

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
THE GOVERNING COUNCIL
REPORT NUMBER 389 OF
THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Wednesday, June 22, 2005

To the Governing Council,
University of Toronto.

Your Committee reports that it held a meeting on Wednesday, June 22, 2005 at 5:00 p.m. in the Boardroom, Simcoe Hall, with the following members present:

Ms Rose M. Patten (In the Chair)
Mr. John F. (Jack) Petch, Vice-Chair
The Honourable Frank Iacobucci, Interim
President
Mr. Brian Davis
The Honourable William G. Davis
Ms Susan Eng
Dr. Shari Graham Fell
Ms Françoise Dulcinea Ko
Professor Michael R. Marrus
Mr. Timothy Reid
Professor Arthur S. Ripstein
Professor Barbara Sherwood Lollar
Mr. Robert S. Weiss

Non-Voting Member:

Mr. Louis R. Charpentier

Secretariat:

Mr Andrew Drummond, Secretary

Regrets:

Mr. Ari Kopolovic

In Attendance:

Professor Brian Corman, Vice-Chair, Academic Board and member of the Governing Council
Dr. Robert Bennett, Chair, University Affairs Board and member of the Governing Council
Professor Vivek Goel, Vice-President and Provost and member of the Governing Council
Ms Jacqueline Orange, Chair, Business Board and member of the Governing Council
Ms. Catherine Riggall, Vice-President, Business Affairs
Dr. Beata FitzPatrick, Assistant Vice-President and Director, Office of the President

On motion duly moved and seconded,

IT WAS RESOLVED

THAT, pursuant to sections 28 (e) and 33 of *By-Law Number 2*, consideration of items 1-5 take place *in camera*, with the Board Chairs and Vice-Presidents admitted to facilitate the work of the Committee.

1. Senior Appointments

On motion duly moved and seconded,

IT WAS RESOLVED

THAT the Executive Committee endorse and forward to the Governing Council for consideration the recommendations for senior appointments included in the memoranda from the President dated June 15, 2005.

On motion duly moved and seconded,

IT WAS RESOLVED

THAT pursuant to Section 38 of By-Law Number 2, the recommendations be considered by the Governing Council *in camera*.

THE COMMITTEE RETURNED TO CLOSED SESSION.

2. Board and Committee Assignments, 2005-2006

On motion duly moved and seconded,

IT WAS RESOLVED

THAT the proposal from the Chair for Board and Committee assignments for 2005-06 be recommended to the Governing Council for approval.

On motion duly moved and seconded,

IT WAS RESOLVED

THAT pursuant to Section 38 of By-Law Number 2, the recommendation be considered by the Governing Council *in camera*.

3. Committee for Honorary Degrees: Membership

On motion duly moved and seconded,

IT WAS RESOLVED

THAT the recommendation from the Academic Board concerning the membership of the Committee for Honorary Degrees for 2005-06 be endorsed and forwarded to the Governing Council for approval.

On motion duly moved and seconded,

IT WAS RESOLVED

THAT pursuant to Section 38 of By-Law Number 2, the recommendation be considered by the Governing Council *in camera*.

4. External Appointments

(a) University of Toronto Innovations Foundation

On motion duly moved and seconded,

IT WAS RESOLVED

THAT the following individuals be approved and nominated as members and directors of the University of Toronto Innovations Foundation, effective immediately, for one year terms until the 2006 annual meeting of the Corporation or until their successors are appointed:

Professor Vivek Goel
Professor John Challis
Ms. Catherine Riggall

(b) Sunnybrook and Women's College Health Sciences Centre

On motion duly moved and seconded,

IT WAS RESOLVED

THAT the following appointment and re-appointments to the Board of Directors of Sunnybrook and Women's College Health Sciences Centre be approved:

Mr. David A. Leslie for a term of three years from July 1, 2005 to June 30, 2008 (new appointment on recommendation of the Sunnybrook (SHSC) Corporation);

Ms. Heather Reid, for a term of three years from July 1, 2005 to June 30, 2008 (reappointment on recommendation of the Sunnybrook (SHSC) Corporation); and

Ms. Judith Wolfson, for a term of three years from July 1, 2005 to June 30, 2008 (reappointment as an unaffiliated director).

