

### UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

Office of the Vice-Provost, Planning and Budget

27 King's College Circle, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 1A1 Tel: (416) 978-7116 Fax: (416) 978-1029 E-Mail: d.mccammond@utoronto.ca

### **MEMORANDUM**

March 25, 2002

To: Planning and Budget Committee

From: Adel Sedra, Vice President and Provost

### **Item Identification**

Budget Report 2002/03.

### Sponsor

Adel Sedra, Vice-President and Provost.

### Jurisdictional Information

The Committee recommends approval of guidelines for the development of the University's Operating Budget for the planning period and recommends approval of the annual budget.

### **Background**

The Budget Report serves two purposes. First it updates, utilizing the most current information available, the assumptions in the *Long Range Budget Guidelines and Projections, 1998-2004*. Second, it presents for approval the budget for each division including those budgets determined pursuant to Contractual Obligations and Policy Commitments. It also indicates the funding available in the Operating Budget Special Funds.

### **Highlights**

The budget for 2002-03 is essentially a temporizing budget which ensures that the University will exit the current six-year planning period in compliance with the budget guidelines: that is to say, that the annual budget will be in balance by 2003-04 and that the accumulated deficit will be within policy limits. The Budget Report for 2001-02 assigned one-time-only, rather than base, budget reductions of approximately three per cent in the hope that our government funding situation would improve, but unfortunately the announcement by the federal government that they would provide indirect cost support for research activity was almost entirely offset by the lack of provision for inflationary costs by the provincial government.

Revenues have increased by \$90.1 million over previous forecasts, while expenditure requirements have grown by \$108.1 million, leaving a \$18 million annual shortfall in 2003-04. If left uncorrected, this would result in an accumulated deficit of \$51 million as of April 30, 2004. In order to eliminate this shortfall and to contain the accumulated deficit to within policy limits requires a base budget reduction of 2.75% in 2002-03 and 1.5% in 2003-04. This strategy has eliminated the requirement for one-time-only clawbacks in each of 2002-03 and 2003-04.

Initiatives signaled in this report include;

- Graduate student aid has been increased by a further \$3.4 million, representing our best estimate of the remaining funding gap through 2003-04.
- Moving the Rotman School onto a form of Responsibility Centre Management, which entails
  transferring all new revenues generated in grants and fees to the School, net of a 9% marginal
  overhead charge.
- The distribution to the Divisions of 25% (\$3.7M in 2002-03) of revenue provided by the Federal budget for the indirect cost of research.

### **Action Sought**

That the proposed Budget Report for 2002-03 be recommended to the Academic Board for approval.

### UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO BUDGET REPORT 2002-2003.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Introduction.		3
2.	FISCAL CONTEXT.		3
3.	UPDATED LONG RA	NGE BUDGET PROJECTIONS THROUGH 2003-04.	4
4.	PROPOSED OPERAT	ING BUDGET FOR 2002-03.	8
5.	Administrative F Administrative T Academic Priori Academic Trans Canada Researcl Enrolment Grow	Transition Fund ties Fund ition Fund n Chairs Fund	9 9 9 10 10 11
6.	GRADUATE STUDEN	т Аір	13
7.	A MODIFIED FORM	OF RCM FOR THE ROTMAN SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT	14
8.	DIVISIONAL BUDGE	T SCHEDULES AND FINANCIAL REPORTS.	16
9.	APPENDICES.		42
	Appendix A	Updated Table of Assumptions from the Long Range Budget Guidelines for Planning and Budgeting, 1998-2004.	
	Appendix B	Contractual Obligations and Policy Commitments (COPC) List.	

### University of Toronto Budget Report, 2002-2003.

### 1. INTRODUCTION.

The Budget Report, 2002-03 serves two purposes. First it updates, utilizing the most current information available, the assumptions in the *Long Range Budget Guidelines and Projections*, 1998-2004 first approved by Governing Council in April 1998. Second, it presents for approval the budget for each division, including those budgets determined pursuant to Contractual Obligations and Policy Commitments. It also indicates the funding available in the Administrative and Academic Transition and Priority Funds, the Enrolment Growth Fund, the Canada Research Chairs Fund and the University Infrastructure Investment Fund.

### 2. FISCAL CONTEXT

As they entered the 1990's the University of Toronto and all other Ontario universities were experiencing a period of relative financial stability and recovery; government grants and tuition were increasing at rates slightly above the general inflation rate, and budget reductions were relatively speaking modest in scale. With the full onset of the economic recession in 1992 through 1994, operating grants were frozen and then reduced through the Social Contract (\$17.3 million) and the Expenditure Control Plan (\$5 million). Tuition fees increased by a range of 8 to 10 per cent annually to partially compensate for the loss of grant revenue. The Ontario Student Assistance Plan was modified by government from a combined grant/loan program to an all-loan program as a cost reduction measure.

In 1995 the new government fulfilled its election promise to further reduce operating grants to universities by \$280 million, a loss of \$53.9 million to the University of Toronto. Again as a partial offset to the loss of grant revenue, government permitted significant increases in tuition fee rates; 20 per cent in 1996-97 and 10 per cent on average in each subsequent year up to and including 1999-2000. Tuition fees were deregulated for international students, and for students in some professional and all graduate programs.

Government operating grants revenue reached a peak at approximately \$400 million in 1992-93, fell to \$339 million in 1997-98 and is projected to rise to \$391M in 2002-03 with the introduction of a number of new funding envelopes targeted to enrolment increases and performance indicators. However during the past decade, the value of the BIU has dropped in absolute terms by some 4.4% and in real terms by considerably more. At the same time, tuition revenue increased from \$100 million in 1992-93 to a projected \$273 million in 2002-03, as a result of tuition fee rate and enrolment increases. Starting in 1996-97 the Government mandated that 10% of the revenue from tuition fee increases be spent on student aid, this was increased to 30% in 1997-98 and subsequent years.

The practical effect of the Government funding policy has been that the university has had to internally absorb a significant portion of cost increases for compensation, library acquisitions and utilities by way of budget reduction. In addition, not only has the provincial government reduced operating grants per student to universities over the decade, they have imposed significant legislated costs at the same time; pay equity costs (\$12 million), the employer health tax (\$8 million), the extension of the retail sales tax (\$3 million). The university's expenditure patterns have also changed significantly over this period: support for student aid has increased dramatically, from \$7.7 million in 1991 to a projected \$92.9 million in 2002-03. In effect the

increase in expenditures on student financial aid is approximately one half of the increase in tuition revenue making the University of Toronto one of the most accessible in the Country. Library acquisition costs have continued to increase sharply throughout the period, from \$9 million in 1991 to a projected \$21.3 million in 2002-03.

In 2000 the Government announced a cap on tuition fee increases for all regulated programs in each of the five years 2000-01 to 2004-05 at 2% per year, not compounded. The tuition fee schedule for 2002-03 that is being brought to the Business Board for approval includes 5% fee increases for new and continuing domestic students in the deregulated programs with the exception of programs in business, dentistry, computer science, engineering, information technology and law where the revenue from larger increases is being used to enhance quality in these programs.

### 3. UPDATED LONG RANGE BUDGET PROJECTIONS THROUGH 2003-04.

Table 1 presents the revised Long-Range Budget Projections, updated to reflect new information and changes in the *Guidelines*' assumptions. The last column shows the variance from the projections contained in the previous Budget Report, i.e. 2001-02. The following are the highlights of the updated projection.

### Revenue

- Government operating grants are forecast to increase by \$33.5 million more than previously budgeted, comprised of enrollment growth funding of \$43.9 million, offset by the removal of the inflation provision for 2001-02 and 2002-03 totaling \$13 million. The model now includes special grants for enrollment expansion in Nursing. In addition there is a \$5.6 million reduction in the Research Performance Fund grant and a \$2 million gain in the Key Performance Indicators grant. The model now includes an assumption for 2002-03 that we will receive relief of \$3.2 million either through a special grant envelope or some relaxation in tuition regulation.
- Tuition revenue is \$29.3 million higher than previously forecast, reflecting increased enrollment. There is also a significant increase in MBA tuition rates.
- It has been announced that the University of Toronto's share of the \$200 million provided in the federal budget for the indirect cost of research will be approximately \$14.6 million, excluding affiliated teaching hospitals, and we assume that it will increase to \$22 million in 2003-04.
- Investment revenue has been reduced to baseline levels of \$13.7 million in 2002-03 and 2003-04, a reduction of \$3.1 million. In addition, investment losses of \$21.8 million have been amortized over a three-year period, and there will be no available investment revenue to transfer to graduate student aid.
- Preliminary estimates of overhead on research contracts and ORDCF suggest an improvement of \$3 million over previously forecast levels (included in "Other Income").

### **Expense**

- Legal Fees are budgeted at approximately \$1.7 million higher than previously forecast.
- Utilities are now forecast to be \$5.4 million higher than previously forecast, due primarily to significantly higher electricity rates expected in the deregulated market.

- The budget includes a new loan of \$30 million for the UIIF, at an annual amortized cost of \$2.8 million, and a further loan of \$30 million in 2003-04.
- Compensation increase costs are budgeted at \$13.8 million higher than previously forecast, arising from an assumption of 3% in each of 2002-03 and 2003-04, replacing the previous assumption of 2 per cent. This assumption is inclusive of any costs associated with benefits plans enhancement or other improvements in total compensation. As is always the case, this is an assumption for modeling purposes only. Actual changes in compensation will be determined through established processes with employee groups.
- The enrollment growth fund, representing revenue-sharing agreements for new grant and fee revenue, is \$34.8 million higher than previously budgeted.
- Graduate student aid has been increased by a further \$3.4 million, representing our best estimate of the remaining funding gap through 2003-04.
- The model assumes that 25% of the \$14.6 million in revenue from the federal budget will flow to divisions on a slip-year basis.
- The model reflects the financial implications of moving the Rotman School onto a form of Responsibility Centre Management, which entails transferring all new revenues generated in grants and fees to the School, net of a 9% marginal overhead charge. This will result in a transfer of \$14.4 million to the School. In future the School will be responsible for meeting costs which were provided centrally, such as compensation increases and financial aid.

### The Net Result

- Revenues have increased by \$90.1 million over previous forecasts, while expenditure requirements have grown by \$108.1 million, leaving a \$18 million annual shortfall in 2003-04. If left uncorrected, this would result in an accumulated deficit of \$51 million as of April 30, 2004.
- In order to eliminate this shortfall and to contain the accumulated deficit to within policy limits requires a base budget reduction of 2.75% in 2002-03 and 1.5% in 2003-04.
- The model has eliminated the requirement for one-time-only clawbacks in each of 2002-03 and 2003-04.

### Budget Strategy: Toward a New Budget Framework 2003 to 2008

The budget for 2002-03 is essentially a temporizing budget which ensures that the University will exit the current six-year planning period in compliance with the budget guidelines: that is to say, that the annual budget will be in balance by 2003-04 and that the accumulated deficit will be within policy limits. The Budget Report for 2001-02 assigned one-time-only budget reductions of approximately three per cent in the hope that our government funding situation would improve, but unfortunately the very welcome and long-anticipated announcement by the federal government that they would provide indirect cost support for research activity was almost entirely offset by the lack of a provision for inflationary costs by the provincial government. In effect, then, the base budget reduction of 2.75% for 2002-03 reflects the fact that improvements we had hoped for in 2001-02 did not materialize.

The new budget framework for 2003-04 through 2007-08 will establish a new set of budget strategies and must necessarily deal with several significant structural issues in the budget such as: the cost of maintaining new space; heavy demands for infrastructure funds; volatile utilities costs; and steadily increasing costs for medical benefits plans.

\$3.6	\$0.6		\$4.2	(\$17.0)	(\$10.4)	(\$5.4)	(\$0.8)	(\$15.4)	Operating Surplus/(Deficit) for the year
\$86.5	\$877.6	\$964.1	\$964.1	\$904.3	\$823.2	\$758.3	\$708.2	\$665.6	Operating Expenditure Budget For the year
(\$0.5)	(\$26.2)		(\$7.4)	(\$6.7)	(\$6.3)	(\$6.1)			Reallocation Requirement
(\$7.0)	(\$14.6)	(\$21.6)	(\$8.0)	(\$13.6)					New Reduction Requirement
	(\$34.2)	(\$34.2)				(\$0.4)	(\$16.9)	(\$16.9)	Budget Reductions Remaining from Previous Plan
(\$0.0)	\$5.0	\$5.0	\$0.7	\$0.7	\$0.7	\$1.7	\$0.8	\$0.3	Allocation of Revenue to OISE/UT
\$0.0	\$6.1	\$6.1				\$1.7	\$2.5	\$1.9	Allocation to Scarborough Under RCM
\$14.4		\$14.4	\$5.0	\$9.4					Allocation to Rotman Under RCM, including MBA Fee Increases
	\$9.9	\$9.9			\$9.9				Campaign Costs
\$1.1	\$6.9	\$8.0	\$1.5	\$1.4	\$1.8	\$1.8	\$0.6	\$0.9	Administrative Priorities
\$3.7		\$3.7		\$3.7					Allocation to Divisions from Federal Indirect Cost Recoveries
\$0.6	\$4.6	\$5.2	\$0.8	\$1.5	\$1.0	\$1.9			Other
\$0.1	\$19.0	\$19.1	\$3.8	\$1.6	\$2.8	\$4.0	\$2.6	\$4.4	Quality Enhancement Funds from Tuition Revenue
\$0.4	\$34.5	\$34.9		\$12.3	\$5.5	\$5.3	\$5.9	\$5.9	Funds Available through Reallocation
									Academic Priorities Funding (APF)
	(\$9.4)	(\$9.4)	(\$2.6)	(\$2.2)	(\$2.4)	(\$2.2)			Salary Budget Transfers to Canada Research Chairs Furd
\$1.6	\$34.2	\$35.8	\$10.1	\$9.1	\$3.8	\$7.9			Canada Research Chair Fund (CRCF)
\$3.4	\$9.4	\$12.8	\$2.8	\$5.1	\$2.2	\$2.8			New Graduate Student Aid Funds
\$0.9	\$21.8	\$22.7	\$3.2	\$2.6	\$2.6	\$1.3	\$7.9	\$5.1	Student Aid Reinvestment
\$34.8	\$18.2	\$53.0	\$17.6	\$20.0	\$6.7	\$3.5	\$5.2	(\$0.0)	Enrolment Growth Fund
\$0.0	(\$16.3)	(\$16.3)	(\$3.4)	(\$3.0)	(\$2.6)	(\$2.0)	(\$2.6)	(\$2.8)	Compensation Savings Due to Faculty Retirements
\$13.8	\$97.5	\$111.3	\$24.8	\$23.7	\$16.6	\$20.5	\$13.6	\$12.1	Cost of Compensation Settlements
\$0.9	\$1.1	\$2.0	(\$1.0)	\$1.2	\$1.7	(\$1.1)	\$2.4	(\$1.2)	OTO Changes in Contractual Obligations and Policy Commitments
\$12.8	\$19.0	\$31.8	\$5.9	\$8.6	\$9.1	\$2.0	\$4.1	\$2.1	Contractual Obligations and Policy Commitments
\$5.5	\$19.5	\$25.0		\$5.5	\$2.3	\$4.1	\$13.1		Expenditures Funded by Divisional Income
	\$32.7	\$32.7	\$6.2	\$0.5	\$1.4	\$3.4	\$3.5	\$14.7	Expenditures Funded by Endowment for Chairs and Student Aid
	<b>)</b>		<u>;</u>		•	• •	•		Changes in Operating Expenditures, Year-Over-Year:
\$0.0	\$639.0	\$639.0	\$904.3	\$823.2	\$758.3	\$708.2	\$665.6	\$639.0	Operating Expenditures, Beginning of Budget Process for the Year
									Projection of Operating Expenditures
\$9U.1	\$6/6.2	\$300.3	5.00E	\$807.3	7.718\$	6.7c./¢	\$.707.5	2.000	lotal Operating Revenue
80.0	- CT	\$ 100.00	4109.0	90000	\$104.1	\$101.0	1.66	0.400	Divisional income
e € n ! n -	\$104.1	\$ 00 E	#100 G	21006	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	# 101 o	\$07.7 2.02	0 4 6	Chief income
\$37 7	\$10.8	# 6 5 5 7	# S C	£ 00.7	7 C	9 6	* · · ·	9 6	Other Income
(\$3.1)	\$16.8	\$13.7	\$13.7	\$13.7	\$ 00.0	\$127	\$11.8	\$10 1	Investment Income
\$0.2	\$7.4	\$7.6	\$7.6	\$7.3	<del>\$</del>				Stewardship and Investment Management Fees
\$22.0		\$22.0	\$22.0	\$14.6					Indirect Cost Recovery on Federal Research Grants
	\$29.3	\$29.3	\$29.3	\$21.0	\$14.3	\$6.8			Canada Research Chairs
									Revenue from a New Endowment for Graduate Student Aid
	\$40.8	\$40.8	\$40.8	\$34.6	\$34.1	\$29.7	\$26.3	\$23.5	Endowment Revenue for Chairs and Student Aid
\$62.8	\$660.1	\$722.9	\$722.9	\$663.8	\$611.3	\$582.0	\$551.5	\$513.6	Total Grants plus Fees
\$29.3	\$269.4	\$298.6	\$298.6	\$272.6	\$237.5	\$218.8	\$205.3	\$177.5	Tuition Fees
\$33.5	\$390.7	\$424.2	\$424.2	\$391.2	\$373.8	\$363.2	\$346.2	\$336.2	Provincial Operating Grants
Variance 1	Total	Change	2003-04	2002-03	2001-02	2000-01	1999-00	1998-99	Projection of Operating Revenue
•	Six Year	Total							
	Previous	Six Year							Table 1: Long Range Budget Projection (\$M)

<sup>(\$15.4) (\$15.4</sup> 

Table 1 continued: Long Range Budget Projection (\$M)

