

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
THE GOVERNING COUNCIL
REPORT NUMBER 144 OF THE ACADEMIC BOARD

June 1, 2006

To the Governing Council,
University of Toronto

Your Board reports that it held a meeting on Thursday, June 1, 2006 at 9:30 a.m. in the Council Chamber, Simcoe Hall at which the following were present:

Professor W. Raymond Cummins,
In the Chair
Professor Brian Corman, Vice-
Chair
Professor David Naylor, President
Professor Vivek Goel, Vice-
President and Provost
Professor John Challis, Vice-
President, Research and
Associate Provost
Professor David Farrar, Deputy
Provost and Vice-Provost,
Students
Professor S. Zaky, Vice-Provost,
Planning and Budget
Professor Rona Abramovitch
Professor Stewart Aitchison
Mrs. Mubarka Alam
Professor Derek Allen
Professor Jan Angus
Professor Gage Averill
Professor George Baird
Dr. Terry Blake
Mr. Ryan Matthew Campbell
Mr. Ewen Weili Chen
Professor David Clandfield
Professor John Coleman
Mr. Joe Cox
Professor Miriam Diamond
Professor Marianne S.V. Douglas

Regrets:

Mr. Navine K. Aggarwal
Professor Sidney Aster
Professor Sylvia Bashevkin
Professor Clare Beghtol
Professor David Begun
Professor Katherine Berg
Ms Marilyn Booth
Professor Donald Brean
Professor Philip H. Byer
Mr. Blake Chapman
Ms Maple Chong
Professor George Elliott Clarke
Professor David Cook
Professor Luc F. De Nil
Dr. Raisa B. Deber
Professor Robin Elliott
Professor Guy Faulkner
Mr. John A. Fraser

Miss Coralie D'Souza
Dr. Inez N. Elliston
Dr. Shari Graham Fell
Professor Jonathan Freedman
Professor Jane Gaskell
Ms Bonnie Goldberg
Mr. Christopher Goode
Professor Avrum Gotlieb
Professor Wayne K. Hindmarsh
Mr. Martin Hycza
Mr. Kijun Kim
Professor Yuki Mayumi Johnson
Professor Charles Jones
Professor Bruce Kidd
Professor Ronald H. Kluger
Professor Christina E. Kramer
Professor Michael R. Marrus
Professor Douglas McDougall
Ms Vera Melnyk
Professor Faye Mishna
Professor David Mock
Professor Sioban Nelson
Professor Mariel O'Neill-Karch
Professor Ian Orchard
Professor Janet Paterson
Professor Susan Pfeiffer
Mr. Andrew Pinto
Professor Richard Reznick
Dr. Jay Rosenfield
Mr. Paul Ruppert

Professor Eric Freeman
Ms Linda B. Gardner
Professor Hugh Gunz
Mr. Syed Yaser Habeeb
Professor Jenny Jenkins
Miss Livia Jozsa
Dr. Joel A. Kirsh
Professor Hon C. Kwan
Dr. Lesley Ann Lavack
Professor Robert Levit
Professor Robert Lewis
Professor Lori Loeb
Professor Roger L. Martin
Professor Diane Massam
Professor Brenda Y. McCabe
Ms Susan C. McDonald
Professor Linda McGillis Hall
Professor Mark McGowan

Professor Andrea Sass-Kortsak
Professor John Scherk
Professor Anthony N. Sinclair
Professor Pekka Sinervo
Professor J.J. Berry Smith
Professor Brian Cantwell Smith
Miss Maureen J. Somerville
Mr. Mahadeo Sukhai
Dr. Cindy Woodland

Non-voting Assessors:

Professor Angela Hildyard, Vice-
President, Human Resources and
Equity
Professor Edith M. Hillan,
Vice-Provost, Academic
Ms Catherine Riggall, Vice-
President, Business Affairs
Ms Elizabeth Sisam, Assistant
Vice-President, Campus and
Facilities Planning
Ms Rivi Frankle, Interim Vice-
President and Chief
Advancement Officer
Professor Kwong-loi Shun, Vice-
President and Principal,
Scarborough Campus

Secretariat:

Mr. Henry T. Mulhall
Ms Cristina Oke, Secretary

Professor John R. Miron
Mr. Matto Mildenerger
Ms Carole Moore
Professor Mayo Moran
Ms Van Chau Quach
Professor Cheryl Regehr
Professor James A. Reilly
Professor Robert Reisz
Professor Jolie Ringash
Professor Gareth Seaward
Professor Tattersall Smith
Professor Ron Smyth
Professor Lorne Sossin
Professor Lisa Steele
Professor Suzanne Stevenson
Professor Tas Venetsanopoulos
Ms B. Elizabeth Vosburgh
Professor Rinaldo Wayne Walcott

Regrets: (cont'd)

Professor Catharine Whiteside
Professor Melissa S. Williams

In Attendance:

Professor Gillian MacKay, Faculty of Music
Ms Helen Lasthiotakis, Director, Policy and Planning, Office of the Vice-President and Provost
Ms Margaret McKone, Manager of Administration, Governing Council Secretariat
Mr. John Bisanti, Chief Capital Projects Officer
Ms Sheree Drummond, Assistant Provost and Special Assistant to the Provost

In this report, items 1(h), 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15 are recommended to the Governing Council for approval, items 7, 16 and 17 are recommended to the Executive Committee for confirmation, and the remaining items are reported for information.

ITEMS 1 and 2 WERE CONSIDERED *IN CAMERA*.

1. Report of the Striking Committee

(a) Co-opted Membership of the Academic Board

On motion duly moved and seconded

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT the following be appointed as co-opted members of the Academic Board for 2006-07:

Administrative and Professional Staff ¹

- Ms Pamela Gravestock, Office of Teaching Advancement (to June 30, 2009)
- * Ms Vera Melnyk, Arts & Science

Alumni

Dr. Christena Chruszez, Faculty of Dentistry
Dr. Wajahat Khan, Faculty of Dentistry
Mr. Roger Parkinson, Faculty of Arts and Science

Students

i. Full-time Undergraduate

- * Mr. Ryan Campbell, Applied Science and Engineering
- * Mr. Ewen Chen, Victoria College
- Mr. Billeh Hamud, University of Toronto at Mississauga (UTM)
- * Mr. Matto Mildenerger, Trinity College

¹ Continuing Co-opted Administrative and Professional Staff members of the Board are Ms Bonnie Goldberg (Faculty of Law; to June 30, 2007) and Mr. Paul Ruppert (Faculty of Applied Science and Engineering; to June 30, 2008)

* indicates a member of the Board/Committee in 2005-06

1. Report of the Striking Committee (cont'd)
(a) Co-opted Membership of the Academic Board (cont'd)

Mr. Omar Solimon, Woodsworth College
Miss Theresa Pazonis, Faculty of Medicine

ii. Part-time Undergraduate

Mr. Kenneth Davy, Woodsworth College
* Ms Linda Gardner, Woodsworth College
Mr. Umar Khan, Trinity College

iii. Graduate

Mr. Brian Beaton, History
Mr. Tim Corson, Medicine
Mr. Mohammed Khan, Applied Science and Engineering

(b) Membership of the Agenda Committee

On motion duly moved and seconded

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT the following be appointed to the Agenda
Committee for 2006-07:

Student

Mr. Ewen Chen, f/t undergraduate, Victoria College

Teaching Staff

Professor Clare Beghtol, Faculty of Information Studies
Professor Dickson Eyoh, Faculty of Arts and Science (Political Science)

(c) Membership of the Academic Appeals Committee

On motion duly moved and seconded

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT the following be appointed to the Academic Appeals
Committee for 2006-07:

Chairs:

* Ms Bonnie Goldberg
* Ms Kate Hilton
* Ms Kaye Joachim
* Professor Edward Morgan
* Professor Emeritus R. Scane, Senior Chair

Members:

Professor Jan Angus, Faculty of Nursing
Professor Gregory Jump, Faculty of Arts and Science (Economics)

1. Report of the Striking Committee (cont'd)
(c) Membership of the Academic Appeals Committee (cont'd)

Professor Lorne Sossin, Faculty of Law
Dr. Cindy Woodland, Faculty of Medicine

(d) Membership of the Committee on Academic Policy and Programs

On motion duly moved and seconded

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT the following be appointed to the Committee on
Academic Policy and Programs for 2006-07:

Administrative and Professional Staff

Ms Bonnie Goldberg, Faculty of Law

Alumni

Dr. Wajahat Khan, Faculty of Dentistry

Students

Mr. Ryan Campbell, Applied Science and Engineering, full-time
undergraduate

Mr. Tim Corson, Medicine, graduate

* Ms Linda Gardner, Woodsworth, part-time undergraduate

Mr. Billeh Hamud, UTM, full-time undergraduate

* Mr. Matto Mildenberger, Trinity, full-time undergraduate

Teaching Staff

* Professor Derek Allen, Faculty of Arts and Science (Philosophy)

* Professor Gage Averill, Faculty of Music

Professor Ragnar Buchweitz, UTSC

* Professor Luc De Nil, Faculty of Medicine (Speech Language Pathology)

Professor Dickson Eyoh, Faculty of Arts and Science (Political Science)

Dr. Chris Koenig-Woodyard, UTM

Ms Lesley Lavack, Faculty of Pharmacy

Professor Douglas McDougall, OISE/UT Vice-Chair

Ms Gillian MacKay, Faculty of Music

Professor Janet Paterson, Faculty of Arts and Science (French)

* Professor Cheryl Regehr, Faculty of Social Work

* Professor A. Sass-Kortsak, Faculty of Medicine (Public Health Sciences) Chair

* Professor J. J. Berry Smith, Faculty of Arts and Science (Zoology)

(e) Membership of the Planning and Budget Committee

On motion duly moved and seconded

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

1. Report of the Striking Committee (cont'd)

(e) Membership of the Planning and Budget Committee (cont'd)

THAT the following be appointed to the Planning and Budget Committee for 2006-07:

Student

Miss Theresa Pazonis, Medicine

Teaching Staff

- Professor J. Stewart Aitchison, Faculty of Applied Science and Engineering
- * Professor John Coleman, UTSC
- * Professor Miriam Diamond, Faculty of Arts and Science (Geography) Vice-Chair
- Professor Jane Gaskell, OISE/UT
- * Professor Avrum Gotlieb, Faculty of Medicine (Lab. Med. & Pathobiology) Chair
- Professor Brad Inwood, Faculty of Arts and Science (Classics)
- Professor Gregory Jump, Faculty of Arts and Science (Economics)
- * Professor David Mock, Faculty of Dentistry
- * Ms Carole Moore, Chief Librarian
- * Professor Pekka Sinervo, Faculty of Arts and Science (Physics)

Additional members of the Agenda Planning Group:

- Professor Brad Inwood, Faculty of Arts and Science (Classics)
- * Professor David Mock, Faculty of Dentistry

(f) Discipline Appeals Board

On motion duly moved and seconded

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT the following be appointed to the Discipline Appeals Board for 2006-07:

Students

Mr. Ryan Campbell, Faculty of Applied Science and Engineering, full-time undergraduate
Ms Coralie d'Souza, Faculty of Arts and Science, full-time undergraduate
Ms Livia Jozsa, Faculty of Arts and Science, full-time undergraduate

Teaching Staff

- * Professor Clare Beghtol, Faculty of Information Studies
- Professor Ian McDonald, UTSC (Classics)
- Professor Lorraine Weinrib, Faculty of Law

(g) Advisory Committee on the University of Toronto Library System

On motion duly moved and seconded

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT the following be appointed as the Board's representatives on the Advisory Committee on the University of Toronto Library System for 2006-07:

Professor Lori Loeb, Faculty of Arts and Science (History)
Professor Donna Orwin, Faculty of Arts and Science (Slavic Languages and Literature)

(h) Committee for Honorary Degrees

On motion duly moved and seconded

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT the membership of the Committee for Honorary Degrees, as described in the Report of the Striking Committee dated May 31, 2006, be approved.

2. Quarterly Report on Donations - February 1, 2006 – April 30, 2006

Members received this report for information. There were no questions.

THE BOARD MOVED INTO OPEN SESSION.

Opening Remarks

The Chair welcomed members to the meeting, and reminded them to stand and give their name when they spoke.