(c) University of Toronto Asset Management Corporation

On motion duly moved and seconded,

IT WAS RESOLVED

THAT Professor C. David Naylor be approved and nominated as member and director of the University of Toronto Asset Management Corporation until the 2006 annual meeting of the Corporation and until his successor is appointed, effective July 1, 2005.

5. Namings

(a) Dean David Naylor Student Commons

On motion duly moved and seconded,

IT WAS RESOLVED

THAT the Stone Lobby in the Medical Sciences Building be named the “Dean David Naylor Student Commons”, effective upon the conclusion of Dr. Naylor’s term as President of the University of Toronto.

(b) Mary Cone Barrie Atrium Café

The Chair read into the record the following motion, which had been approved earlier by special ballot:

On motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR COMMITTEE APPROVED

THAT the the open space at the entrance to 158 St. George Street be officially named the “Mary Cone Barrie Atrium Café”.

The Committee returned to closed session.

6. Report of the Previous Meeting

Report 388 of the Executive Committee meeting held on May 18, 2005 was approved. A member brought one correction to the attention of the Secretary.

7. Business Arising from the Report of the Previous Meeting

Professor Goel reported that Professor John Challis, Vice-President, Research and Associate Provost, would be submitting a written report to the Governing Council in order to update them on the policies surrounding externally funded research, specifically with regards to research funded by military sources. A member thanked Professor Goel for addressing the questions raised by members of the Governing Council.

The Chair then informed members that she had received a request to speak at the June 29, 2005 meeting of the Governing Council from the Association of Part-Time Undergraduate Students (APUS) on the issue of research funded by military sources. After discussion, the Chair determined that the APUS representative would be granted three minutes to speak, and that the speaker would be recognized under ‘Reports for Information’ (Report Number 388 of the Executive Committee). It was further determined that APUS should provide its statement in advance to the Chair.

Members noted their disappointment that the University of Toronto Faculty Association (UTFA) had not supported the administration in protecting the rights of faculty members to engage in curiosity-driven research funded by external agencies.

8. Minutes of the Governing Council Meetings

Members received for information the minutes of the Governing Council meetings held on April 26, 2005 and April 28, 2005.

9. Business Arising from the Governing Council Meetings

There was no business arising from the Governing Council meetings.

10. Report of the President

On motion duly moved and seconded,

IT WAS RESOLVED

THAT, pursuant to sections 28 (e) and 33 of *By-Law Number 2*, consideration of item 10 take place *in camera*, with the Board Chairs and Vice-Presidents admitted to facilitate the work of the Committee.

The President reported on several matters relating to the transition to the new Presidency and on the status of various items arising from the 2005 Ontario Budget.

The Committee returned to closed session.

11. Items for Endorsement and Forwarding to Governing Council

(a) Arising from Report Number 136 of the Academic Board (June 2, 2005)

(a) *Item 9 - Policy on Scheduling of Classes and Examinations and Other Accommodations for Religious Observances*

Professor Corman reported that the Academic Board had been advised that the Policy had been developed to codify University practice for the past number of years with respect to accommodating students from a variety of faith groups for religious observances. He noted that one Department had been successfully accommodating a wide variety of religious observances for over 35 years.

Dr. Bennett reported that the University Affairs Board had received the proposed policy for information and had had a substantial discussion of it. Although the matter was within the jurisdiction of the Academic Board, he had undertaken to bring reservations expressed by some members of the University Affairs Board (noting that the views were not those of the Board as a whole) to the attention of the Governing Council, namely: the concern that the requirement that faculty make 'every reasonable accommodation' was too open to interpretation; the concern that the proposed policy had no mechanism for appeal; and third, that there were questions about how students would become aware of their rights under the policy.