	1998-99	1999-00 2000-01	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Forecast		
Planned Annual Operating Surplus/(Deficit)	(\$15.1)	(\$0.8)	(\$5.4)	(\$10.4)	(\$17.0)	\$4.2
Current Service Pension Savings Available for Reallocation		\$16.8	\$17.7	\$18.6	\$19.8	\$21.2
	(\$15.1)	\$16.0	\$12.3	\$8.2	\$2.8	\$25.4
Transfer to Academic and AdministrativeTransitional funds		(\$2.5)	(\$3.6)	(\$1.8)		
Matching Fund Requirements					\$0.0	(\$14.0)
Amortization of Investment Losses				(\$9.0)	(\$7.2)	(\$5.6)
Transfer to Graduate Aid Endowment				(\$3.0)		
Transfer to Infrastructure Investment Fund		(\$14.3)	(\$14.1)	(\$14.8)		\$0.0
	(\$15.1)	(\$0.8)	(\$5.4)	(\$20.4)	(\$4.4)	\$5.8
One Time Only Deficit Control Measures	\$2.2	\$1.2	\$2.4	\$13.5	\$0.0	\$0.0
Budgeted Surplus/(Deficit) for the Year	(\$12.9)	\$0.4	(\$3.0)	(\$6.9)	(\$4.4)	\$5.8
Adjustment of Budget to Forecast Actuals	\$5.2	\$0.7	(\$2.4)	\$1.7		
Accumulated Surplus/(Deficit), Beginning of Year	\$1.4	(\$6.3)	(\$5.3)	(\$10.7)	(\$16.0)	(\$20.3)
Accumulated Surplus/(Deficit), End of Year	(\$6.3)	(\$5.3)	(\$10.7)	(\$16.0)	(\$20.3)	(\$14.6)
Maximum Deficit Permissable by Policy	(\$9.4)	(\$9.4) (\$10.1) (\$11.3)	(\$11.3)	(\$12.2)		(\$13.3) (\$14.5)

### 4. PROPOSED OPERATING BUDGET FOR 2002-03

		Changes from
2001-2002	2002-2003	2001-2002
Budget	Budget	Incr (Decr)
\$	\$	\$
373,800,450	391,231,603	17,431,153
237,471,489	272,599,000	35,127,511
611,271,939	663,830,603	52,558,664
34,100,000	34,600,000	500,000
14,250,000	21,000,000	6,750,000
	14,600,000	14,600,000
6,900,000	7,300,000	400,000
20,401,000	13,700,000	(6,701,000)
21,702,860	22,690,175	987,315
	777,720,778	69,094,979
104,125,159	109,600,000	5,474,841
812,750,958	887,320,778	74,569,820
3,160,500	3,304,350	143,850
815,911,458	890,625,128	74,713,670
522,770,513	572,544,706	49,774,193
		3,148,132
	63,064,115	2,405,627
75,576,115	83,661,578	8,085,463
13,109,608	13,915,137	805,529
34,036,146	38,230,357	4,194,211
29,590,952		6,272,957
		6,313,408
823,296,710	904,296,230	80,999,520
3,160,500	3,304,350	143,850
826,457,210	907,600,580	81,143,370
(10,545,752)	(16,975,452)	(6,429,700)
	Budget \$ 373,800,450 237,471,489 611,271,939 34,100,000 14,250,000 6,900,000 20,401,000 21,702,860 708,625,799 104,125,159 812,750,958 3,160,500 815,911,458  522,770,513 57,113,787 60,658,488 75,576,115 13,109,608 34,036,146 29,590,952 30,441,101 823,296,710 3,160,500 826,457,210	Budget         Budget           \$         \$           373,800,450         391,231,603           237,471,489         272,599,000           611,271,939         663,830,603           34,100,000         34,600,000           14,250,000         21,000,000           6,900,000         7,300,000           20,401,000         13,700,000           21,702,860         22,690,175           708,625,799         777,720,778           104,125,159         109,600,000           812,750,958         887,320,778           3,160,500         3,304,350           815,911,458         890,625,128           522,770,513         572,544,706           57,113,787         60,261,919           60,658,488         63,064,115           75,576,115         83,661,578           13,109,608         13,915,137           34,036,146         38,230,357           29,590,952         35,863,909           30,441,101         36,754,509           823,296,710         904,296,230           3,160,500         3,304,350           826,457,210         907,600,580

<sup>\*</sup> Increase in divisional income results in an offsetting increase in divisional expenditure budgets \*\* Municipal Taxes income and expense are offsetting

### 5. THE OPERATING BUDGET SPECIAL FUNDS.

The operating budget special funds receive base or one time only (OTO) transfers from operating revenues. The Administration brings forward divisional allocations from these funds to the Planning and Budget Committee for approval. Allocations from the Academic and Administrative Priorities and Transition Funds and the Canada Research Chairs Fund are made in response to the Planning Process. Allocations from the Enrolment Growth Fund reflect divisions' enrolment plans and those from the University Infrastructure Investment Fund are in support of approved Capital Projects, renovations and other infrastructure projects.

### **Administrative Priorities Fund**

The Administrative Priorities Fund will have zero carry-forward at year-end 2001-02 and will receive base budget transfers totaling \$2.9M over the remainder of the planning period, \$1.9M as part of the 1.5% reallocation requirement.

Administrative Priorities Fund (\$Million)

	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Balance at beginning of year	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$1.4
Transfer from Operating Fund	\$1.8	\$1.4	\$1.5
Allocations	(\$1.8)		
Balance at end of year	\$0.0	\$1.4	\$2.9

### **Administrative Transition Fund**

The Long-Range Guidelines for Planning and Budgeting provided for transfers to the Transition Funds in years 2000-01 and 2001-02. The portion transferred to the Administrative Transition Fund was directed towards reorganization costs, the development of existing systems, and the introduction of new systems that will enhance the delivery of administrative services.

It is important to note that the Transition Funds are one-time-only, sinking funds and do not provide base budget support.

**Administrative Transition Fund (\$Million)** 

	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Balance at beginning of year	\$0.3	\$0.5	\$0.0
Transfer from Operating Fund	\$1.8	\$0.0	\$0.0
Allocations	(\$1.6)	(\$0.5)	
Balance at end of year	\$0.5	\$0.0	\$0.0

### The Academic Priorities Fund

The Academic Priorities Fund (APF) is allocated in support of divisional plans to sustain and enhance program quality and to provide for new initiatives emerging from divisional planning processes. There are two principal sources of funding for the APF indicated in the *Long-Range Guidelines for Planning and Budgeting*, 1998-2004;

- a reallocation of 1.5% of the divisions operating budget,
- 65% of the revenue from tuition fee increases greater than increases for the regulated programs, net of the 30% for student aid.

The table below shows the current status of the fund. The initial balance for 2001-02 reflects allocations approved subsequent to preparation of the Budget Report for 2001-02 and were not reflected in the 2000-01 year end balance in that report. The timing of the transfers to the APF from the operating budget has been accelerated to reflect the fact that the Divisions are hiring new faculty at a faster rate than originally planned in order to deal with increased enrolment

**Academic Priorities Fund (\$Million)** 

	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Balance at Beginning of Year	(\$17.9)	(\$16.3)	(\$2.1)
Transfer from Operating Fund			
Reallocation Requirement	\$5.5	\$12.3	
Quality Enhancement from Tuition Revenue	\$2.8	\$1.6	\$3.8
Other	\$1.0	\$1.5	\$0.8
Allocations	(\$7.7)	(\$1.2)	(\$0.5)
Balance at End of Year	(\$16.3)	(\$2.1)	\$2.0

### The Academic Transitional Fund

The Academic Transitional Fund is a sinking fund that can be used to support space reorganization costs that result from restructuring and initiatives that will help increase divisional income, for example, the cost of the Development Campaign infrastructure. These funds may also be directed towards the development of existing systems and the introduction of new systems that will enhance the delivery of academic services. The following table reflects the revised distribution of current pension service cost savings in 2001-02.

**Academic Transition Fund (\$Million)** 

Addacting transition a	(4	·/	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Balance at Beginning of Year	\$1.4	\$0.3	\$0.3
Transfer from Operating Fund			
Allocations	(\$1.1)		
Balance at End of Year	\$0.3	\$0.3	\$0.3

### Canada Research Chairs Fund

The funding from the Canada Research Chairs Program net of a component for central indirect costs, together with funds released from the salary budget, flows to the CRCF. This program is described in detail in the discussion paper, A Framework for Allocating Canada Research Chairs at the University of Toronto. The initial balance for 2001-02 reflects an allocation of \$3.27M approved for the faculty of Medicine at the April 17<sup>th</sup>, 2001 meeting which was not reflected in the 2000-01 year end balance in the Budget Report for 2001-02.

Canada Research Chairs Fund (\$Million)

		/	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Balance at Beginning of Year	\$1.2	\$7.0	\$16.1
Transfer from Operating Fund	\$8.8	\$9.1	\$10.1
Allocations	(\$3.0)		
Balance at End of Year	\$7.0	\$16.1	\$26.2

### **Enrollment Growth Fund**

A portion of the Tuition Fee and Government Operating Grant revenue associated with new program plans and approved enrolment growth, including that resulting from the double cohort and increased applicant pool, flows in accordance with the *Long-Range Budget Guidelines*, to the Enrolment Growth Fund for subsequent allocation to the respective academic divisions as enrolment targets are achieved. Funding for permanent expansion flows as OTO in year and as base the following year.

**Enrolment Growth Fund (\$Million)** 

	200	1-02	2002	2-03	2003	3-04
	Base	ОТО	Base	ОТО	Base	ОТО
Carry-Forward	\$6.7	\$0.9	\$8.9	\$4.4	\$18.4	\$20.3
Base Transfer from Operating	\$6.7		\$20.0		\$17.6	
OTO Transfer (Variance Report)		\$6.9				
Allocations to Divisions	(\$4.6)	(\$12.3)	(\$10.5)			
OTO Transfer from unspent base		\$8.9				
Unallocated Balance	\$8.9	\$4.4	\$18.4	\$4.4	\$36.0	\$20.3

### **University Infrastructure Investment Fund**

The University Infrastructure Investment Fund (UIIF) had a \$40M negative balance of allocated funds entering 2001-2002 but not a negative cash flow since the funding is not needed at the point of approval of the allocation. A total of \$60M of additional funds will be available to the UIIF in 2002-03 and 2003-04 from loans financed from the operating budget. A total of \$10.2M of OTO allocations for infrastructure items approved in response to *Raising our Sights* Plans that were made from the Academic Priorities Fund are being transferred to the UIIF. There is an unallocated balance of \$9.4M for the remaining two years in the planning period.

University Infrastructure Investment Fund (\$Million)

Oniversity initiastructure in	TOOLINGIIC I	ana (viiiii	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Balance at beginning of year	(\$40.2)	(\$40.4)	(\$20.6)
Transfer from Operating Fund	\$14.8	\$0.0	\$0.0
Debt, serviced by Operating Budget	\$30.0	\$30.0	\$30.0
ROS infrastructure items from APF		(\$10.2)	
Allocations net of recoveries	(\$45.1)	\$0.0	\$0.0
Balance at end of year	(\$40.4)	(\$20.6)	\$9.4

### University Infrastructure Investment Fund

		Balance
Balance as of April 30, 1999		\$15,274
Transfers to UIIF as approved in the Long Range Budget Guidelines		
May 1, 1999 net of contribution to UT Scarborough under RCM	\$13,436,280	\$13,451,554
May 1, 2000 net of contribution to UT Scarborough under RCM	\$13,248,360	\$26,699,914
May 1, 2001	\$14,800,000	\$41,499,914
May 1, 2002	\$0	\$41,499,914
May 1, 2003	\$0	\$41,499,914
Funding included in 2001-02 Budget Report (Loan serviced from Operating Budget)	\$30,000,000	\$71,499,914
Funding for May 1, 2002 included in 2002-03 Budget Report (Loan serviced from Op Budget)	\$30,000,000	\$101,499,914
Funding for May 1, 2003 included in 2002-03 Budget Report (Loan serviced from Op Budget)	\$30,000,000	\$131,499,914
Allocations:		\$131,499,914
Total allocations for 1999 and beyond approved by P&B prior to Oct. 1999	(\$9,732,196)	\$121,767,718
St Michael's College / Faculty of A & Sc. (approved by P&B Oct. 19, 1999)	(\$875,000)	\$120,892,718
Dentistry Student Laboratory (approved by P&B Oct. 19, 1999)	(\$99,000)	\$120,793,718
ATRF Research Projects (approved by P&B Oct. 19, 1999)	(\$2,673,000)	\$118,120,718
CIT, Quality Improvement (approved by P&B Oct. 19, 1999)	(\$10,000,000)	\$108,120,718
Flavell House, Faculty of Law (approved by P&B Dec 14, 1999)	(\$600,000)	\$107,520,718
Gerstein Science Information Centre (approved by P&B Dec 14, 1999)	(\$7,000,000)	\$100,520,718
King's College Road/Circle Precinct, Phase 2 (approved by P&B March 7, 2000)	(\$200,000)	\$100,320,718
King's College Road/Circle Precinct, Phase 1 (approved by P&B March 7, 2000)	(\$2,500,000)	\$97,820,718
FALD Phase 3 (approved by P&B October 18, 2000)	(\$345,000)	\$97,475,718
BCIT shelled in 6th floor (approved by P&B October 18, 2000)	(\$5,197,000)	\$92,278,718
OISE/UT/UTS Renovations, 371 Bloor St W (approved by P&B October 18, 2000)	(\$3,500,000) (\$2,800,000)	\$88,778,718 \$85,978,718
CCBR (approved by P&B December 12, 2000) Slowpoke cost overrun (within 10%, approved by MGF)	(\$210,000)	\$85,768,718
Purchase of Canadiana Building (approved by Business Board March 27, 2000)	(\$1,570,000)	\$84,198,718
Purchase of 500 University Ave. (approved by Business Board January 15, 2001)	(\$15,817,668)	\$68,381,050
Sidney Smith Hall infill (approved by P&B February 27, 2001)	(\$1,289,000)	\$67,092,050
BCIT/Koffler connection (approved by P&B March 13, 2001)	(\$750,000)	\$66,342,050
Nursing Building renovations (approved by P&B March 20, 2001)	(\$354,000)	\$65,988,050
(a) Basement of Woodsworth Residence, Shelled in (approved by P&B March 20, 2001)	(\$1,360,000)	\$64,628,050
Childcare Facilities, St George Campus (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)	(\$4,300,000)	\$60,328,050
Scarborough commitments under RCM (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)	(\$1,153,550)	\$59,174,500
Department of Medicine renovations at UHN (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)	(\$400,000)	\$58,774,500
(b) Renovation of 500 University Ave. (design) (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)	(\$700,000)	\$58,074,500
School of CCIT at Mississauga (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)	(\$2,500,000)	\$55,574,500
Gerstein Cost over-run (\$2.6M Donor provides 40%) (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)	(\$1,830,000)	\$53,744,500
Magellan Project (Funding may come from CFI) (approved by P&B May 25, 2001)	(\$2,070,000)	\$51,674,500
Growth Facility for Plant Research (approved by P&B June 27, 2001)	(\$374,000)	\$51,300,500
Projects (a) and (b) funded from Facilities Renewal Funding (approved August 8, 2001)	\$2,060,000	\$53,360,500
Pharmacy Building (approved by P&B Nov 13, 2001)	(\$7,200,000)	\$46,160,500
Renovation of 500 University Ave. (to be repayed) (approved by P&B Nov 13, 2001)	(\$10,423,400)	\$35,737,100
Economics Building expansion and renovation (approved by P&B Nov 13, 2001) Student Centre at UTSc (approved by P&B Nov 13, 2001)	(\$980,000) (\$4.723.695)	\$34,757,100 \$30,033,405
Accessibility Examination Centre, Robarts Library (approved by P&B Jan 9, 2002)	(\$225,000)	\$29,808,405
Vertical Expansion of Soil Storage Facility (approved by P&B Jan 9, 2002)	(\$718,323)	\$29,090,082
Upgrade 56 Spadina Ave., (approved by P&B Jan 9, 2002)	(\$575,000)	\$28,515,082
TDSB Properties (considered by Academic Board Jan 24, 2001)	(\$17,000,000)	\$11,515,082
Sidney Smith Hall infill revision (considered by P&B March 19, 2002)	(\$711,000)	\$10,804,082
RCAT facility (considered by P&B March 19, 2002)	(\$460,000)	\$10,344,082
Governing Council and board Room renovations (considered by P&B March 19, 2002)	(\$1,593,000)	\$8,751,082
St Michael's College / A & Sc. Alumni Hall (considered by P&B March 19, 2002) Transfer of ROS infrastructure items from APF to UIIF	(\$300,000) (\$10,200,000)	
Hansier of Fios infrastructure remis hom AFF to om	(\$10,200,000)	(\$1,748,918
Recoveries	\$11,123,400	\$9,374,482
	-	

### 6. GRADUATE STUDENT AID

In May 2000 the Provost received the report and recommendations of the Task Force on Graduate Student Financial Support – the Orchard Task Force. The key recommendation of the Task Force, which received full endorsement by the Provost, was the establishment of a guaranteed minimum level of support for doctoral stream students in the first year of their Masters program and for the first four years of study in the PhD program. The minimum level of support was initially established at \$12,000 plus tuition and fees, although individual faculties and departments are of course encouraged to improve upon this level, depending upon the availability of resources. The Task Force estimated a shortfall of \$21.3 million in available funding to meet this minimum requirement, and recommended that the shortfall be eliminated by September 2005.

Since May 2000 there has been rapid progress in closing the gap. The Canada Research Chairs program has enabled chairholders to provide additional support to doctoral stream students. In May 2000 the provincial government, in response to the University's advocacy efforts, announced an effective doubling of the Ontario Graduate Scholarships program in partnership with the University's fundraising efforts. The federal granting councils have expanded and will continue to expand their fellowships programs. And perhaps most importantly, the Budget Report for 2002-03 will commit \$12.8 million in new graduate student support, an increase of \$3.4 million over previously committed levels.

The Task Force called for an implementation and monitoring committee to assess the University's progress in meeting the guaranteed levels of support, and this committee has met on a regular basis to review data on levels of support. Individual faculties have presented their plans for elimination of the shortfall. We now believe that the shortfall will have been eliminated in all divisions by September 2003, two years ahead of schedule. Indeed, several divisions have already eliminated the shortfall and have begun to raise the minimum level of support beyond the initially suggested level of \$12,000 plus tuition and fees.