Appointment of Professor Cheryl Regehr as Dean of Social Work

The Chair noted that, at its meeting of May 18, the Agenda Committee had approved the appointment of Professor Cheryl Regehr as Dean of the Faculty of Social Work for a 5-year term effective July 1, 2006. Professor Regehr was unable to attend the meeting and to be recognized appropriately. On behalf of the Board, the Chair congratulated Professor Regehr on her appointment as Dean.

3. Report of the Previous Meeting – May 4, 2006

Report 143 of the meeting of May 4, 2006 was approved.

4. Business Arising

There were no items of Business Arising from the May meeting.

5. Reports of the Agenda Committee

Reports Number 127 (May 4, 2006) and Number 128 (May 18, 2006) were received for information by the Board.

The Chair drew the attention of members to the Academic Administrative Appointments that had been approved at the May meetings. He also noted that, during July and August, the Agenda Committee as a whole would consider any academic administrative appointments that were brought forward for approval, rather than delegating Summer Executive Authority to a smaller group.

The Chair commented that, in his view, the Agenda Committee was adding value to the appointment approval process. The Vice-Chair reminded members that the purpose of the delegation of authority to the Agenda Committee for the approval of academic administrative appointments was to allow additional information to be presented, and to provide an opportunity for questions to be raised. Questions about documentation that had been raised by the Agenda Committee had resulted in a revised format for summary reports from the divisions. Professor Goel thanked the members of the Agenda Committee for their diligence, and for making themselves available when an approval was time-sensitive.

6. Report of the Vice-President and Provost

(a) Capital Project: Varsity Centre Phase 1 – Budget Increase

Professor Goel invited Ms Riggall to provide an update to the Board on the cost increase in Phase 1 of the Varsity Centre capital project.

Ms Riggall informed members that the current total project cost of the Varsity Centre project was estimated at \$21,750,000 or \$5,364,000 above the original approved budget. The cost increase was the result of a number of factors, including the additional cost of the demolition/removal of the foundations of the previous stadium, the cost of underpinning the existing north wall, the increase in allowance for an acceptable scoreboard, the addition of an electronic timing system, the extent of landscaping along Bloor and Devonshire and increased cost to construct the specialized pits and services for the track events. The Project Planning Committee had explored ways to reduce and minimize the cost impact; however all efforts would have resulted in a compromised facility that would not adequately address the needs of the University.

Ms Riggall explained that the Business Board had approved the budget increase and the use of debt, in recognition of the importance of the project to the University. Delays in the project would have resulted in a cost increase that was 5% to 10% greater than that being considered at this time.

Professor Goel stated that the carrying costs of the increased debt for the Varsity project represented about 0.1% of the relevant base of the University's operating budget. The \$5 million cost would further decrease the University's borrowing capacity. Additional borrowing capacity would be available as the new financial results were presented. Nevertheless, there would be \$5 million less of borrowing available for other projects.

6. Report of the Vice-President and Provost**(a) Capital Project: Varsity Centre Phase 1 – Budget Increase (cont'd)**

The Chair explained that the Planning and Budget Committee and the Academic Board were responsible for considering University priorities. Professor Gotlieb stated that, in his view, the University's priorities had not changed as a result of the approval of the cost increase. The Varsity Centre project had been identified as a priority for the University. Since there had been no change in the scope of the project or the sources of funding, the increase in cost was being reported to the Academic Board for information.

A member asked whether there was any possibility of compensation for the inaccurate cost estimate of the project. Mr. Bisanti replied that the Varsity project had followed due process with respect to obtaining the estimate. Ten percent of the project cost had been set aside for contingencies. Professor Goel added that estimates such as this were always done with significant caveats.

In response to a question about what the University had learned from this experience, Mr. Bisanti said that, in the future, project design may have to be further advanced before a Project Planning report was considered by governance.

A member asked how the University's consultants dealt with contractors. Mr. Bisanti replied that a value management approach was used by the University. In his view, this approach had worked well for the capital project at 155 College. The University had excellent relationships with its contractors.

A member asked whether there would be any naming opportunities for the Varsity Centre project. Professor Goel replied that the University wished to retain 'Varsity' as part of the name of the project, but naming opportunities were possible and being actively pursued.