During discussion, members noted that the Policy seemed to address the core principles of common sense and human decency, which would themselves resolve the vast majority of issues that might arise. It was clarified that the Policy would not replace any previous resolutions by the Governing Council, and that the Policy reflected current practice. In response to questions, Professor Goel noted that the approach taken by the Policy – to accommodate as reasonably as possible without disadvantaging any students – was

11. Items for Endorsement and Forwarding to Governing Council (cont'd.)

(a) Arising from Report Number 136 of the Academic Board (June 2, 2005)(cont'd.)

(a) Item 9 - Policy on Scheduling of Classes and Examinations and Other Accommodations for Religious Observances (cont'd.)

preferable to the provision of a list of specific days for which all activities should be cancelled. The administration had attempted to capture principles that had guided the University and jurisprudence more generally. The aim was to encourage the University to be generous in interpreting religious requirements while balancing the rights of the rest of the community.

On motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR COMMITTEE ENDORSED AND FORWARDED to the Governing Council for consideration the recommendation

THAT the Policy on Scheduling of Classes and Examinations and Other Accommodations for Religious Observances, a copy of which is attached to Report Number 136 of the Academic Board as Appendix "A", be approved, effective immediately.

(b) Item 10 - Creation of New Academic Ranks

Professor Corman informed the Committee that the Academic Board had been advised that the creation of new ranks was necessary to keep those appointed in CUPE 3902 Unit 3 (i.e., stipendiary instructors) separate and distinct from those under the Policy and Procedures on Academic Appointments and represented by the University of Toronto Faculty Association (UTFA).

On motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR COMMITTEE ENDORSED AND FORWARDED to the Governing Council for consideration the recommendation

THAT the ranks of Sessional Lecturer I and Sessional Lecturer II, be designated as academic ranks for the purposes of clause 1(1)(m) of the *University of Toronto Act, 1971* effective July 1, 2005.

(c) Item 11- Statement of Commitment to Retired Faculty Members and Librarians

Professor Corman reported that the Academic Board had heard that this Statement had resulted from the recently-ratified *Agreement between the Governing Council of the University of Toronto and the University of Toronto Faculty Association on Retirement Matters*. Members had been informed that the statement had been developed in consultation with the University of Toronto Faculty Association (UTFA) and the Retired Academic and Librarians of the University of Toronto (RALUT) and was fully supported by both groups.

11. Items for Endorsement and Forwarding to Governing Council (cont'd.)

(a) Arising from Report Number 136 of the Academic Board (June 2, 2005)(cont'd.)

(c) Item 11- Statement of Commitment to Retired Faculty Members and Librarians (cont'd.)

On motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR COMMITTEE ENDORSED AND FORWARDED to the Governing Council for consideration the recommendation

THAT the Statement of Commitment to Retired Faculty Members and Librarians, a copy of which is attached to Report Number 136 of the Academic Board as Appendix "C", be approved, effective immediately.

(b) Arising from Report Number 136 of the Academic Board (June 2, 2005) and Report Number 129 of the University Affairs Board (May 31, 2005)

(a) Item 13- Capital Project: Varsity Centre for Physical Activity and Health – Project Planning Report

Professor Corman reported that there had been little discussion about the Varsity Centre project at the Academic Board. One member had spoken against it, alleging that the project did not reflect the alternative vision that had been articulated by some student representatives, and which had included family housing and a community food kitchen. Another member had been assured that programming at the Centre would support both physical and mental health.

Ms. Orange noted that the Business Board, at its meeting scheduled for June 23, 2005, would be reviewing a proposal to execute the first phase of this project, subject to Governing Council approval of the motion before the Committee. Prior to the meeting, Board members would have the option of a detailed briefing on the project.

Dr. Bennett reported that the University Affairs Board had received a substantial presentation of this project and supported it strongly. The Board's student members pointed to this project as an example of the good work done by Project Planning Committees that had good student representation. In this case, the University wide student groups – APUS, GSU and SAC –had agreed that good student representation would be provided by those who would be most involved in the use of the facility – the representatives from the Council on Athletics and Recreation.

He added that no project in his memory had consumed more discussion time, planning expense, or been as controversial both within the University or the neighboring community. Even though there might be those who would argue that there had been too much discussion and that time and money had been wasted, at the end of the day, because of the University's participatory, democratic system of governance, this project addressed the very best needs of the University with approval and respect from its neighbours. The Board had concurred with the recommendation of the Academic Board for approval in principle of the project planning report and with the plans for the first phase of the project.

