4.304.675	14.642.337	408,800	779.067	11.246.893	31,381,772
Internal Recoveries	3.243.116	3,463,003	404,315	157,856	6,621,409	13,889,699
External Recoveries:	419,474	32,802,366	316,817	0	20,315	33,558,972
Negative Approp.:	0	0		0	0	0
TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)	8,087,265	53,739,223	1,159,922	936,923	17,888,617	81,811,950
GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2003-04	23,005,556	118,445,149	6,793,615	7,972,240	20,748,475	0 176,965,035

BUDGET GROUP IV: PROFESSIONAL FACULTIES

Not Bree Bridger for 2000 as		ARCHITECTURE, LANDSCAPE, & DESIGN	OISE/UT	OISE/UT U.T.S.	FORESTRY	IN	INFORMATION STUDIES M	JOSEPH L. ROTMAN SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT	JISIM	Audin IVIOCS	TOTAL
One-Time-only Budget for 2002-03	41,043,712 2,164,109	4,019,897	4,875,223 148,221	216,326	2,110,921	9,564,175	2 5	15,705,806	20	3,329,787	90,141,880
TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03 RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:	43,207,821	4,019,897	5,023,444	216,326	2,132,486	9,695,846	2,585,370	15,761,066	6,710,020	3,371,858	2,582,254 92,724,134
ADDITIONS TO BASE: Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit Increase Priorities Funds	1,702,896	184,47)	362,887		101,505	381,952	124,056	0 (273,041	164,407	3,295,214
Expusse Otiset by Additional Income Transfers Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. REDUCTIONS FROM BASE:	3,234,845 0	186,863 0	0 2,616,794 0		290,000	100,000 1,991,504 33,631	425,010	938,880 6,171,162	481,866	918,406	0 100,000 11,084,173 6,205,074
Budget Reduction Academic PTR Recovery Expense Recovery	(2,428,290) (347,573) 0	(250,160)	(577,914) (43,226)		(131,639)	(618,577) (85,570)	(160,059)	000	(404,022) (171,715)	(207,905)	0 (4,778,566) (648,084)
Transfers Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit.	(112,495)	(5,000)	(191,765) 0		(15,000)			000	18,197		0 (306,063)
increase) Deckease in DIVISIONAL REVENUE RECOMMENDED BASE BUDGET CHANGE	2,049,383	116,178	2,166,776	0	244 866	(100,000)	380 007	0			(100,000)
					000,117	1,102,240	700,686	7,110042	197,648	874,908	14,851,748
ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES. Research Overhead (Net Changes) Contract, oblig, & Policy Commit. (Net Changes)	(13,246)	1,857	(37,696)		(11,690)	(87,702)	(9,030)	(44.145)		(7,379)	0 (209,031)
KECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE	(13,246)	1,857	(34,696)	0	(11,690)	(87,702)	(9,030)	(44.145)	0	(7,379)	(209,031)
Recommended Net Base Budget for 2003-04 Recommended OTO Budget for 2003-04 TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BIJDGET FOR 2003-04	43,093,095	4,136,075	7,041,999	216,326	2,355,787	11,267,115	2,955,020	22,815.848	6,907,668	4,204,695 34,692	104,993,628 2,373,223
			147647711	020,012	700,000,	11,511,064	2,903,347	77,876,963	6,907,668	4,239,387	107,366,851
DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES) Endowment Income :		۰	416,493	240,000		300,000		1,666240		323.732	3.746.465
External Inceme : Irternal Recoveries :	4,075,729	30,000	36,642,301	6,150,864	000 %	539,500	1,024,560	13,200,775	302,594	191,625	62,157,948
External Recoveries:			24,090	1	2	355,794	000*57	179.250	216,176	238,108	1.407.017
Negative Approp. : TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)	0 9,885,178	340,260	2,232,224 44,935,431	0 6,734,374	8,000	2,821,789	1,117,560	0 28,889,522	874,106	773,752	2,232,224
GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2003-04	55,129,136	4,478,191	52,087,955	6,970,700	2,373,662	14,132,873	4,082,907	51,716,485	7,781,774	5,013,139	0 203,766,823

BUDGET GROUP V: OTHER ACADEMIC COSTS

	SHERIDAN FINE ARTS	PROVOST'S RESERVE & CONTINGENCY	FACULTY RECRUITMENT	RESERVE FOR RESEARCH OVERHEAD	BRIDGING PR FUND RI	PROVOSTS RESERVE	NON- DEPARTMENTAL PROFFSSORS	RESEARCH SUPPORT	ATODICOE
Net Base Budget for 2002-03 One-Time-Only Budget for 2002-03	441,047	2,292,790	2,250,000	1,937,320	00	0	385,484	345,657	19,297,547
TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03	441,047	2,292,790	2,250,000	1,937,320	0	0	385,484	345,657	19,297,547
RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:									
ADDITIONS TO BASE:									
Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit increase Priorities Funds			0				3,206		
Expense Offset by Additional Income									
Transfers		400,000	1,250,000						
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. REDUCTIONS FROM BASE:	2,790,447	350,000	0						33,482,538
Budget Reduction		(106.281)	0 0	c					
Academic PTR Recovery		(11400)	0	>					
Expense Recovery			0						
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit			0						(24,201,989)
(INCREASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE			0						
RECOMMENDED BASE BUDGET CHANGE	2,790,447	643,719	1,250,000	0	0	0	3,206	0	9,280,549
ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES: Research Overhead (Net Changes)			0						
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. (Net Changes)			0	(984,446)	0				0
RECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE	0	0	0	(984,446)	0	0	0	0	0
Recommended Net Base Budget for 2003-04	3,231,494	2,936,509	3,500,000	0	0	c	069 886	345 657	28 578 096
Recommended OTO Budget for 2003-04	0	0	0	952,874	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2003-04	3,231,494	2,936,5(9	3,500,000	952,874	0	0	388,690	345,657	28,578,096
DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES) Endowment income:			C						
External Income:			0						
Internal Recoveries:			0	0			6,813		
External Recoveries: Negative Approp.:			0 0						
TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERES)	0	0	0	0	0	0	6,813	0	0
GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2003-04	3,231,494	2,936,509	3,500,000	952,874	01	01	395,503	345,657	28,578,096

BUDGET GROUP V: OTHER ACADEMIC COSTS

		ш	ENDOW CHAIRS						OVERHEAD	INDEMNITY	OTHER	
		1	INIV PROFIS	ACAD	ACADEMIC	DIVISIONAL	CANADA	PERFORMANCE	EEDED AT	ENDOUNTENT	Friends institute	
	ACADEMIC	ACADEMIC ADMINISTRATORS MATCHING FDS.	MATCHING FDS.	PRIORITIES		CAMPAIGN	RESEARCH	BASED	RESEARCH	PAYOUT	Search Comm.	TOTAL
	REVIEWS	ON LEAVE	INCOME	FUND	INITIATIVES	EXPENSES	CHAIRS	FUNDING	GRANT	LOSSES	& Adv. Acad Post.	GROUP V
Net Base Budget for 2002-03	225,307		(1,257,000)	15,264,097		2,100,000	21,009,271		3,652,179		456,038	66,462,417
Che-Time-Only Budget for 2002-03		864,750									0	2,802,070
TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 1002-03	225,307	864,750	(1257,000)	15,264,097		2,100,000	21,009,271				456,038	69,264,487
RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:												
ADDITIONS TO BASE:												
Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit Increase							200,000				0	203.206
Priorities Funds Evenue Officer by Additional Vectors											0	0
Transfers							074.403				0	0
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Comrnit.				5,327,102	200,000		7.442.999	1 300 000	307 156	2 500 000	0 0	2,624,493
REDUCTIONS FROM BASE:								ood to cate	201,100	2,300,000		24,000,442
Budget Reduction		0									0	(106,281)
Academic PTR Recovery											0	0
Expense Recovery											0	0
Transfers				(10,178,728)							0	(34,380,717)
CONTRACT, Ublig, & Policy Commit, (NICDEASE) DECREASE IN INVIDEDMAY PERSENTE			000								0	0
(INCREASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE		-	100,000								0	100,000
RECOMMENDED BASE BODGET CHANGE		0	100,000	(4,851,626)	200,000	0	8,617,492	1,300,000	307,156	2,500,000	0	22,440,943
ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES.												
Research Overhead (Net Changes)	į			,		,					0	0
Contract. Ublig. & Policy Commit. (Net Changes)	171,200	(215,040)		0		٥	0	0		0		(1,028,286)
RECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGEI CHANGE	1/1,200	(215,040)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(1,028,286)
Recommended Net Base Budget for 2003-04	225,307	0	(1,157,000)	10,412,471	200,000	2,100,000	29,626,763	1,300,000	3,959,335	2,500,000	456,038	88,903,360
Recommended OTO Budget for 2003-04	171,200	649,710	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			1,773,784
TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2003-04	396,507	649,710	(1,157,000)	10,412,471	200,000	2,100,000	29,626,763	1,300,000	3,959,335	2,500,000	456,038	90,677,144
DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES) Endowment Income: External Income:	<i>.</i>		1,257,000								0	1,257,000
Tatani Income			>				6 774 688				nan'nar	200,000
External Recoveries:							2001				0	O
Negative Approp.											0	0
TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)	0	0	1,257,000	0		0	6,774,688	0	0	Ü	0 500,000	8,538,501
GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2003-04	396,507	649,710	100,000	10,412,471	200,000	2,100,000	36,401,451	1,300,000	3,959,335	2,500,000	956,038	99,215,645

