



University of Toronto TORONTO ONTARIO M5S 1A1

University Tribunal

Heard with:

1994/95-08

REVISED*Personal and Confidential*

February 24, 1995

Mr. Z

Toronto, Ontario

Dear Mr. Z

At its hearings held on Wednesday, November 16th, 1994, Tuesday, December 20th, 1994 and Monday, February 20th, 1995, the Trial Division of the University Tribunal heard the following charges against you:

- 1) THAT on or about March 23, 1993 you did represent as your own in the second term test in PSY 240S an idea or an expression of an idea or work of another, and/or you aided, assisted, abetted, counselled or conspired with another person to represent as his/her own an idea or an expression of an idea or work of another, contrary to sections B.I.1(d) and B.II.1(a) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters;
- 2) THAT on or about April 27, 1993 you did represent as your own in the final examination in PSY 240S an idea or expression of an idea an academic work, and/or you aided, assisted, abetted, counselled or conspired with another person to represent as his/her own an idea or an expression of an idea or work of another, contrary to sections B.I.1(d) and B.II.1(a) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters;
- 3) THAT on or about March 23, 1993 you did obtain unauthorized assistance in connection with the second term test in PSY 240S or aided, assisted, abetted, counselled or conspired with another person to obtain unauthorized assistance in connection with the second term test in PSY 240S, contrary to Sections B.I.1(b) and B.II.1(a) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters; and
- 4) THAT on or about April 27, 1993 you did obtain unauthorized assistance in connection with the final examination in PSY 240S or aided, assisted, abetted, counselled or conspired with another person to obtain unauthorized assistance in connection with an academic work, contrary to sections B.I.1(b) and B.II.1.(a) of the University of Toronto Code on Academic Matters.

During the spring term of 1993 you were a student in PSY 240S. On March 23, 1993 you wrote your second Term Test and the answers on your test were almost identical to those of Ms R.¹. On April 27, 1993 you wrote your final examination and the answers on your final examination were almost identical to those of Ms R.

. . /2

¹ See 1994/95-08


The jury found you guilty of charges #3 and 4, and unanimously agreed to impose the following sanctions:

- a grade of '0' in PSY 240S.
- suspension from the University for a period of two years, effective from the date of the offences
- a record of the sanction and the reasons for it to appear on your transcript for a period of three years from the date of the offences

In communicating its decision, the spokesperson for the jury stated: "In deciding on these penalties, we took into account the method of evaluation in the course involved, giving substantially the same test and examination year after year, while at the same time attempting to restrict the availability of the questions."

Information concerning rights of appeal may be found in Section C.III of the *Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters*. The deadline for filing an appeal by you or by the University is March 21, 1995.

Yours truly,



Lynn Snowden
Secretary,
University Tribunal

c.c.: A. Keith
E. Khaki
L. R. Rothstein
S. Bartkiw
P. Gooch