

Expense Accountability

Presentation to Business Board

November 9, 2009

Sheila Brown, Nadeem Shabbar, Mark Britt

Agenda

- Procurement of goods and services
- Reimbursement of expenses
- Key risks.
- Examples of oversight.

Legal and Regulatory Environment

- Federal Agreement on Internal Trade, as endorsed by Ontario.
- Quebec-Ontario Procurement Agreement.
- Ontario Ministry of Finance Supply Chain Guideline.
- Regulatory requirements from funding agencies.
- Public procurement legal precedents.
- Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.

U of T Purchasing Environment

- Highly decentralized procurement model.
- No mandating of suppliers.
- Business Board-approved purchasing policy outlines core requirements.
- Detailed processes and procedures.
 - www.procurement.utoronto.ca

Key Elements

Procurement Services:

- establishes purchasing processes and procedures to comply with legal, regulatory and policy requirements.
- manages purchases of \$25,000 or higher, including public tenders, RFP's, and purchase orders, with divisional involvement.
- negotiates and manages University wide contracts.
- participates in public buying groups to leverage economies of scale on various commodity contracts.
- provides UShop electronic procurement, purchasing card and travel card services to divisions.

Key Elements

• Divisions:

- make purchases less than \$25,000.
- make purchases through UShop at any level.
- may operate a local buying centre that handles some
 Procurement Services functions, e.g. UTSC.
- buyers include unit administrators, faculty members, principal investigators, lab technicians, graduate students, etc.
- approve and initiate payments via invoice transactions in system, purchasing card, travel card, petty cash.

Purchasing Policy Thresholds

Threshold	Ushop	Purchase Order	Quotations	Public RFP	Issue Authority
\$100,000+	YES	YES	WRITTEN	YES	Procurement Services
\$25,000 - \$100,000	YES	YES	3 written	Optional	Procurement Services
\$5000 - \$25,000	VE6	YES	2 Written / Verbal	No	Department
\$0 - \$5000	YES	Optional*	Optional	No	Department
* PO/Ushop requi	red for all radio so	tope purchases	I		

Current Key Initiatives

- Legal review to assess compliance with legal and regulatory requirements.
- Process review to assess compliance with policy and process.
- Discussion with Ministry of Finance OntarioBuys regarding ongoing development of Supply Chain Guideline.
- "Ontario Education Collaborative Marketplace" (OECM)
 development for enhanced electronic procurement and
 collaborative strategic sourcing across education sector.

Expense Reimbursement

- Out of pocket expenses being claimed must be:
 - <u>legitimate</u> business expense incurred in the course of University business.
 - appropriate for the type of activity being undertaken.
 - reasonable in the context of the activity.
 - supported by <u>original receipts</u>.
- University has standards for various types of expenses and provides for judgment in context of these principles.
- If funding source is a research grant, the requirements for eligibility of the granting agency supersede University standards.

Examples of U of T Standards*

- Airfare: the least expensive economy class fare available for all domestic and international flights less than 6 hours long.
- Vehicle rental: a mid size car.
- Hotel: a single room with a private bath in a business class hotel.
- Meals: a restaurant in a business class hotel or other of equivalent quality.
- Meal per diems: where receipts are not submitted.

^{*} U of T standards; superseded by granting agency requirements.

Expense Reimbursement Attestations

Signature by claimant with declaration:

"I have read the University's regulation on reimbursement of expenses and confirm that I am in compliance."

- One-up approval on all expense reports; no sideways delegation permitted.
- Annual administrative accountability reports:

"I have personally reviewed and approved all requests for expense reimbursements and accountable advance settlements for the faculty and staff who report directly to me."

Key Risks

- Legal and regulatory risks
 - risk of getting sued.
- Economic risks:
 - value for money.
 - disqualification for, or withdrawal of, funding.
 - avoidance of fraud.
 - cost-effective processes.
- Reputation risks:
 - all of the above.
 - perceived legitimacy, reasonableness and appropriateness of expenditures.

Examples of Oversight

- Extensive reporting to granting agencies, donors and other contributors on monies spent.
- Internal Audit reviews:
 - President and vice-president expense reports.
 - Continuous auditing and department reviews.
- External auditors.
- Federal granting agencies' auditors.
- Provincial government auditors.
- Auditors for other granting agencies and other contributors of funds.
- Finance reviews all payments => \$50,000.
- Regular review of expense reimbursement standards.

