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Memorandum to: Members of the Business Board
From: Rose M. Patten Chair of the Governing Council

Date: January 9, 2006
Re: $\quad$ Report of the Senior Salary Committee for 2004-2005

## A. Background

## Policy Context

The following report covers activities of the Senior Salary Committee during the academic year 20042005. Under the Policy on Appointments and Remuneration, the Committee reviews the remuneration of University employees whose salaries are at or above a specified amount. The senior salary threshold for faculty and librarians is set from time to time through the salary and benefit discussions with the University of Toronto Faculty Association (UTFA). For 2004-2005, the threshold was $\$ 139,550$ for members of the teaching staff and $\$ 120,000$ for members of the administrative staff (pursuant to the Policies for Professional and Managers).

## Committee Membership

In 2004-2005, the Committee comprised the following:

Chair, Governing Council
Vice-Chair, Governing Council
Chair, Business Board
Member, Business Board
Alumni Member, Governing Council
Interim President

Ms Rose M. Patten
Mr. John F. (Jack) Petch
Ms Jacqueline Orange
Dr. Alice Dong
Mr. Stephen Smith
The Honourable Frank Iacobucci

The Secretary of the Governing Council serves as secretary of the Committee and maintains its files. When compensation of the President is discussed Committee members meet without the President.

## Senior Salary Process

For persons in the senior salary category there is no entitlement to the across-the-board economic increase applicable to other members of the academic and administrative staffs. The invariable practice of the Senior Salary Committee is to award salary increases on the basis of merit alone. To assist in this process the overall performance of senior salary staff is graded into various categories. Attached as Appendix 1 are the memoranda from the Provost to division heads
regarding the processes to be followed for members of the teaching staff and confirming that, in the absence of an agreement between the University and the Faculty Association, PTR awards would be made following the normal process. It describes the basic categories used and requests recommendations and evaluative notes on each person in the senior salary group. The recommendations for academic staff are reviewed by the Provost, then by the Provost with the President and finally presented to the Committee, along with recommended salary increases for each category of performance. The increases recommended for each category are stated as fixed dollar amounts, which results in the percentage increases being greater for those lower in the senior salary range.

For administrative staff in the senior salary category, the process and the evaluative categories are similar (see Appendix 2), except that the Provost and Vice-President, Human Resources, have responsibility for the material that is assembled and presented to the Committee.

Under the Policy on Appointments and Remuneration, the President assumes responsibility for recommendations for the Vice-Presidents. The Chair and the President evaluate the performance of the Secretary of the Governing Council and make a joint recommendation to the Committee. With respect to the President himself, the Chair initiates discussion with Senior Salary Committee colleagues to evaluate the President's performance once all other decisions are made.

## B. Faculty

## (1) Annual Reports from the President

As required by the Policy, the President reported on number and distribution of academic staff in the senior salary category, providing the Committee with a detailed list of the individuals, their performance assessments and their compensation. These data are summarized in Table 1 below and include reports for individuals who would be in the senior salary category as of July 1, 2005. For comparison, summary data are also provided for July 1, 2003 and July 1, 2004.

The pool of funds made available for senior salary increases for members of the professorial staff is created by applying the across-the-board economic increase, if any, to their actual salaries, and then adding one above-the-breakpoint PTR unit ( $\$ 1,515$ for 2005-2006) for each FTE member of the category.

Table 1:

| Year | Total Academic <br> Senior Salaries. | Health <br> Sciences | Other <br> Professional <br> Faculties | Arts and <br> Science | Graduate <br> Studies | Principals <br> and <br> Deans* | Special <br> Salaries** |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Report: <br> June 2005 | 345 | 60 | 131 | 124 | 6 | 24 | 7 |
| Report: <br> June 2004 | 313 | 56 | 115 | 113 | 5 | 23 | 6 |
| Report: <br> July 2003 | 339 | 64 | 126 | 116 | 5 | 25 | 8 |

* Includes Vice-Provosts.
** These individuals are division heads or University officers whose salaries are below the senior salary threshold. They are reviewed on the same basis as senior salary staff but are not included in the total academic senior salaries.

As noted previously, all awards from the pool are made on the basis of merit. Because of the level of salary involved, the total awards represent a lower percentage of salary than for staff below the senior salary threshold.

Under the Policy, the Committee is to receive annual reports on market and anomaly adjustments. The Provost has submitted comprehensive reports as required, providing the Committee with the rationale for the adjustments and the impact they would have. The Committee received a report on group anomaly adjustments for the Faculty of Law. In addition, the Committee was informed of individual adjustments arising as a result of administrative appointments (10), retention offers (5) or in response to individual requests for salary review (5).

## (2) Compensation Exceeding $\mathbf{1 6 0 \%}$ of the Established Senior Salary Threshold

Under the Policy, the President refers to the Committee for its consideration proposals which would result in annual cash compensation exceeding $160 \%$ of the established senior salary threshold. During the period of this report, the Committee was asked to approve compensation proposals as summarized in Table 2 below.