7. Constitution: Ontario Institute for Studies in Education of the University of Toronto (OISE/UT)

Professor Goel reminded members that the Academic Board was responsible for approving divisional Constitutions. The proposed revisions were intended to accommodate the new responsibilities and authority that the Faculty Council would inherit due to the changes in the School of Graduate Studies arising from the recommendations of the Graduate Education Task Force, and to address internal governance issues. Rather than all teaching staff members of OISE/UT being members of the Faculty Council, a smaller group would be elected to Council.

A member commented that there appeared to be proportionately fewer students on the revised Faculty Council. The Dean responded that the number of teaching staff members had actually decreased from approximately 160 to 35, which resulted in the proportion of students and staff members being higher than in the previous Council.

7. Constitution: Ontario Institute for Studies in Education of the University of Toronto (OISE/UT) (cont'd)

On motion duly moved and seconded

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

The revised Constitution of OISE/UT, a copy of which is attached hereto as Appendix "A", approved by the Faculty Council on April 26, 2006.

8. Preliminary Plan for Graduate Expansion

Professor Gottlieb reported that it was necessary to bring forward, on an interim basis, a preliminary plan for graduate enrolment expansion for the 2006-07 academic year, to enable full participation in the government funding and to provide an orderly process of expansion. The number of additional students was intended to be an objective rather than an exact number.

A member of the Planning and Budget Committee had expressed concern that some academic units might be expanding graduate enrolment solely to receive increased funding. Professor Goel had assured members that proposals for graduate enrolment expansion had to be based on the division's academic priorities.

A member of the Committee had suggested that the wording of his proposed principle concerning the graduate funding guarantee be revised to reflect the intent of developing a more flexible guarantee.² Professor Goel had explained that the set of principles had been included in the documentation to reflect that the input received from governance was being considered in the development of the graduate enrolment expansion plan. The Committee had also discussed the likely sources of increased demand for graduate education.

Professor Gottlieb noted that the motion had been passed unanimously by the Committee.

A member of the Board asked what the intended proportion of enrolment in professional masters, M.A. and Ph.D. programs was expected to be. Professor Goel replied that no strict parameters were being imposed on the interim increase. It was expected that the increased enrolment would be similar to the current enrolment across programs and within divisions. The final plan for expansion would include proportions across levels and major program areas.

A member asked when offers for 2006-07 would be sent out to applicants. Professor Goel replied that offers had been sent out, based on the proposed increase. A member asked how many graduate students were currently enrolled at the University. Professor Pfeiffer replied that just under 12,000 graduate students were enrolled as of November 1, 2005.

² At the November meeting of the Planning and Budget Committee, the member had suggested the following principle for the graduate funding guarantee as one of a set of principles for graduate enrolment expansion:

The graduate student funding guarantee should be reviewed with a view to adding an option other than 'having funding' and 'not having funding'.

8. Preliminary Plan for Graduate Expansion (cont'd)

On motion duly moved and seconded

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT the target for graduate enrolment for 2006-07, a copy of which is attached hereto as Appendix "B", be increased by 1000 students.

9. School of Graduate Studies: Proposal for a new PhD in Planning to be offered through the Department of Geography, Faculty of Arts and Science

Professor Smith reported that the Committee on Academic Policy and Programs (AP&P) had had a good discussion about the Ph.D. in Planning, and had heard that this proposed Ph.D. was built upon the foundation of a highly regarded Master of Science in Planning (MScPl) program. The proposed program would be offered across the three campuses, not just by the Faculty of Arts and Science on the St. George campus. The Committee had been pleased with the proposal and the academic merit of the program, and had supported it unanimously. Discussion points had concerned the level of student input into the proposal's development, the level of demand for the program, the potential for crossover with the Ph.D. in Geography, and the professional accreditation required for Planners.

Professor Gotlieb noted that the proposal for a new Ph.D. in planning was a response to the growing demand for PhD-trained planners in universities and in the world of professional practice. Related units at the University had supported the proposal. The Department of Geography and the Faculty of Arts and Science had made a commitment to provide all the resources needed for this program, therefore there were no implications for the University budget. No questions had been raised by the Committee.

On motion duly moved and seconded

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT the Ph.D. in Planning in the Faculty of Arts and Science Department of Geography, a copy of which is attached hereto as Appendix "C", be approved, effective September 2007.