The following table sets out the allocation of the \$12.8 million in additional funds to graduate divisions over the next two years. The allocations are based upon best estimates of the funding shortfall in each graduate division based upon data collected in respect of the 2000-01 academic year. In the event that there are anomalies or further gaps not correctly identified by the data analysis, there remains a contingency available to the Vice-Provost, Students to correct the remaining shortfall in 2003-04. In addition, the contingency can be deployed to offset the effect of higher tuition and ancillary fees, or to improve the overall value of the minimum guarantee, as envisioned by the Orchard Task Force.

Over and above the allocation of \$12.8 million in operating budget support, this year there has been created an endowment of \$13 million for doctoral student support which will yield approximately \$650,000 annually beginning in 2003-04. The endowment has been created through the allocation of unrestricted donations of \$6.5 million matched by an equal amount from the provincial OSOTF program.

A great deal has been accomplished in a short period of time. The University must however ensure that the appropriate level of support for graduate students does not erode over time. To this end, the Vice-Provost, Students will provide an annual report to the Governing Council on the status of funding packages for doctoral stream students.

### **GRADUATE STUDENT AID (\$Million)**

	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Previous Years Balance (Base)	\$0.0	\$2.7	\$4.7	\$2.5
Incremental Increase (Base)	\$2.7	\$2.2	\$5.1	\$2.8
Balance at beginning of year (Base)	\$2.7	\$4.9	\$9.8	\$5.3
Transfer from Operating Fund (OTO):				
- Doctoral Stream Packages	(\$2.7)	(\$2.1)		
- Ontario Graduate Scholarships		(\$2.3)	(\$2.3)	
Transfer from Operating Fund (Base):				
2001-02:				
Music		(\$0.2)		
2002-03:				
Arts & Science @ 100%			(\$3.1)	
SGS Graduate Institutes & Centres @ 100%			(\$0.7)	
Single Department Faculties @ 100%			(\$1.1)	
OISE/UT @ 50%			(\$0.8)	
Applied Science & Engineering @ 50%			(\$0.8)	
Medicine @ 50%			(\$0.8)	
Base Divisional Transfers 2002-03			(\$7.3)	
2003-04:			,	
OISE/UT @ 50%				(\$0.8)
Applied Science & Engineering @ 50%				(\$0.8)
Medicine @ 50%				(\$0.8)
Base Divisional Transfers 2003-04				(\$2.4)
Balance at end of year (Base)	\$2.7	\$4.7	\$2.5	\$2.9
Available for distribution by the Vice Provost, Students	\$0.0	\$0.3	\$0.2	\$2.9
Available for distribution - Cumulative	\$0.0	\$0.3	\$0.5	\$3.4

### 7. A Modified Form of Responsibility Centre Management for the Rotman School of Management

The Budget Report 2002-03 will establish a simple and easily understood set of policies and procedures for a new budget model for the Rotman School, a model which provides for a more direct set of incentives for revenue enhancement by the School, and which reinforces the need for the School to establish and maintain cost structures which can be sustained by those revenues.

The University has learned important lessons from its previous experiments with responsibility centre management, and these lessons must be brought to bear upon a new and analogous relationship with the Rotman School. First, the day-to-day operating protocols must be simple, even if the simplicity results in some instances in a form of rough justice. The School and the central administration must avoid a model that invites constant detailed interpretation of the protocols, especially over matters of little material significance. Secondly, it is important that a greater degree of financial independence for the School does not lead to isolation from the rest of the University in terms of financial accountability or academic planning.

### (a) Starting Point

It is recommended that as a starting point the Rotman School be given its existing base budget for 2001-02, and that this amount be adjusted for the salary increase requirement (ATB plus

PTR) in respect of July 1, 2001. To this amount would be added the existing amount committed to the School for graduate student aid, plus any residual commitments from the Provost at this point in time (e.g. financial aid officer, match for junior chairs, research allowance for endowed chairs, etc.).

In addition, any existing base budget commitments from the Faculty of Arts and Science (including Woodsworth College) to the School in respect of undergraduate Commerce instruction shall be transferred to the School's starting point budget.

### (b) Budget Reductions and Access to Central Funds

Once this revised starting point has been established, the Rotman School budget will henceforward be exempt from budget reduction and PTR recovery, but at the same time would be ineligible for participation in the Academic Priorities Fund, the Faculty Retention Fund, the Facilities Renewal Program, and other such funds that may be created in the budget from time to time.

### (c) Annual Indexation of the Starting Point in lieu of a Salary Increase Provision

The School will not receive a central provision for annual salary and benefits cost increases. Instead, there will be a global budget increase (or decrease) applied on a cumulative basis to the starting point budget equal to one-half of the annual rate of change in base operating grants from the provincial government, locally defined as the inflationary increase on the BIU value. The rationale for this method of indexation is that approximately one-half of the School's current net operating budget is supported by fee revenue, and the residual by grants.

### (d) Tuition Revenue

In future all tuition revenue increases in the MBA and PhD programs beyond 2001-02 baseline levels, both from price and volume changes, will accrue to the School. The School must in turn earmark thirty per cent of all revenue from tuition price increases for student aid. In this respect student aid shall be broadly defined as including fellowships to doctoral students, and the School agrees to meet any minimum institutional policy guidelines regarding financial support for graduate students.

With regard to the undergraduate commerce program, there will be established a separate enrollment count of FFTE students registered in COM courses taught by the School's faculty members. In future all tuition revenue increases in the commerce program beyond 2001-02 baseline levels, both from price and volume changes (volume defined, for purposes of emphasis, as FFTEs in Com courses taught by RSM faculty), will accrue to the School, net of a 30 per cent tax on price increases which will be retained for central student aid funding.

### (e) Provincial Operating Grants for Enrollment Growth

To the extent that enrollment growth in the MBA and PhD programs over 2000-01 levels generates additional revenue from provincial operating grants, this revenue shall accrue to the School. It is however critically important that it be understood that the provincial government has not made an open-ended commitment to fund all enrollment growth at the graduate level. In all likelihood the University will be allocated a fixed quota of funded enrollment growth, and that the Provost will have to allocate that quota differentially among all of the University's graduate programs.

With regard to the undergraduate commerce program, there will be established a separate enrollment count of BIU-eligible FFTE students registered in COM courses taught by the School's faculty members. In future all grant increases in the commerce program beyond 2000-

01 baseline levels, (defined, for purposes of emphasis, as FFTEs in Com courses taught by RSM faculty), will accrue to the School.

### (f) Overhead Cost Calculation

The University has a well-established overhead cost attribution model that is based upon levels of activity and scale in each program. Each year the Planning and Budget Office will recalculate the amount of overhead cost attributable to the Rotman School using the existing institutional overhead attribution model, and the year over year change in overhead will be deducted from the additional revenue from grants and fees generated by the School.

In practice this will mean that the marginal rate of overhead will be approximately 8 per cent on expanded activities as compared to 22 per cent on existing activity levels. The primary reason for the lower marginal rate of overhead is the fact that is does not anticipate additional costs for the operation and maintenance of expanded facilities. Should the Rotman School expand its physical space, the capital and operating costs of the expansion will be supported by the School from its revenue base.

### (g) Contribution to the Commerce Program Budget

Based upon discussions among the Dean of Arts and Science, the Dean of the Rotman School, and the Chair of the Commerce program, it is agreed that approximately 15 per cent of incremental revenues from Commerce enrolment (both price and volume) shall accrue to the program chair for enhancement of the program.

### (h) Commitment to Quality Enhancement in the Commerce Program

The Rotman School will at a minimum sustain the current level of teaching by tenure stream professoriate in the courses taught by the School within the undergraduate commerce program. To the greatest extent possible additional revenues generated by the Commerce program will be devoted to quality enhancement.

### 8. DIVISIONAL BUDGETS.

	Page
Divisional Financial Reports	17
Divisional Budget Schedules	29

	KECOMINIENDE	VECOMMENDED 7007-03 BODGE!		ראנטיונט ניי	PIVISIONAL PROPERTY OF THE PRO	
	GROSS	REVENUE	NET	GROSS	REVENUE	Z M
BUDGET GROUP	EXPENSE	(INCL RECOVERIES)	EXPENSE	EXPENSE	(INCL RECOVERIES)	EXPENSE
Total Academic Expense	684,203,864	214,749,128	469,454,736	53,454,054	5,341,316	48,112,738
Academic Services	70,387,044	14,062,025	56,295,019	2,238,682	0	2,238,682
Administration	69,956,405	11,327,364	58,629,041	1,883,857	0	1,883,857
Student Assistance	70,835,282	1,001,000	69,834,282	7,188,489	(396,974)	8,085,463
Campus & Student Services	14,914,411	15,155,556	(241,145)	7,998	0	7,998
Physical Plant						
Maintenance and Services	54,219,946	18,327,901	35,892,045	3,864,783	0	3,864,783
Utilities	40,897,866	10,590,905	30,306,961	4,577,713	0	4,577,713
Lease Cost of Off Campus Space	957,281	0	957,281	(97,919)	0	(97,919)
Accommodations & Facilities Directorate	781,315	0	781,315	59,397	0	59,397
General University Expense	41,805,049	10,063,207	31,741,842	12,091,066	4,538,497	7,552,569
	1,048,958,463	295,307,086 0	753,651,377	85,268,120	8,982,839	76,285,281
Municipal Taxes	3.304.350	<b>5</b>	3 304 350	143.850	o	0 143 850
TOTAL OPERATING BUDGET	1,052,262,813	295,307,086	756,955,727	85,411,970	8,982,839	76,429,131

	RECOMMENDE	RECOMMENDED 2002-03 BUDGET		PROJECTED CH	PROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2001-02	
	GROSS	DIVISIONAL	NET	GROSS	DIVISIONAL	NET T
BUDGET GROUP	EXPENSE	(INCL RECOVERIES)	EXPENSE	EXPENSE	(INCL RECOVERIES)	EXPENSE
ARTS and SCIENCE						
Arts and Science	169,841,369	25,097,682	144,743,687	20,444,307	1,761,395	18,682,912
University College	555,029	100,000	455,029	(1,169,117)	0	(1,169,117)
New College	0	0	0	(1,510,003)	0	(1,510,003)
Innis College	0	0	0	(1,024,875)	0	(1,024,875)
Federated Colleges Block Grant	6,970,924	0	6,970,924	546,269	0	546,269
Toronto School of Theology	1,921,629	0	1,921,629	(60,654)	0	(60,654)
Erindale - Academic	29,561,289	2,693,458	26,867,831	1,082,388	142,856	939,532
Scarborough - Academic	29,183,274	2,977,727	26,205,547	1,234,131	142,856	1,091,275
TOTAL ARTS AND SCIENCE	238,033,514	30,868,867	207,164,647	19,542,446	2,047,107	17,495,339
SCHOOLS and COLLEGES						
School of Continuing Studies	11,548,054	11,548,054	0	0	0	0
Munk Centre	260,304	3,055	257,249	10,111	0	10,111
School of Graduate Studies	4,031,346	470,322	3,561,024	(75,999)	0	(75,999)
Graduate Institutes and Centres	5,848,250	678,951	5,169,299	308,109	142,856	165,253
Transitional Year Programme	1,144,018	45,000	1,099,018	60,845	0	60,845
Woodsworth College	0	0	0	(9,954,048)	0	(9,954,048)
TOTAL, SCHOOLS and COLLEGES	22,831,972	12,745,382	10,086,590	(9,650,982)	142,856	(9,793,838)

	RECOMMENDE	RECOMMENDED 2002-03 BUDGET		PROJECTED C	PROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2001-02	
	GROSS	DIVISIONAL	NET.	GROSS	DIVISIONAL	NET
BUDGET GROUP	EXPENSE	(INCL RECOVERIES)	EXPENSE	EXPENSE	(INCL RECOVERIES)	EXPENSE
HEALTH SCIENCES						
Dentistry	22,045,876	7,363,607	14,682,269	122,494		(91,791)
Medicine	113,563,043	51,539,256	62,023,787	2,065,602		1,865,602
Nursing	4,775,839	478,158	4,297,681	471,064		471,064
Pharmacy	6,805,184	1,034,445	5,770,739	607,392	142,856	464,536
Physical Education &Health (Curr. & Co_Curr.)	19,584,739	17,195,398	2,389,341	(17,879)		(17,879)
TOTAL, HEALTH SCIENCES	166,774,681	77,610,864	89,163,817	3,248,673	557,141	2,691,532

	RECOMMENDE	RECOMMENDED 2002-03 BUDGET	AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	PROJECTED	PROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2001-02	
BUDGET GROUP	GROSS	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET	GROSS	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET
OTHER PROFESSIONAL FACULTIES					,	
Applied Science and Engineering	52,732,808	9,524,987	43.207.821	2.448.174	730.284	1.717.890
Architecture and Landscape Architecture	4,352,508	332,611	4,019,897	194,637	71,428	123,209
OISE/UT					,	
OISE/UT	41,319,743	35,557,207	5,762,536	(500,758)	0	(500,758
Inst. of Child Study	1,510,612	1,289,050	221,562	(9,351)	0	(9,351)
University of Toronto Schools	5,935,373	5,719,047	216,326	, O,	0	0
Additional Qualification	4,317,731	4,682,000	(364,269)	0	0	0
Forestry	2,137,986	5,500	2,132,486	(14,362)	0	(14,362
Law	11,632,078	1,936,232	9,695,846	591	0	591
Law Book Fund	0	0	0	0	0	
nformation Studies	3,430,030	844,660	2,585,370	37,855	0	37,855
Management	31,546,784	15,625,409	15,921,375	9,439,621	0	9,439,621
Executive Program	4,568,631	4,568,631	0	0	0	0
Executive M.B.A. Program	2,712,000	2,712,000	0	0	0	0
Business Information Centre	788,417	494,050	294,367	0	0	0
Centre for International Business	0	0	0	0	0	0
International Centre for Tax Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0
Music	7,589,444	879,424	6,710,020	229,872	0	229,872
Social Work	4,186,706	814,848	3,371,858	225,694	100,000	125,694
TOTAL, OTHER PROFESSIONAL FACULTIES	178,760,851	84,985,656	93,775,195	12,051,973	901,712	11,150,261
OTHER ACADEMIC COSTS	77,802,846	8,538,359	69,264,487	28,261,944	1,692,500	26,569,444
TOTAL ACADEMIC EXPENSE	684,203,864	214.749.128	469 454 736	53 A5A 05A	5 341 316	AR 440 728

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO RECOMMENDED 2002 - 03 BUDGET

2,238,682	0	2,238,682	56,295,019	14,092,025	70,387,044	TOTAL, ACADEMIC SERVICES
(91,247)	0	(91,247)	3,762,138	37,800	3,799,938	Scarborough Library
24,372	0	24,372	2,819,011	50,000	2,869,011	Erindale Library
1,738,191	0	1,738,191	42,314,574	5,566,735	47,881,309	
710,835	0	710,835	17,839,380	0	17,839,380	- Central 3ook Fund
1,027,356	0	1,027,356	24,475,194	5,566,735	30,041,929	St. George Library - Operations
567,366	0	567,366	7,399,296	8,437,490	15,836,786	University of Toronto Computing
						ACADEMIC SERVICES
NET EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	GROSS EXPENSE	NET EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	GROSS EXPENSE	BUDGET GROUP
favorance of the state of the s	PROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2001-02	PROJECTED CH	Advances	RECOMMENDED 2002-03 BUDGET	RECOMMENDEL	

	RECOMMENDE	RECOMMENDED 2002-03 BUDGET		PROJECTED C	PROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2001-02	
	GROSS	DIVISIONAL REVENUE	NET	GROSS	DIVISIONAL REVENUE	NET
ADMINISTRATION						
Offices of the Governing Council and Ombudsperson	2,340,243	266,531	2,073,712	520,194	0	520,194
Office of the President	1,225,871	0	1,225,871	153,855	0	153,855
Other Institutional Cost	1,251,768	0	1,251,768	(18,303)	0	(18,303)
Office of the Vice-Pres. and Provost	2,779,115	394,941	2,384,174	453,452	0	453,452
Office of the Vice Provost Planning & Budget	1,926,960	37,095	1,889,865	(126,784)	0	(126,784)
Office of the Vice Provost Students - Stud. Affrs & Other	11,176,013	2,077,753	9,098,260	(693,898)	0	(693,898)
Office of the Vice Provost Space Planning	2,217,096	685,625	1,531,471	848,431	0	848,431
Office of the Vice-Pres Research & International Rel.	4,852,350	96,679	4,755,671	154,615	0	154,615
Office of the Vice-Pres Government & Institutional Rel.	573,048	81,938	491,110	196,110	0	196,110
Office of the Vice-Pres. & Chief Advnacement Officer	17,789,418	3,872,775	13,916,643	108,745	0	108,745
Office of the Vice-Pres Human Resources	6,698,284	1,118,000	5,580,284	(246,161)	0	(246,161)
Office of the Vice-Pres Business Affairs	9,785,122	643,440	9,141,682	636,251	0	636,251
TOTAL, ST.GEORGE CAMPUS	62,615,288	9,274,777	53,340,511	1,986,507	0	1,986,507
Erindale Administration	3,978,597	1,819,814	2,158,783	(39,626)	0	(39,626)
Scarborough Administration	3,362,520	232,773	3,129,747	(63,024)	0	(63,024)
TOTAL ADMINISTRATION	69,956,405	11,327,364	58,629,041	1,883,857	0	1,883,857

	RECOMMENDE	RECOMMENDED 2002-03 BUDGET		PROJECTED C	PROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2001-02	
BUDGET GROUP	GROSS	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE	GROSS	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE
STUDENT ASSISTANCE (excl. Divisional Support)	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Accordance for the state of the				
University Student Assistance	6,556,696	801,000	5,755,696	(496,974)	(496,974)	0
Graduate Fellowships	4,597,862	0	4,597,862	(438,626)	(400,000)	(38,626)
Graduate Student Aid	9,824,863	0	9,824,863	5,124,089	0	5,124,089
OGSST	2,600,000	0	2,600,000	0	0	0
Student Aid Reinvestment	18,190,877	0	18,190,877	2,600,000	0	2,600,000
Student Aid Funded from Restricted Funds	24,824,244	0	24,824,244	0	0	0
Erindale	56,400	0	56,400	0	0	0
Scarborough	106,000	0	106,000	0	0	0
OISE/UT	4,078,340	200,000	3,878,340	400,000	0	400,000
TOTAL, STUDENT ASSISTANCE	70,835,282	1,001,000	69,834,282	7,188,489	(896,974)	8,085,463