- 11. Items for Endorsement and Forwarding to Governing Council (cont'd.)**
(b) Arising from Report Number 136 of the Academic Board (June 2, 2005) and Report Number 129 of the University Affairs Board (May 31, 2005) (cont'd.)
- (a) *Item 13- Capital Project: Varsity Centre for Physical Activity and Health – Project Planning Report***

A member noted that he would be unable to attend the June 29, 2005 Governing Council meeting, and regretted not being able to vote for the proposal at that time. Another member noted his concern that the winter-use dome over the field portion of the facility would be ugly, and would not fit well with attractive architecture nearby. Because the site was in such a prominent location, the facility did not have a good 'fit' in that spot. The President noted that although the solution was not perfect, it would dramatically improve the status of athletic facilities at the University. The Provost noted that the current status of the site was far less attractive than what was proposed.

A member congratulated the administration on bringing forward an excellent proposal after extensive discussion.

On motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR COMMITTEE ENDORSED AND FORWARDED to the Governing Council for consideration the recommendation

1. THAT the planned four phases Varsity Centre space and facilities program located on site 21 be approved in principle;
2. THAT the planned first phase of this multi-phased project for Varsity Centre be approved to allow for completion of the track and field plus the immediate support facilities at a total project cost of \$16,386,000 from the following sources:
 - (i) Contribution in the amount of \$14,000,000 to be acquired from a mortgage, amortized over twenty years, to be repaid from the operating budget of the University of Toronto, and
 - (ii) Contribution in the amount of \$2,386,000 to be acquired from a mortgage, amortized over five years to be repaid from the operating budget of the new facility.
3. THAT all subsequent phases, consistent with established policy, will require normal approval by Governing Council and will require that the Project Planning Reports for each phase be reviewed by either the Planning and Budget Committee or the Accommodations and Facilities Directorate.

- (b) *Item 14 - Capital Project: Multi-faith Centre for Study and Spiritual Practice – Project Planning Report***

Professor Corman informed the Committee that members of the Academic Board had been informed that the Multi-faith Centre was intended to provide the facilities and related services needed to support the religious and spiritual practices represented within the University community, and that the Koffler family had supported the location of the Multi-faith Centre in the Koffler Institute building.

- 11. Items for Endorsement and Forwarding to Governing Council (cont'd.)**
(b) Arising from Report Number 136 of the Academic Board (June 2, 2005) and Report Number 129 of the University Affairs Board (May 31, 2005) (cont'd.)
(b) Item 14 - Capital Project: Multi-faith Centre for Study and Spiritual Practice – Project Planning Report (cont'd.)

Dr Bennett reported that the University Affairs Board had reviewed this project and supported it strongly. The University Affairs Board concurred with the recommendation of the Academic Board for approval in principle of the project planning report.

On motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR COMMITTEE ENDORSED AND FORWARDED to the Governing Council for its consideration the following motion:

1. THAT the Project Planning Report for the Multi-faith Centre for Study and Spiritual Practice, a copy of which is attached to Report Number 136 of the Academic Board as Appendix "F", be approved in principle. The Centre is to be located on the second and third floors of the existing Koffler Institute for Pharmacy Management at 569 Spadina Avenue and includes the renovation of 615 net assignable square metres of planned program space.
2. THAT the space program to accommodate expanded training facilities in support of the Administrative and Management Systems [AMS] and the Human Resources [HR] activities that is to be relocated to the ground floor at 256 McCaul Street be approved in principle;
3. THAT the funding for the project be approved at an estimated total project cost of \$3,389,400. The full cost of this project, in the amount of \$3,389,400, to be acquired from a mortgage, amortized over twenty-five years, to be repaid from the operating budget of the University of Toronto.