BUDGET GROUP VI: ACADEMIC SERVICES

	ST GEORGE LIBRARY OPERATIONS & INFO COMMONS	ST GEORGE LIBRARY BOOK FUND	OISE/UT LIBRARY	ERINDALE LIBRARY	SCARBOROUGH LIBRARY	UNIV. OF TORONTO COMPUTING	TOTAL GROUP VI
Net Base Budget for 2002-03 One-Time-Only Budget for 2002-03	24,475,194	17,839,380		2,846,055 0	4,203,812 0	7,399,296	56,763,737 0
TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03	24,475,194	17,839,380	0	2,846,055	4,203,812	7,399,296	56,763,737
RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:							
							0
Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit Increase	934,792	0	0	66,233	91,684	234,689	1,327,398
				0		0	0
Expense Ouset by Additional Income Transfers	879 618		C	0 0	0 0	0	0.2000
Contract Oblice & Dolice Commit	2 005	740 224		\$0.218			010,670
REDUCTIONS FROM BASE:	7,000	+0,0,0+1		30,010	46,331	0	842,308
	(1,507,471)		0	(103,701)	(183,009)	(454,222)	(2.248,403)
Academic PTR Recovery	(104,316)			0			(104,316)
				0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0	0
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit.				0	0	0	0
(INCREASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE			0	0	0	0	0
RECOMMENDED BASE BUDGET CHANGE	155,708	740,334	0	13,150	(42,794)	(219,533)	646,865
ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES: Research Overhead (Net Changes)		0		0	0	0	0
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. (Net Changes)	0			0	0	0	0
RECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Recommended Net Base Budget for 2003-04	24,630,902	18,579,714	0	2,859,205	4,161,018	7,179,763	57,410,602
Recommended OTO Budget for 2003-04	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2003-04	24,630,902	18,579,714	0	2,859,205	4,161,018	7,179,763	57,410,602
DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES) Endowment Income :				0	0	0	0
External Income:	2,967,004			50,000	37,80	1,613,839	4,668,643
Internal Recoveries:	1,366,572			35,000	576,09	6,994,652	8,457,199
External Recoveries:	1,455,277			0	0	0	1,455,277
Negative Approp. :				0	0	37,000	37,000
TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)	5,788,853	0	0	85,000	98,775	8,645,491	14,618,119
GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2003-04	30,419,755	18,579,714	0	2,944,205	4,259,793	15,825,254	72,028,721

BUDGET GROUP VII: CAMPUS & STUDENT SRVCS

Ş	
×	
DIVISIONAL BUDGET SCHEDULES	
-	
(-)	
<u> </u>	
U	
Ö	
_	
ங்	
7	
ਪੁ	
◒	
=	
≂	
-	
ு	
$\overline{}$	
≥	
Z	
$^{\circ}$	
⋍	
S	
_	
>	
_	

Net Bose Budgest the 2002 of	ST GEORGE CAMPUS & ST SRVCS	ERINDALE CAMPUS & ST SRVCS	SCARBOROUGH CAMPUS & ST SRVCS	TOTAL GROUP VII
Net base Budget for 2002-03 One-Time-Only Budget for 2002-03	0	(31,486)	775,893	744,407
IOIAL NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03	0	(31,486)	775,893	744,407
RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:				
ADDITIONS TO BASE:				0
Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit Increase Priorities Funds	0	0	6,053	6,053
Expense Offset by Additional Income	00	00	0	0
Transfers	0	0	0 0	
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. REDUCTIONS FROM BASE:	0	0	0	0
Budget Reduction	0	0	0	O
Academic PTR Recovery	0	0	0	° 0
Expense Recovery	0	0	0	0
Transfers	0	0	0	0
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit.	0	0	0	0
(INCREASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE	0	0	0	0
RECOMMENDED BASE BUDGET CHANGE	0	0	6,053	6,053
ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES: Research Overhead (Net Changes)	0	0	C	
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. (Net Changes)	0	0	0	• •
RECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE	0	0	0	0
Recommended Net Base Budget for 2003-04	0 0	(31,486)	781,946	750,460
TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2003-04	0	(31,486)	781,946	750,460
DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES) Endowment Income:	0	O	C	c
External Income :	7,225,630	3,322,101	2,110,768	12,658,499
Internal Recoveries:	606,790	404,790	371,415	1,382,995
External Recoveries:	200	43,986	0	44,686
Negative Approp.:	0	0	0	0
TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)	7,833,120	3,770,877	2,482,183	14,086,180
GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2003-04	7,833,120	3,739,391	3,264,129	14,836,640

BUDGET GROUP VIII: STUDENT ASSISTANCE

DIVISIONAL BUDGET SCHEDULES

UNDERGRAD STUDENT ASSISTANCE
5,755,696 4,597,862 0
5,755,696 4,597,862
315,000
(2,788,000)
0 (2,473,000)
c
5,755,696 2,124,862
5,755,696 2,124,862
2,801,000 0
2,801,000 0
8,556,696 2,124,862

BUDGET GROUP IX: FACILITIES AND SERVICES

DIVISIONAL BUDGET SCHEDULES

PROPERTY

ST MAII & S	ST GEORGE MAINTENANCE & SERVICES	MANAGEMENT DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION	ST GEORGE UTILITIES	ERINDALE MAINTENANCE & SERVICES	ERINDALE UTILITIES	SCARBOROUGH MAINTENANCE & SERVICES	SCARBOROUGH UTILITIES	TOTAL GROUP IX
29,8	29,850,767 54,000	0	25,435,491 0	2,594,401	2,695,411	3,216,942	2,209,119	66,002,131
29,904,767	1,767	0	25,435,491	2,594,401	2,695,411	3,216,942	2,209,119	66,056,131
829,470	_	0	0	59,356	0	62,038	0	950,864
		0		0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0
406,720		0		37,252	0	44,987	0	0 488,959
846,996			240,161	0	(158,274)		316,513	1,245,396
(1,255,235)				(136,933)	0	(189,806)	0	(1,581,974)
				00	0 0	0 0	00	0
(83,041)				0	0	0	0	(83.041)
		0		0 0		0	0	
744,910		0	240,161	(40,325)	(158,274)	(82,781)	316,513	1,020,204
				0	0	0	0	-
(49,000)		0	0	0	0	0	0	(49,000)
(49,000)		0	0	0	0	0	0	(49,000)
30,595,677		0	25,675,652	2,554,076	2,537,137	3,134,161	2,525,632	67,022,335
30 600 677		0	0 25 575 50	720 028	0 527 123	0 0	0	5,000
						1016	400,040,4	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
,				0	0	0	0	0
2,264,991		0	5,556,948	12,000	0		0	7,865,374
11,514,558		O	5,918,498	265,176	150,500	1,033,537	0 0	18,882,269
				0	0			.
13,779,549		0	11,475,446	277,176	150,500	1,064,972	0	26,747,643
44,380,226		0	37,151,098	2,831,252	2,687,637	4,199,133	2,525,632	93,774,978

SUDGET GROUP X: ADMINISTRATIVE & GOVERNANCE	NCE		DIVISIONAL	DIVISIONAL BUDGET SCHEDULES													
	GOVERNING		Z Z	띧			STUDENTS	VICE	VICE	VICE	VICE	VICE	VICE				
	COUNCIL, OMBUDSPERSON, INTERNAL AUDIT	OF THE PRESIDENT	COST (incl Sexual Harassment) 1	& PROVOST V (incl Office of TeachAdvancmi)	/ICE-PROVOST PLANNING	STUDENT VI AFFAIRS	VICE-PROVOST STUDENTS	PROVOST PR SPACE B	ы.			PRESIDENT GOVERNMENT	PRESIDENT FESEARCH &	SUBTOTAL ST GEORGE	ERINDALE S	ERINDALE SCARBOROUGH	TOTAL
Net Base Budget for 2002-03	2,073,712	1,105,871	200	2,384,174	7,	26,325	127		9,488,951	5,580,284	MENT OFFICER 4	& INST. RELTNS	NTL. REL'INS	ADMIN	ADMIN	ADMIN	CROUPX
TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03	2,073,712	1.105.871	1.371.768	2 184 174	0 88 1	36136	0 25. 9	0	0	0	0	011177	0	7/7'168'70	0 0	3,428,551	88'909'8S
RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:	: :				CD0*C00*1	676'87	175,512,8	1,4/1	9,488,951	5,580,284	13,916,643	491,110	4,755,671	52,891,272	2,287,172	3,428,551	\$8,606,995
ADDITIONS TO BASE:																	
Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit Increase Priorities Funds	107,748	49,350	35,279	143,389	91,865		245,073	66,282	451,224	243,597	345,519	20.418	74 076	0.011 0.10	42,634	,,	
Expense Offset by Additional Income	•		0 0	0 0			0	0 0	0	0	0		0/0,5	0,455,620	0 0	700,161	2,361,684
Transfers Contract Oblic & Policy Commit	93,84)	17,278	133,360	81,658	70,000		100,000		0 468.918	240 910	0 0 121	100 261		0	0	0	
REDUCTIONS FROM BASE:	-		0	20,000				0	0	138,000	0	160,041	430,999	188.000	0 0	0 0	2,157.194
Hudget Reduction Academic PTR Recovery	(87.078) E	(51,407	(62,614)	(150,390)	(117,913)		(455,088)	(95,067)	(447,344)	(242,698)	(287,566)	(22.763)	(217 594)	0.00	0000000		DOM:
Expense Recovery			0	0			00	0	0	0	0		(1460)	0 (015,142,2)	(149,630)	(215,908)	(2,613,057)
Tansters Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit	00'06		(70,000)	(39,638)			(900'55)	(9,049)	(10,000)	00	00		1	0	0	0	
(INCREASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE			0	0 0			0	0	0	0	0		(066,2)	(96,077)	0 0	0 0	(75096)
RECOMMENDED BASE BUDGET CHANGE	194,522	15,221	36,025	85.019	43 052	-	0 900	0	0	0	٥			0	· c	0 0	•
ONE-TIME-ONLY BUILDET CHANGES.					402101		134,983	(37,834)	462,798	379,829	152,124	123,686	345,091	1,935,418	77,993	(15,747)	1,997664
Research Overhead (Net Changes)	c	c	c	ć		•											
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. (Nd Changes)	•		0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0		0	0	0	0	•
ICCOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		00001		ľ		10,000	0	0	10.000
Recommended Net Base Budget for 2001-04	116 971 1	121 000	201 107										0	10,000	٥	0	10,000
Recommended OTO Budget for 2001-0-	(·	0	0	2,469,193	1,933,817	26,325	8,410,412	1,493,637	9,951,749	5,960,113	14,068,767	614,796	5,100,762	54,826,690	2,365,165	3,412,804	60,604,659
101AL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2003-04	2,268,234	1,121,092	1,407,793	2,469,193	1,933,817	26,325	8,410,412	1,493,637	9,951,749	5,970,113	14.068.767	0 614 796	0 635,001.8	10,000	0	0	10,000
DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)													2000000	04,000,000	691,602,4	3,412,804	60,614,639
Endowment Income:			0	0			0	0	0	0	0			٥	٠	•	
External Income:	14,00)	•	0	0		2,755,361	1,775,370	560,625	384,301	26,500	2,032,500			7 548 657	0 202 204	0 04.77	0 0 1872
External Recoveries:		00	0 0	312,422	109,400	140,628	383,000	455,563	3,543,325	1,227,425	2,062,724	0	53,994	8,565,545	1,670,200	138,356	10,374,101
Negative Approp.:	•		0	0	•		> c	> 0	> <	0 0	0		0	0	0	9,000	6.000
TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)	291,064	0	0	312,422	109,400	2,895,989	2,158,370	1,016,188	3,927,626	1,253,925	4,095,224	0	53,994	16,114,202	1.992,905	321.756	0 18.428863
GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2003-04	2,559,291	1,121,092	1.407.793	2.781.615	2,043,217	2,922,314	10.568.782	2.509.825	13.879.375	7.224.038	100 191 81	201.113	200.000				
											181120001	727°ETA	SC/FCITE	70.250.892	4,288,070	3,734,560	79,043,522