## Table 2:

| Year <br> 2003-04 | 160\% of <br> Threshold | Number of <br> Faculty | Number of Faculty <br> by Division |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Salaries <br> effective <br> July 1, <br> 2005 | $\$ 223,060$ | 14 | Applied Science and Engineering - 1 <br> Arts and Science - 1 <br> Management - 5 <br> Medicine - 5 <br> Office of the Vice-President and Provost - 1 <br> OISE/UT - 1 |
| Salaries <br> effective <br> July 1, <br> 2004 | $\$ 216,060$ | 17 | Applied Science and Engineering - 1 <br> Arts and Science - 1 <br> Information Studies - 1 |
| Law - 2 <br> Management - 4 <br> Medicine - 6 <br> Office of the Vice-President and Provost - 1 <br> OISE/UT - 1 |  |  |  |
| Salaries <br> effective <br> July 1, <br> 2003 | $\$ 205,760$ | 14 | Applied Science and Engineering - 1 <br> Arts and Science - 2 <br> Law -1 <br> Management - 4 <br> Medicine - 5 <br> Office of the Vice-President and Provost - 1 |

The table includes in the total the Deans of Applied Science and Engineering, Arts and Science, Management, Medicine and OISE/UT.

## (3) Executive Compensation

The President is required by the Policy to bring to the Committee for consideration his recommendations on appointments for Vice-Presidents, Assistant Vice-Presidents, Vice-Provosts, and the Chief Financial Officer. Data on initial appointments, renewals and bonuses are
summarized in Table 3. The President's recommendations for annual adjustments are also considered by the Committee and are based on the Vice-Presidents' reports to the President on achievement of their priorities for the year. Decisions on compensation, both at the time of initial appointment and subsequently, reflect market information on base salary, academic stipends, taxable benefits and considerations with respect to internal equity.

A recommendation for the President's annual adjustment is also considered by the Committee. Their decision takes into account a number of factors including both formal assessments of the President's performance as defined by his contract, consultation with members of the Governing Council and the University's academic and administrative leadership, and the performance of the executive team as a whole.

## (4) Other Compensation Decisions

The Policy requires that the Committee consider the President's recommendations in several areas. These include: initial compensation for senior University and divisional officers, revisions to compensation of administrators, in-year market or anomaly and performance-based bonuses. During the academic year 2004-2005, the Senior Salary Committee reviewed and approved various decisions for faculty and administrative staff in the senior salary category. Data on the number of these decisions is given in Table 3 below.

Table 3:

|  | Senior <br> University <br> and | Senior <br> University <br> and | Market/ <br> Anomaly <br> Divisional <br> Officers - | Divisional <br> Officers - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Special <br> Initial <br> Appointment | Renewed <br> Appointment |  |  |
| 2004-2005 |  |  |  |  |
| Academic | 6 | 3 | 4 |  |
| Non-academic | 6 | 1 |  |  |
| 2003-2004 |  |  |  |  |
| Academic | 4 | 1 |  |  |
| Non-academic | 2 |  |  |  |

* These special awards were made in light of the transitional year and the extra and unusual demands made on particular individuals during that period.


## C. Administrative Staff

## (1) Approval of Annual Compensation Adjustments

Members of the administrative staff - the Professionals / Managers Group - in the senior salary category are assessed annually for merit-only increases. Table 4 below summarizes the increases awarded. As in the case of academic senior salaries, the Committee receives a report on those individuals with the senior salary category, but below the $160 \%$ threshold. For the July 1, 2003 salaries, however, in the interest of greater clarity with the change from the former Senior Management Group and new groupings within the Professionals / Managers group, the

Committee considered and approved the compensation for 15 individuals. All exceeded the threshold of $\$ 128,600$. Of these, though, only one exceeded the $160 \%$ threshold.

It should be noted that, with the introduction of the revisions to the Policies for Professionals and Managers, the size of the relevant senior administrative group has increased to 60 individuals as a result of the lower threshold of $\$ 120,000$.

Table 4:

| Year: 2003-2004 | Number of Staff | Size of Group | Average Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effective July 1, 2004 | 6 | 60 | $3.87 \%$ |
| Effective July 1, 2004 | 1 | 38 | $5.50 \%$ |
| Effective July 1, 2003 | 15 | 15 | $5.50 \%$ |

The Committee also receives a report on annual compensation for the ten Senior Advancement Professionals whose total compensation exceeds $\$ 120,000$. One additional advancement staff member receives compensation above the $160 \%$ threshold for which the Committee's approval is sought.

## (2) Other Compensation Decisions

Decisions relating to initial appointments and annual bonus arrangements are included in Table 3 above.

Annual compensation adjustments for the Secretary of the Governing Council were considered by the Committee on a joint recommendation of the Chair and the President, and for the University Ombudsperson on a recommendation from the President.

## D. Enhancements to the Compensation Framework

In our last report, we noted that the compensation framework for senior executives would be enhanced, a process which would begin with the articulation of principles and processes underlying senior compensation and which would include a comprehensive analysis of comparative market data. Over the year, the Interim President, working with the Vice-President, Human Resources and Equity, developed a set of guiding principles and, following the Committee's approval, prepared an action plan to move forward with development of a policy.

One important planned step to be taken initially will be to compile complete data on the range of compensation practices currently in use and to obtain current relevant market data. In addition to this total compensation information, we will also need to:

- develop criteria to describe desired leadership behaviours,
- identify measurable outcomes relevant to specific positions and tools for measurement, and
- develop a $360^{\circ}$ feedback process.

With the new President in office, we expect that this initiative will continue to move forward.