(c) Arising from Report Number 136 of the Academic Board (June 2, 2005)

- (a) Item 12 - Academic Initiatives Fund: Allocations for 2005-06**

Professor Corman reported that members of the Academic Board had been informed that no questions about the allocations had been raised at the Planning and Budget Committee. A member of the Board had commented on the importance of the proposal for the creation of a Centre for Aboriginal Initiatives, and the creation of two faculty positions in the area of Social Justice and Aboriginal Communities and Aboriginal Healing, and encouraged a University-wide effort to appoint aboriginal faculty members and to acknowledge and respect the knowledge and culture of aboriginal communities.

A member congratulated the administration on addressing the issue of Aboriginal Communities.

A member requested clarification of the funding for the Academic Initiatives Fund (AIF), noting that it would appear that \$5 million was being added every year for six years, but that the total expenditures did not seem to be \$30 million. Professor Goel confirmed that \$5 million in base funding was being added every year, but that many of the allocations were on a

- 11. Items for Endorsement and Forwarding to Governing Council (cont'd.)**
(c) Arising from Report Number 136 of the Academic Board (June 2, 2005) (cont'd.)
(a) Item 12 - Academic Initiatives Fund: Allocations for 2005-06 (cont'd.)

one time only basis. For example, the amounts allocated for the Music practice rooms on an OTO basis, once they were complete, would be available in subsequent rounds for allocation.

On motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR COMMITTEE ENDORSED AND FORWARDED to the Governing Council for its consideration the following motion:

THAT the Second Round of Academic Initiative Funds be allocated as per the table (Appendices 2 and 3) attached to the Memorandum from the Vice-President and Provost dated May 2 for May 10, 2005, a copy of which is attached to Report Number 136 of the Academic Board as Appendix "D".

- (b) Item 15 - Capital Project: Lash Miller / McLennan Courtyard – Project Planning Report**

Professor Corman reported that there had been little discussion of the above-noted capital project at the Academic Board. Dr. Bennett reported that the University Affairs Board had received a presentation on the Lash Miller/McLennan Courtyard project, which was intended to establish a new, vibrant green space to enhance the quality of life for students, staff and faculty on campus. The Board had enthusiastically supported the project.

A member noted that the funding for the Lash Miller/McLennan Courtyard seemed to be going to governance because that its total cost had just exceeded \$2 million, but that apart from the additional cost it would have fallen below the threshold for governance approval.

On motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR COMMITTEE ENDORSED AND FORWARDED to the Governing Council for its consideration the following motion:

1. THAT the Project Planning Report for the Courtyard in the Open Space between the Lash Miller Building and the McLennan Physics Laboratory, a copy of which is attached to Report Number 136 as Appendix "G", be approved in principle.
2. THAT the funding in the amount of \$327,000 for Phase 2 of the Courtyard between the Lash Miller Building and the McLennan Physics Laboratory be approved with the support of the following funding sources:
 - (i) Contribution in the amount of \$58,500 from the Department of Chemistry,
 - (ii) Contribution in the amount of \$58,500 from the Department of Physics,
 - (iii) Contribution in the amount of \$100,000 from Zoom Funds,
 - (iv) Contribution in the amount of \$55,000 from SACWAC, and
 - (v) Contribution in the amount of \$55,000 from AFD.

- 11. Items for Endorsement and Forwarding to Governing Council (cont'd.)**
(c) Arising from Report Number 136 of the Academic Board (June 2, 2005) (cont'd.)
(c) Item 16 - Capital Project: Relocation of Four Humanities Departments in the Medical Arts Building – Project Planning Report

Professor Corman reported that there had been little discussion of the above-noted capital project at the Academic Board, except except over a question of whether the name of the Medical Arts Building would change with the relocation of the several humanities departments. Professor Goel had responded that there were no plans to do so.

A member noted his concern that the project plans for the Medical Arts Building seemed to be piecing together a number of small projects. He urged the administration to keep governance bodies aware of plans with projects that seemed to be split into multiple stages.

On motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR COMMITTEE ENDORSED AND FORWARDED to the Governing Council for its consideration the following motion:

1. THAT the Project Planning Report to relocate and accommodate four Humanities Departments to the Medical Arts Building, a copy of which is attached to Report Number 136 as Appendix "H", be accepted in principle.
2. THAT this approval in principle, consistent with policy, will allow the renovations to take place within the Medical Arts Building as approved independently by the Accommodations and Facilities Directorate.