DIVISIONAL BUDGET SCHEDULES

BUDGET GROUP XI: GENERAL UNIVERSITY EXPENSE & OTHERS

	GENERAL UNIVERSITY	Z	LEASE COST OF OFF CAMPUS	MUNICIPAL	TOTAL
Net Base Budget for 2002-03	32,133,518	DIRECTORATE 283,024	957,281	3,304,350	36,678,173
One-Time-Only Budget for 2002-03	63,000	498,291	•		561,291
TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03	32,196,518	781,315	957,281	3,304,350	37,239,464
RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:					
ADDITIONS TO BASE:					
Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit Increase	(20,200,355)				(20,200,355)
Priorities Funds	0				0
Expense Offset by Additional Income	0				0
Transfers	2,108,048	4,434	,		2,112,482
Contract. Oblig, & Policy Commit. REDICTIONS FROM BASE:	28,152,158		30,656		28,182,814
Budget Reduction	165,569	(16,840)			148,729
Academic PTR Recovery	0				0
Expense Recovery	(1,172,856)				(1,172,856)
Transfers	(4,628,527)				(4,628,527)
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit.	(100,000)			255,075	255,075 (100,000)
DECOMMENDED DAGE DI DORET CHANGE	4 324 037	(12 406)	30.656	255.075	4.597.362
NECOMINEMENTED BASE BODGET CHARGE					
ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES: Research Overhead (Net Changes)	0	(36,110)	0	0	(36,110)
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. (Net Changes)	(63,000)				(63,000)
RECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE	(63,000)	(36,110)	0	0	(99,110)
Dacommanded Nat Baca Budget for 2003-04	36.457.555	270,618	987,937	3,559,425	41,275,535
Recommended OTO Budget for 2003-04	0	462,181		0	462,181
TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2003-04	36,457,555	732,799	987,937	3,559,425	41,737,716
DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES) Endowment Income:	0				0
External Income:	2,717				2,717
Internal Recoveries: Reternal Recoveries	9,786,076				9,786,076
Negative Approp. :	0				0,
TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)	9,788,793	0	0	0	9,788,793
GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2003-04	46,246,348	732,799	987,937	3,559,425	51,526,509

DIVISIONAL BUDGET SCHEDULES

	TOTAL GROUP I: ARTS & SC	TOTAL GROUP II: SCHOOLS/COLLS	TOTAL GROUP III: HLTH SCI	TOTAL GROUP IV: PROF FACS	TOTAL GROUPV; OAC	SUBTOTAL GROUPS I-V: ACADEMIC	TOTAL GROUP VI; AC SRVCS	TOTAL GROUP VII: C&SS	TOTAL GROUP VIII: ST ASSIST	TOTAL GROUP IX: FAC & SRVCS	TOTAL GROUP X: ADM & GOV	TOTAL GROUP XI: GEN UNIV & OTH	TOTAL
Net Dase Budget for 2002-03 One-Time-Only Budget for 2002.03	1,102,684	9,913,856	87,521,620 1,642,197	90,141,880 2,582,254	66,462,417 2,802,070	458,812,387 8,301,939	56,753,737 0	744,407	70,430,667	66 002, 131	58,606,995	36,678,173	748,038,497
TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03	205,875,298	10,086,590	89,163,317	92,724,134	69,264,487	467,114,326	56,763,737	744,407	70,430,667	66,056,131	58,606,995	37,239,464	756,955,727
RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES: ADDITIONS TO BASE:													
Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Eenefit Increase	8,111,640	403,401	3,540,975	3,295,214	203,206	15,554,436	1,327,398	6.053	0	950 864	2 351 604	(320 000 00)	•
Frienties Funds Expense Offset by Additional Ironna	0	0 (0	0	0	0	0		0	0	400,105,2	(\$55,002,02)	0 6
Additional Income	000,001	0	0	100,000	0	200,000	0	0	0	0			000000
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit.	73,955	241,325	8,482,049	6.205.074	2,624,493	45,599,289	829,618	0 0	1,915,000	488,959	2,157,194	2,112,482	53,102,542
REDUCTIONS FROM BASE.		•			44450010	070,107,00	47,300	0	1,781,612	1,245,396	188,000	28,182,814	92,521,715
Budget Reduction Academic PTR Recovery	(11,779,391)	(611,277)	(5,213,355)	(4,778,566)	(106,281)	(22,488,870)	(2,248,403)	0	0	(1,581,974)	(2,613,057)	148,729	0 (28,783,575)
Expense Recovery	0		(tor'//th)	(048,084)	0 0	(3,070,800)	(104,316)	0	0	0	0	0	(3,175,116)
	(1,107,586)	0	(125.531)	(306 063)	(717 785 75)	(75 010 807)		0	0	0	0	(1,172,856)	(1,172,856)
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Comrit.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	o c	(12,375,000)	(83,041)	(96,077)	(4,628,527)	(53,102,542)
(INCREASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE	(100,000)	0	0	(100,000)	100,000	(100,000)	0	0			0 0	255,075	255,075
RECOMMENDED BASE BUDGET CHANGE	16,520,315	35,263	6,207,214	14,851,748	22,440,943	60,055,483	646,865	6,053	(8,678,388)	1,020,204	1,997,664	4,597,362	59,645,243
ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES: Research Overhead (Net Changes)	149,379	32,760	(217,946)	(209,031)	0	(244,838)	0	0	C	C	c	011 980	(960,090)
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Comrit. (Net Changes)	(168,543)	0	0	0	(1,028,286)	(1,196,829)	0	0		(49.000)	000 01	(61,000)	(1 298 820)
RECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE	(19,164)	32,760	(217,946)	(209,031)	(1,028,286)	(1,441,667)	0	0	0	(49,000)	10,000	(011,66)	(11,579,17)
Recommended Net Base Budget for 2003-04	221,292,929	9,949,119	93,728,834	104,993,628	88,903,360	518,867,870	57.410.602	750.460	61 757 779	511 62029	039 709 09	41 725 535	ONT 593 TAN
Recommended OTO Budget for 1003-04	1,083,520	205,494	1,424,251	2,373,223	1,773,784	6,860,272	0	0	0	5 000	10,000	181 247	7 117 45
TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2003-04	222,376,449	10,154,613	95,153,385	107,366,851	90,677,144	525,728,142	57,410,602	150,460	61,752,279	67,027,335	60,614,659	41,737,716	815,021,193
DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES) Findaument Income	000 001	c	703 180 5	2745456	000136	CTO 100 01	c	•		·			
External Income		111 727 C1	21 281 77	27,040	000,12,1	276,989,01	0 000	00, 47, 61	0 00 00 0	0	0	0	10,084,972
Internal Recoveries	26, 505, 205	111,454,21	21,180,10	26,121,20	5 78 1 501	74 565 300	4,008,043	1,038,499	2,801,000	7,865,374	8,048,762	2,717	151,983,283
External Recoveries	2 856 849	420 072	11 558 377	1 407 017	120,101,10	14,363,300	6,407,199	1,382,995	> 0	18,882,269	10,374,101	9,786,076	123,447,940
Negative Appropr	3 731 261	717.781	4,7,000,00	7 232 224	, c	58,243,810	1,455,277	44,686	> 0	> •	000'9	0	39,749,773
TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)	44,658,362	13,524,787	81,811,950	96,399,972	8,538,501	244,933,572	14,618,119	14,086,180	2,801,000	26,747,643	18,428,863	9,788,793	6,138,202 331,404,170
GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2003-04	267,034,811	23,679,400	176,965,035	203,766,823	99,211,645	770,661,714	72,028,721	14,136,640	64.553,279	93,774,978	79,043,522	51,526,509	1,146,425,363

8. APPENDICES.

Appendix A Updated Table of Assumptions from the Long Range Budget

Guidelines for Planning and Budgeting, 1998-2004.

Appendix B Contractual Obligations and Policy Commitments (COPC) List.

These appendices were distributed for the March 18^{th} P lanning and Budget Committee Meeting (and its Agenda Planning Meeting).

APPENDIX A, LONG-RANGE BUDGET GUIDELINES AND PROJECTIONS 1998-2004, AN UPDATE, FEBRUARY 2003.

The Long-Range Guidelines for Planning and Budgeting, 1998/99 through 2003/04 was approved by Governing Council in April 1998. The Guidelines describes the financial environment in which the Raising Our Sights planning exercise is placed. The Guidelines was followed by the Budget Report, 1998-2000; a two-year budget was possible because the Provincial Government had made a commitment on funding levels for both 1998/99 and 1999/2000 and a two-year tuition fee schedule was approved. Thus our principal revenues for the two-year period were identified. In taking forward the Guidelines and two-year budget for approval, the Administration undertook to provide annual updates. The updates approved to date are included in the following table of budget assumptions. The final column is the update of the budget assumptions and projections for 2003-04 and include the latest information on revenue and expense. These will inform the Budget Report, 2003-04. The Administration will bring forward a Planning Framework for 2004-09, continuing the practice of long range planning exercises established in 1990.

Long Range Budget Guidelines, Updated Budget Assumptions

#	Assumption	March, 1998	Update April, 1999	Update March 2000	Update February 2001	Update February 2002	Update February 2003
1.	Length of Budget	1998/99 to 2003/04.					
	Guidelines						
2.	Omnibus	The practice of discounting the					
	Discount	negative effect of the variance					
		associated with not realizing					
		budget assumptions will continue					
		in the case of assumptions that		The positive variance on expense			
		entail a level of risk or		associated with ATB			
		uncertainty. The actual rate of		compensation assumptions in			
		discount will be re-evaluated		2002/03 and beyond that are less			
		each year.		than CPI will be discounted by			
				50%.			
		The positive variance on expense	The Food Ancillary is projected	N. C. d 1.1.1			
		associated with ATB	to provide \$250,000 of revenue	No further global expense			
		compensation assumptions less	in the steady state, \$150,000 has	restraint / revenue enhancement			
		than CPI will be discounted by 50%.	been generated this year.	assumption is being made due to revenue enhancements realized in			
		30%.		contributions from ancillaries and			
		\$1.5M COPC expense restraint /		research overhead.			
		revenue enhancement from		research overnead.			
		sources other than tuition fees					
		and Government Operating					
		Grant.					
		Grunt.					

