



University of Toronto TORONTO ONTARIO M5S 1A1

OFFICE OF THE VICE-PROVOST, SPACE AND FACILITIES PLANNING

TO: Planning and Budget Committee

SPONSOR: Ron Venter, Vice-Provost, Space and Facilities Planning

CONTACT INFO: 416-978-5515; ron.venter@utoronto.ca

DATE: March 12th, 2003 for March 26th, 2003

AGENDA ITEM: #7.2

ITEM IDENTIFICATION:

Project Committee for the Phase 8 Residence at the University Toronto at of Mississauga [UTM]

JURISDICTIONAL INFORMATION:

Under the Policy on Capital Planning and Capital Projects, section 5.A, the membership and terms of reference of Project Committees shall be reported to the Planning and Budget Committee.

OTHER ACTION TAKEN:

None

HIGHLIGHTS:

At present some 10% of the undergraduate student population is accommodated in the six residences on the UTM campus. The seventh residence, Phase 7, is planned to open in September, 2003. This new residence will add an additional 200 beds on the campus.

In September 2002, UTM admitted some 406 first year students into residence; in light of the double-cohort and the increased enrollment on campus, it is now anticipated that approximately 600 first year students will be admitted to the campus residences in September, 2003. This increase in the first year residence numbers will allow UTM to satisfy the university's first year residence guarantee, but has the unfortunate consequence of reducing the number upper year students in residence beyond their first year.

The current residence bed complement within McLuhan Court, Putnam Place, Leacock Lane, plus Phases 6 and 7, all of which provide for single undergraduate housing, is estimated at 780 students. The balance of the available beds in both the Schreiberwood and McGrath Valley residences are reserved for graduate students and students with families, bringing the total available beds to 1023. With current projections to admit some 600 first year students to residence in 2003-4, only 180 beds would be available to accommodate the upper-year students. This places the upper year students at a very pronounced disadvantage with respect to access and the benefits of an academically and developmentally supportive residential experience. The

informal mentorship between upper year and first year students is critical to a successful community development plan and is paramount to a continued program growth and support of student academic success at UTM.

Based upon the increase in the demand for single undergraduate student housing, and coupled with the projected enrollment growth at UTM, it is timely to plan the development of the next UTM residence, Phase 8. Indeed with the substantial growth anticipated at UTM, the percentage of students in residence will decrease if additional residences are not planned.

PROPOSED COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP:

Chris McGrath	Director of Residence [Co-Chair]
Mark Overton	Dean of Student Affairs [Co-Chair]
Paul Donoghue	Chief Administrative Officer
Sol Kessler	Director of Facilities Resources
Julie Stevenson	Assistant Director, Residence
TBA	Assistant Director, Residence Facilities
Christine Capewell	Director of Business Services
Gale Richter	Manager, Conference Services
Gail Milgrom	Campus & Facilities Planning, St George Campus
Four undergraduate residence students	
Resource Personnel:	
Cleo Boyd	Academic Skills Centre (study spaces)
Mary Ann Mavirnac	Librarian (study spaces)
Liz Martin	Coordinator, AccessAbility Services
Len Paris	Manager, Police Services

TERMS OF REFERENCE:

The terms of reference for the Project Committee are as follows:

1. Identify the appropriate style of accommodation [dormitory or suite style, other] for the proposed residence to best accommodate the needs of students at UTM.
2. Identify the appropriate site and its site plan implications including parking, landscaping, safety, accessibility
3. Identify all resource implications and all secondary effects to plan for this residence which will accommodate approximately 200 beds.
4. Develop the appropriate business plan for the capital costs as well as the operating and maintenance costs.
5. Identify the services and amenities that will be required by the residence, as well as the cost of such services and amenities.
6. Recommend a detailed space program defining all space and the facilities organization
7. Provide a Total Project Cost [TPC] estimate, which includes the cost of equipment and furnishings to support the project.
8. Identify all requirements for networking and data communications within the residence and external to the residence
9. Report by May 2003.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

None.

RECOMMENDATION:

For information only.