

FOR INFORMATION

TO: Planning and Budget Committee

SPONSOR: Elizabeth Sisam, Assistant Vice-President, Campus and Facilities Planning

CONTACT INFORMATION: 416-978-5515; avp.space@utoronto.ca

DATE: December 18, 2009 for January 18, 2010

AGENDA ITEM: 8

ITEM IDENTIFICATION:

Design Review Committee: Annual Report, 2008-2009

JURISDICTIONAL INFORMATION:

Planning and Budget Committee receives reports for information.

PREVIOUS ACTION TAKEN:

The Policy on Capital Planning and Capital Projects which was approved by Governing Council on June 28th 2001 established the Design Review Committee to advise the President or delegate on the development of campus built form environments. Under the Policy, the Committee is required to report to Governing Council on its activities; the Executive Committee has recommended that the annual report of the Design Review Committee be presented to the Planning and Budget Committee of the Academic Board and to the Business Board.

HIGHLIGHTS:

During the period of July, 2008 to June, 2009, the Design Review Committee met a total of 8 times to review a total of 8 different projects on the three University of Toronto campuses. There was continuing discussion at several meetings regarding the Campus master plans for each campus. It should be noted that several projects were reviewed more than once by the Committee due to its recommendations for refining the design, or addressing planning issues of a project.

FINANCIAL AND/OR PLANNING IMPLICATIONS:

Not applicable.

RECOMMENDATION:

This item is for information only

ANNUAL REPORT: THE DESIGN REVIEW COMMITTEE 2008/2009

July 1st, 2008 – June 30th, 2009.

Membership of the Design Review Committee:

Mrs. Elizabeth Sisam (Chair), Assistant Vice-President, Campus and Facilities Planning

Mr. Nadeem Shabbar, Chief Real Estate Officer/Ms. Catherine Riggall, Vice-President, Business Affairs

Presidential appointee: Professor Brigitte Shim, Faculty of Architecture, Landscape and Design

Presidential appointee: Professor Bruce Kidd, Dean, Faculty of Physical Education and Health

Presidential appointee: Mr. Ron Soskolne, Soskolne Associates

Presidential appointee: Ms. Elyse Parker, Clean and Beautiful City Secretariat, City of Toronto

Governing Council representative: Professor Meric Gertler, Dean, Faculty of Arts & Science

Governing Council representative: Mr. Stephen Smith, Jones Collombin Investment Counsel Inc.

Governing Council representative: Professor Gage Averill, Vice-Principal Academic and Dean, UTM

UTM representative: Mr. Paul Donoghue, Chief Administrative Officer, UTM

UTSC representative: Mr. Andrew Arifuzzaman, Chief of Strategy and Planning, UTSC

St George representative: Dean George Baird, John H. Daniels Faculty of Architecture, Landscape, and Design.

The Design Review Committee (DRC) has contributed in the consideration and review of all developments on the three campuses of the University since 2001. Throughout this period of time, the DRC has contributed extensively to ensure that high quality design and construction occurs at this University to create an enriched and inspiring environment. Open discussion and debate of projects and peer review enable better ideas to surface and to be explored that otherwise might not have developed. In its deliberations the DRC is mindful of the difficult trade-off between expectations and budgetary reality seeking a balanced approach to resolution of design concerns. These efforts have been successful and the successes have been noticed as evidenced by the numerous awards and recognition, municipal, national and international, of our capital projects and open space initiatives on all three campuses of the University. During this past year (2008-09) the University has received 6 awards for design. However, most significant is the very positive influence on the campus experience for students, faculty and staff. Attached to this document is a list of the most recent awards.

Projects are also reviewed with respect to sustainability and environmental concerns as these continue to be more important in our built environment and impact the ongoing operating costs of our buildings.

The number of projects requiring review remained significant in 2008/09. During the period of July, 2007, to June, 2008, the DRC met a total of 8 times and reviewed 8 projects and initiatives for all three campuses. The projects included new construction, landscape plans, and campus open space improvements. Members of the DRC participated in the selection committee for consultants retained for capital projects valued over \$2 million.

Review of projects occurs at many levels and is often lengthy: first to establish that the planned building is contextually appropriate to the site and campus addressing urban design criteria and massing from the University's master plans. The Design Review Committee also met prior to the University's capital submission under the knowledge infrastructure projects. Of particular interest were the project that would initiate significant expansion on the University of Toronto Mississauga and Scarborough campuses. The UTM instructional Centre, awarded \$70M is within the campus well positioned as per the Campus Master Plan, linking the recent expansion of Hazel McCallion Resource Centre to the north end of the campus. At UTSC the \$78M Instructional Centre is the first phase of expansion to the new North Campus.