3.	General Rate of Inflation (CPI)	CPI assumed to be 2.0% annually.			Notwithstanding recent increases in CPI, principally due to energy pricing, the consensus position among economists is that inflation will remain at around 2% over the longer term.	The consensus position among economists is that inflation will still remain at around 2% over the longer term. CPI has been below 2% for the past 4 months.	CPI assumed to be 2% for 2003-04 based on recent long range forecasts. The Ontario CPI has varied from 0.8% to 3.8% over the past 12 months.
4.	Yield Rate on Investment	Internally Managed Funds: CPI plus 2%. Externally Managed Funds: CPI plus 5.15%. Core portion of EFIP: \$75M.	Internally Managed Funds: 1999/2000 5.71%, other years CPI plus 2%. Externally Managed Funds: 1999/2000 6.24%, other years CPI plus 5.15%. Core portion of EFIP reduced to \$60M	Internally Managed Funds: 2000/01 5.52%, other years CPI plus 3.25%. Externally Managed Funds: 2000/01 6.31%, other years CPI plus 4.4%. Target increase in investment revenue due to new investment strategy, \$0.5M in 2001/02 and \$1M in 2002/03 and beyond.	The investment revenue flowing to General University Operating Funds is maintained at the previously projected level. The extra revenue from the more aggressive investment strategy adopted by UTAM and an increase in the EFIP funds invested in long-term investments will be used for graduate student aid. In the short-term it will be used to bridge finance the \$3M payout projected from the \$60M graduate aid endowment which is part of the Capital Campaign. The remainder will be directed to an endowment for graduate student aid. Increased revenue from administrative and stewardship charges to investment funds will be used to fund the development campaign and UTAM.	Investment revenue was significantly below projected levels in 2001-2 and is expected to be lower than projected in 2002-03. Losses against projections of \$21.8M are being amortized over a three-year period and the projection for 2003-04 is being reduced to the baseline level of \$13.7M. The model assumes that there will be no available investment revenue to transfer to graduate student aid. The funds needed to bridge to the payout from the Capital Campaign Graduate Endowment will be obtained from General University Revenue.	Long Term Investments 0% Short Term Investments 2.6% Fixed Income Investments 4.5% Investment revenue available from the EFIP is expected to be lower than the projected level in 2002-3. These losses are being amortized over a five- year period. The projection for 2003-04 is being further reduced to \$11.3M from \$13.7M.

-	D ' II/C	D 11	TI D 1 1 C	mi II	CDI TI ' ' 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	THE TENE	D 11 (D : D1
5.	Domestic U/G Enrollment	Enrollment agreements in place for Arts and Science, Applied Science and Engineering, and Erindale. Upper year retention/course loading will be based on multi-year average of actual experience. Divisions in future receive 65% of the additional tuition revenue, net of increases to student aid, attributable to approved enrollment increases over currently planned levels.	The Provincial Government has indicated a desire for enrolment increases to deal with the double cohort and demographic driven increases in the applicant pool. Divisions with agreements for revenue sharing on enrolment over currently planned levels must make up for any enrollment below planned levels in future years.	The University has developed a framework-planning document on enrollment expansion. The University's intake target for 2000/01 will be the same as 1999/2000 adjusted for the increase required under the Access To Opportunities Program plan. The ATOP steady state intake target will be reached in 2000/01 and will require an increase of 166 in the overall domestic undergraduate intake target over the 1999/2000 target	The University's intake target for 2001/02 will be essentially the same as 2000/01. There will be a further increase of 94 students admitted to the BEd program and the increase of 13 in the number of students admitted to the MD program in 2000/01 will be maintained. The ATOP steady state intake target was reached in 2000/01 and the flow through will produce a total enrolment increase of 1,540 over the 1997/98 level in computer science and engineering programs. Discussions are currently taking place on a possible enrolment increase in the 2 nd entry, undergraduate Nursing and Pharmacy programs.	The University is proceeding with its enrolment expansion plan now that the Provincial Government has announced full average funding for first-entry and some second-entry undergraduate programs and an envelope for graduate and the remaining second-entry undergraduate programs. The second phase of the expansion at UTM and UTSC will be subject to satisfactory resolution of the need for additional capital funding. A detailed plan for the expansion and the funding that will be available to the divisions will be brought forward to a subsequent P&B committee meeting.	Enrollment Expansion Plans were approved by P&B in January for all three campuses. Expansion is to proceed in two Phases. The second Phase would only proceed after satisfactory resolution of current discussions on the means to fund the capital expansion needed to accommodate the Phase 2 enrolment increase. Revenue projections are based on the signed October 15 th 2002 Enrolment Target Agreement with MTCU. In general, Divisions will receive 75% of the tuition fee revenue net of mandated student aid plus 75% of the operating grant revenue generated by the approved increased enrolment. Funding will not be allocated for enrolment increases already planned and funded nor those increases funded from another funding envelope. Enrolment projections will use upper year retention/course loading parameters based on rolling multi-year average of actual experience.

	International U/G Enrollment	International enrollment will return to 1989 levels by the end of the Guidelines period. Divisions will in future receive 50% of the additional tuition revenue, net of increases to student support, attributable to increases in enrollment above current levels. International enrollment strategy to be reviewed and targets to be set as an integral part of new Divisional plans. Divisions must continue to meet domestic enrollment targets while increasing international enrollment.	International undergraduate and graduate enrollment increases on the St George and Scarborough campuses in 1998-99 are on a trajectory to meet the target of a return to 1989 levels by 2003/04. Revenue equivalent to that for domestic students under 5 above will be flowed to the divisions and the student aid budget, from the planned enrolment increase. Divisional international enrolments must not fall below 1997/98 actual levels if divisions are to benefit fully	International undergraduate and graduate enrollment increases are above the trajectory needed to meet the target of a return to 1989 levels by 2003/04. This strategy appears to be sound	The program to return international enrolment to 1989 levels will continue.	Undergraduate and Graduate enrolments are at their highest levels in 8 years.	International enrollment will be maintained at least at the 2000-01 level. Divisions will receive 60% of the additional tuition revenue, attributable to approved increases in enrollment above 2000-01 levels. International enrollment strategy to be reviewed and targets to be set as an integral part of the new planning process.
		international enforment.	1997/98 actual levels if				

7.	Graduate Enrollment and support	Domestic and international doctoral stream and professional masters enrollment targets and revenue sharing arrangements to be negotiated as part of new Divisional plans. International enrollment will return to 1989 levels by the end of the Guidelines period. For modeling purposes, 100% of the revenue attributable to increases in international enrollment above current levels will be required for recruitment, student support or enhancement of divisional programs.	New \$1,000,000 OTO international student fee waivers program to be implemented commencing in 1999/2000. 65% of the tuition revenue from increases in domestic or international student enrolment increase flows to the APF to be used to enhance graduate student financial support. Until graduate enrollment agreements are established in divisional plans, divisional graduate enrolments must at least meet 1997/98 actual levels if divisions are to benefit fully from the tuition fee revenue sharing arrangement in 5 above.	The first of four annual OTO installments was added to the Graduate Student Aid budget in 1999/2000, to be used to assist departments as they increase international graduate student enrolment. The allocation for 1999/2000 was \$250,000 and for 2000/01 will be \$500,000 increasing to \$1M in 2002/03. Funding is being added to the Graduate student aid budget to help meet the cost of recommendations in the report of the Task Force on Graduate Student Financial Support. The amount increases from \$2.7 in 2000/01 to \$9.4M in 2003/04. This includes \$3M in 2003/04. This includes \$3M in 2003/04 generated by a new \$60M endowment fund. The Task Force recommended that an endowment of \$200M is raised, the administration proposes \$100M as being an attainable goal, the budget report adopts a more prudent assumption of \$60M by 2003/04.	The funding to the graduate student aid budget is projected to increase by \$3.8M in 2001-02 and by a further \$8M in 2003-04 over the 2000-01 amount, this includes the funding described in 4 above and the Ontario Graduate Scholarships program (OGS). Effective the 2001/02 cycle, the OGS will be paid via the University and will have a 1 for 2 matching requirement.	Graduate student funding from the University operating budget (excluding TA funding) increased by \$4.6M in 2001-02. This is projected to increase by a further \$5.1M in 2002-03 and by \$2.8M in 2003-04.	The central subsidy of \$5,000 per OGS award ended as planned in 2002-03. The University's portion of the OGS award is replaced by the revenue generated from OGS campaign donations and, if necessary, other departmental graduate funding. Graduate enrolment planning is an extremely important component of the next planning cycle. The University is engaged with MTCU concerning the permanent funding arrangements for Graduate enrolment increases over 2000-01 levels.
8.	Position Within Enrollment Corridor	Below midpoint: strategy is to move upward to 1% above the mid-point of the corridor.	BIU enrolment increased in 1998/99. Moving average will continue to decrease for several more years as the effect of losing BIU eligibility for international students and Additional Qualification students works through the funding algorithm but is expected to stabilize close to the corridor mid-point.	BIU Enrollment five-year Moving Average will decrease for two more years but is expected to stabilize close to the funding corridor mid-point, see Figure 1.	BIU Enrollment five-year moving average will decrease for one more year and is projected to stabilize above the funding corridor mid-point, see Figure 1. The annual BIU count is currently at 3.6% above the corridor mid-point.		The University will receive BIU funding for enrolment up to the corridor mid-point plus U/G enrolment beyond 2000- 01 levels up to the level in the signed October 15 th 2002 Enrolment Target Agreement. For graduate enrolment increase above 2000-01 levels, a fixed amount of funding will be allocated between Universities based on a set of graduate and research parameters.