7,998	0	7,998	(241,145)	15,155,556	14,914,411	TOTAL CAMPUS & STUDENT SERVICES
0 7,998	0 0	0 7,998	(6,127) 561,490	3,431,835 1,645,646	3,425,708 2,207,136	Erindale Scarborough
0	0	0	(796,508)	10,078,075	9,281,567	St. George
EXTENSE	(INCL RECOVERIES)	EXPENSE	EXPENSE	(INCL RECOVERIES)	FXPENSE	CAMPUS & STUDENT SERVICES
NET	REVENUE	GROSS	NET	REVENUE	GROSS	
Luckinst	PROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2001-02	PROJECTED C		RECOMMENDED 2002-03 BUDGET	RECOMMENDE	

	RECOMMENDE	RECOMMENDED 2002-03 BUDGET		PROJECTED C	PROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2001-02	
	GROSS	DIVISIONAL	NET T	GROSS	DIVISIONAL	Z MT
BUDGET GROUP	EXPENSE	(INCL RECOVERIES)	EXPENSE	EXPENSE	(INCL RECOVERIES)	EXPENSE
FACILITIES AND SERVICES	едун — түргүү төргө түйс түүд аванин тавай түрлдага аванууул түүгөө байаа	W 2007 HARM THE PROPERTY OF TH			жения на под техня в деней	and the second s
Maintenance and Services						
<ul> <li>St. George Campus</li> </ul>	47,369,362		30,252,036	3,935,207	0	3,935,207
- Erindale Campus	3.108.841	442.772	2.666,069	(37.161)	0	(37,161)
Corborolah Campilo	2 7/1 7/2		2 073 040	(33.363)	>	(33 263)
- Ocarborough campus	3,741,743	707,000	4,813,840	(33,203)	ć	(00,200)
TOTAL, MAINTENANCE and SERVICES	54,219,946	18,327,901	35,892,045	3,864,783	0	3,864,783
Utilities						
- St. George Campus	35,712,954	10,277,463	25,435,491	3,741,142	0	3,741,142
- Erindale Campus	2,791,049	103,238	2,687,811	524,055	0	524,055
- Scarborough Campus	2,393,863	210,204	2,183,659	312,516	0	312,516
TOTAL, UTILITIES	40,897,866	10,590,905	30,306,961	4,577,713	0	4,577,713
Lease Cost of Off Campus Space	957,281	0	957,281	(97,919)	0	(97,919)
Accommodations and Facilities (AFD)	781,315	0	781,315	59,397	0	59,397

	RECOMMENDE	RECOMMENDED 2002-03 BUDGET		PROJECTED C	PROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2001-02	
BUDGET GROUP	GROSS EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE	GROSS EXPENSE	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE
GENERAL UNIVERSITY EXPENSE						
President	6,062,552	0	6,062,552	237,803	0	237,803
Governing Council	32,760	0	32,760	370	0	370
Vice-President - Business Affairs Vice-President - Human Resources	1,146,171 3.091.398	0 0	1,146,171 3.091.398	(99,699) 892,323	0 0	(99,699) 892,323
General (including salary, wage and benefit commitments, and recoveries from ancillaries)	31,472,168	10,063,207	21,408,961	11,060,269	4,538,497	6,521,7
TOTAL, GENERAL UNIVERSITY EXPENSE	41,805,049	10,063,207	31,741,842	12,091,066	4,538,497	7,552,569
MUNICIPAL TAXES	3,304,350	0	3,304,350	143,850	0	143,850

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO RECOMMENDED 2002 - 03 BUDGET

(Financial Report)

	RECOMMENDE	RECOMMENDED 2002-03 BUDGET		PROJECTED C	PROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2001-02	
BUDGET GROUP	GROSS	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET EXPENSE	GROSS	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)	NET
ERINDALE						
Academic	29,561,289	2,693,458	26,867,831	1,082,388	142,856	939,532
Academic Services - Library	2,869,011	50,000	2,819,011	24,372	0	24,372
Administration, incl Campus and Student						
Services	7,404,305	5,251,649	2,152,656	(39,626)	0	(39,626)
Facilities & Services - Maintenance & Services	3,108,841	442,772	2,666,069	(37,161)	0	(37,161)
- Utilities	2,791,049	103,238	2,687,811	524,055	0	524,055
Student Assistance	56,400	0	56,400	0	0	0
TOTAL, ERINDALE	45,790,895	8,541,117	37,249,778	1,554,028	142,856	1,411,172

THIS IS A SUMMARY OF THE ERINDALE BUDGETS WHICH ARE REPORTED IN THE PRECEDING FINANCIAL REPORT UNDER THE VARIOUS BUDGET GROUPS.

(Financial Report)

	RECOMMENDE	RECOMMENDED 2002-03 BUDGET		PROJECTED C	PROJECTED CHANGES FROM 2001-02	
	GROSS	DIVISIONAL	NET	GROSS	DIVISIONAL	NET
BUDGET GROUP	EXPENSE	(INCL RECOVERIES)	EXPENSE	EXPENSE	(INCL RECOVERIES)	EXPENSE
SCARBOROUGH	no constance de la constance d				*	
Academic	29,183,274	2,977,727	26,205,547	1,234,131	142,856	1,091,275
Academic Services - Library	3,799,938	37,800	3,762,138	(91,247)	0	(91,247)
Administration, incl Campus and Student						
Services	5,569,656	1,878,419	3,691,237	(55,026)	0	(55,026)
Facilities & Services - Maintenance & Services	3,741,743	767,803	2,973,940	(33,263)	0	(33,263)
- Utilities	2,393,863	210,204	2,183,659	312,516	0	312,516
Student Assistance	106,000	0	106,000	0	0	0
TOTAL, SCARBOROUGH	44,794,474	5,871,953	38,922,521	1 367 111	142.856	1.224.255

THIS IS A SUMMARY OF THE SCARBOROUGH BUDGETS WHICH ARE REPORTED IN THE PRECEDING FINANCIAL REPORT UNDER THE VARIOUS BUDGET GROUPS.

## BUDGET GROUP I: ARTS & SCIENCE

GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2002-03	DIVISIONAL REVENUE ( INCL RECOVERIES)  Endowment Income: External Income: External Recoveries: Internal Recoveries: External Recoveries: External Recoveries: Negative Approp.: TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE ( INCL. RECOVERIES)	Recommended Net Base Budget for 2002-03 Recommended OTO Budget for 2002-03 TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03	ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES: Research Overhead (Net Changes) Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. (Net Changes) RECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE	Transfers Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commil. REDUCTIONS FROM BASE: Budget Reduction A cademic PTR Recovery Expense Recovery Transfers Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commil. (INCREASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE RECOMMENDED BASE BUDGET CHANGE	ADDITIONS TO BASE: Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit Increase Priorities Funds Expense Offset by Additional Income	Net Base Budget for 2001-02 One-Time-Only Budget for 2001-02 TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2001-02 RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:
169,841,369	2,000,000 3,663,875 18,452,757 981,050 0 25,097,682	144,028,703 714,984 144,743,687	105,250 0 105,250	22,421,656 1,605 (5,916,495) (1,157,694) 0 (824,484) 0 (200,000) 18,577,662	4,053,074 0 200,000	ARTS & SCIENCE 125,451,041 609,734 126,060,775
555,029	0 0 100,000 0 0 100,000	455,029 0 455,029	0 0	230,190 300 (11,318) 0 0 (1,436,582) 0 0 (1,169,117)	48,293 0	UNIVERSITY COLLEGE 1,624,146 0 1,624,146
0	0 00	0 0	0 0	5,799 721 (1,555,663) (1,510,003)	39,140	NEW COLLEGE 1,510,003 0 1,510,003
<u>0</u>	0 000	0 0 0	0 0	31,069 400 (1,082,528) (1,024,875)	26,184	INNIS COLLEGE 1,024,875 1,024,875
29,561,289	0 2,096,061 545,636 51,761 0 2,693,458	26,811,310 56,521 26,867,831	34,562 0 34,562	1,644,389 0 (1,141,601) (186,428) 0 (366,253) 0 0 904,970	954,863 0	ERINDALE ( ACADEMIC 25,906,340 21,959 25,928,299
29,183,274	0 1,777.768 1,199.959 0 0 0 2,977.727	26,174,368 31,179 26,205,547	174 0	1,717.585 0 (1,103.330) (256.336) 0 (144.256) 0 0 1,091.101	877,438 0 0	FEDERATED SCARBOROUGH UNIVERSITIES ACADEMIC BLOCK GRANT 25,083.267 6,424,655 31,005 6,424,655
6,970,924	0	6,670,924 300,000 6,970,924	300,000	246,269	0	FEDERATED UNIVERSITIES BLOCK GRANT 6,424,655 6,424,655
1,921,629		1,921,629 0 1,921,629	0	(60,654)	0	TORONTO SCHOOL OF THEOLOGY 1,982,283 1,982,283
238,033,514	2,000,000 7,537,704 20,298,352 1,032,811 0 30,868,867	206,061,963 1,102,684 207,164,647	139,986 300,000 439,986	26,050,688 188,641 (8,172,744) (1,600,458) 0 (5,409,766) 0 (200,000) 17,055,353	5,998,992 0 200,000	TOTAL GROUP I 189,006,610 662,698 189,669,308

# BUDGET GROUP II: SCHOOLS AND COLLEGES

Direction of the proper of the	Extend Recoveries:  Negative Approp.:  Negative Approp.:  O	DIVISIONAL REVENUE ( INCL. RECOVERIES)  Endowment Income:  External Income:  Internal Recoveries:  0	0   Recommended Net Base Budget for 2002-03   0     Recommended OTO Budget for 2002-03   0     TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03   0	ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES:  Research Overhead (Net Changes)  Contract, Oblig, & Policy Commit. (Net Changes)  RECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE	RECOMMENDED BASE BUDGET CHANGE (9,534,048)	nmi. DIVISIONAL REVENUE	Triorius runas  Expense Offset by Additional Income  Transfers  Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commi.  REDUCTIONS FROM BASE:	ADDITIONS TO BASE: Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit Increase 28,229	\$.	Net Base Budget for 2001-02  One-Time-Only Budget for 2001-02  TOTAL NET RIDGET FOR 2001-02  954 048	WOODSWORTH COLLEGE
	470,322	277,000 193,322	3,546,844 14,180 3,561,024	2,892	(/8,891)	(157,432)		78,541	3	3,625,735	
	277,325 0 678,951	0 110,130 291,496	5,027,500 141,799 5,169,299	28,922 0 28,922	136,331	(212,835) (19,359) 0 (118,565) 0	0 0 326,663 1,742	158,685	9	4,891,169 112,877 5,004,046	GRADUATE CENTRES & INSTITUTES
	45,000	45,000	1,099,018 0 1,099,018	0 0	60,845	(46,480)	51,853	55,472	300	1,038,173	
	11,548,054	11,288,054 260,000	0 0	0	U	0			•	0	SCHOOL OF CONTINUING STUDIES
	3,055	3,055	240,494 16,755 257,249	15,240 15,240	(5,129)	(10,675)		5,546	1	245,623 1,515 247 138	MUNK
-	277,325 0 12,745,382	0 11,720,184 747,873	9,913,856 172,734 10,086,59)	47,054 0 47,054	(9,840,892)	(427,422) (19,359) 0 (10,305,842) 0	383,516 1,742	526,473	AC JOSEG SAME	19,754,748 125,680 19,880,478	TOTAL GROUP II

# BUDGET GROUP III: HEALTH SCIENCES

DENTISTRY   MEDICINE   NURSING   PHARMACY   (Incl Ath & Rec.)   CROUP III
---

# BUDGET GROUP IV: PROFESSIONAL FACULTIES

GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2002-03	Endowment Income: External Income: Intenal Recoveries: External Recoveries: External Recoveries: Vegative Approp.: TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)	Recommended Net Base Budget for 2002-03 Recommended OTO Budget for 2002-03 TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03 DIVISIONAL REVENUE ( INCL. RECOVERIES)	ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES: Research Overhead (Net Changes) Contract Oblig, & Policy Commit. (Net Changes) RECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE	Transfers Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. (INCREASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE (RECOMMENDED BASE BUDGET CHANGE	Budget Reduction Academic PTR Recovery Expense Recovery	ADDITIONS TO BASE: Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit Increase Priorities Funds Expense Offset by Additional Income Transfers Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. REDITICTIONS FROM BASE.	Net Base Budget for 2001-02 One-Time-Only Budget for 2001-02 TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2001-02 RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:
52,732,808	630,000 4,232,564 4,358,845 0 353,578 9,524,987	41,043,712 2,154,109 43,207,821	342,393 0 342,393	(626,903) 0 (100,000) 1,375,497	(1,734,048) (482,405)	1,333,206 0 130,000 2,915,647 0	APPLIED / SCIENCE & ENGINEERING 39,658,215 1,821,716 41,489,931
4,352,508	30,000 302,611 0 0 332,611	4,019,897 0 4,019,897	(5,555) 0 (5,555)	(71,428) 0 0 128,764	(170,290) (39,301)	115,679 0 0 294,104 0	ARCHITECTURE, LANDSCAPE, & DESIGN 3,891,133 5,555 3,896,688
47,148,086	303,673 37,441,583 3,783,001 0 0 0 41,528,257	5,471,608 148,221 5,619,829	(10,203) 0 (10,203)	(400,000) 0 0 (499,906)	(412,921) (26,330)	265,931 0 0 73,414	OISE/UT 5,971,514 158,424 6,129,938
5,935,373	400,000 4,764,047 555,000 0 5,719,047	216,326 0 216,326	0	0			OISE/UT U.T.S. 216,326 216,326
2,137,986	5,500 5,500	2,110,921 21,565 2,132,486	(2,099)	(12,263)	(93,696)	81,433	FORESTRY 2,123,184 23,664 2,146,848
11,632,078	200,000 626,500 850,957 258,775 1,936,232	9,564,175 131,671 9,695,846	10,388	(896)	(386,722)	303,459 42,071 32,291	IN 2,573,972 9,573,972 121,283 9,695,255
3,430,030	836,460 8,200 844,660	2,566,013 19,357 2,585,370	(4,565)	42,420	(111,153)	91,780 61,793	INFORMATION S STUDIES W. 2,523,593 23,922 2,547,515
39,615,832	850,000 9,636,081 12,735,884 178,125 0 23,400,090	16,160,482 55,260 16,215,742	5,458 0 5,458	0 0 0 9,434,163	(299,375) (47,394)	369,137 0 0 0 9,643 9,402,132	JOSEPH L. ROTMAN SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT 6,726,3:9 49,802 6,776,121
7,589,444	303,759 575,665 879,424	6,710,020 0 6,710,020	0	229,872	(277,939) (94,216)	252,099 349,658 270	MUSIC SC 6,480,148 6,480,148
4,186,706	200,000 194,939 343,622 76,287 814,848	3,329,787 42,071 3,371,858	4,893 4,893	(100,000) 120,801	(216,480) (49,170)	119,966 100,000 266,485	SOCIAL WORK 3,208,986 37,178 3,246,164
178,760,851	2,553,673 58,035,933 23,529,285 513,187 353,578 84,985,656	91,192,941 2,582,254 93,775,195	340,710 0 340,710	(1,099,227) 0 (200,000) 10,809,551	(3,702,624) (738,816)	2,902,710 0 200,000 4,012,815 9,434,693	TOTAL GROUP IV 80,383,390 2,241,544 82,624,934

# BUDGET GROUP V: OTHER ACADEMIC COSTS

GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2002-03	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)  Endowment Income: External Income: Internal Recoveries: External Recoveries: External Recoveries: Negative Approp.: TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)	Recommended Net Base Budget for 2002-03 Recommended OTO Budget for 2002-03 TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03	ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES: Research Overhead (Net Changes) Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. (Net Changes) RECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE	ADDITIONS TO BASE: Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit Increase Priorities Funds Expense Offset by Additional Income Transfers Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commi. REDUCTIONS FROM BASE: Budget Reduction Academic PTR Recovery Expense Recovery Transfers Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commi. (INCREASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE RECOMMENDED BASE BUDGET CHANGE	Net Base Budget for 2001-02 One-Time-Only Budget for 2001-02 TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2001-02 RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:
441,047	0	441,047 0 441,047	0	(3,557)	SHERIDAN FINE ARTS 449,604 449,604
386,107		386,107 0 386,107	0	0	PROVOST'S CONTINGENCY 386,107
2,250,000		2,250,000 0 2,250,000	0	2,250,000	FACULTY RECRUITMENT 0
1,937,320	0 0	1,937,320 1,937,320	(165,375) (165,375)	0 0	RESERVE FOR RESEARCH OVERHEAD 0 2,102,695 2,102,695
708,899		708,899 0 708,899	0	0	BRIDGING FUND 708,899 0 708,899
1,197,784		1,197,784 0 1,197,784	0	(36,230)	PROVOST'S RESERVE 1,234,014 1,234,014
392,155	6,671 6,671	385,484 0 385,484	0	826 150,000	NON- DEPARTMENTAL PROFESSORS 234,658
345,657		345,657 0 345,657	0	200,000	RESEARCH SUPPORT P.D.& D. 145,657
19,297,547		19,297,547 0 19,297,547	0	20,000,000 (9,598,031) 10,401,969	ATOP/EGF 8,895,578 8,895,578

GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2002-03	External Incone: Internal Recoveries: External Recoveries: External Recoveries: Negative Approp.: TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)  Encomment Income:	TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03	Recommended Net Base Budget for 2002-03 Recommended OTO Budget for 2002-03	RECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE	ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES: Research Overhead (Net Changes) Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. (Net Changes)	RECOMMENDED BASE BUDGET CHANGE	Expense Recovery Transfers Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. (INCREASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE	REDUCTIONS FROM BASE: Budget Reduction Andersic DTD Personer.	ADDITIONS TO BASE: Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit Increase Priorities Funds Expense Offset by Additional Income Transfers Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commi.	RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:	TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2001-02	Net Base Budget for 2001-02 One-Time-Only Budget for 2001-02		BUDGET GROUP V: OTHER ACADEMIC COSTS
225,307			225,307	225,307 0	0		118,000			118,000		107,307	107,307	ACADEMIC AREVIEWS	
864,750			864,750	0 864,750	190,741	190,741	0		0			674,009	674,009	ADMINISTRATORS ON LEAVE	
0	1,257,000	1,257,000	(1,257,000)	(1,257,000) 0	0		540,000	300,000		240,000		(1,797,000)	(1,797,000)	ENDOW CHAIRS/ UNIV. PROFS. MATCHING FDS. INCOME	
15,264,097			15,264,097	15,264,097 0	0	0	243,891	(15,141,858)		15,385,749		15,020,206	15,020,206	ACAD PRIORITIES FUND	
2,100,000	0		2,100,000	2,100,000 0	0	0	0					2,100,000	2,100,000	DIVISIONAL CAMPAIGN EXPENSES	
27,783,959	6,774,688 6,774,688		21,009,271	21,009,271 0	0	0	8,920,000	(89,425)		2,166,696 6,842,729		12,089,271	12,089,271	CANADA RESEARCH CHAIRS	
3,652,179	0		3,652,179	3,652,179 0	0		3,652,179			3,652,179				OVERHEAD ON FEDERAL RESEARCH GRANT	
956,038		0	456,038	456,038 0	0	0	112,000	0000	0	0 0 0 112,000		344,038	344,038 0	OTHER Fields Institute Griev.,Conf.Sup Search Comm., & Adv.Acad Post.	
77,802,846	500,000 6,781,359 0 0 8,538,359	1,257,000	69,264,487	66,462,417 2,802,070	25,366	0 25,366	26,544,078	(24,829,314) 0 300,000	(36,230)	826 0 0 5,236,696 45,872,100		42,695,043	39,918,339 2,776,704	TOTAL GROUP V	

## BUDGET GROUP VI: ACADEMIC SERVICES

70,387,044	15,836,786	3,799,938	2,869,011	<b>10</b>	17,839,380	30,041,929	GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2002-03
0 3,966,900 9,068,525 1,056,600 0	0 890,250 7,547,240 0 0 8,437,490	37,800 0 0 0 37,800	50,000 0 0 0 0 0 50,000	0	9	2,988,850 1,521,285 1,056,600 5,566,735	DIVISIONAL REVENUE ( INCL. RECOVERIES)  Endowment Income: External Income: Internal Recoveries: External Recoveries: Negative Approp.: TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE ( INCL. RECOVERIES)
56,295.019 0 56,295.019	7,399,296 0 7,399,296	3,762,138 0 3,762,138	2,819,011 0 2,819,011	0 0	17,839,380 0 17,839,380	24,475,194 0 24,475,194	Recommended Net Base Budget for 2002-03 Recommended OTO Budget for 2002-03 TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03
	0 0 0	0 0 0	0	0	0	0	ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES: Research Overhead (Net Changes) Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. (Net Changes) RECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE
2,200,002	307,300	(71,247)	24,372	0	/10,655	1,027,550	NECOMMENDED BASE BUDGET CHANGE
(301,369)	• • • •	(88,442) 0 0	0 0 0	0		(212,927)	Transfers Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. (INCREASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE
(1,511,372) (219,409)	(297,909) 0	(119,035) 0	(70,987) 0	0		(1,023,441) (219,409)	Budget Reduction Academic PTR Recovery
0 2,463.243 808.995 0	687,575 0	0 0 46,597	0 0 48,601	0	710,835	1,775,668 2,962	Expense Offset by Additional Income Transfers Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commi. REDUCTIONS FROM BASE:
0 998.594 0	177,700 0	69,633 0	46,758 0	0	0	704,503	ADDITIONS TO BASE: Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Beaefit Increase Priorities Funds
							RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:
54,056,337	6,831,930	3,853,385	2,794,639	0	17,128,545	23,447,838	One-Time-Only Budget for 2001-02 TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2001-02
TOTAL GROUP VI 54,056,337	UNIV. OF TORONTO COMPUTING 6,831,930	SCARBOROUGH LIBRARY 3,853,385	ERINDALE LIBRARY 2,794,639	OISE/UT LIBRARY	ST GEORGE LIBRARY BOOK FUND 17,128,545	LIBRARY OPERATIONS & INFO COMMONS 23,447,838	Net Base Budget for 2001-02
						ST GEORGE	

# BUDGET GROUP VII: CAMPUS & STUDENT SRVCS

15,155,556 14,914,411	1,645,646 2,207,136	3,431,835 3,425,708	9,281,567	GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2002-03
14,156,282 886,682 112,592	0 1,618,364 27,282 0 0	0 2,950,069 471,233 10,533 0	0 9,587,849 388,167 102,059 0	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL RECOVERIES)  Erdowment Incone: External Incone: Internal Recoveries: External Recoveries: External Recoveries: Negative Approp.: TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)
(241,145) 0 (241,145) 0 (241,145)	561,490 0 561,490	(6,127) (6,127)	796,508) (796,508) 0 (796,508)	Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. (Net Changes) RECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE Recommended Net Base Budget for 2002-03 Recommended OTO Budget for 2002-03 TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2002-43
7,998	7,998	0	0	RECOMMENDED BASE BUDGET CHANGE ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES: Research Overhead (Net Changes)
0000	0000	00000	0000	Academic PTR Recovery Expense Recovery Transfers Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. (INCREASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE
7,998 0 0 0 0	7,998 0 0 0 0	0 0000	0 00000	ADDITIONS TO BASE: Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Berefit Increase Priorities Funds Expense Offset by Additional Income Transfers Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. REDUCTIONS FROM BASE: Budget Reduction
TOTAL GROUP VII (249,143) 0 (249,143)	SCARBOROUGH CAMPUS & ST SRVCS 553,492 0 553,492	ERINDALE : CAMPUS & ST SRVCS (6,127) (6,127)	ST GEORGE CAMPUS & ST SRVCS (796,508) 0 (796,508)	Net Base Budget for 2001-02 One-Time-Only Budget for 2001-02 TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2001-02 RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:

# BUDGET GROUP VIII: STUDENT ASSISTANCE

70,835,282	4,078,340	106,000	56,400	66,594,542	24,824,244	18,190,877	2,600,000	9,824,863	4,597,862	6,556,696	GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2002-03
0 801,000 200,000 0 0 1,001,000	200,000	0000	0000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0	0	0	0	0 0	801,000 0 000,108	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)  Endowment Income: External Income: Internal Recoveries: External Recoveries: External Recoveries: Negative Approp.: TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)
69,834,282 0 69,834,282	3,878,340 0 3,878,340	106,000 0 106,000	56,400 0 56,400	65,793,542 0 65,793,542	24,824,244 0 24,824,244 OAC in FIS	18,190,877 0 18,190,877	2,600,000 0 2,600,000	9,824,863 0 9,824,863 OAC in FIS	4,597,862 0 4,597,862	5,755,696 0 5,755,696	Recommended Net Base Budget for 2002-03 Recommended OTO Budget for 2002-03 TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03
0 0	0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES: Research Overhead (Net Changes) Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit (Net Changes) RECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE
0 0 (122,357) 0 0 8,085,463	400,000	00000	00000	0 (122,357) 0 0 0 7,685,463	0	2,600,000	0	5,124,089	(122,357)	0	Academic PTR Recovery Expense Recovery Transfers Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit (INCREASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE RECOMMENDED BASE BUDGET CHANGE
0 0 0 483,731 7,724,089 0	400,000	0 00000	• ••••	0 0 0 83,731 7,724,089	0 0	0 0 0 2,600,000		5,124,089	83,731		ADDITIONS TO BASE: Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit Increase Priorities Funds Expense Offset by Additional Inceme Transfers Contract, Oblig, & Policy Commit REDUCTIONS FROM BASE: Budget Reduction
TOTAL GROUP VIII 61,748,819 0 61,748,819	OISE/UT (3,478,340 0 3,478,340	2ARBOROUGH 106,000 0 106,000	ERINDALE SCARBOROUGH 56,400 106,000 0 0 106,000 56,400 106,000	SUB TOTAL CENTRAL ST ASSIST 58,108,079 58,108,079	STUDENT AID FICHUBED FROM RESTRICTED FUNDS 24,\$24,244 0 24,\$24,244	STUDENT F AID REINVESTMENT 15,590,877 0 15,590,877	OGSST I 2,600,000 0 2,600,000	GRADUATE STUDENT AID 4,700,774 4,700,774	GRADUATE FELLOWSHIPS 4,636,488 0 4,636,488	UNDERGRAD STUDENI ASSISTANCE 5,755,696 5,755,696	Net Base Budget for 2001-02 One-Time-Only Budget for 2001-02 TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2001-02 RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:

# BUDGET GROUP IX: FACILITIES AND SERVICES

GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2002-03	Endownent Income: Endowment Income: External Income: Internal Recoveries: External Recoveries: External Recoveries: Negative Approp.: TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)	Recommended Net Base Budget for 2002-03  Recommended OTO Budget for 2002-03  TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03  DIVISIONAL PRAYEMITE ANGLE BECOMEDIES	ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES: Research Overhead (Net Changes) Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. (Net Changes) RECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE	RECOMMENDED BASE BODGET CHANGE	Budget Reduction Academic PTR Recovery Expense Recovery Transfers Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. (INCREASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE DECOMMENTED DAYS DIVINOTE CLANCE	Priorities Funds Expense Offset by Additional Income Transfers Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit REDUCTIONS FROM BASE:	RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:  ADDITIONS TO BASE: Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit Increase		Net Base Budget for 2001-02 One-Time-Only Budget for 2001-02 TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2001-02
47,369,362	2,297,312 14,820,014	30,198,036 54,000 30,252,036	49,200 49,200	3,850,007	2,619,350	350,276 1,256,131	802,623		ST GEORGE MAINTENANCE & SERVICES 26,312,029 4,800 26,316,829
35,712,954	5,556,948 4,720,515 10,277,463	25,435,491 0 25,435,491	0 0	3,/41,142	2 241 142	3,741,142	0	,	ST GEORGE UTILITIES 21,694,349 0 21,694,349
3,108,841	41,000 401,772 0 442,772	2,666,069 0 2,666,069	0 0	(37,101)	(105,548) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	25,708 0 0 0	42,679		ERINDALE MAINTENANCE & SERVICES 2,703,230 0 2,703,230
2,791,049	0 0 103,238 0 0 0 103,238	2,687,811 0 2,687,811	0 0	324,033	534 065	524,055	» o		ERINDALE UTILITIES 2,163,756 0 2,163,756
3,741,743	0 0 767,803 0 0 767,803	2,973,940 0 2,973,940	0 0	(33,203)	(127,464) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	17,605 0	76,596		SCARBOROUGH MAINTENANCE & SERVICES 3,007,203 0 3,007,203
2,393,863	0 0 210,204 0 0 210,204	2,183,659 0 2,183,659	0 0	312,316	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	312,516	· •		SCARBOROUGH UTILITIES I,871,143 0 1,871,143
95,117,812	7,895,260 21,023,546 0 0 28,918,806	66,145,006 54,000 66,199,006	49,200 49,200	8,373,270	(1,385,385) 0 0 2,619,350 0 0	93,589 5,843,844	921,898		TOTAL GROUP IX 57,751,710 4,800 57,756,510

쯔	
ij	
5	
3	
5	
Ħ	
2	
ĕ	
ĕ	
7	
5	
S	
2	
7	
3	
č	
2	
3	
ò	
3	
z	
ž	
Ž	
÷	
Z	
3	

GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2002-03	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)  Endowment Income: Internal Recoveries: Internal Recoveries: Enternal Recove	Recommended Net Base Budget for 2002-03 Recommended OTO Budget for 2002-03 TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03	ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES: Research Overhead (Net Changes) Contract Obig. & Policy Commit. (Net Changes) RECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE	ADDITIONS TO BASE: Balance of Pror Year's Salary/Benefit increase Priorities Funds Expense Offset by Additional Income Transfers Contract Oblig & Policy Commit REDICTIONS (ROOM BASE): Budget Reduction Anademic TPIR Recovery Expense Recovery Transfers (INCLERASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE RECOMMENDED BASE BIODEM CHANGE	BUDGET GROUP X: ADMINISTRATIVE & GOVERNANCE  Net Base Budget for 2001-02  One-Time-Only Budget for 2001-02  TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2001-02  RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES.
2,340,243	0 14,000 186,609 26,611 39,311 266,531	2,073,712 0 2,073,712	0 0 0	69,749 0 0 539,676 0 (89,231) 0 0 0 0 0	GOVERNING COUNCIL, OMBIDSPERSON, INTERNAL AUDIT 1,553,518 0 1,553,518
1.225,871	0 00	1,225,871 0 1,225,871	0	79,819 134,939 (48,953) (11,950)	OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT   .072,016
1,251,768	00000	1,251,768 0 1,251,768	0 0	20,548 0 16,001 (54,852) 0 0 0 0 (18,303)	DIVISIONAL OTHER INSTITUTIONAL COST (incl Sexual Harassnent) 1,270,071 0 1,270,071
2,779,1115	0 0 391,941 0 0 0 391,941	2,384,174 0 2,384,174	(455) 0 (455)	9;818 0 0 444,198 0 (85,109) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	DIVISIONAL BUDGET SCHEDULES OFFICE SO THE UTUTONAL VICE-PETS OCOT & PROVINST VICE-I END STATE (FOR A PROVINST VICE-I END STATE (FOR
1,926,960	37,095 0 37,095	1,889,865 0 1,889,865	0	95,262 398,012 (80,908) (539,150)	PROVOST NNING UDGET 2,016,649 0
925,216	20,000 82,383 102,383	822,833 0 822,833	0	0	VICE PROVOST - STUDENTS OFFICE OF VICE-PROVICE STUDENT STUDENT AFFAIRS OTHER \$22,833 \$.969,
10.250,797	0 1,625,370 350,000 0 0 1,975,370	8,275,427 0 8,275,427	0	183,560 0 0 0 0 258,392 (328,614) 0 (807,236) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	STUDENTS VICE-PROVOST STUDENTS OTHER 8,969,325 0 8,969,325
2,217,096	0 570,625 115,000 0 0 685,625	1,531,471 0 1,531,471	0	12,675 0 0 888,238 0 (52,482) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	VICE PROVOST SPACE PLANNING 683,040 683,040
9,785,122	253,800 374,640 0 15,000 643,440	9,141,682 0 9,141,682	0	221,810 0 799,425 0 (384,984) 0 0 0 0 0 636,251	VICE PRESIDENT BUSINESS AFFAIRS 8,505,431 0 8,505,431
6.698,284	29,500 1,088,500 0 0 1,118,000	5,580,284 0 5,580,284	0	175,979 0 192,846 0 192,8473) 0 (225,373) 0 (889,613) 0 0 (246,161)	VICE PRESIDENT HUMAN RESOLRCES 5,826,445 0 5,826,445
11,789,418	1,444,125 2,428,650 0 0 3,872,775	13,916,643 0 13,916,643	0 0 0	270,282 0 105,286 (266,823) 0 0 0 0 108,745	VICE PRESIDENT & CHIEF ADVANCE- MENT OFFICER 11,807,898
573,048	81,938	491,110 0 491,110	0 Outcommon and the second and the s	40,386 169,978 (14,254)	VICE PRESIDENT GOVERNMENT & INST. RELTINS 295,000
4,852,350	38,849 37,830 96,679	4,735,671 0 4,735,671	(5,995)	1152,843 289,553 (281,786)	VICE PRESIDENT RESEARCH & INTL RELTINS 4,395,061 5,995 4,691,056
62,615,288	0 3,957,420 5,198,605 64,441 54,311 9,274,777	53,340,511 0 53,340,511	(6,450) 0 (6,450)	1,418,731 0 0 3,898,152 228,392 (1,834,369) 0 (1,747,949) 0 0 0 0 1,992,957	SUBTOTAL ST GEORGE ADMIN 51,347,554 6,450 51,354,004
3,978,597	368,654 1,451,160 0 0 1,819,814	2,158,783 0 2,158,783	0 0	56,195 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ERINDALE ADMIN 2,198,409 0 2,198,409
3,362,520	0 109,000 123,773 0 0 232,773	3,129,747 0 3,129,747	0 0	75,894 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	SCARBOROUGH ADMIN 3,192,771 0
69,956,405	0 4,435,074 6,773,538 64,441 54,311 11,327,364	58,629,041 0 58,629,041	(6,450) 0 (6,450)	1,550,820 0 3,898,152 258,392 0 (2,065,108) 0 (1,747,949) 0 (1,747,949) 0 1,890,307	TOTAL GROUP X 56,738,774 6,459 56,745,184

# BUDGET GROUP XI: GENERAL UNIVERSITY EXPENSE & OTHERS

	GENERAL	ACCOMMODATION & FACILITIES	LEASE COST OF OFF CAMPUS	MUNICIPAL	TOTAL
Net Base Budget for 2001-02 One-Time-Only Budget for 2001-02	24,164,273 25,000	295,586 426,332	1,055,200	3,160,500	28,675,559 451,332
TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2001-02	24,189,273	721,918	1,055,200	3,160,500	29,126,891
RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES:					
ADDITIONS TO BASE:					
Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit Increase Priorities Funds	(15,636,223) 165,124				(15,636,223) 165,124
Expense Offset by Additional Income	0				•
Transfers	214,149				214,149
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit.  REDI ICTIONS FROM BASE:	27,079,823		(97,919)		26,981,904
Budget Reduction	692,574	(12,562)			680,012
Academic PTR Recovery	18,343				18,343
Expense Recovery	1,200,639				1,200,639
Transfers	(5,919,860)				(5,919,860)
(INCREASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE	(300 000)			143,850	(3)0,000)
RECOMMENDED BASE BUDGET CHANGE	7,514,569	(12,562)	(97,919)	143,850	7,547,938
ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES:					
Research Overhead (Net Changes)	0	71,959	0	0	71,959
Contract. Oblig. & Policy Commit. (Net Changes)	38,000				38,000
RECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-CNLY BUDGET CHANGE	38,000	71,959	0	0	109,959
Recommended Net Base Budget fcr 2002-03	31,678,842	283,024	957,281	3,304,350	36,223,497
Recommended OTO Budget for 2(02-03	63,000	498,291	0	0	561,291
TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03	31,741,842	781,315	957,281	3,304,350	36,734,788
DIVISIONAL REVENUE ( INCL RECOVERIES)  Endowment Income:	0				•
External Income:	0				0
Internal Recoveries:	10,063,207				10,063,207
External Recoveries:	0				. 0
Negative Approp. : TOTAL DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)	0 10,063,207	0	0	0	0 10,063,207
GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2002-03	41,805,049	781,315	957,281	3,304,350	0 46,847,995