- (d) Item 17 - Capital Project: University of Toronto at Scarborough – Science Building: Project Planning Report**

On motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR COMMITTEE ENDORSED AND FORWARDED to the Governing Council for its consideration the following motion:

Subject to the project returning to Planning and Budget Committee for consideration of further funding sources when those can be identified,

1. THAT the Project Planning Report for Phase 1 of the Science Building at the University of Toronto at Scarborough, comprising a total of 5075 gross square metres, a copy of which is attached to Report Number 136 of the Academic Board as Appendix "I", be approved in principle;
2. THAT a cash contribution in the amount of \$3,000,000 from the one-time-only fund identified in the 2004/05 operating budget for academic projects seriously restricted by shortcomings in infrastructure and deferred maintenance will be made available to UTSC to undertake the design [starting June, 2005 to March, 2006] through to the tender stage of the development.
3. THAT all subsequent phases of the Science Building, consistent with established policy, will require formal approval by Governing Council and will require that the Project Planning Reports for each phase be reviewed by the Planning and Budget Committee.

11. Items for Endorsement and Forwarding to Governing Council (cont'd.)
(c) Arising from Report Number 136 of the Academic Board (June 2, 2005) (cont'd.)

(e) *Item 18 - Capital Project: University of Toronto Art Centre – Interim Project Planning Report*

On motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR COMMITTEE ENDORSED AND FORWARDED to the Governing Council for its consideration the following motion:

1. THAT the Interim Project Planning Report for the University of Toronto Art Centre, a copy of which is attached to Report Number 136 of the Academic Board as Appendix “J”, be approved in principle for the provision of 4570 nasm to accommodate the activities and functions described for both phases of this project.
2. THAT the fundraising goal of \$56 million be established for the first phase of construction and to support the operating costs of the first phase.

(f) *Item 19 - Capital Project: Department of Mathematics Phase I: Project Planning Report – Change in Scope*

On motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR COMMITTEE ENDORSED AND FORWARDED to the Governing Council for its consideration the following motion:

1. THAT the changes in scope in the space plan for Project Planning Report for the Department of Mathematics Phase I at the University of Toronto, a copy of which is attached to Report Number 136 of the Academic Board as Appendix “K”, be approved.
2. THAT the changes in scope related to the occupancy changes associated with the Project Planning Reports for the Department of Mathematics Phase 1 and the Department of Economics be approved.

(g) *Item 20 - Canadian Institute for Theoretical Astrophysics: Disestablishment in the School of Graduate Studies (SGS) and Reestablishment in the Faculty of Arts and Science*

Professor Corman informed the Committee that the motions concerning the creation or reestablishment of three Centres had resulted from the *Stepping UP* planning exercise. A question had been raised concerning the relocation of CITA from SGS to the Faculty of Arts and Science, and the Deans of SGS and Arts and Science had explained that the relocation would strengthen both CITA and the Departments of Astronomy, Astrophysics, Physics and Mathematics. There had also been a question about existing models of centers that reported jointly to SGS and the Faculty of Arts and Science. It had been noted that this reporting structure had been used by the Asian Institute for a number of years, and had continued to work well.

On motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR COMMITTEE ENDORSED AND FORWARDED to the Governing Council for its consideration the following motion:

11. **Items for Endorsement and Forwarding to Governing Council** (cont'd.)
 (c) **Arising from Report Number 136 of the Academic Board (June 2, 2005)** (cont'd.)
 (g) **Item 20 - Canadian Institute for Theoretical Astrophysics: Disestablishment in the School of Graduate Studies (SGS) and Reestablishment in the Faculty of Arts and Science** (cont'd.)

THAT the Canadian Institute for Theoretical Astrophysics be disestablished as an academic unit in the School of Graduate Studies and reestablished as the Canadian Institute for Theoretical Astrophysics as an EDU-1 in the Faculty of Arts and Science, effective July 1, 2005.