Follow-up discussions between consultants and the DRC occur when the project is in design development and addresses the landscape plan and the palette of materials being considered within the budgetary framework. The complete mandate of the Design Review Committee is defined in Appendix C of the *Policy on Capital Planning and Capital Projects* approved by Governing Council in June, 2001 (attached).

The following projects, each categorized within one of the eight defined sectors at the University of Toronto, have been reviewed by the Design Review Committee during 2008/2009. Illustrations of a number of these projects have also been included.

Sector 1: University of Toronto at Scarborough
Instructional Centre

Sector 2: University of Toronto at Mississauga
Instructional Centre

Sector 3: Health Sciences
No projects were reviewed

Sector 4: Faculty of Arts and Science
No projects were reviewed

Sector 5: Faculty of Applied Science & Engineering
No projects were reviewed

Sector 6: All Other Faculties
Varsity – Ticket Booth: Beacon
Faculty of Architecture Landscape & Design
Rotman School Faculty of Management

Sector 7: Campus
Knowledge Infrastructure Program – Capital Submissions
Robarts Library Renewal and Expansion

Sector 8: Residences
No projects were reviewed

University of Victoria College:
Wymilwood – Goldring Student Centre

2009 Awards

Canadian Association of College and University Libraries (CACUL) — 2009 Innovation Achievement Award

This award honours contributions to advancing academic librarianship and library development. In particular, this award celebrates the U of T Mississauga Library's efforts to create a positive learning environment in the Hazel McCallion Academic Learning Centre — one that balances students' diverse needs, promotes research and learning throughout the campus community and serves as a model for peer institutions.

University of Toronto, Leslie Dan Pharmacy Building

The project won an **Award of Merit** at the **2009 Excellence in Structural Engineering Awards** presented by the **Structural Engineers Association of Illinois (SEAOI)**. The award program seeks to recognize projects that demonstrate creative achievement in structural engineering through innovative structural design.

2009 Heritage Toronto Awards

Gerstein Reading Room - Gerstein Science Information Centre, 9 King's College Circle

Architect: Diamond and Schmitt Architects

Crafts Persons/Contractors: Ross Clair Contractors Inc; Halcrow Yolles; Smith + Anderson Consulting Engineering

Max Gluskin House, 150 St. George Street

Architect: Hariri Pontarini Architects

Heritage Consultant: E.R.A. Architects Inc.

Crafts Persons/Contractors: Steelcase Construction Inc.; Colonial Building Restoration

St. George Campus Central Exam Facility, 255 McCaul Street

Architect: Montgomery Sisam Architects Inc.

Crafts Person/Contractor: Eastern Construction and others

PUG Awards

Max Gluskin House/Economics

2nd place in the Commercial & Institutional category

APPENDIX C: DESIGN REVIEW COMMITTEE

[reference: Policy on Capital Planning and Capital Projects]

C.1 TERMS OF REFERENCE

The Design Review Committee [DRC] advises the President or delegate on the development of campus built form environments, in order to enable the President to implement the University's commitment to a level of excellence in this area comparable to that established for its academic activities. The Committee uses high standards in discharging its duties with respect to architect selection, design review and the interplay of design issues with other planning concerns. The Committee primarily focuses on Capital Projects sufficiently large to require approval by Governing Council on the basis of Project Planning Reports¹. All projects are assessed with respect to approved Master Plans, which will also be reviewed from time to time by the DRC.

The Committee's mandate includes:

- C.1.1. Advice on campus master plans, on the University's general principles and on physical planning and building design.
- C.1.2. Recommendations to the President and Vice-President, Business Affairs on the appointment of architects and landscape architects for all projects within its terms of reference with an exterior design component and public spaces and for all renovations or alterations to historically designated or listed buildings². Projects having significant landscape components will require the appointment of a landscape architect as part of the design team.
- C.1.3. Review and make recommendations on conceptual and detailed design for building and landscape projects³. Matters under review should include the extent to which overall campus planning and design objectives are met, design excellence is achieved and environmental and heritage issues are addressed. The Committee focuses primarily on the overall integrity of the basic design, rather than on design details⁴.
- C.1.4. Being available for consultation, on an as needed basis, by administrative officers responsible for campus planning and design.
- C.1.5. Reporting to the Governing Council on its activities, on a basis to be established by the Executive Committee of Governing Council.

¹ Currently, this is for projects with total cost of \$2 million or more.