#### EXPENSE SUMMARY

GROSS EXPENSE BUDGET FOR 2002-03	DIVISIONAL REVENUE (INCL. RECOVERIES)  Endowment hoome:  External hoome:  Internal Reoveries:  External Reoveries:  External Reoveries:  External Reoveries:  External Reoveries:  External Reoveries:  Incl. RECOVERIES)	Recommended Net Base Budget for 2002-03 Recommended OTO Budget for 2002-03 TOTAL RECOMMENDED NET BUDGET FOR 2002-03	ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGES: Research Overhead (Net Changes) Contract, Oblig, & Policy Commit, (Net Changes) RECOMMENDED ONE-TIME-ONLY BUDGET CHANGE	Net Base Budget for 2001-02 One-Time-Only Budget for 2001-02 TOTAL NET BUDGET FOR 2001-02 RECOMMENDED BUDGET CHANGES: ADDITIONS TO BASE: Balance of Prior Year's Salary/Benefit Ircrease Priorities Funds Expense Offset by Additional Income ITansfers Contract, Oblig. & Policy Commit. REDUCTIONS FROM BASE: Budget Reduction Academic PTR Recovery Expense Recovery Transfers Contract, Oblig. & Policy Commit. (INCREASE) DECREASE IN DIVISIONAL REVENUE RECOMMENDED BASE BUDGET CHANGE
238,033,514	2,000,000 7,537,704 20,298,352 1,032,811 0 30,868,867	206,061,963 1,102,684 <b>207,164,647</b>	139,986 300,000 439,986	TOTAL GROUP I: ART'S & SC S4 189,066,510 189,066,510 189,669,308 189,669,308 189,669,308 189,641 (8,172,744) (1,600,438) 0 (5,409,766) 0 (2,00,000) 17,055,333
22,831,972	0 11,720,184 747,873 277,325 0 12,745,382	9,913,856 172,734 10,086,590	47,054 0 47,054	TOTAL GROUP III. SCHOOLS/COLLS 112/54/748 112/540 119/880,428 125/60 19/880,428 125/60 19/880,428 11/42 11/42 (427,422) (19,359) (10,305,842) 0 0 (9,840,892)
166,774,681	2,469,331 28,151,345 13,111,648 33,878,540 0 77,610,864	87,521,620 1,642,197 <b>89,163,817</b>	160,233 0 160,233	TOTAL GROUP III: HLTH SCI 84,990,321 1,481,964 86,472,285 2,727,912 0 200,000 4,494,369 230 (3,728,465) (448,133) 0 (514,614) 0 (200,000) 2,531,299
178,760,851	2,553,673 58,035,933 23,529,285 513,187 353,578 84,985,656	91,192,941 2,582,254 <b>93,775,195</b>	340,710 0 340,710	TOTAL GROUP IV: PROF FACS 80,383,390 2,241,544 82,624,934 2,902,710 2,902,710 2,902,710 4,012,815 9,434,693 (1,702,624) (738,816) 0,10,809,227) (1,099,227) 10,809,551
77,802,846	1,257,000 500,000 6,781,359 0 0 8,538,359	66,462,417 2,802,070 <b>69,264,487</b>	0 25,366 25,366	TOTAL GROUP V: OAC 39,918,339 2,776,704 42,695,043 826 826 826 0 0 5,236,696 45,872,100 (36,230) 0 (24,829,314) 0 (24,829,314)
684,203,864	8,280,004 105,945,166 64,468,517 35,701,863 353,578 214,749,128	461,152,797 8,301,939 469,454,736	687,983 325,366 1,013,349	SUBTOTAL GROUPS I-V: ACADEMIC 414,053,408 414,053,408 421,341,998 11,156,913 0 600,000 40,178,084 55,497,406 (16,067,485) (2,806,766) 0 (42,158,763) 0 (42,158,763) 0 (300,000) 47,099,389
70,387,044	0 3,966,900 9,068,525 1,056,600 0 14,092,025	56,295,019 0 <b>56,295,019</b>	0 0	TOTAL GROUP VE AC SRVCS \$4,056,337 \$4,056,337 \$98,594 0 0 2,463,243 808,995 (1,511,372) (219,499) 0 (301,369) 0 2,238,662
14,914,411	0 14,156,282 886,682 112,592 0 15,155,556	(241,145) 0 (241,145)	0	7,998 7,998 7,998 7,998 7,998 7,998
70,835,282	0 801,000 200,000 0 0 1,001,000	69,834,282 0 <b>69,834,282</b>	0 0	TOTAL GROUP VIII: STASSIST F 61,748,819 61,748,819 0 61,748,819 0 0 483,731 7,724,089 0 0 (122,357) 0 0 8,085,463
95,117,812	0 7,895,260 21,023,546 0 0 28,918,806	66,145,006 54,000 <b>66,199,006</b>	0 49,200 49,200	TOTAL GROUP IX. FAC & SRVCS / 57,751,710 57,756,310 57,756,310 921,898 921,898 921,898 0 0 393,589 5,843,844 (1,385,385) 0 0 2,619,350 0 0 2,619,350 0 0 8,393,296
69,956,405	0 4,435,074 6,773,538 64,441 54,311 11,327,364	58,629,041 0 <b>58,629,041</b>	(6,450) 0 (6,450)	TOTAL GROUP X: ADM & GOV 56,745,134 56,745,184 1,550,820 0,3,898,152 258,392 (2,069,108) 0 0,1,747,949) 0 1,890,307
46,847,995	0 0 10,063,207 0 0 10,063,207	36,223,497 561,291 <b>36,784,788</b>	71,959 38,000 109,959	TOTAL GROUP XI: GEN UNIV & OTH  26,75,539 26,75,539 29,126,891 (15,636,223) 165,124 0 214,149 26,981,904 680,012 18,343 1,200,639 (5,919,860) 143,850 (300,000) 7,547,938
1.052.262.813	8,280,004 137,199,682 1112,484,015 36,935,496 407,889 295,307,086	748,038,497 8,917,230 756,955,727	753,492 412,566 1,166,058	TOTAL 672,775,424 7,751,172 680,526,596 0 165,124 600,000 47,630,948 97,114,630 0 (20,353,338) (3,007,832) 1,200,639 (47,630,948) 143,850 (600,000) 75,263,073

#### 9. APPENDICES.

Updated Table of Assumptions from the Long Range Budget Guidelines for Planning and Budgeting, 1998-2004. Appendix A

Appendix B Contractual Obligations and Policy Commitments (COPC) List.

# APPENDIX A, LONG-RANGE BUDGET GUIDELINES AND PROJECTIONS 1998-2004, AN UPDATE, FEBRUARY 2002.

were identified. In taking forward the two year budget for approval, the Administration undertook to provide an update in the Spring of 1999. That update is included as column four in the table of budget assumptions. In March 2000 and February 2001, further updates were approved with the respective budgets. What follows is a new update of the budget assumptions and projections as a result of new information on revenue and expense. These will inform the *Budget Report*, 2002-03. Later in the Spring the Administration will bring forward a Planning Framework for 2003-The Long-Range Guidelines for Planning and Budgeting, 1998/99 through 2003/04 was approved by Governing Council in April 1998. The Guidelines describes the financial environment in which the Raising Our Sights planning exercise is placed. The Guidelines was followed by the Budget Report, 1998-2000; a two-year budget was possible because the Provincial 08, continuing the practice of long range planning exercises established in 1990. Government had made a commitment on funding levels for both 1998/99 and 1999/2000 and a two-year tuition fee schedule was approved. Thus our principal revenues for the two-year period

# Long Range Budget Guidelines, Updated Budget Assumptions

Assumption Length of Budget Guidelines Omnibus Discount The practice of discounting the negative effect of the variance associated with not realizing budget assumptions that entail a level of risk or uncertainty. The actual rate of discount will be re-evaluated each year.  The positive variance on expense associated with ATB compensation assumptions less than CPI will be discounted by 50%.  The positive variance on expense associated with ATB compensation provide \$250,000 of revenue in the discounted due to revenue enhancement assumption is being made due to revenue enhancements realized in contributions from ancillaries and		2. 0	1. L	#	
Update April, 1999  get ccase of tte ach  The Food Ancillary is projected to provide \$250,000 of revenue in the steady state, \$150,000 has been generated this year.		mnibus Discount	ength of Budget uidelines	Assumption	
pril, 1999  is projected to frevenue in the 000 has been	The positive variance on expense associated with ATB compensation assumptions less than CPI will be discounted by 50%.  \$1.5M COPC expense restraint/ revenue enhancement from sources other than tuition fees and Government Operating Grant.	The practice of discounting the negative effect of the variance associated with not realizing budget assumptions will continue in the case of assumptions that entail a level of risk or uncertainty. The actual rate of discount will be re-evaluated each year.	1998/99 to 2003/04.	March, 1998	
Update March 2000  The positive variance on expense associated with ATB compensation assumptions in 2002/03 and beyond that are less than CPI will be discounted by 50%.  No further global expense restraint / revenue enhancement assumption is being made due to revenue enhancements realized in contributions from ancillaries and research overhead.	The Food Ancillary is projected to provide \$250,000 of revenue in the steady state, \$150,000 has been generated this year.			Update April, 1999	
	No further global expense restraint / revenue enhancement assumption is being made due to revenue enhancements realized in contributions from ancillaries and research overhead.	The positive variance on expense associated with ATB compensation assumptions in 2002/03 and beyond that are less than CPI will be discounted by 50%.		Update March 2000	
				Update February 2002	

‡	
LIEIU KAIC OII IIIVESIIIEIII	
2%.  Externally Managed Funds: CFI plus 5.15%.  Core portion of EFIP: \$75M.	CPI assumed to be 2.0% annually.
Externally Managed Funds: 1999/2000 5.71%, other years CPI plus 2%.  Externally Managed Funds: 1999/2000 6.24%, other years CPI plus 5.15%.  Core portion of EFIP reduced to \$60M	Taras II. Massad F. Ja. 1000/2000
Interinally Managed Funds: 2000/01 5.52%, other years CPI plus 3.25%. Externally Managed Funds: 2000/01 6.31%, other years CPI plus 4.4%. Target increase in investment revenue due to new investment strategy, \$0.5M in 2001/02 and \$1M in 2002/03 and beyond.	
General University Operating Funds is maintained at the previously projected level. The extra revenue from the more aggressive investment strategy adopted by UTAM and an increase in the EFIP funds invested in long-term investments will be used for graduate student aid. In the shorterm it will be used to bridge finance the \$3M payout projected from the \$60M graduate aid endowment which is part of the Capital Campaign. The remainder will be directed to an endowment for graduate student aid. Increased revenue from administrative and stewardship charges to investment funds will be used to fund the development campaign and UTAM.	a g
Investment revenue was significantly below projected levels in 2001-2 and is expected to be lower than projected in 2002-03. Losses against projections of \$21.8M are being amortized over a three-year period and the projection for 2003-04 is being reduced to the baseline level of \$13.7M. The model assumes that there will be no available investment revenue to transfer to graduate student aid. The funds needed to bridge to the payout from the Capital Campaign Graduate Endowment will be obtained from General University Revenue.	The consensus position among economists is that inflation will still remain at around 2% over the longer term. CPI has been below 2% for the past 4 months.

2

6	'n
International U/G Enrollment	Domestic U/G Enrollment
International enrollment will return to 1989 levels by the end of the Guidelines period. Divisions will in future receive 50% of the additional tuition revenue, net of increases to student support, attributable to increases in enrollment above current levels. International enrollment strategy to be reviewed and targets to be set as an integral part of new Divisional plans. Divisions must continue to meet domestic enrollment targets while increasing international enrollment.	Enrollment agreements in place for Arts and Science, Applied Science and Engineering, and Erindale.  Upper year retention/course loading will be based on multi-year average of actual experience.  Divisions in future receive 65% of the additional tuition revenue, net of increases to student aid, attributable to approved enrollment increases over currently planned levels.
International undergraduate and graduate enrollment increases on the St George and Scarborough campuses in 1998-99 are on a trajectory to meet the target of a return to 1989 levels by 2003/04.  Revenue equivalent to that for domestic students under 5 above will be flowed to the divisions and the student aid budget, from the planned enrolment increase.  Divisional international enrolments must not fall below 1997/98 actual levels if divisions are to benefit fully from the tuition fee revenue sharing arrangement in 5 above.	The Provincial Government has indicated a desire for enrolment increases to deal with the double cohort and demographic driven increases in the applicant pool.  Divisions with agreements for revenue sharing on enrolment over currently planned levels must make up for any enrollment below planned levels in future years.
International undergraduate and graduate enrollment increases are above the trajectory needed to meet the target of a return to 1989 levels by 2003/04. This strategy appears to be sound	The University has developed a framework-planning document on enrollment expansion.  The University's intake target for 2000/01 will be the same as 1999/2000 adjusted for the increase required under the Access To Opportunities Program plan. The ATOP steady state intake target will be reached in 2000/01 and will require an increase of 166 in the overall domestic undergraduate intake target over the 1999/2000 target
The program to return international enrolment to 1989 levels will continue.	The University's intake target for 2001/02 will be essentially the same as 2000/01. There will be a further increase of 94 students admitted to the BEd program and the increase of 13 in the number of students admitted to the MD program in 2000/01 will be maintained. The ATOP steady state intake target was reached in 2000/01 and the flow through will produce a total enrolment increase of 1,540 over the 1997/98 level in computer science and engineering programs.  Discussions are currently taking place on a possible enrolment increase in the 2 <sup>nd</sup> entry, undergraduate Nursing and Pharmacy programs.
Undergraduate and Graduate enrolments are at their highest levels in 8 years.	The University is proceeding with its enrolment expansion plan now that the Provincial Government has announced full average funding for first-entry and some second-entry undergraduate programs and an envelope for graduate and the remaining second-entry undergraduate programs. The second phase of the expansion at UTM and UTSC will be subject to satisfactory resolution of the need for additional capital funding. A detailed plan for the expansion and the funding that will be available to the divisions will be brought forward to a subsequent P&B committee meeting.

∞ пт пт	7. S. O.
Position Within Enrollment Corridor	Support
Below midpoint: strategy is to move upward to 1% abo/e the mid-point of the corridor.	Domestic and international doctoral stream and professional masters enrollment targets and revenue sharing arrangements to be negotiated as part of new Divisional plans. International enrollment will return to 1989 levels by the end of the Guidelines period. For modeling purposes, 100% of the revenue attributable to increases in international enrollment above current levels will be required for recruiment, student support or enhancement of divisional programs.
BIU enrolment increased in 1998/99. Moving average will continue to decrease for several more years as the effect of losing BIU eligibility for international students and Additional Qualification students works through the funding algorithm but is expected to subilize close to the corridor midpoint.	New \$1,000,000 OTO international student fee waivers program to be implemented commencing in 1999/2000.  65% of the tuition revenue from increases in domestic or international student enrolment increase flows to the APF to be used to enhance graduate student financial support.  Until graduate enrollment agreements are established in divisional plans, divisional graduate enrolments must at least meet 1997/98 actual levels if divisions are to benefit fully from the tuition fee revenue sharing arrangement in 5 above.
BIU Enrollment five-year Moving Average will decrease for two more years but is expected to stabilize close to the funding corridor midpoint, see Figure 1.	The first of four annual OTO installments was added to the Graduate Student Aid budget in 1999/2000, to be used to assist departments as they increase international graduate student enrolment. The allocation for 1999/2000 was \$250,000 and for 2000/01 will be \$500,000 increasing to \$1M in 2002/03. Funding is being added to the Graduate student aid budget to help meet the cost of recommendations in the report of the Task Force on Graduate Student Financial Support. The amount increases from \$2.7 in 2000/01 to \$9.4M in 2003/04 generated by a new \$60M endowment fund. The Task Force recommended that an endowment of \$200M be raised, the administration proposes \$100M as being an attainable goal, the budget report adopts a more prudent assumption of \$60M by 2003/04.
BIU Enrollment five-year moving average will decrease for one more year and is projected to stabilize above the funding corridor mid-point, see Figure 1. The annual BIU count is currently at 3.6% above the corridor mid-point.	The funding to the graduate student aid budget is projected to increase by \$3.8M in 2001-02 and by a further \$8M in 2003-04 over the 2000-01 amount, this includes the funding described in 4 above and the Ontario Graduate Scholarships program (OGS). Effective the 2001/02 cycle, the OGS will be paid via the University and will have a 1 for 2 matching requirement.
The University will receive BIU funding for the corridor mid-point plus the increase in BIUs associated with first-entry and some second-entry undergraduate programs over their 2000-01 levels. There will also be a funding envelope for the remaining second-entry and all graduate program enrolment increases. The distribution algorithm for the latter has yet to be determined.	Graduate student funding from the University operating budget (excluding TA funding) increased by \$4.6M in 2001-02. This is projected to increase by a further \$5.1M in 2002-03 and by \$2.8M in 2003-04.