- (h) **Item 21 - Centre for Environment - Faculty of Arts and Science and School of Graduate Studies: Proposal to Establish**

On motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR COMMITTEE ENDORSED AND FORWARDED to the Governing Council for its consideration the following motion:

THAT the Institute for Environmental Studies (IES), Division of Environment (DoE) and Innis College's Environmental Studies Program combine resources to create a new graduate and undergraduate teaching and research entity, to be named The University of Toronto Centre for Environment effective July 1, 2005.

- (i) **Item 22 - Centre for Russian and East European Studies: Merger and creation of new unit**

On motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR COMMITTEE ENDORSED AND FORWARDED to the Governing Council for its consideration the following motion:

THAT the Centre for Russian and East European Studies be merged with the undergraduate program in European Studies in the Faculty of Arts and Science to create a new unit, the Centre for European, Russian and Eurasian Studies, and

THAT the Joint Centre operate as an EDU-2 from July 1, 2005 to June 30, 2010.

- (j) **Item 23 - School of Graduate Studies: Discontinuation of the MEd/MA/EdD/PhD program in Teacher Development**

Professor Corman informed the Committee that members of the Board had been informed that the courses in the Teacher Development program were being integrated into the Curriculum program as a result of concerns raised in an appraisal by the Ontario Council on Graduate Studies. At the Board, Professor Goel had congratulated OISE/UT for streamlining program offerings in a way that improved the quality of the program as well as the student experience.

On motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR COMMITTEE ENDORSED AND FORWARDED to the Governing Council for its consideration the following motion:

11. **Items for Endorsement and Forwarding to Governing Council** (cont'd.)
 (c) *Arising from Report Number 136 of the Academic Board (June 2, 2005)* (cont'd.)
 (j) **Item 23 - School of Graduate Studies: Discontinuation of the MEd/MA/EdD/PhD program in Teacher Development** (cont'd.)

THAT the discontinuation of the MEd/MA/EdD/PhD program in Teacher Development be approved.

12. **Items for Confirmation by the Executive Committee**

Arising from Report Number 136 of the Academic Board (June 2, 2005)

(a) **School of Graduate Studies: Graduate Academic Appeals Board amendment to Terms of Reference**

Professor Corman informed the Committee that the changes in the terms of reference of the Graduate Academic Appeals Board had been intended to expand the pool of members available for hearings.

On motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR COMMITTEE CONFIRMED THE DECISION OF THE ACADEMIC BOARD

THAT the revisions of the Terms of Reference for the Graduate Academic Appeals Board, articles 4.(c), 4.(d), 6.(a), 6.(b), 7.(b), 7.(c), 10.(b)(ii), 10.(b)(iii), and 11, be approved, effective July 1, 2005.

(b) **Institute of Women's Studies and Gender Studies: Change of Name and Unit Type**

Professor Corman noted that the change in Unit Type for the Institute of Women's Studies and Gender Studies would give the Institute the authority to appoint its faculty.

On motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR COMMITTEE CONFIRMED THE DECISION OF THE ACADEMIC BOARD

1. THAT the name of the Institute of Women's Studies and Gender Studies be changed to the Women and Gender Studies Institute effective July 1, 2005, and
2. THAT the unit move from an EDU-2 to EDU-1 unit.

(c) **Ontario Institute for Studies in Education (OISE/UT): Diploma in Technical Education: Change in Name to the "Diploma in Technological Education"**

The Chair read into the record the following motion, which had been approved earlier by special ballot:

On motion duly moved and seconded,

YOUR COMMITTEE CONFIRMED THE DECISION OF THE ACADEMIC BOARD

THAT the Diploma in Technical Education be renamed the Diploma in Technological Education.

13. Governing Council/Executive Committee Meeting Dates, 2005-06

The Vice-Chair informed members that By-Law Number 2 required that at least five regular meetings of Governing Council be held during the academic year. The date and times of such meetings should be determined annually in advance by the Executive Committee not later than June 30 preceding the period of such meetings. The Executive Committee could cancel at most one of the regular meetings of the Governing Council in each academic year, for lack of sufficient business. The detailed schedule would be distributed for information after the Governing Council meeting.