A two-year Tuition Fee The University will increase Tuition Fee Fees in regulated programs to be Schedule was approved in May Rates: Domestic. increased an average of 10% on tuition fees in Arts and Science, the 1997/8 rates (5% to improve 1998 for all programs except Music and PE&H Programs to the quality and 5% for additional engineering. With the the maximum permissible fee of educational program acceptance of the University's \$3,951 in 2000/01 and to \$4,029, improvements) in each of 1998/9 Access to Opportunity Plan the \$4,107 and \$4,185 in 2001/02, and 1999/2000. Academic fee for undergraduate 2002/03 and 2003/04 divisions will receive 65% of the engineering programs will be respectively. The remaining Tuition fees in regulated Tuition fees in the regulated fee revenue, net of the increase to increased in 1999/2000 beyond regulated tuition fees will programs will increase by programs to be increased by student aid, from the second 5% 1.94%. the regulated maximum increase increase by 2% annually, not 2% of the 1999-2000 fee in Tuition fees in the deregulated fee increase. of 10%. Fees for incoming compounded. programs for continuing Tuition fees in the deregulated 2003-04 as currently mandated students will be set at \$5,000: students will increase by 5% in programs for continuing Tuition fees in the deregulated by the Provincial Government. 2001/02. Tuition fees in the There will be a broad range of fees for continuing students will programs for continuing students students will increase by 5% in Tuition fees in the deregulated will increase by 5% in 2000/01. fee changes in the deregulated be increased by 20%. deregulated programs for new 2002/03. Tuition fees in the programs for all new and programs. Increases that enhance Tuition fees in the deregulated students will also increase by deregulated programs for new continuing students will programs for new students will 5% in 2001/02 with the students will also increase by increase by 5% in 2003-04 program quality may be Sixty-five percent of the developed in the planning also increase by 5% in 2000/01 with the exception of new revenue from the tuition fee exception of fees for the MBA 5% in 2002/03 with the increases above 5%, net of the students in undergraduate with the exception of fees for program in Management and exception of fees for the MBA process. In the case of doctoral stream 30% directed to student aid, was programs in Computer Science, the LLB program in Law as program in Management, the programs in Law, Computer Science and Engineering, the Commerce, Business, Law, LLB program in Law, the DDS programs, fees will increase by flowed to the Academic described in note 1. Fee 10% in 1998/9 and 1999/2000. Priorities Fund (APF). Medicine, Dentistry, revenue is modeled with 5% program in Dentistry and MBA and programs in Professional program fees will Allocations totaling \$15.8M Management and Pharmacy. increases in all other undergraduate programs in Dentistry. In all but Dentistry have, on average, doubled over have been made to the divisions Revenue is modeled with 5% deregulated programs in Commerce, Business the larger increases had been 1995/6 levels by 1999/2000. The from the APF for program Administration, Computer increases in all deregulated subsequent years. signaled in the 2002-03 Tuition programs in subsequent years. Fee Schedule funding which will flow to the Science, CCIT at UTM and improvement and quality Academic Divisions will be enhancement. Sixty-five percent of the revenue Engineering. Fee revenue is determined in the planning from the tuition fee increases in modeled with 5% increases in process. For modeling purposes A fee of \$1,950 was introduced excess of the percentage fee all other deregulated programs the academic divisions will for Postgraduate Medical increase for the regulated in 2003-04. receive 65% of the fee revenue. Trainees entering in 1999/2000. programs, net of the required More complete details on 25% of the increased tuition 30% directed to student aid, will tuition fee rates can be found net of the increase to student aid. flow to the APF to be allocated resulting from fee increases in revenue, net of 30% for student in the Tuition Fee Schedule for excess of 5% in 1998/9 and aid, will flow to the APF to be for program improvement and Publicly Funded Programs, 1999/2000. 2002-03. used to improve the educational quality enhancement and to the experience for Postgraduate Faculty Retention Fund. Medical Trainees. The funding The combined revenue from Tuition fee revenue from The recommendation of the for student aid will provide Postgraduate Medical Trainees is Task Force that a tuition fee of Government Operating Grants and Tuition Fees shall increase interest remission on student modeled on a tuition fee of zero be assigned to Postgraduate Medical Trainees by CPI + 2% annually from loans for Postgraduate Medical \$1,950. It is assumed that any 2000/1 to 2003/4. Of this 75% of Trainees. revenue loss, as a result of was implemented in 2000-01. the revenue above that generated recommendations from the Task by an increase of CPI is assumed Increased revenue may also Force chaired by the Dean of to be targeted revenue, including result from plans to deal with Medicine, will be offset by for student aid, and is unavailable the Double Cohort. increased revenue from other for general operating purposes. sources or in reduced expenses.

10	Tuition Fee Rates: International.	Undergraduate and Graduate International Fees will increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees. Academic divisions will receive the same \$ amount as they would from corresponding domestic fee revenue increase.	The tuition fees for international engineering students will increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees. Revenue from international tuition fee increases, equivalent to that for domestic students under 9 above, will be flowed to the APF. For undergraduate international students, revenue from tuition fee increases, equivalent to that for domestic undergraduate students under 9 above, will be flowed to the student aid budget.	Undergraduate and Graduate International Fees, except for those in Computer Science, Commerce, Business and Management will increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees, see note 7.	Undergraduate and Graduate International Fees, except for those in MBA and LLB programs will increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees, see note 1.	The University has, for a number of years, maintained a constant \$ differential over time between domestic and international fees by increasing the international fee by the same dollar amount as those for domestic students in the same program. It now proposes to set that differential, in general, at a level where the international fee will generate the same revenue as that generated by a domestic student from both fees and Government funding. This produces additional increases in a number of programs, see the <i>Tuition Fee Schedule for Publicly Funded Programs</i> , 2002-03 for more details.	In general, undergraduate and graduate international fees will increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees.
----	-----------------------------------	--	---	--	---	--	---

11. Government Operating Grants.

1998/9; zero increase, possible loss of \$1.8M in pay equity grants.
1999/2000; increase of 1%.

Current policy objective is to shift \$72 million from base grants envelope to research infrastructure envelope. This would represent an improvement of approximately \$10 million to U of T. This assumption is NOT included in the projection.

The combined revenue from Government Operating Grants and Tuition Fees shall increase by CPI + 2% in 2000/01. Of this 75% of the revenue above that generated by an increase of CPI is assumed to be targeted revenue, including for student aid, and is unavailable for general operating purposes.

Government Grant funding 2000/01. In light of the uncertainty that still exists o the magnitude of the ATOP BEd enrollment increases in 2000/2001 and beyond, no specific assumption is made the level of ATOP and BEd Government Grant funding 2000/01. In light of the uncertainty that still exists o the magnitude of the ATOP BED GOVERNMENT GRANT GRAN

The combined revenue from Government Operating Grants and Tuition Fees shall increase by CPI + 2% annually from 2001/2 to 2003/4. Of this 75% of the revenue above that generated by an increase of CPI is assumed to be targeted revenue, including for student aid, and is unavailable for general operating purposes. that "75% of the revenue above that generated by an increase of CPI is assumed aid, and is unavailable for general operating purposes."

A loss of \$0.6M in pay equity grants will be realized. New Provincial funding of \$1.7M over 10 years for

Revenue in 1999/2000 from enrolment increases related to ATOP and BEd expansion, less 25% for institutional overhead as per the ATOP plans, flows to the Enrolment Growth Fund for expenses related to these initiatives. In light of the uncertainty that still exists on the magnitude of the ATOP and BEd enrollment increases in 2000/2001 and beyond, no specific assumption is made on the level of ATOP and BEd Government Grant funding for 2000/01. In light of the uncertainty that still exists on the magnitude of the ATOP and BEd enrollment increases in 2000/2001 and beyond, no specific assumption is made on the level of ATOP and BEd Rather it is contained within the assumption made previously that "75% of the revenue above that generated by an increase of CPI is assumed to be targeted revenue, including for student general operating purposes". grants will be realized. \$1.7M over 10 years for OGSST. The SGS graduate financial assistance budget increased by \$2.6M Increased revenue may also result from plans to deal with the Double Cohort.

For 2000/01, consistent with AFramework for Enrolment Expansion at the University of Toronto, the University will elect not to participate in the Provincial Government's Accessibility Fund. It is assumed that the University will however, receive \$2.7M from the Performance Fund in 2000/01. Government operating grant revenue is assumed to increase by 2% annually in 2001/02 through 2003/04. The revenue in 2000/01 and beyond will be reduced by \$1.6M as a result of folding the pay equity grant into the base operating grant.

Provincial Government operating revenue for the approved enrollment increases under ATOP and in the BEd Program, the latter for the four years 1999-2003, is included in the projections. The revenue, less 23% for institutional overhead as per the ATOP plans, flows to the Enrolment Growth Fund for expenses related to these initiatives.

The Graduate Student Aid Budget of the OGSST Divisions has increased by \$2.6M

In the absence of information from the Provincial Government on operating funding, it is assumed that operating grant revenue will increase by 2% annually in 2001/02 through 2003/04 and that the University will also receive \$3.4M from the Performance Fund. The MTCU has, in consultation with the COU, modified the base on which the Performance Fund is calculated to include graduate enrolment as well as undergraduate. As a result the University's share increases from \$2.7M to \$3.4M.

Provincial Government operating revenue for the approved enrollment increases under ATOP and in the MD and BEd Programs is included in the projections. The revenue, less 23% for institutional overhead, flows to the Enrolment Growth Fund for expenses related to these initiatives.

Ontario Graduate Scholarship funding of some \$7M, with its \$3.5M match, will now be distributed by the University

The University did not receive the assumed 2% increase in operating grant funding to cover increased costs in 2001-02. For 2002-03 the budget model assumes that additional revenue, equal to 1% of the operating grant funding, will be received either in the form of an increase in operating grant revenue or from a relaxation of the 2% cap on tuition fees in the regulated programs. In 2003-04 it is assumed that the operating grant revenue will increase by 2% to cover increased costs.

The assumption that additional revenue, equal to 1% of the operating grant funding, would be received either in the form of an increase in operating grant revenue or from a relaxation of the 2% cap on tuition fees in the regulated in programs was not realized in 2002-03.

The University will receive full average funding for U/G enrolment increases up to the level defined in the ETA and it is assumed that the algorithm used to distribute funding from the Graduate Enrolment Expansion Pool will yield an allocation to the University of Toronto approximately proportional to our current share of enrolment in these programs.

It is assumed that there will be no increase in the operating grant revenue per student to cover increased costs in 2003-04.

The results of the COU initiative directed at obtaining operating grant increases for program quality enhancement is still uncertain. No assumption of such increased revenue is being built into the budget for 2003-04.