9. Domestic. Tuition Fee Rates: including for student aid, and is is assumed to be targeted revenue, Of this 75% of the revenue above 2% annually from 2000/1 to 2003/4. Government Operating Grants and of 5% in 1998/9 and 1999/2000. of the increase to student aid, receive 65% of the fee revenue, net purposes the academic divisions will planning process. For modeling levels by 1999/2000. The funding on average, doubled over 1995/6 Professional program fees will have, in 1998/9 and 1999/2000. quality may be developed in the changes in the deregulated programs to student aid, from the second 5% of the fee revenue, net of the increase unavailable for gereral operating that generated by an increase of CPI Tuition Fees shall increase by CPI + resulting from fee increases in excess Divisions will be determined in the which will flow to the Academic programs, fees will increase by 10% planning process. fee increase. Academic divisions will receive 65% in each of 1998/9 and 1999/2000. educational program improvements) quality and 5% for additional Fees in regulated programs to be The combined revenue from In the case of doctoral stream There will be a broad range of fee Increases that enhance program 1997/8 rates (5% to improve the increased an average of 10% on the to be used to improve the educationa entering in 1999/2000. 25% of the beyond the regulated maximum will be increased in 1999/2000 from plans to deal with the Double Increased revenue may also result Medical Trainees student loans for Postgraduate will provide interest remission on experience for Postgraduate Medical for student aid, will flow to the APF increased tuition revenue, net of 30% Postgraduate Medical Trainees A fee of \$1,950 was introduced for enhancement. improvement and quality divisions from the APF for program \$15.8M have been made to the Fund (APF). Allocations totaling was flowed to the Academic Priorities of the 30% directed to student aid, the tuition fee increases above 5%, net Sixty-five percent of the revenue from by 20%. continuing students will be increased students will be set at \$5,000; fees for increase of 10%. Fees for incoming undergraduate engineering programs Access to Opportunity Plan the fee for the acceptance of the University's programs except engineering. With approved in May 1998 for all A two-year Tuition Fee Schedule was Trainees. The funding for student aid Medicine, Dentistry, Management of Medicine, will be offset by It is assumed that any revenue loss, from the tuition fee increases in Tuition fees in the deregulated 2% annually, not compounded, from The University will increase tuition or in reduced expenses increased revenue from other sources the Task Force chaired by the Dean as a result of recommendations from modeled on a tuition fee of \$1,950. Postgraduate Medical Trainees is Tuition fee revenue from Faculty Retention Fund. quality enhancement and to the for program improvement and will flow to the APF to be allocated required 30% directed to student aid for the regulated programs, net of the excess of the percentage fee increase Sixty-five percent of the revenue in subsequent years. increases in all deregulated programs Revenue is modeled with 5% and Pharmacy as described in note 7. Science, Commerce, Business, Law, fees for programs in Computer 5% in 2000/01 with the exception of new students will also increase by tees in the deregulated programs for increase by 5% in 2000/01. Tuition programs for continuing students will 2000 to 2003 respectively. The remaining 2001/02, 2002/03 and 2003/04 and to \$4,029, \$4,107 and \$4,185 in permissible fee of \$3,951 in 2000/01 PE&H Programs to the maximum regulated tuition fees will increase by fees in Arts and Science, Music and 01. other deregulated programs in Trainees was implemented in 2000. assigned to Postgraduate Medical Force that a tuition fee cf zero be subsequent years. modeled with 5% increases in all described in note 1. Fee revenue is for the MBA program in Management in 2001/02 with the exception of fees new students will also ircrease by 5% fees in the deregulated programs for increase by 5% in 2001/02. Tuition programs for continuing students will The recommendation of the Task and the LLB program in Law as Tuition fees in the deregulated program in Dentistry and Programs, 2002-03. Schedule for Publicly Funded rates can be found in the Tuition Fee More complete details on tuition fee with 5% increases in all other Engineering. Fee revenue is modeled Science, CCIT at UTM and **Business Administration, Computer** undergraduate programs in Commerce, the LLB program in Law, the DDS for the MBA program in Management, in 2002/03 with the exception of fees new students will also increase by 5% fees in the deregulated programs for increase by 5% in 2002/03. Tuition programs for continuing students will Tuition fees in the deregulated will increase by 1.94%. deregulated programs in 2003-04 Tuition fees in the regulated programs

03/25/02

S

				10.
				10. Tuition Fee Rates: International.
	пы саж.	same \$ amount as they would from corresponding domestic fee revenue	the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees.  Academic divisions will receive the	Undergraduate and Graduate International Fees will increase by
undergraduate students under 9 above, will be flowed to the student aid budget.	undergraduate international students, revenue from tuition fee increases, equivalent to that for domestic	increases, equivalent to that for domestic students under 9 above, will be flowed to the APE. For	the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees.  Revenue from international tuition fee	The tuition fees for international engineering students will increase by
		corresponding domestic fees, see note 7.	in Computer Science, Commerce, Business and Managemen: will increase by the same \$ amount as the	Undergraduate and Graduate International Fees, except for those
		1.	in Computer Science, Commerce, Business and Managemen: will by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees see note increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees see note increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees see note increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees see note increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees see note increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees see note increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees see note increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees see note increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees see note increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees see note increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees see note increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees see note increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees see note increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees see note increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees see note increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees see note increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees see note increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees see note increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees see note increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees see note increase by the same \$ amount as the corresponding domestic fees by the same as the corresponding domestic fees by the corresponding domestic fees by the corresponding domestic fees by t	Undergraduate and Graduate International Fees, except for those in years, maintained a constant \$\\$
both fees and Government funding. This produces additional increases in a number of programs, see the <i>Tuition Fee Schedule for Publicly Funded Programs</i> , 2002-03 for more details.	o set mat differentiat, in generat, at a level where the international fee will generate the same revenue as that		differential over time between domestic and international fees by increasing the international fee by the same dollar	The University has, for a number of years, maintained a constant \$

6

Ξ Government Operating 1998/9; zero increase, possible loss envelope. This would represent an envelope to research infrastructure of \$1.3M in pay equity grants. including for student aid, and is is assumed to be targeted revenue, that generated by an increase of CPI Of this 75% of the revenue above Tuition Fees shall increase by CPI + Government Operating Grants and general operating purposes. student aid, and is unavailable for 2% in 2000/01. Of this 75% of the Tuition Fees shall increase by CPI + is NOT included in the projection. million to U of T. This assumption improvement of approximately \$10 \$72 million from base grants unavailable for general operating 2% annually from 2001/2 to 2003/4 targeted revenue, including for increase of CPI is assumed to be revenue above that generated by an Government Operating Grants and The combined revenue from Current policy objective is to shift The combined revenue from 1999/2000; increase of 1%. graduate financial assistance budget over 10 years for OGSST. The SGS will be realized. A loss of \$0.6M in pay equity grants purposes" is unavailable for general operating revenue, including for student aid, and CPI is assumed to be targeted previously that "75% of the revenue within the assumption made Grant funding. Rather it is contained level of ATOP and BEd Government no specific assumption is made on the the ATOP and BEd enrollment that still exists on the magnitude of 2000/01. In light of the uncertainty Government Grant funding for and beyond, no specific assumption is enrollment increases in 2000/2001 magnitude of the ATOP and BEd uncertainty that still exists on the these initiatives. In light of the enrolment increases related to ATOP Revenue in 1999/2000 from from plans to deal with the Double increased by \$2.6M New Provincial funding of \$1.7M above that generated by an increase of increases in 2000/2001 and beyond, made on the level of ATOP and BEd Growth Fund for expenses related to ATOP plans, flows to the Enrolment institutional overhead as per the Increased revenue may also result the OGSST Divisions has increased by \$2.6M The Graduate Student Aid Budget of these initiatives. for institutional overhead as per the years 1999-2003, is included in the revenue for the approved enrollment Provincial Government operating \$1.6M as a result of folding the pay and beyond will be reduced by annually in 2001/02 through is assumed to increase by 2% is assumed that the University will Government's Accessibility Fund. It ATOP plans, flows to the Enrolment projections. The revenue, less 23% BEd Program, the latter for the four increases under ATOP and in the equity grant into the base operating 2003/04. The revenue in 2000/01 Government operating grant revenue however, receive \$2.7M from the to participate in the Provincial Growth Fund for expenses related to Performance Fund in 2000/01. Toronto, the University will elect not Expansion at the University of Framework for Enrolmen: For 2000/01, consistent with Aof some \$7M, with its \$3.5M match, Ontario Graduate Scholarship funding and BEd Programs is included in the enrolment as well as undergraduate. consultation with the COU, modified and that the University will also annually in 2001/02 through 2003/04 grant revenue will increase by 2% funding, it is assumed that operating Fund. The MTCU has, in receive \$3.4M from the Performance Provincial Government on operating University related to these initiatives. Enrolment Growth Fund for expenses projections. The revenue, less 23% increases under ATOP and in the MD Provincial Government operating increases from \$2.7M to \$3.4M. Fund is calculated to include graduate the base on which the Performance In the absence of information from the will now be distributed by the for institutional overhead, flows to the revenue for the approved enrollment As a result the University's share costs.

The University did not receive the assumed 2% increase in operating grant funding to cover increased costs in 2001-02. For 2002-03 the budget model assumes that additional revenue, funding, will be received either in the funding, will be received either in the form of an increase in operating grant revenue or from a relaxation of the 2% cap on tuition fees in the regulated programs. In 2003-04 it is assumed that the operating grant revenue will increase by 2% to cover increased costs.

03/25/02

14.	13.	12.	11a
Research Overhead and Royalties	Overhead Charges to Self-Funded Academic Programs	Government Operating Grants and Tuition Fee Rates, 2000/1 to 2003/4.	Canada Research Chairs Program
All increases in the general university portion of research overhead and royalties income will be used to finance the technology transfer plan initiative through to the year 2000. A decision concerning revenue beyond that point is required.	Recertly approved or proposed Self-Funded Programs:  M Eng (Telecommunications)  M Sc (Mathematics fcr Finance)  B Sc (Radiation Sciences).  Certificate (Case Management)	This has been merged into rows 9 and 11.	
The current arrangement of using increased overhead revenues to fund the Tech Transfer Strategy will be reviewed in 1999/2000 and a decision taken on future disbursement of any increase in the General University portion of overhead and royalty revenue. Overhead revenue will be one source of funding for the operating cost of new space.  Substantial new overhead from Provincial Centers of Excellence, CFI/ORDCF research projects and related industrial contracts.	Recently approved or proposed Self-Funded Programs: Qualifying Program (Dentistry)	This has been merged into rows 9 and 11.	
Substantial new overhead revenue was realized principally from CFI/ORDCF research projects and related industrial contracts.	Recently approved or proposed Self-Funded Programs: Global Executive MBA Joint MEng degree in Design and Manufacturing Diploma Program in Professional Pilot and Aviation Management	This has been merged into rows 9 and 11.	Projected revenue from the Federal Government's Canada Research Chairs Program, together with funds released from the salary budget, is flowed to the Canada Research Chairs Fund (CRCF). A component is retained for central indirect expense and the remainder will be allocated to the Divisions in accordance with Divisional Plans and the Program requirements.
There continues to be a modest increase in research overhead revenue.		This has been merged into rows 9 and 11.	A refining of the input cata on research funding has produced an increase of 20 in the number of Canada Research Chairs allocated to the University.
The Federal Government introduced a fund of \$200M for the indirect costs of Federally supported research in 2001-02. The University's share, excluding the affiliated teaching hospitals, is projected at \$14.6M. This is a first step in a program where the level of indirect costs is expected to double to 40% over time. The budget model assumes that the university's share will remain at \$14.6M in 2002-03 and increase to \$21.9M in 2003-04.			

8 03/25/02

17.	16.	15.	14a
Student Aid	Revenue Contribution from Ancillary Operations	Matching Strategy for Endowed Chairs	Research Performance Fund
30% of all revenue from domestic and international tuition fee increases will be directed to student aid 1998/9 and 1999/2000.	No new strategies at present. Income potential from Food Service Ancillary and possible creation of Real Estate Ancillary.	Current strategy calls for 75 matched chairs to be achieved by 1999/2000, for increased leverage on General University Income of \$1.9 million. To date, 52 chair agreements have been completed, and 7 are in progress.	
The total student aid budget for 1998/99 was \$43M. It will increase to \$57M in 1999/2000 and \$65M in the steady state as all of the OSOTF and redirected tuition fee revenue becomes available.	Food Service Ancillary contributing \$250,000 in steady state. Ancillaries will contribute \$2.7M to General University and particular Divisional Inceme in 1999/2000.	To date, 77 EAF matched chair agreements have been completed. New, simplified matched chair program approved which is neutral to the budget bottom line but does not provide leverage on General University Income. A total of \$1.3M has been realized from chair agreements under the former strategy.	
The total student aid budget for 1999/2000 was \$58.9M. It is projected to increase to \$67.8M in 2000/01 and \$82.8M in the steady state as all of the OSOTF, OGSST, redirected tuition fee revenue and new graduate student aid becomes available.	The Parking Ancillary contribution to general university income is projected to decrease as underground parking garages replaces surface parking lots. The ancillaries are budgeted to contribute \$2.3M to general university income in 2000/01 reducing to \$1.7M in 2003/04.	To date, 98 EAF matched chair agreements have been completed.	The recent Provincial Bucget included the creation of a \$30M Ontario Research Performance Fund to cover indirect costs associated with Ontario-funded research. Distribution to Universities and Colleges is based on an Institution's share of Ontario research funding. The University's share of the funding is estimated at \$12.5M.
The total student aid budget for 2000/01 was \$74.5M. It is projected to increase to \$84.1M in 2001/02 and \$101.7M in the steady state as all of the OSOTF, OGSST, OGS, redirected tuition fee revenue and new graduate student aid becomes available.	There will be a further loss of revenue from the Parking Ancillary of \$0.8M when parking is eliminated from King's College Circle	To date, 118 EAF matched chair agreements have been completed.	It is assumed that the University's share of the Ontario Research Performance Fund will continue to be \$12.5 annually.
The total student support budget for 2001/02 was \$84.8M. It is projected to increase to \$92.9M in 2002/03.	The land rent contribution from the Parking Ancillary is projected at \$1.1M in 2002-03 and \$1.2M in 2003-04.  It is projected that the Food Service Ancillary will no longer contribute to general university income, a loss of \$0.25M.	A total of 164 endowed chairs have been raised in the Campaign bringing the total number of endowed chairs at the University to 178. Of the 164, a total of 143 were matched chairs with the remaining 21 being fully endowed.	The \$12.5M share from the Ontario Research Performance Fund received in 2000-01 included \$3.7M for hospital based research leaving the University with a net of \$8.8M. This dropped to \$5.4M in 2001-02 and is projected to be \$6.9M in 2002-03 and 2003-04. The reduction is the result of a drop in the University's share of Ontario research funding used in the allocation algorithm.

19.	18.
Compensation	Contractual Obligations to Affiliated Institutions
Agreements in place with UTSA and SMG through to 1999/2000.  Agreement in place with UTFA and the unions to 19989.  Assumptions:  UTSA: 1.5% ATB plus merit annually from 2000/1 to 2003/4.  SMG: 0% ATB, 3% merit annually from 2000/1 to 2003/4.  UTFA: 1.5% plus PTR annually from 1999/2000 to 2003/4.  Unions: 1.5% ATB annually from 1999/2000 to 2003/4.  Unions: 1.5% ATB annually from 1999/2000 to 2003/4.  Introduction of differential replacement salary provision for different disciplinery groups, rather than a single institution-wide replacement value, e.g., a constant PTR recovery.  Academic salary anomaly and retenton fund: \$500,000 annually.  Administrative Salary Anomalies: \$80,000 annually.	New agreement with Sheridan College included in long-range projection.  Memorandum of Agreement with Federated Universities is being renegotiated. For modeling purposes it is assumed that changes will be neutral to the budget.
First contract negotiations are under way with the United Steelworkers of America (USWA). Memorandum of Agræment negotiations are also underway with UTFA.  The PTR recovery will be set at \$65.000 plus benefits in 2000/01 and will be indexed in subsequent years by the ATB salary increases. Divisions requiring starting salaries greater than \$65.000 should make the case in their response to Raising Our Sights. The cost will be met from the APF.  Academic salary anomaly and retention fund increased to \$900,000 annually beginning 2000/2001.  Administrative Salary Anomaly budget reduced to \$40,000 annually.	Memorandum of Agreement with Federated Universities has been signed; the increased cost to the budget is estimated at \$260,000 (4.6%).  Memorandum of Agreement with TST under review. Block Grant flat-lined to 2003/04 pending outcome of review.
Agreements in place with UTFA, USWA, CUPE 1230, CUPE 3261 OPSU 578 and University Workers Local 1 through to 2001/02 and most OPSU 578 and University Workers Local 1 through to 2001/02 and most UTFA: 1.5% plus PTR annually in 2002/03 and 2003/4.  Assumptions:  Assumptions: UTFA: 1.5% plus PTR annually in 2002/03 and 2003/4.  SMG: 0% ATB, 4% merit in 2000/01 and 0% ATB, 3.5% merit annually 2001/02 through 2003/4. Other Managers and Confidentials: 2% plus merit in 2000/01 and 1.5% plus merit annually 2001/02 to 2003/04.  Other Managers and Confidentials: 2% plus merit in 2000/01 and 1.5% plus merit annually 2001/02 to 2003/04.  These assumptions are for modeling purposes only and do not represent a strategy for salary negotiations. These assumptions are for modeling purposes only and do not represent a strategy for salary negotiations. The Faculty Retention Fund will be set at \$1.5M in 2000/01, \$1.3M in 2001/02 and \$1.0M in 2001/03 and additional base budget cut cut 2003/04.	Enrollment levels at the Toronto School of Theology (TST) have been below target in recent years and the new Director has been asked to submit an enrollment plan. Funding to TST will be flat lined pending the receipt of the plan.
Agreements in place with UTFA, USWA, CUPE 1230, CUPE 3261 OPSU 578 and University Workers Local 1 through to 2001/02.  Assumptions: UTFA: 2% plus PTR annually in 2002/03 and 2003/4.  SMG: 0% ATB, 4% merit annually 2002/03 and 2003/4.  Other Managers and Confidentials: 2% plus merit annually 2002/03 and 2003/4.  Unions: 2% ATB annually in those years beyond their current contract.  These assumptions are for modeling purposes only and do not represent a strategy for salary negotiations.  If compensation agreements result in ATB increases in excess of 2%, additional base budget cut of -0.09% additional base budget cut of -0.09%	The BIU eligible enrolment at TST appears to have stabilized at ~575 BIUs, substantially below their corridor mid-point of 717. A new mid-point of 585 has been proposed and funding reduced accordingly with the reduction phased over 3 years. There will be a saving to the budget of \$0.125M ir 2001/02 growing to \$0.375M ir 2003/04.
Agreements are in place with CUPE 3902 (TA union) to April 2005 and with the unions representing the trades to 2003/04,  Assumptions for the total cost of compensation increases, including costs associated with benefits improvements, for the remaining employee groups are:  UTFA: 3% plus cost of PTR annually in 2002/03 and 2003/04.  Other employees 3% annually 2002/03 and 2003/04.  These assumptions are for modeling purposes only and do not represent a strategy for salary negotiations.	The TST Theology Grant will be reduced by \$0.04M in 2002-03 and a further \$0.09 in 2003-04 as a result of the new corridor mid-point agreement. There was a reduction of \$0.014 in 2001-02.