² The University's normal approach to design of major capital projects is to choose consultants, who will then work with users and others to develop a building design. It may be advisable on occasion to

choose consultants [architects] for a specific project on the basis of design competitions, instead of via selection process. Such competitions are established prior to the process leading up to the completion of a Project Planning Report and only after consultation with the DRC.

³ Such reviews are normally required at the following stages: (a) prior to finalization of schematic plans, in order to ensure timely and effective oversight of the basic approach being taken to individual projects, (b) at the conclusion of design development, prior to permission being sought, normally through the Business Board, to proceed to project implementation, and (c) at any other time during project development when, at the request of a core member of the Project Committee, the Co-Chairs of DRC consider it advisable to review the fundamental design aspects of a project. Such a review, for example, could arise from concerns that the balance being struck between project design and project cost will lead to a disregard for overarching design values.

⁴ The reviews are intended to be sufficiently rigorous that the President can be advised on the overall conformity of the proposal to the high standards expected of it, both with respect to design and in terms of its integration with other elements of the University's built form environment. It is the President's responsibility to resolve problems arising from different or conflicting advice given, e.g. by a Project Committee and DRC.

C.2 COMPOSITION

The Design Review Committee will comprise a total of nine members plus four formally appointed ex-officio members. The membership of the Committee represents a coalition of design expertise, university governance, campus planners/ operations and services, and representation of the three campuses. Additional members will be co-opted, as needed, to further strengthen the particular campus representation when campus specific Capital Projects are tabled for review.

The Committee will have two Co-Chairs. One will be the Vice-President and Provost (or designate). The second Co-Chair will be appointed annually from among the non ex officio members by the President. Any member of the Committee may be appointed by the Co-Chairs to chair a panel as this need arises.

The Executive Secretary to the Co-Chairs of the DRC will be the Director, Campus Facilities and Planning.

Four persons appointed by the President because of their expertise and qualifications in design and related fields, at least two of whom shall be from outside the University.

Three members from the Governing Council, its Boards or the wider University community, with particular recognition of multi-campus participation, to be appointed by the President after consultation with the Chairs of the Academic and Business Boards. At least one of the three members shall be a current or former member of the Business Board.

Vice-President, Business Affairs (or designate), ex officio

The Dean of John H. Daniels Faculty of Architecture, Landscape and Design (or designate), ex officio

The Principal of University of Toronto at Mississauga (or designate), ex officio

The Principal of University of Toronto at Scarborough (or designate), ex officio

Other members can be co-opted by the DRC for individual projects or purposes as required to enhance the review process. Specifically, members should be added to ensure adequate representation from each campus when projects to be undertaken on that campus are reviewed, and to include the Chair of the relevant Project Committee and other Project Committee members, as appropriate, when individual projects are discussed.

Assessors (non-voting) appointed by the President as needed.

The Committee's members, other than the ex-officio members or their designates, will normally be expected to serve for terms of up to three years, renewable up to a total of six years consecutive service. Appointments should be staggered to ensure continuity.

C.3 METHOD OF OPERATION

The Design Review Committee will normally meet on a monthly basis. To accommodate campus specific reviews of capital plans, meetings will be held on that particular campus which corresponds to the agenda items under review. This will also allow the DRC to be fully informed of the site specific conditions as these relate to the project. An important role of the ex officio members, particularly for the UTM and UTSC representatives is to assist in the coordination of the DRC meetings held at the Scarborough and Mississauga campuses and ensure the appropriate campus representation at these meetings.

The Committee will discharge its functions, at the discretion of its Co-Chairs, either in full committee or in panels, subject to the following:

- C.3.1. The discharge of functions C.1.1 and C.1.5 [see terms of reference] above require consultation with the full Committee.
- C.3.2. The Architect Selection Panel must include the Chair [or designate] of the relevant Project Committee(s), two members of the Design Review Committee [or designates], an architect recommended by the Dean of the John H. Daniels Faculty of Architecture, Landscape and Design, the Principal of UTM or the Principal at UTSC, (depending on the site of the capital project), and the Vice-President Business [or designate]. The V-P Business [or designate] will chair the Architect Selection Panel. Once a short list of architects has been identified, three additional representatives from the campus associated with the capital project will be invited to join the panel to recommend on the final architect selection.
- C.3.3 Non-members of the DRC with particular design expertise may also be added to panels for specific projects.
- C.3.4 At regular meetings of the DRC to assess the architectural design of a particular project, normal practice will require a brief presentation on the relevant background context of the project under review prior to the presentation by the architect.