

University of Toronto TORONTO ONTARIO M5S 1A1

Heard with: 1993/94-11

University Tribunal

March 30, 1994

BY REGISTERED MAIL

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Z.

Toronto. Ontario

Dear Mr. Z.

At its hearings held on March 7th, 1994 and March 28th, the University Tribunal considered the following charges against you:

1. THAT on or about April 22, 1993, you did use or possess an unauthorized aid or aids or did obtain unauthorized assistance and/or aided, assisted, abetted, counselled, procured or conspired with another person to use or possess an unauthorized aid or aids or obtain unauthorized assistance in an academic examination, contrary to Sections B.I.I. (b) and B.II.1. (a) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters.

In particular, in the spring of 1993 you were enrolled in CLA A02Y: Greek and Roman Mythology. On April 22, 1993, you wrote the final examination in the course and were seated in the examination room close to Ms T. . Your answers were virtually identical to those of Ms T. , with the exception of Part E, which Ms T. did not answer. A majority of those answers were erroneous. Among the essay answers, some of the wording was highly unusual yet repeated in both examinations.

I am writing to formally advise you of the decision of the Tribunal with respect to these charges. The jury found you guilty, and agreed to impose the following sanctions:

- assignment of a grade of "O" in the course CLA A02Y;
- suspension from the University from the end of the current term until December 31st, 1994;

and that:

- notation of the sanction and the reasons for it to be recorded on your transcript for a period of five years, or until you graduate, whichever comes first; and
- the case be reported to the Provost for publication, with the name of the student withheld.

. . . /2

SECRETARY ROOM 106 SIMCOE HALL UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO TORONTO ONTARIO M5S 1A1 416/ 978-8427

In communicating the sanctions, the spokesperson for the jury stated: "I would like to add that this was a majority decision, with dissenting members in favour of a more severe penalty in order to give Mr. \angle ." a longer time to reflect on the seriousness of the offence."

The jury gave the following reasons for its decision: "We found this to be a serious offence. We feel the need to broadcast the seriouness of offences of this type to the academic community to act as a deterrent for similar offences. We found no evidence of remorse. We did however find that this was Mr. \geq . 's first offence, and were influenced by counsel for the defence's contention that Mr. \geq .' did not profit personally in any way from this action, and we suspect that he may not have been fully aware of the seriousness of his actions at that time. We balanced these issues and arrived at the sanctions which I have just described."

Information concerning rights of appeal may be found in Section C.III of the <u>Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters</u>. The deadline for filing an appeal by you or by the University is May 2, 1994.

Yours truly,

Filnowden

Lynn Snowden Secretary University Tribunal

c.c.: J. Pepino L.R. Rothstein M. Cuddy-Keane D. Perry D. Cook A. Sedra - 2 -