

Credit Opinion: University of Toronto

University of Toronto

CANADA

Ratings

CategoryMoody's RatingOutlookStableIssuer RatingAa1Senior Unsecured -Dom CurrAa1

Contacts

Analyst Phone
David Rubinoff/Toronto 1.416.214.1635
Debra Roane/New York 1.212.553.1653
Yves Lemay/New York

Key Indicators

University of Toronto					
(As of 30/04)	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Provincial Funding as a % of Revenue [1]	36.8	34.2	34.2	34.0	35.6
Net Tuition as a % of Revenue [1][2]	22.4	21.3	22.8	22.8	23.3
Interest Expense as a % of Revenue [1]	0.5	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.7
Annual Operating Margin (%)	(0.5)	(2.1)	(1.8)	0.8	3.3
Expendable Financial Resources-to-Expenses (x)	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.3
Expendable Financial Resources-to-Net-Direct-Debt (x)	12.9	3.0	2.3	1.3	1.1
Total Enrolment (FTE)	45,261	47,446	51,008	55,763	57,887

^[1] Revenue is net of scholarships expenses and adjusted to smooth investment returns. [2] Tuition fees are net of scholarship expenses.

Opinion

Recent Developments

The ratings of the University of Toronto reflect the application of Moody's new rating methodology for government-related issuers (GRIs). Please refer to Moody's Rating Methodology entitled "The Application of Joint Default Analysis to Government-Related Issuers", published in April 2005, and its accompanying press release.

Credit Strengths

Credit strengths for the University of Toronto include:

- strong academic and research reputation
- high student demand and positive demographics
- sizeable endowment
- leader in securing federal government research funding

Credit Challenges

Credit challenges for the University of Toronto include:

- ongoing operating pressures
- capital plan requiring additional debt issuance
- uncertainty surrounding the government's tuition policy post 2005-06

Rating Rationale

In accordance with Moody's GRI rating methodology, the ratings of the University of Toronto reflect the combination of the following inputs:

- Baseline credit assessment of 2 (on a scale of 1 to 6, where 1 represents lowest credit risk)
- -Aa2 local currency rating of the province of Ontario
- Low default dependence (probability of a default in the case of a provincial default)
- Medium support (likelihood of provincial action to prevent a default by the university)

The baseline credit assessment of 2 is underpinned by the University of Toronto's high level of financial reserves and strong international academic and research reputation. The current financial plan calls for expenditure reductions to ensure that the cumulative operating deficit does not exceed 1.5% of operating revenues by the end of the planning period (2009-10). Financial flexibility has been of key importance over the past decade given the changing provincial funding environment. While the 2005-06 provincial budget announced significant increases to university operating funding over the next several years, uncertainty remains respecting provincial policy on tuition increases post 2005-06 when the current two-year freeze is scheduled to conclude. In February 2006, the government announced that tuition will increase for the 2006-07 academic year, but no policy framework respecting further changes to tuition has been articulated. Moody's will continue to monitor government actions and evaluate the potential impacts of policy changes on the province's institutions.

The university's current approved capital plan totals C\$960 million, which will be used to fund the construction of academic buildings, residences and general infrastructure at the downtown Toronto and two suburban campuses. To finance this plan, the university has issued, to date, C\$435 million in debentures and is planning to issue an additional C\$75 million.

Low default dependence reflects the institution's capacity to secure research funding, supported by sizable expendable financial reserves, which offer 1.3 times coverage of net direct debt.

Medium support reflects the university's status as a highly established independent institution, while also taking into consideration the university's high profile role in delivering post-secondary education, a provincial public policy priority. Furthermore, medium support reflects the more autonomous status of Ontario's universities vis-a-vis the provincial government as compared to universities in some other Canadian provinces.

What Could Change the Rating - UP

Correction of existing small operating deficits, additional flexibility provided by an easing of tuition controls, and increased provincial funding would lend support to the university's credit rating. Continued healthy donations, combined with strong market returns, leading to further substantial increases in the university's endowment would also provide further support for the rating.

What Could Change the Rating - DOWN

Restrictions imposed by provincial policy on the university's ability to manage operating pressures, cuts to provincial funding and/or a prolonged period of poor investment returns on the university endowment fund assets could combine to apply downward pressure on the university's rating.

Rating Outlook

The outlook is stable, reflecting a strong international academic and research reputation, strong student demand and a sizable endowment.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY COPYRIGHT LAW AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, such information is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind and MOODY'S, in particular, makes no representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, timeliness, completeness, merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose of any such information. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The credit ratings and financial reporting analysis observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER. Each rating or other opinion must be weighed solely as one factor in any investment decision made by or on behalf of any user of the information contained herein, and each such user must accordingly make its own study and evaluation of each security and of each issuer and quarantor of, and each provider of credit support for, each security that it may consider purchasing, holding or selling.

MOODY'S hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MOODY'S have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MOODY'S for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to \$2,400,000. Moody's Corporation (MCO) and its whollyowned credit rating agency subsidiary, Moody's Investors Service (MIS), also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually on Moody's website at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations - Corporate Governance - Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited does not hold an Australian financial services licence under the Corporations Act. This credit rating opinion has been prepared without taking into account any of your objectives, financial situation or needs. You should, before acting on the opinion, consider the appropriateness of the opinion having regard to your own objectives, financial situation and needs.