Capital Projects

PRACTICE/COMPLIANCE

Capital Projects - Expenditures

	<u>2002 – 2006</u>	<u> 2006 – 2009</u>	<u>Total</u>
AFD projects < 2M	\$70M	\$79M	\$149M
BB projects >2M	<u>\$183</u>	<u>\$400</u>	<u>\$583</u>
Total	\$253M	\$479M	\$732M

Architect Selection Process – Major Projects

- Notice placed on MERX
- RFP or EOI publicly available
- Shortlist to five or six by selection committee
- Following presentations selection by consensus of committee
- For smaller projects or where time is of the essence, Internal Design
 Team is contracted

Vendor Pre-Qualification Process

- Pre-qualification of major contractors such as general, mechanical, electrical, asbestos abatement and demolition.
- Pre-qualification process done annually.
- Required information to be provided:
 - CCDC-11, Contractors Qualification Statement
 - Dun & Bradstreet Report or alternative credit reporting information
 - Letter of Good Standing from the surety indicating aggregate project bonding limits
 - Three reference checks for new vendors
- Vendors are notified that the University is in contractual relations with the following unions:
 - Flectrical
 - Plumbing & Pipe fitting
 - Painters

Vendor Pre-Qualification Process (con't)

- Vendors are notified that they must comply with the University of Toronto Environmental Health and Safety Program.
- Vendors are notified that the University will receive bids through the Electronic Plans Room (EPR) at the Toronto Construction Association.
- Pre-qualified contractor listing kept on internal shared drive for Real Estate
 Operations
- Construction Manager maintains vendor list

Tender / RFP Process

- Decision to proceed based on Business Board and/or AFD approval and final TPC.
- Decision on Procurement type based on schedule/nature of project/and completion of tender documents.
- Contract Types and Uses
 - a) CCDC-2, Stipulated Price Contract. Used when bid documents are complete and schedule requirements are known. Mostly on AFD approved projects up to \$2,000,000
 - b) Request for Proposal (RFP) Construction Management Contractor Services. Used to fast track project. Project can start using a construction management method with conversion to stipulated sum to reduce risk of cost overruns.
 - c) Design Build Option. RFP can be modified to include design build (CCDC-14 2000). Used on KIP projects at UTSc and UTM.
 - d) CCDC-18, Civil Work Contract (unit price). Used on site service type contracts I.C., storm water management

Vendor Selection

- Sourced from pre-qualified contractor listing
- Selection based on the following criteria's
 - Experience with the type of work being tendered
 - Bonding and credit reports
 - Skill and experience of proposed project team
 - Contractor performance evaluation which reviews the contractors current performance on site coordinators, schedule, change orders, and claims
 - Vendor evaluation form is completed by PM and reviewed by Construction Manager
 - Vendors that perform below expectation will be suspended from bidding on future work

Awards Process

- All tenders and RFP's are closed at 255 McCaul Street, and opened with procurement, the Project Manager, the Consultant, and the Client present
- In a stipulated lump sum contract the award is made to the lowest compliant bidder
- In a RFP (CM with conversion) contract an evaluation committee will evaluate RFP response and recommend and award based on:
 - Fee
 - Quality of RFP response
 - Methodology and approach
 - Project staffing
 - Schedule
 - Procurement method with sub-trades

Monitoring The Contract

- Weekly inspection by the consultant
- Two week look-a-head schedule provided by its contractor
- Monthly review of the critical path by the consultant, contractor, project manager, construction manager
- Change order process contractor must be compliant with GCG.2 of the University of Toronto's supplementary conditions dated April 1, 2008
- Change orders are reviewed by the consultant, approved by the project manager and construction manager
- Summary of change orders are reviewed at month end to monitor the construction contingency

Project Close Out

• Project Managers complete financial close after substantial completion with financial services.

U of T Administration Assurance Functions



Internal Audit

Provide assessments about the effectiveness of internal controls and compliance with internal and external policies, procedures, guidelines and laws

Internal Auditing

EXPENSE COMPLIANCE – ANNUAL INTERNAL AUDIT PLAN ACTIVITIES

Continuous Auditing

Departmental Audits and Follow-up Reviews

Special Reviews

Internal Auditing

EXPENSE COMPLIANCE

- Procurement of goods and services Operating,
 Research and Capital funds
- Expense Reimbursement Reporting

Contracts