10. School of Graduate Studies: Disestablishment of Museum Studies Program and Establishment in the Faculty of Information Studies

Professor Gotlieb explained that the Museum Studies Program currently existed within the School of Graduate Studies (SGS). It was proposed that the program be disestablished within SGS and re-established as a degree program in the Faculty of Information Studies (FIS). The proposed move was consistent with the academic plans of FIS.

10. School of Graduate Studies: Disestablishment of Museum Studies Program and Establishment in the Faculty of Information Studies (cont'd)

In response to questions raised, members had been informed that student services should be enhanced as a result of the move, that there would be increased involvement of University faculty in the program, and that enrolment in the program was expected to increase significantly.

Professor Gotlieb noted that the motion had been passed unanimously by the Committee.

On motion duly moved and seconded

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT the Museum Studies Program be disestablished as an academic unit in the School of Graduate Studies and re-established as a degree program within the Faculty of Information Studies, effective July 1, 2006.

Documentation is attached hereto as Appendix "D".

11. School of Graduate Studies: Discontinuation of Nursing MSc Program

Professor Gotlieb informed members that the Faculty of Nursing had offered a thesis program since 1970. Initially, the program had led to the degree of Master of Science in Nursing (MScN). In 1991, the degree designation had been changed to Master of Science (MSc). No students had been admitted to the MSc program since 1996.

A member had asked for clarification of the use of the terms 'disestablish' and 'close' with respect to academic programs. Representatives from the School of Graduate Studies (SGS) had explained that, when new programs were approved, they were "approved to commence". When a program ceased to operate, it was viewed as "closed". SGS normally used the term 'disestablish' when centres and institutes ceased to be part of SGS.

Professor Gotlieb noted that the motion had been passed unanimously by the Committee.

On motion duly moved and seconded

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT the Nursing MSc Program at the Faculty of Nursing be closed, effective September 2006.

Documentation is attached hereto at Appendix "E".

12. Capital Project: Centre for Criminology – Project Planning Report

Professor Gottlieb informed members that the Centre for Criminology was being relocated to the Canadiana Building, at 14 Queen’s Park Crescent West, in order to make room for the expansion of the Richard Charles Lee Canada Hong Kong Library. This project would enable the University to provide improved space to address academic objectives and to create additional student study space. The project would address much of the deferred maintenance of the Canadiana Building, enabling the renovated facilities to be fully used for institutional purposes.

A member of the Planning and Budget Committee had emphasized how critical it was to address energy issues in this project. Ms Sisam had replied that the Sustainability Office was being asked for advice on this project, and that a member of the Sustainability Office would be involved with the project implementation team.

On motion duly moved and seconded

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

1. THAT the Project Planning Report for the Centre for Criminology, a copy of which is attached hereto as Appendix “F”, be approved in principle.
2. THAT the project scope as described in this report, allocating 820 nasm, be approved for the Centre for Criminology in the Canadiana Building, at an estimated Total Project Cost of \$2,109,000.
3. THAT the following sources of funding for the project be approved.

(a) Faculty of Arts and Science	\$ 1,000,000
(b) Deferred Maintenance Funds 2005-06	\$ 400,000
(c) Facilities Renewal Funds carry forward	<u>\$ 709,000</u>
TOTAL	<u>\$ 2,109,000</u>

13. Capital Project: Department of Fine Art – Project Planning Report

Professor Gottlieb advised members that the operations of the Department of Fine Art had been severely constrained by existing space limitations, because the Visual Studies Programme, including offices and studios, were located at One Spadina Crescent, while other departmental operations were located at Sidney Smith Hall.

The relocation of the entire Department of Fine Art to One Spadina Crescent would require the relocation of thirteen units currently occupying the space. Further consultant review was recommended as the first phase of the project, to provide an accurate phased master plan and cost estimate.

13. Capital Project: Department of Fine Art – Project Planning Report (cont'd)

A member noted that the Faculty of Arts and Science was making available up to \$250,000 to hire an architect/consultant to prepare a detailed site and phasing master plan, including a detailed construction cost estimate. This seemed to be a substantial sum for the work involved. Professor Goel observed that this capital project, like the Varsity Centre, was beyond the normal type of construction carried out by the University, as it involved a complex heritage building surrounded by streetcar tracks. The design work was being done first to identify all the required components of the project so that a proper cost estimate could be brought forward.