1.1	a Canada Daga1			Duciente d'un comme Comme de la	A moCining of the imput data on	
11	a Canada Research			Projected revenue from the	A refining of the input data on	
	Chairs Program			Federal Government's Canada	research funding has produced	
				Research Chairs Program,	an increase of 20 in the number	
				together with funds released from	of Canada Research Chairs	
				the salary budget, is flowed to the	allocated to the University.	
				Canada Research Chairs Fund		
				(CRCF). A component is		
				retained for central indirect		
				expense and the remainder will		
				be allocated to the Divisions in		
				accordance with Divisional Plans		
				and the Program requirements.		
				and the Fregueni requirements.		
12	2. Government	This has been merged into rows 9	This has been merged into rows	This has been merged into rows 9	This has been merged into	
	Operating Grants	and 11.	9 and 11.	and 11.	rows 9 and 11.	
	and Tuition Fee	und 11.	y und 11.	und 11.	10W3 7 tilt 11.	
	Rates, 2000/1 to					
	2003/4.					
	2003/4.					
12	6. Overhead	December approved or proposed	Descrits approved or proposed	Decently approved or proposed		
13		Recently approved or proposed	Recently approved or proposed	Recently approved or proposed		
	Charges to Self-	Self-Funded Programs:	Self-Funded Programs:	Self-Funded Programs:		
	Funded	• M Eng	Qualifying Program	Global Executive MBA		
	Academic	(Telecommunications)	(Dentistry)	Joint MEng degree in Design		
	Programs	• M Sc (Mathematics for		and Manufacturing		
		Finance)		Diploma Program in		
		• B Sc (Radiation Sciences).		Professional Pilot and		
		Certificate (Case		Aviation Management		
		Management)				

14.	Research Overhead and Royalties	All increases in the general university portion of research overhead and royalties income will be used to finance the technology transfer plan initiative through to the year 2000. A decision concerning revenue beyond that point is required.	The current arrangement of using increased overhead revenues to fund the Tech Transfer Strategy will be reviewed in 1999/2000 and a decision taken on future disbursement of any increase in the General University portion of overhead and royalty revenue. Overhead revenue will be one source of funding for the operating cost of new space. Substantial new overhead revenue was realized including overhead from Provincial Centers of Excellence, CFI/ORDCF research projects and related industrial contracts.	Substantial new overhead revenue was realized principally from CFI/ORDCF research projects and related industrial contracts.	There continues to be a modest increase in research overhead revenue.	The Federal Government introduced a fund of \$200M for the indirect costs of Federally supported research in 2001-02. The University's share, excluding the affiliated teaching hospitals, is projected at \$14.6M. This is a first step in a program where the level of indirect costs is expected to double to 40% over time. The budget model assumes that the university's share will remain at \$14.6M in 2002-03 and increase to \$21.9M in 2003-04.	The Federal Government announced in the February budget that \$225M would be available annually for the indirect costs of Federally supported research. The University of Toronto's portion of that funding is assumed to be \$15.8M.
14a	Research Performance Fund			The recent Provincial Budget included the creation of a \$30M Ontario Research Performance Fund to cover indirect costs associated with Ontario-funded research. Distribution to Universities and Colleges is based on an Institution's share of Ontario research funding. The University's share of the funding is estimated at \$12.5M.	It is assumed that the University's share of the Ontario Research Performance Fund will continue to be \$12.5 annually.	The \$12.5M share from the Ontario Research Performance Fund received in 2000-01 included \$3.7M for hospital based research leaving the University with a net of \$8.8M. This dropped to \$5.4M in 2001-02 and is projected to be \$6.9M in 2002-03 and 2003-04. The reduction is the result of a drop in the University's share of Ontario research funding used in the allocation algorithm.	The University is projected to receive \$5.7M from the Ontario Research Performance Fund in 2003-04.

15.	Matching Strategy for Endowed Chairs	Current strategy calls for 75 matched chairs to be achieved by 1999/2000, for increased leverage on General University Income of \$1.9 million. To date, 52 chair agreements have been completed, and 7 are in progress.	To date, 77 EAF matched chair agreements have been completed. New, simplified matched chair program approved which is neutral to the budget bottom line but does not provide leverage on General University Income. A total of \$1.3M has been realized from chair agreements under the former strategy.	To date, 98 EAF matched chair agreements have been completed.	To date, 118 EAF matched chair agreements have been completed.	A total of 164 endowed chairs, including approved proposals, have been raised in the Campaign bringing the total number of endowed chairs at the University to 178. Of the 164, a total of 143 were matched chairs with the remaining 21 being fully endowed.	The Matching Chair Program using University funds as an endowed match has ended. The number of endowed chairs at the University excluding CRC matched chairs noted below, has increased to 170 and there are 9 approved proposals for matched chairs. Two matching chairs programs still exist; • campaign contributions may be matched with Canada Research Chairs to produce a named Canada Research Chair (3 have been created), and • the payout from an endowed contribution may be matched
16.	Revenue Contribution from Ancillary Operations	No new strategies at present. Income potential from Food Service Ancillary and possible creation of Real Estate Ancillary.	Food Service Ancillary contributing \$250,000 in steady state. Ancillaries will contribute \$2.7M to General University and particular Divisional Income in 1999/2000.	The Parking Ancillary contribution to general university income is projected to decrease as underground parking garages replaces surface parking lots. The ancillaries are budgeted to contribute \$2.3M to general university income in 2000/01 reducing to \$1.7M in 2003/04.	There will be a further loss of revenue from the Parking Ancillary of \$0.8M when parking is eliminated from King's College Circle	The land rent contribution from the Parking Ancillary is projected at \$1.1M in 2002-03 and \$1.2M in 2003-04. It is projected that the Food Service Ancillary will no longer contribute to general university income, a loss of \$0.25M.	using an existing vacant position. The land rent contribution from the Parking Ancillary is projected at \$0.9M in 2003-04.
17.	Student Aid	30% of all revenue from domestic and international tuition fee increases will be directed to student aid 1998/9 and 1999/2000.	The total student aid budget for 1998/99 was \$43M. It will increase to \$57M in 1999/2000 and \$65M in the steady state as all of the OSOTF and redirected tuition fee revenue becomes available.	The total student aid budget for 1999/2000 was \$58.9M. It is projected to increase to \$67.8M in 2000/01 and \$82.8M in the steady state as all of the OSOTF, OGSST, redirected tuition fee revenue and new graduate student aid becomes available.	The total student aid budget for 2000/01 was \$74.5M. It is projected to increase to \$84.1M in 2001/02 and \$101.7M in the steady state as all of the OSOTF, OGSST, OGS, redirected tuition fee revenue and new graduate student aid becomes available.	The total student support budget for 2001/02 was \$84.8M. It is projected to increase to \$92.9M in 2002/03.	The total student support budget is projected to increase to \$95.9M in 2003/04 despite a reduction of \$6.7M in student aid investment income.

1	3. Contractual	New agreement with Sheridan	Memorandum of Agreement		The BIU eligible enrolment at		
	Obligations to	College included in long-range	with Federated Universities has		TST appears to have stabilized	The TST Theology Grant will	New agreements with Sheridan
	Affiliated	projection.	been signed; the increased cost	Enrollment levels at the Toronto	at ~575 BIUs, substantially	be reduced by \$0.04M in 2002-	College, Centennial College
	Institutions		to the budget is estimated at	School of Theology (TST) have	below their corridor mid-point	03 and a further \$0.09 in 2003-	and the Michener Institute are
		Memorandum of Agreement with	\$260,000 (4.6%).	been below target in recent years	of 717. A new mid-point of	04 as a result of the new	included in the projections.
		Federated Universities is being		and the new Director has been	585 has been proposed and	corridor mid-point agreement.	
		re-negotiated. For modeling	Memorandum of Agreement	asked to submit an enrollment	funding reduced accordingly	There was a reduction of	
		purposes it is assumed that	with TST under review. Block	plan. Funding to TST will be	with the reduction phased over	\$0.014 in 2001-02.	
		changes will be neutral to the	Grant flat-lined to 2003/04	flat lined pending the receipt of	3 years. There will be a saving		
		budget.	pending outcome of review.	the plan.	to the budget of \$0.125M in		
					2001/02 growing to \$0.375M		
					in 2003/04.		

19	O. Compensation	Agreements in place with UTSA and SMG through to 1999/2000. Agreement in place with UTFA and the unions to 1998/9. Assumptions: UTSA: 1.5% ATB plus merit annually from 2000/1 to 2003/4. SMG: 0% ATB, 3% merit annually from 2000/1 to 2003/4. UTFA: 1.5% plus PTR annually from 1999/2000 to 2003/4. Unions: 1.5% ATB annually from 1999/2000 to 2003/4. Introduction of differential replacement salary provision for different disciplinary groups, rather than a single institution-wide replacement value, e.g., a constant PTR recovery. Academic salary anomaly and retention fund: \$500,000 annually. Administrative Salary Anomalies: \$80,000 annually.	First contract negotiations are under way with the United Steelworkers of America (USWA). Memorandum of Agreement negotiations are also underway with UTFA. The PTR recovery will be set at \$65,000 plus benefits in 2000/01 and will be indexed in subsequent years by the ATB salary increases. Divisions requiring starting salaries greater than \$65,000 should make the case in their response to <i>Raising Our Sights</i> . The cost will be met from the APF. Academic salary anomaly and retention fund increased to \$900,000 annually beginning 2000/2001. Administrative Salary Anomaly budget reduced to \$40,000 annually.	Agreements in place with UTFA, USWA, CUPE 1230, CUPE 3261 OPSU 578 and University Workers Local 1 through to 2001/02 and most other unions to 2000/01. Assumptions: UTFA: 1.5% plus PTR annually in 2002/03 and 2003/4. SMG: 0% ATB, 4% merit in 2000/01 and 0% ATB, 3.5% merit annually 2001/02 through 2003/4. Other Managers and Confidentials: 2% plus merit in 2000/01 and 1.5% plus merit annually 2001/02 to 2003/04. Unions: 1.5% ATB annually in those years beyond their current contract. These assumptions are for modeling purposes only and do not represent a strategy for salary negotiations. The Faculty Retention Fund will be set at \$1.5M in 2000/01, \$1.3M in 2001/02 and \$1.0M in 2002/03 and 2003/04.	Agreements in place with UTFA, USWA, CUPE 1230, CUPE 3261 OPSU 578 and University Workers Local 1 through to 2001/02. Assumptions: UTFA: 2% plus PTR annually in 2002/03 and 2003/4. SMG: 0% ATB, 4% merit annually 2002/03 and 2003/4. Other Managers and Confidentials: 2% plus merit annually 2002/03 and 2003/04. Unions: 2% ATB annually in those years beyond their current contract. These assumptions are for modeling purposes only and do not represent a strategy for salary negotiations. If compensation agreements result in ATB increases in excess of 2%, additional base budget cuts will be required. Each increase of 0.1% beyond the assumed value in either of 2002/03 or 2003/04 will result in an additional base budget cut of ~0.09%	Agreements are in place with CUPE 3902 (TA union) to April 2005 and with the unions representing the trades to 2003/04, Assumptions for the total cost of compensation increases, including costs associated with benefits improvements, for the remaining employee groups are: UTFA: 3% plus cost of PTR annually in 2002/03 and 2003/04. Other employees 3% annually 2002/03 and 2003/04. These assumptions are for modeling purposes only and do not represent a strategy for salary negotiations.	Agreements are in place with all bargaining units for 2003-04 with the exception of UTFA. The total cost of compensation increases, including costs associated with benefits improvements, in 2003-04 for UTFA is projected at 3% plus cost of PTR. This projection is for modeling purposes only and does not represent a strategy for salary negotiations. The Faculty Retention Fund will be decreased to \$0.7M in 2003/04.
----	-----------------	---	--	---	--	--	--

