

Direct Line: (416) 978-8426  
Facsimile: (416) 978-8182  
E-mail: m.mckone@utoronto.ca

October 30, 2000

**Via Registered Mail**

**Personal and Confidential**

Dear Mr. C.:

At its hearing held on October 10, 2000, the Trial Division of the University Tribunal considered the following charge against you:

1. That on or about August 12, 1997 you knowingly personated another person at an academic examination contrary to section B.1.1. (c) of the Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters.

The particulars of the charges are as follows:

2. On August 12, 1997, the final examination for ECO 200Y ((7S) was held.
3. You attended at that examination and presented yourself as Mr. T. P.
4. You wrote the final examination for ECO 200Y in Mr. P's name and under his student number.

I am writing to formally confirm that the panel found you guilty of the charge. The Panel provided the following reasons for this decision.

**Re: Mr. P.**

*Firstly, I would like to deal with the various factors in the recorded case. We consider the offences of which Mr. P. has been found guilty to be extremely serious and warranting an extremely serious sanction. Had it not been for the long period of time between the date of offence and the date of the trial we would have considered expulsion, as recommended by the University. However, we feel that there is evidence that in the intervening period, Mr. P. has made an effort to substantially improve his academic performance. We have looked at submissions made during the hearing, which indicate that Mr. P's marks have increasingly become better. We accepted evidence that he has tried harder and he has obviously sought help and tried to deal with this. We also note that all four charges surrounded a very short period of time. While they are different charges, there were no previous convictions nor has there been any further misconduct to indicate that Mr. P. is likely to commit this offence again. We believe that his*

*efforts to do better academically and to seek help are indicative of the positive aspect of his character.*

*However, we felt that we should impose as strong a sanction possible short of expulsion because it is important from the point of the University community as a whole and a very detrimental effect to the University on the whole, students engaging in this type of conduct.*

**Re: Mr. C.**

*With respect to Mr. C. we do not find any extenuating circumstances at all. There is no evidence that there was any, in fact, some of the evidence appears to be with the difficulty in locating Mr. C. and this may be contributing to the delay and having these matters brought to trial. As a result we recommend to the President of the University the expulsion of Mr. C. and that there be publication with his name withheld.*

Yours sincerely,

Ms Margaret McKone  
Acting Secretary  
University Tribunal

cc: J. Hannaford, Chair, Tribunal Panel  
D. Cook, Vice-Provost  
T. Costigan, Counsel for S. K.  
L. Rothstein, Senior Discipline Counsel  
B. Sessle, Dean, Faculty of Dentistry