On motion duly moved and seconded

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

1. THAT the Project Planning Report for the Department of Fine Art, a copy of which is attached hereto as Appendix “G”, be approved in principle.
2. That the building at One Spadina Crescent be allocated to the Faculty of Arts and Science for the Department of Fine Art.
3. THAT a space program of 3690 nasm be approved for the Department of Fine Art.
4. THAT implementation of the project begin with Phase One to determine a detailed Site and Phased Master Plan with subsequent phases brought forward for approvals as funds become available.

14. Capital Project: University of Toronto at Mississauga (UTM) Parking Garage

Professor Gotlieb explained that the Project Planning Committee had reviewed the existing parking operations, demand and future requirements, and had concluded that the UTM campus did not require a new parking structure prior to 2009-10. Approval of the motion would close the file on this project.

In response to the concerns expressed by a member of the Committee about the number of parking spaces available on the campus, in light of the increased enrolment, members were advised that the ‘reserved’ designation had been removed from parking spaces that were not being used, and that the demand for parking at UTM was being monitored.

14. Capital Project: University of Toronto at Mississauga (UTM) Parking Garage (cont'd)

On motion duly moved and seconded

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

1. THAT a parking garage on the UTM campus not be constructed at this time.
2. THAT parking demand on the UTM campus be monitored on an annual basis.
3. THAT alternative solutions to minimize the use of automobiles be examined.

Documentation is attached hereto as Appendix "H".

15. Capital Project: Department of Economics – Change in Scope

Professor Gotlieb noted that this project had been considered by the Planning and Budget Committee in November 2001, March 2003, and March 2004. The current proposal included several minor adjustments, and incorporated two changes: the removal of the Institute for Policy Analysis from the project, and the modification of the 75-seat classroom to a 30-seat classroom. The sources of funding for this project did not include any funds from the University.

On motion duly moved and seconded

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

1. THAT the revised scope of the Economics Renovation and Expansion Project at 150 St. George Street be approved in principle.
2. THAT the total project budget of \$15,300,000 be approved with sources of funding as follows:
 - i. a mortgage of \$ 6,500,000 to be repaid over 25 years or earlier by the Faculty of Arts and Science, depending on availability of funds;
 - ii. a 5-year short-term loan of \$ 3,500,000 coinciding with the pledge payment schedule of a donor;
 - iii. \$ 4,800,000 from the operating budget of the Faculty of Arts and Science;
 - iv. \$500,000 from Facilities and Services from 2005-06 Deferred maintenance fund.

Documentation is attached hereto as Appendix "I".

16. Faculty of Medicine: Medical Radiation Sciences Program Curriculum Redesign

Professor Smith explained that this curriculum change had come to the Board because it was offered jointly with the Michener Institute. The new curriculum would include five inter-professional education courses, as well as enhanced clinical preparation time. Discussion at the Committee on Academic Policy and Programs (AP&P) had focused mainly on the proposal's use of the term "selectives" and on student input into the redesign process. AP&P had supported the proposal unanimously.

On motion duly moved and seconded

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT the Medical Radiation Sciences Program curriculum redesign, as outlined in the documentation attached hereto as Appendix "J", be approved, effective for September 2007.

17. Faculty of Arts and Science: Design Change to the Bachelor of Commerce Diploma

The Chair reminded members that changes in the design of diplomas were considered by the Committee on Academic Policy and Programs, and forwarded for approval to the Academic Board. Professor Smith explained that the change was a routine one, based on the fact that the B.Com. degree had a significant number of courses taught by the Rotman School of Management. A member asked whether the diploma would accommodate the additional signature. Professor Smith replied that the current diploma design was being reviewed.

On motion duly moved and seconded

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT the Bachelor of Commerce degree diploma include the signatures of the Deans of both the Faculty of Arts and Science and the Joseph L. Rotman School of Management, effective for June 2007 Convocation.

Documentation is attached hereto as Appendix "K".

18. Appointments and Status Changes

A list of status changes (tenure and promotions) was distributed for information. .