On motion duly moved and seconded,
YOUR COMMITTEE APPROVED

the 2005-2006 meeting dates for Council, as well as its own dates, as set out in the memorandum from the Committee Secretary dated June 22, 2005:

Executive Committee Usual time: 5:00 – 7:00 p.m. Location: Board Room	Governing Council Usual time: 4:30 – 6:30 p.m. Location: Council Chamber
	Friday, September 9, 2005, 9:00 a.m. – 1:30 p.m.
Friday, October, 14, 2005, 12:00 – 2:00 p.m.	Thursday, October 27, 2005
Thursday, December 1, 2005	Monday, December 12, 2005
Thursday, January 26, 2006	Thursday, February 9, 2006
Thursday, March 9, 2006	Thursday, March 23, 2006
Wednesday, April 12, 2006, 12:00 – 2:00 p.m.	Thursday, April 27, 2006
Thursday, May 11, 2006	Wednesday, May 24, 2006
Thursday, June 15, 2006, 12:30 – 2:30 p.m.	
Thursday, June 29, 2006, 3:00 – 4:00 p.m.	Thursday, June 29, 2006 at 4:00 p.m.

14. Summer Executive Authority

The Vice-Chair reminded members that each June, the Governing Council was asked to delegate to the President authority to take any necessary actions on its behalf during the summer months. The Chair would countersign individual authorizations. In September, these actions would be reported for information to the appropriate committee. Items not regarded as urgent would be held for consideration in the usual manner.

On motion duly moved and seconded,
YOUR COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED TO THE GOVERNING COUNCIL

1. THAT until the next regular meeting of the Governing Council or its appropriate committee or board, authority be granted to the President for:
 - (i) appointments to categories 2 and 3 of the Policy on Appointments and Remuneration approved by the Governing Council of the University of Toronto, dated May 13, 1999;

14. Summer Executive Authority (cont'd.)

- (ii) approval of such additional curriculum changes as may arise for the summer and September 2005; and
 - (iii) decisions on other matters the urgency of which does not permit their deferral until the next regular meeting of the Governing Council or its appropriate standing committee or board.
2. THAT all actions taken under this authority be approved by the Chair of the Governing Council prior to implementation and reported to the appropriate committee or board for information.

15. Reports for Information

Members received for information the following reports:

Report Number 141 of the Business Board (May 2, 2005)
Report Number 135 of the Academic Board Board (May 5, 2005)
Report Number 136 of the Academic Board (June 2, 2005)
Report Number 129 of the University Affairs Board (May 31, 2005)
Report Number 10 on Namings

16. Date of Next Meeting

Members were reminded that the next meeting of the Executive Committee was scheduled for Wednesday, June 29, 2005 at 3:00 p.m.

17. Other Business**(a) Request to Speak at the June 29, 2005 Governing Council Meeting**

The Chair informed the Committee that she had received a request from a student representing the University of Toronto Secular Alliance to speak at the Governing Council meeting of June 29, 2005 on the topic of the Multi-Faith Centre. A written submission had accompanied the request. The Chair asked the Committee's advice on whether the request should be granted. Following discussion, it was agreed that the request not be granted because of the lengthy agenda and the restrictions on time. The written submission would be circulated to all members for their consideration.

(b) Attendance of Governors and Senior Officials at Convocation

A member expressed that it appeared that Convocation ceremonies had not attracted sufficient attendance by University officials, faculty members, and governors. After some discussion, it was agreed that the Secretary of the Governing Council, in conjunction with members of the administration, would examine methods to encourage greater participation from all estates at Convocation.

In addition, members discussed possible options on how to proceed with Convocation given that the number of spring ceremonies could potentially rise from 18 (in 2005) to approximately 23 or

17. Other Business (cont'd.)

(b) Attendance of Governors and Senior Officials at Convocation (cont'd.)

24. The Provost noted that a working group would be set up to examine models at other Universities, as well as ways in which some positive changes to current practice might be made.

The meeting adjourned at 7:05 p.m.

Secretary

Chair

June 27, 2005