10 03/25/02

21.	20.
Utilities Costs	Employer Benefis Costs
Utility costs assumed to increase at CPI pius 0.5% per annum beyond 1998/9.	Proposed introduction of standard benefit cost rate: 18.25% for appointed staff, 8.25% for nonappointed staff. Substantial increase in CPP premiums anticipated which would be partially offset by a decrease in UI premiums.  Current service cost of pension reduced effective 1997/8. Current service cost of SRA included in pension contribution budget.  Current service costs of the main registered pension plan are assumed to be available until 2001/2, (to be reviewed annually). Funds to be redirected to finance the SRA with balance transferred to the University Infrastructure Investment Fund and Transitional Funds.  The operating grant portion of the LTD insurance plan rebate will be transferred to the University Infrastructure Investment Fund and Transitional Funds.
Cost increase of \$600,000 predicted in 1999/2000, principally because of increased gas prices.	A contingency of \$2.2M will be provided for the benefits budget in 1999/2000. The standard benefit rates will be reviewed for 2000/01 and adjusted, if necessary, to better reflect actual experience.  Thereview of the strategy on funding the University pension plans has determined that the funds available for transfer to the UIIF in 1999-2000, 2000-01 and 2001-02 can be increased by \$4.3M, \$4.3M and \$5.0M respectively.
An increase of \$2.0M in base is projected for 2000/01, of which \$1.1M is from rate increases and \$0.5M results from the negative variance in the current year.  Increasing costs for natural gas contributes \$0.8M to the increase.	The standard benefit cost rate will increase to 18.75% for appointed staff and 9.5% for non-appointed staff. The Benefits budget will increase by \$6.6M in 2000/01 over the revised 1999/2000 budget, this is \$3.3M more than the increase projected previously for this year. The revised 1999/2000 budget was approved in April 1999 and included a \$2.2M addition.  The balance of funds available from the current service pension cost provision in the operating budget for transfer to the University Infrastructure Investment Fund (UIIF) and the Transitional Funds in 2000/01 is \$17.7M and in 2001/02 is \$18.6M. The balance in 2002/03 (\$19.4M) will be used for approved matching endowment programs and in 2003/04 (\$16.3M) will be transferred to the UIIF.
Based on current estimates of gas and steam prices, the 2000/01 actual energy expense will be some \$3M above budget. The budget for 2001/02 projects an increase of \$5M, or approximately 24%, over the 2000/01 budget.	The Benefits budget is projected to increase by \$4.2M in 2001/02, with further increases of \$4.4M and \$4.0M in 2002/03 and 2003/04 respectively.  The balance of funds available from the current service pension cost provision in the operating budget for transfer to the University  Infrastructure Investment Fund (UIIF) and the Transition Funds in 2001/02 is \$18.6M. The balance in 2002/03 (\$19.8M) will be used for approved matching endowment programs and in 2003/04 (\$21.2M) will be transferred to the UIIF.
Rate increases of 33.8% (including an 11.8% debt surcharge) for electricity, 20.3% for steam and water and reductions of 18.2% for natural gas and 9.1% for oil are projected in 2001/02. The result of these rate changes together with the commissioning of the BCIT, the purchase of 500 University Ave etc. is projected to produce utilities cost increases of \$4.6M in 2002-03 and a further \$2.0M in 2003-04.	The increase in the benefits budget for 2002/03 is projected to be \$2.8M over the 2001-02 budget, a reduction of \$1.6M from the \$4.4M signaled last year. The 2001-02 actual expenditures were \$2.2M under budget. The budget for 2003-04 is projected to be a further \$5.0M larger than in 2002-03. The standard benefit rates will remain at 19.5% for appointed employees and at 9.5% for non-appointed employees. The Vice-Presidents Business Affairs and Human Relations are reviewing benefits costs with the aim of reducing the magnitude of the continuing cost escalation.  In 2003-04, \$14M of the balance of funds available from the current service pension cost provision in the operating budget will be used for approved matching endowment programs. The remainder of the funds in 2003-04 and all the funds in 2002-03 will be used to offset the need for OTO deficit reduction control measures.

Ξ

24.	23.	22.
Library Acquisitions	Cost of Leased Space	Operating cost of New or Newly Renovated Space
Policy to be reviewed during 1999/2000. Current policy is producing annual increases of just over 4%.	Cost forecast to decline from \$962,000 in 1997/8 to approximately \$200,000 in 2003/4. Savings are being used on an OTO basis to fund moving and renovation costs.	These additional costs will be the responsibility of the Division occupying the space. Funds may be requested from the Academic Priorities Fund, (or the Administrative Priorities Fund, as appropriate).
Original assumption retained pending outcome of review to be conducted in 1999/2000.	Costs of leased space now predicted to decrease to \$325,000 due to pressure for space on campus.	The Centre for Information Technology is expected to be the first new building where this policy will apply.
The assumption currently in the budget model of a 4.2% annual increase in the Library Acquisition Budget will be retained. The policy on the provision of inflation protection for the library's acquisition budget is being reviewed; the algorithm for determining annual changes to the budget is also being examined.	Costs of leased space now predicted to decrease to \$556,000 in 2003/04 due to pressure for space on campus, particularly transition space during new construction.	With the acquisition of the OISE Building from the Ontario Realty Corporation, the University will assume full responsibility for maintenance and operating costs for the building, \$0.5M will be added to Facilities and Services budget in 2001/02 with partial funding in 2000/2001. There will also be a reduction of \$2.2M in revenue and an offsetting reduction in lease expense.
The assumption currently in the budget model of a 4.2% annual increase in the Library Acquisition Budget will be retained pending the outcome of the review of the policy on the provision of inflation protection for the library's acquisition budget and the algorithm for determining annual changes to the budget.	Costs of leased space now predicted to be \$795,417 in 2003/04. The increase is principally due to the space requirements of the Research & International Relations and Development portfolios.	
	Costs of leased space now predicted to be \$951,216 in 2003/04. A small group under the direction of the Vice-Provost Space and Facilities Planning is reviewing the need for leased space in light of recent property acquisitions.	

12

26.	25.
Transitional Funds	Priorities Funds
Requirement for transitional funding will diminish with the revised funding approach to benefit costs. Funding will be provided through transfer of available pension current service cost funds and the LTD rebate.	Annual provision of 1.5% in base to provide for both academic and administrative priorities in years 2000/I to 2003/4.
	The APF will be augmented by \$5.0M Some \$10.3M of additional revenue in the years 2000/1 to 2003/4 as from tuition fee increases in excess revenue from the 1998/99 and of the percentage increases in excess regulated programs will be available in the APF for program improvement. Analysis of the Business Plans for new student residences indicate that, in the absence of a significant down-payment, a subsidy will be required to maintain room rates at an affordable level. \$1.7M will be added to the APF to provide assistance to Colleges undertaking new residence construction.
The balance of funds available from the current service pension cost provision in the operating budget for transfer to the Transition Funds in 2000/01 is \$3.6M, and in 20001/02 is \$3.8M.	Some \$10.3M of additional revenue from tuition fee increases in excess of the percentage increase for the regulated programs will be available in the APF for program improvement and quality enhancement.  Analysis of the Business Plans for new student residences indicate that, in the absence of a significant downpayment, a subsidy will be required to maintain room rates at an affordable level. \$1.7M will be added to the APF to provide assistance to Colleges undertaking new residence construction.
	Some \$1.8M of additional revenue from tuition fee increases in excess of the percentage increase for the regulated programs will be available in the APF for program improvement and quality enhancement.
	Some \$2.2M of additional revenue from tuition fee increases in excess of 5% will be available in the APF in 2002-03 and a further \$3.8M in 2003-04 for program improvement and quality enhancement.
	Transitional Funds Requirement for transitional funding will diminish with the revised funding approach to benefit costs. Funding will be provided through transfer of available pension current service cost funds and the LTD rebate.

13 03/25/02

Appendix B, Contractual Obligations and Policy Commitments (COPC) List.

_
`~
ö
ž
=
₹.
ถ
<b>ゴ</b>
≒
Σ
0
œ
BLIK
w
Α
ᆏ
ž
Ø
⇉
0
₽
∄
=
⋝
Œ
H
ĭ
ISNI
ű
ZI Z
=
¥
S

ੁਸ
ō.
<u>u</u>
¥
ä
6
ă
0
~
≚
•
9
œ
(i)
5
ĕ
ñ
~
g
펄
≓
3
C
_
7
~
77
۳

- TST Theology Grant

- Sheridan College transfer payment

TOTAL-CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS TO AFFILIATED INSTITUTIONS

2. OTHER CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

- Lease Cost of Off-Campus Space

- Interest Payments re Medical & Related Sciences Discovery Dis

TOTAL- OTHER CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

\* SEE COPC CONTINGENCY

1,420,371	0	(97,919)	0	(97,919)	1,518,290
463,090		0			463,090
957,281		(97,919)		(97,919)	1,055,200
9,333,600	300,000	177,058	0	177,058	8,856,542
441,047		(8,557)		(8,557)	449,604
1,921,629		(60,654)		(60,654)	1,982,283
6,970,924	300,000	246,269		246,269	6,424,655
Total 2002-2003 BUDGET	0.⊤.0	 Total	Other		2001-2 BUDGET

3. IN
Z
Z.
2
≓
Ċ
=
0
Z
₽
Ę
$\mathbf{\Sigma}$
_
Ξ
6
×
~
O
2
3
≦
-
~
Ф
=
Ś

2001-2 BUDGET

Price Inflation & Formula Adjustments

Other

Total

0.7.0

Total 2002-2003 BUDGET

- Environmental Health & Safety - Certification Training & transfer	- Drinking Water Regulation Testing - F&S.	- Radioactive & Chemical Waste Disposal *	- Pension Consulting	-Legal Fees *	- Job Accomadation Fund/ Program	- Negotiating Expenses (c)TA	- Negotiating Expenses (b)USWA	- Negotiating Expenses (a)UTFA	- Membership fees : -A.U.C.CCOU Subscriptions -Total Other Membership TOTAL- Membership Fees

3,939,294	117,000	883,630	82,000	801,630	2,938,664
32,000		32,000	32,000		0
59,000	54,000	5,000		5,000	0
370,000		0			370,000
50,000		25,000	25,000		25,000
2,368,222		833,222		833,222	1,535,000
25,000		25,000	25,000		
19,694		300		300	19,394
78,000	63,000	0			15,000
75,037		(40,000)		(40,000)	115,037
862,341		3,108	0	3,108	859,233
66,860		(5.432)	(15,000)	9,568	72,292
631,684		1,931	15,000	(13,069)	629,753
163,797		6,609		6,609	157,188

\* SEE COPC CONTINGENCY

TOTAL-INSTITUTIONAL STATUTORY COMMITMENTS

0 1,261,131		1,261,131	1,261,131	0	0
13,103		13,103	13,103	0	0
40,295		40,295	40,295	0	0
19,363		19,363	19,363	0	0
13,035		13,035	13,035	0	0
39,330		39,330	39,330	0	0
1,136,005		1,136,005	1,136,005	0	0
	And the second of the second o				
0 30,314,561		4,577,713	2,111,943	2,465,770	25,736,848
2,695,411		524,055	209,520	314,535	2,171,356
2,183,659		312,516		312,516	1,871,143
		<u>.</u>	j		1
173,561		173,561 3 741 142	1 902 423	173,561	21 694 349
(1,230,000)		(1,230,000)		(1,230,000)	0
(338,467)		(338,467)		(338,467)	0
250,000		250,000	250,000		0
26,580,397		4,886,048	1,652,423	3,233,625	21,694,349
Total 2002-2003 BUDGET	0.7.0	Total	Other	Price Inflation & Formula Adjustments	2001-2 BUDGET
20-Mar-02 11:21 AM				U 0 0	

TOTAL - UTILITIES

- Utilities : Erindale

Utilities : Scarborough

- Flue Heat Recovery Project Sub total - St George Utilities

5. ADDITIONAL COST OF NEW SPACE

- BCIT Building 100%

- Gerstein Addition - 9/12's

- Utilities: St George

Relamping Project

- Co-Generation Savings

Energy Management Fund

4. UTILITIES

Page 3

- Sid Smith Infill - 8/12's

- Canadiana Building

- Haultain Bldg Conversion

- Earth Science Greenhouse - 10/12's

Price Inflation

Total

Price Inflation

2001-2 & Formula

BUDGET Adjustments Other Total O.T.O BUDGET

210,443 163,040 2,000 0 (20,000) 0 (18,000) 0 00 0 643,143 221,607 864,750 864,750 643,143 221,607 192,443 163,040

6. PAID LEAVE COMMITMENTS

- UTFA Released Time

- USWA/CUPE Released Time (a) (Incl. T/F to EHS \$20k)

Administrative leaves:
 University Administration
 Federated & Constituent Colleges

Total Administrative Leaves

TOTAL- PAID LEAVE COMMITMENTS

373,483

2,000

(20,000)

(18,000)

864,750

1,220,233

\* SEE COPC CONTINGENCY

•	Т	1
	-	;
:	<	•
	F	•
Į	Г	-

Management	Innis College	Dentistry	Audio Visual Library	Graduate Institutes & Centres		-Automation	-Books *	Law		-Automation	-Periodicals *	-Books *	Erindale		-Automation	-Periodicals *	-Books *	Scarborough		-Automation	-Periodicals *	-Books *	<ul> <li>Library acquisitions</li> <li>St George (excl. binding)</li> </ul>	7. LIBRARY ACQUISITIONS COMMITMENTS			
51,363	9,642	5,549	71,373	41,971	778,085	137,796	128,058		1,171,117	196,054	704,107	270,956		1,122,817	0	0	1,122,817		17,128,542	3,637,194	7,986,654	5,504,694			BUDGET	2001-2	
2,132	400	230	2,962	1,742	32,291	5,719	5,314		48,601	8,136	29,220	11,245		46,597	0	0	46,597		710,835	150,944	331,446	228,445			Adjustments	Price Inflation	Base
					0				0					0					0						Other		
2,132	400	230	2,962	1,742	32,291	5,719	5,314		48,601	8,136	29,220	11,245		46,597	0	0	46,597		710,835	150,944	331,446	228,445			Total		i
					0				0					0					0						0.7.0		
53,495	10,042	5,779	74,335	43,713	810,375	143,514	133,372 533 489		1,219,718	204,190	733,328	282,200		1,169,414	0	0	1,169,414		17,839,377	3,788,138	8,318,100	5,733,139			BUDGET	Total 2002-2003	1

21,298,921	0	848,685	0	848,685	20,450,236
7,533		300		300	7,233
40,273		1,605		1,605	38,668
18,086		721		721	17,365
6,781		270		270	6,510
Total 2002-2003 BUDGET	0.1.0	Total	Other	Price Inflation & Formula Adjustments	2001-2 BUDGET

TOTAL - LIBRARY ACQUISITIONS

Fine Arts

New College

Music

University College

Page 6

œ
0
-
m
₩
~
ъ
0
ř.
$\overline{}$
•
~
0
×
$\mathbf{Q}$
3
=
$\geq$
_
=
-
m
Z
S

- Expenses Funded from Overhead on Federal Research Grant	- Expenses Funded from Overhead on Contract Research (note 1)	8. OTHER POLICY COMMITMENTS
•	0	
0		
0 3,652,179		
3,652,179	0	
	7,635,480	
3,652,179	7,635,480	

2001-2 BUDGET

Price Inflation & Formula Adjustments

Other

Total

0.7.0

Total 2002-2003 BUDGET

TOTAL- OTHER POLICY COMMITMENTS	- Governing Council Elections	- Insurance	- Amortization of UIIF Loan	- Overhead on University Student Assistance	- Expenses Funded from Overhead on Federal Research Grant
4,284,704	32,390	860,208	2,778,538	613,568	0
258,762 6,483,9	370	0	0	258,392	0
6,483,967			2,831,788		3,652,179
967 6,742,729 7,635,480	370	0	2,831,788	258,392	3,652,179
7,635,480					
18,662,913	32,760	860,208	5,610,326	871,960	3,652,179

(Note 1: OTO Budget in 2001-02 \$7,047,363)

TOTAL - ITEMS 1 TO 9

9. COPC CONTINGENCY

67,162,445 4,455,986 10,606,905 15,062,890 8,917,230

91,142,565

20-Mar-02 11:21 AM

FINAL

Page 8

CONTINGENCY COVERAGE OF RISK 2001-2002 @ 75%	TOTAL	CONTINGENCY ITEMS Library books (currency fluctuations) Legal Fees UTFA Negotiation Expense Hazardous Waste Disposal Costs
	2,738,222 7,660,278 4,922,056	LOW HIGH RANGE  0 4,200,000 4,200,000 2,368,222 2,960,278 592,056 0 25,000 25,000 370,000 475,000 105,000
	7,660,278	HIGH 4,200,000 2,960,278 25,000 475,000
3,691,542	4,922,056	HIGH RANGE  4,200,000 4,200,000 2,960,278 592,056 25,000 25,000 475,000 105,000
3,891,542	4,922,056 0	BASE 4,200,000 592,056 25,000 105,000
0	0	ОТО