19. Revised Guidelines for the Committee for Honorary Degrees

The revised Guidelines for the Committee for Honorary Degrees were received by the Board for information. The Chair noted that a significant change included in the revised Guidelines was the creation of a Sub-committee for Nominations that would act both as a nominating and steering committee.

20. Items for Information

Members received the following items for information:

- (a) Capital Plan for Buildings and Projects in Excess of \$2 million.
- (b) Report Number 122 of the Committee on Academic Policy and Programs.
- (c) Report Number 111 of the Planning and Budget Committee.

A member asked for an update on the Multi-faith Centre. Professor Goel replied that construction would proceed once the Faculty of Pharmacy had relocated to its new building. The member also inquired about space for the Association of Part-time Undergraduate Students (APUS). It was his understanding that the APUS office space had been 'lost'. Professor Goel replied that APUS had been offered various options for the relocation of its office from space that Woodsworth College needed for academic purposes.

21. Date of Next Meeting – Wednesday, October 4, 2006

The chair noted that the Board's next meeting would be held on Wednesday, October 4, 2006.

22. Other Business**(a) Closing Remarks**

The Chair concluded the meeting by thanking various individuals. He expressed his appreciation to the staff of the Office of the Governing Council for their support to the Board and its members.

He acknowledged the contributions of the voting assessors of the Board and its Committees – Professor Goel, Professor Challis, Professor Farrar, Professor Hillan, Ms Riggall, and Professor Zaky.

He thanked the members of the Agenda Committee who oversaw the flow of the business of the Board, and who had been diligent in implementing the revised approval process for academic administrative appointments over the past few months.

He acknowledged the work of the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Planning and Budget Committee – Professor Gotlieb and Professor Diamond – and thanked them for agreeing to continue in those positions in 2006-07. He thanked Professor Smith for his service as Chair of AP&P over the past four years, and congratulated him on his appointment as Vice-Dean, Students at the School of Graduate Studies. Although he would no longer chair the Committee, he would continue as a member.

The Chair concluded by thanking all members of the Board for their contribution to the governance of the University. He thanked those whose terms were ending for their service. He informed those whose terms were continuing that they would receive information about the 2006-07 Board over the summer.

22. Other Business

(a) Provost's Closing Remarks (cont'd)

On behalf of the Board and the administration of the University, Professor Goel thanked the Chair and Vice-Chair for their service. He noted that the Chair was completing his term on Governing Council at the end of June, and acknowledged his exceptional service to governance.

Professor Goel informed members that Professor Cummins had been a member of the Academic Board for the past seventeen years, and had served in a leadership role since 1992. This year, he would complete eight years of distinguished service on the Governing Council. Professor Cummins' collective service on Boards and Committees within governance had totaled more than 80 years. During that time, he had spent more than 1500 hours attending meetings in the Council Chamber.

Professor Goel noted that, during his term as Chair of the Academic Board, Professor Cummins had presided over the approval of a number of historic recommendations, including:

- the Framework for three-campus planning;
- the *Stepping UP* academic plan;
- the *Policy for Clinical Faculty*;
- the *Policy on Retirement Age Affecting Faculty Members and Librarians*; and
- the expansion of the programs of the Faculty of Medicine to the UTM campus.

He had served as a member of the group that had planned the Installation of President Naylor, and had served on the Advisory Committee that selected Professor Goel as Provost.

Professor Goel observed that everyone who knew Professor Cummins had seen first-hand his passion and commitment to the University. He had led the faculty caucus of the Governing Council with wisdom and understanding, and had provided thoughtful advice to the senior administration. He had worked tirelessly behind the scenes to resolve difficult issues.

In recognition of his distinguished and dedicated service to the Board, Professor Cummins was presented with a University of Toronto chair. The inscription on the chair read:

W. Raymond Cummins
in recognition of exemplary service as
Chair of the Academic Board
University of Toronto
2002 – 2006

In response to the remarks of Professor Goel, Professor Cummins stood and addressed the Board. He thanked everyone for their support. He acknowledged the excellent leadership of the President, the Provost and the senior administrative team, and stated that, in his view, the University was stronger than it had ever been. He concluded by observing that morale was high throughout the University.

The meeting adjourned at 11:00 a.m.

Secretary

Chair

June 6, 2006