20.	Employer Benefits Costs	Proposed introduction of standard benefit cost rate: 18.25% for appointed staff, 8.25% for non-appointed staff. Substantial increase in CPP premiums anticipated which would be partially offset by a decrease in UI premiums. Current service cost of pension reduced effective 1997/8. Current service cost of SRA included in pension contribution budget. Current service costs of the main registered pension plan are assumed to be available until 2001/2, (to be reviewed annually). Funds to be redirected to finance the SRA with balance transferred to the University Infrastructure Investment Fund and Transitional Funds. The operating grant portion of the LTD insurance plan rebate will be transferred to the University Infrastructure Investment Fund and Transitional Funds.	A contingency of \$2.2M will be provided for the benefits budget in 1999/2000. The standard benefit rates will be reviewed for 2000/01 and adjusted, if necessary, to better reflect actual experience. The review of the strategy on funding the University pension plans has determined that the funds available for transfer to the UIIF in 1999-2000, 2000-01 and 2001-02 can be increased by \$4.3M, \$4.3M and \$5.0M respectively.	The standard benefit cost rate will increase to 18.75% for appointed staff and 9.5% for nonappointed staff. The Benefits budget will increase by \$6.6M in 2000/01 over the revised 1999/2000 budget, this is \$3.3M more than the increase projected previously for this year. The revised 1999/2000 budget was approved in April 1999 and included a \$2.2M addition. The balance of funds available from the current service pension cost provision in the operating budget for transfer to the University Infrastructure Investment Fund (UIIF) and the Transitional Funds in 2000/01 is \$17.7M and in 2001/02 is \$18.6M. The balance in 2002/03 (\$19.4M) will be used for approved matching endowment programs and in 2003/04 (\$16.3M) will be transferred to the UIIF.	The Benefits budget is projected to increase by \$4.2M in 2001/02, with further increases of \$4.4M and \$4.0M in 2002/03 and 2003/04 respectively. The balance of funds available from the current service pension cost provision in the operating budget for transfer to the University Infrastructure Investment Fund (UIIF) and the Transition Funds in 2001/02 is \$18.6M. The balance in 2002/03 (\$19.8M) will be used for approved matching endowment programs and in 2003/04 (\$21.2M) will be transferred to the UIIF.	The increase in the benefits budget for 2002/03 is projected to be \$2.8M over the 2001-02 budget, a reduction of \$1.6M from the \$4.4M signaled last year. The 2001-02 actual expenditures were \$2.2M under budget. The budget for 2003-04 is projected to be a further \$5.0M larger than in 2002-03. The standard benefit rates will remain at 19.5% for appointed employees and at 9.5% for non-appointed employees. The Vice-Presidents Business Affairs and Human Relations will review benefits costs with the aim of reducing the magnitude of the continuing cost escalation. In 2003-04, \$14M of the balance of funds available from the current service pension cost provision in the operating budget will be used for approved matching endowment programs. The remainder of the funds in 2003-04 and all the funds in 2002-03 will be used to offset the need for OTO deficit reduction control measures.	A contribution of 18.8M is being made to the regular pension plan. Funds budgeted for the current service pension cost provision in the operating budget in future will no longer assumed to be available for other uses.
-----	-------------------------------	--	--	---	---	---	---

21.	Utilities Costs	Utility costs assumed to increase at CPI plus 0.5% per annum beyond 1998/9.	Cost increase of \$600,000 predicted in 1999/2000, principally because of increased gas prices.	An increase of \$2.0M in base is projected for 2000/01, of which \$1.1M is from rate increases and \$0.5M results from the negative variance in the current year. Increasing costs for natural gas contributes \$0.8M to the increase.	Based on current estimates of gas and steam prices, the 2000/01 actual energy expense will be some \$3M above budget. The budget for 2001/02 projects an increase of \$5M, or approximately 24%, over the 2000/01 budget.	Rate increases of 33.8% (including an 11.8% <i>debt surcharge</i>) for electricity, 20.3% for steam and water and reductions of 18.2% for natural gas and 9.1% for oil are projected in 2001/02. The result of these rate changes together with the commissioning of the BCIT, the purchase of 500 University Ave etc. is projected to produce utilities cost increases of \$4.6M in 2002-03 and a further \$ 2.0M in 2003-04.	Utility costs are projected to be \$1.4M over budget in 2002-03. The major negative variances result from higher than expected gas costs, problems with the heat recovery project and emergency repairs to the cogeneration project Utility costs for 2003-04 are projected to be \$0.4M over last year's budgeted level.
22.	Operating cost of New or Newly Renovated Space	These additional costs will be the responsibility of the Division occupying the space. Funds may be requested from the Academic Priorities Fund, (or the Administrative Priorities Fund, as appropriate).	The Centre for Information Technology is expected to be the first new building where this policy will apply.	With the acquisition of the OISE Building from the Ontario Realty Corporation, the University will assume full responsibility for maintenance and operating costs for the building, \$0.5M will be added to Facilities and Services budget in 2001/02 with partial funding in 2000/2001. There will also be a reduction of \$2.2M in revenue and an offsetting reduction in lease expense.			
23.	Cost of Leased Space	Cost forecast to decline from \$962,000 in 1997/8 to approximately \$200,000 in 2003/4. Savings are being used on an OTO basis to fund moving and renovation costs.	Costs of leased space now predicted to decrease to \$325,000 due to pressure for space on campus.	Costs of leased space now predicted to decrease to \$556,000 in 2003/04 due to pressure for space on campus, particularly transition space during new construction.	Costs of leased space now predicted to be \$795,417 in 2003/04. The increase is principally due to the space requirements of the Research & International Relations and Development portfolios.	Costs of leased space now predicted to be \$951,216 in 2003/04. A small group under the direction of the Vice-Provost Space and Facilities Planning is reviewing the need for leased space in light of recent property acquisitions.	Costs of leased space now predicted to be \$0.99M in 2003/04.

24.	Library Acquisitions	Policy to be reviewed during 1999/2000. Current policy is producing annual increases of just over 4%.	Original assumption retained pending outcome of review to be conducted in 1999/2000.	The assumption currently in the budget model of a 4.2% annual increase in the Library Acquisition Budget will be retained. The policy on the provision of inflation protection for the library's acquisition budget is being reviewed; the algorithm for determining annual changes to the budget is also being examined.	The assumption currently in the budget model of a 4.2% annual increase in the Library Acquisition Budget will be retained pending the outcome of the review of the policy on the provision of inflation protection for the library's acquisition budget and the algorithm for determining annual changes to the budget.		
25.	Priorities Funds	Annual provision of 1.5% in base to provide for both academic and administrative priorities in years 2000/1 to 2003/4.	The APF will be augmented by \$5.0M in the years 2000/1 to 2003/4 as revenue from the 1998/99 and 1999/2000 tuition fee increases reaches steady state.	Some \$10.3M of additional revenue from tuition fee increases in excess of the percentage increase for the regulated programs will be available in the APF for program improvement and quality enhancement. Analysis of the Business Plans for new student residences indicate that, in the absence of a significant down-payment, a subsidy will be required to maintain room rates at an affordable level. \$1.7M will be added to the APF to provide assistance to Colleges undertaking new residence construction.	Some \$1.8M of additional revenue from tuition fee increases in excess of the percentage increase for the regulated programs will be available in the APF for program improvement and quality enhancement.	Some \$2.2M of additional revenue from tuition fee increases in excess of 5% will be available in the APF in 2002-03 and a further \$3.8M in 2003-04 for program improvement and quality enhancement. 0.5M in base is allocated to the Administrative Priorities Fund in each of 2002-04 and 2003-04.	\$0.5M in base will be made available to provide increased resources to enable the Academic Services to meet the increased demand resulting from enrolment expansion. An additional \$0.2M in base will be made available to provide increased resources to enable the Administrative Services to meet the increased demand resulting from enrolment expansion.
25a.	Provost's Contingency Fund			Construction.			A base component of \$350,000 renewable each year will be established in 2003-04. The existing contingency fund, which can be used OTO each year, will be reduced by \$350,000.

26.	Transitional Funds	Requirement for transitional funding will diminish with the revised funding approach to benefit costs. Funding will be provided through transfer of available pension current service cost funds and the LTD rebate.		The balance of funds available from the current service pension cost provision in the operating budget for transfer to the Transition Funds in 2000/01 is \$3.6M, and in 20001/02 is \$3.8M.			
27.	Infrastructure Funds	Funding will be provided through transfer of available pension current service cost funds and the LTD rebate. Majority of funds will be deployed to meet matching requirements of Federal and Provincial deferred maintenance and research infrastructure funding programs and other critical deferred maintenance needs. Any positive variance against budget to be added to the UIIF annually.	The review of the strategy on funding the University pension plans has determined that the funds available for transfer to the UIIF in 1999-2000, 2000-01 and 2001-02 can be increased by a total of \$13.6M, see note 20.	The balance of funds available from the current service pension cost provision in the operating budget for transfer to the UIIF in 2000/01 is \$14.1M, in 20001/02 is \$14.8M and in 2003/04 is \$16.3M. All future Capital Project budgets will identify 1% of the project cost to be used for adjacent open space improvements. In addition, funding at a level of 2% of project cost will be identified to be used to further the Open Space Plan. For Academic Capital Projects the allocation will be from the UIIF or the Capital Renewal Fund.	The balance of funds available from the current service pension cost provision in the operating budget for transfer to the UIIF is \$16.8M in 2001/02 and \$21.2M in 2003/04. In addition, \$30M is being borrowed with a 20 year term and added to the UIIF budget to meet current capital commitments within the planning period. Principal and interest payments will be a charge to the University operating budget.	A further \$30M is being borrowed in 2002-03 and \$30M in 2003-04, each with a 25 year term, for the UIIF budget to meet current capital commitments within the planning period. Principal and interest payments will be a charge to the University operating budget.	A separate Capital Budget is proposed which will encompass construction for academic and administrative divisions, residences, parking garages, student activities and the matching funds that are such an important part of growing our endowment capital infrastructure. The portion of the debt service cost to be funded from the operating budget in 2003-04 is estimated at \$11.7M including all debt service costs currently included in the operating budget.
28.	Performance Based Funding						\$1.25M will be allocated to provide performance based funding in 2003-04. This fund will be used to assist a limited number of divisions who are in transition. The provision of funding will be dependent on attainment of performance objectives developed by agreement with the Provost

	<	Base		>		
		Price Inflation				Total
	2002-03	& Formula	•			2003-2004
ļ	BUDGET	Adjustments	Other	Total	O.T.O	BUDGET
1. CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS TO AFFILIATED INSTITUTIONS						
Federated Colleges block grant (incl PIMS)	6,670,924	131,457		131,457	131,457	6,933,838
TST Theology Grant	1,921,629	(60,654)		(60,654)		1,860,975
Transfer Payments re Joint Programs with Colleges	441,047	9,079	2,781,368	2,790,447		3,231,494
TOTAL-CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS TO AFFILIATED INSTITUTIONS	9,033,600	79,882	2,781,368	2,861,250	131,457	12,026,307
2. OTHER CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS						
Lease Cost of Off-Campus Space	957,281	30,656		30,656		987,937
TOTAL- OTHER CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS	957,281	30,656	-	30,656	-	987,937

^{*} SEE COPC CONTINGENCY

C.O.P.C. LIST

<----->

	2002-03 BUDGET	Price Inflation & Formula Adjustments	Other	Total	O.T.O	Total 2003-2004 BUDGET
3. INSTITUTIONAL STATUTORY COMMITMENTS						
Membership fees :						
-A.U.C.C.	163,797	5,307		5,307		169,104
-COU Subscriptions	631,684	6,317		6,317		638,001
-Total Other Membership	66,860	17,339		17,339		84,199
Subtotal- Membership Fees	862,341	28,963	-	28,963		891,304
Negotiating Expenses (a) UTFA	75,037	-	-	-		75,037
Negotiating Expenses (b) USWA	15,000			-	-	15,000
Negotiating Expenses (c) TA	19,694	-		-		19,694
TA/ TATP		-	50,000	50,000		50,000
Job Accommodation Fund/ Program	25,000		-	-		25,000
Legal Fees *	2,368,222		423,778	423,778		2,792,000
Pension Consulting	50,000		-	-		50,000
Radioactive & Chemical Waste Disposal *	370,000		100,000	100,000		470,000
Biomedical Waste Disposal (F&S)	-		41,712	41,712		41,712
Environmental Health & Safety Fund			38,000	38,000	10,000	48,000
Drinking Water Regulation Testing - F&S.	5,000	-		-	-	5,000
Environmental Health & Safety - Certification Training & transfer from USWA	32,000		-	-		32,000
TOTAL- INSTITUTIONAL STATUTORY COMMITMENTS	3,822,294	28,963	653,490	682,453	10,000	4,514,747

^{*} SEE COPC CONTINGENCY

	<	Base	?	<>			
		Price Inflation					
	2002-03	& Formula				2003-2004	
	BUDGET	Adjustments	Other	Total	0.T.O	BUDGET	
4. UTILITIES							
Utilities: St George	26,580,397	745,516	(1,786,781)	(1,041,265)		25,539,132	
Energy Management Fund	250,000		(50,000)	(50,000)		200,000	
Relamping Project	(338,467)		175,000	175,000		(163,467)	
Co-Generation Savings	(1,230,000)	1	937,520	937,520		(292,480)	
Flue Heat Recovery Project Sub total - St George Utilities	173,561 25,435,491	745,516	218,906 (505,355)	218,906 240,161		392,467 25,675,652	
Sub total - St George Offillies	25,455,491	745,510	(505,555)	240, 101	-	25,075,052	
Utilities : Scarborough	2,183,659	316,513		316,513		2,500,172	
Utilities : Erindale	2,695,411	(158,274)	-	(158,274)		2,537,137	
TOTAL - UTILITIES	30,314,561	903,755	(505,355)	398,400	_	30,712,961	
5. ADDITIONAL COST OF NEW SPACE							
Southwest Chiller Plant	-			-		-	
Gerstein Addition - 3/12's	39,330		33,896	33,896		73,226	
Earth Science Greenhouse - 2/12's	13,035		69,235	69,235		82,270	
Haultain Bldg Conversion	19,363		6,455	6,455		25,818	
Canadiana Building	40,295		35,309	35,309		75,604	
Sid Smith Infill - 4/12's	13,103		13,039	13,039		26,142	
New Daycare Centre (10/12)	-		64,525	64,525	5,000	69,525	
500 University (12/12)	-		519,834	519,834		519,834	
Medical Arts (12/12)	-		45,041	45,041		45,041	
Board of Ed - 155 College (8/12)	-		488,065	488,065		488,065	
Board of Ed - 255 McCaul (8/12)	-		225,587	225,587		225,587	
Board of Ed - 263 McCaul (8/12)	-		105,268	105,268		105,268	
Recovery from Divisions			(800,970)	(800,970)		(800,970)	
TOTAL - ADDITIONAL COST OF NEW SPACE	125,126	-	805,284	805,284	5,000	935,410	

	<	<>					
	2002-03 BUDGET	Price Inflation & Formula Adjustments	Other	Total	0.Т.О	Total 2003-2004 BUDGET	
6. PAID LEAVE COMMITMENTS	20302.	7 tajaotinonto	<u> </u>		00		
Administrative leaves :							
- University Administration	_			_	649,710	649,710	
- Federated & Constituent Colleges	_			-	-	-	
Subtotal Administrative Leaves	-	-	-	-	649,710	649,710	
UTFA Released Time	163,040	38,227		38,227		201,267	
USWA Released Time	172,443	-	-	-		172,443	
CUPE 3261 Released Time	20,000	18,403	-	18,403		38,403	
CUPE 1230 Released Time	-	24,388	-	24,388		24,388	
TOTAL- PAID LEAVE COMMITMENTS	355,483	81,018	-	81,018	649,710	1,086,211	

^{*} SEE COPC CONTINGENCY

C.O.P.C. LIST

		<	<>				
		2002-03 BUDGET	Price Inflation & Formula Adjustments	Other	Total	O.T.O	Total 2003-2004 BUDGET
	ACQUISITIONS COMMITMENTS						
Library acqui							
St George	e (excl. binding)						
	-Books *	5,733,139			237,925		5,971,064
	-Periodicals *	8,318,100	345,201		345,201		8,663,301
	-Automation	3,788,138			157,208		3,945,346
		17,839,377	740,334	-	740,334	-	18,579,711
Scarboro	ugh						
	-Books *	1,169,414	48,531		48,531		1,217,945
	-Periodicals *	-	-		-		-
	-Automation	-	-		-		-
		1,169,414	48,531	-	48,531	-	1,217,945
Erindale							
	-Books *	282,200	11,711		11,711		293,911
	-Periodicals *	733,328	30,433		30,433		763,761
	-Automation	204,190	8,474		8,474		212,664
		1,219,718	50,618	-	50,618	-	1,270,336
Law							
	-Books *	133,372			5,535		138,907
	-Periodicals *	533,489	22,140		22,140		555,629
	-Automation	143,514 810,375	5,956 33,631		5,956 33,631		149,470
		610,375	33,031	-	33,031	-	844,006
Graduate	Institutes & Centres	43,713	1,814		1,814		45,527
Audio Visi	ual Library	74,335	3,085		3,085		77,420
Dentistry		5,779	240		240		6,019
Innis Colle	ege	10,042	417		417		10,459
Managem	=	53,495	-		-		53,495
Music		6,781	281		281		7,062
New Colle	ege	18,086	751		751		18,837
Fine Arts	-	40,273	1,671		1,671		41,944
University	College	7,533	313		313		7,846
TOTAL - LIB	RARY ACQUISITIONS	21,298,921	881,685	-	881,685	-	22,180,606

	<>						
	Price Inflation					Total	
	2002-03	& Formula				2003-2004	
	BUDGET	Adjustments	Other	Total	0.T.O	BUDGET	
						1	
8. OTHER POLICY COMMITMENTS	_						
Expenses Funded from Overhead on Contract Research (note 1)	-			-	6,370,086	6,370,086	
Expenses Funded from Overhead on Federal Research Grant	3,652,179	_	307,156	307,156		3,959,335	
Overhead on University Student Assistance	871,960	-		-		871,960	
Insurance *	860,208	-		-		860,208	
Governing Council Elections *	32,760	-		-		32,760	
OCGS Periodic Appraisal Costs	-			-	171,200	171,200	
* SEE COPC CONTINGENCY							
TOTAL- OTHER POLICY COMMITMENTS	5,417,107	-	307,156	307,156	6,541,286	12,265,549	

(Note 1: OTO Budget in 2001-02 \$7,635,480)

9. OPERATING FUND TRANSFER TO CAPITAL

Transfer for Capital Budget debt service costs	6,073,416	-	5,676,584	5,676,584		11,750,000
10. COPC CONTINGENCY	3,691,542	-	179,208	179,208	-	3,870,750
TOTAL - ITEMS 1 TO 10	81,089,331	2,005,959	9,897,735	11.903.694	7,337,453	100,330,478

<	Base)	>		
	Price Inflation				Total
2002-03	& Formula				2003-2004
BUDGET	Adjustments	Other	Total	O.T.O	BUDGET

CONTINGENCY ITEMS	LOW	HIGH	RANGE	BASE	ОТО
Library books (currency fluctuations)	-	4,500,000	4,500,000	4,500,000	
Legal Fees	-	500,000	500,000	500,000	
UTFA Negotiation Expense	-	50,000	50,000	50,000	
Hazardous Waste Disposal Costs	470,000	545,000	75,000	75,000	
Environmental Health & Education Fund	-	25,000	25,000	25,000	
Governing Council Elections	-	11,000	11,000	11,000	
Insurance	-	100,000	100,000	100,000	
			-	-	
			<u>-</u> _		
TOTAL	470,000	5,631,000	5,161,000	- 5,161,000	-
CONTINGENCY COVERAGE OF RISK 2003-04 @ 75%			3,870,750	3,870,750	-