

Heard with:
1993/94-03



University of Toronto TORONTO ONTARIO M5S 1A1

University Tribunal

October 13th, 1993

BY REGISTERED MAIL

PERSONAL & CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Z.

Toronto, Ontario

Dear Mr. Z.

At its hearing on October 12th, 1993, the University Tribunal considered the following charges against you:

1. that on or about January 28, 1993 you did represent as your own in an academic work and submitted for credit, an idea or an expression of an idea or work of another and/or you aided, assisted, abetted, counselled or conspired with another person to represent as his own in an academic work submitted for credit an idea or an expression of an idea or work of another, contrary to Sections B.I.1.(d) and B.II.1.(a) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters; and
2. that on or about January 28, 1993 you did obtain unauthorized assistance in connection with an academic work or aided, assisted, abetted, counselled or conspired with another person to obtain unauthorized assistance in connection with an academic work, contrary to Sections B.I.1.(b) and B.II.1.(a) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters.

In particular, you submitted as ~~your first assignment in CSC 158S a~~ computer printout with your name on it in which each program line, the order of the program lines, the approach to the problem, syntax error and programming techniques of the assignment you submitted were exactly the same as those in the assignment submitted by Mr. L. on or about January 28, 1993. In addition, the use of random variables in the assignment that you submitted was virtually the same as those in the assignment of Mr. L.

The jury accepted your guilty plea and agreed unanimously to impose the following sanctions:

- a written reprimand, with a notation of this reprimand to be recorded on your transcript for a period of two years;
- assignment of a grade of "0" in CSC 158S;
- reporting of this decision and the sanctions imposed to the Vice-President and Provost for publication in the University newspapers.

In reaching its decision, the jury stated:

"Our reasons for imposing those sanctions are that we have regarded the offences to be a detriment to the University, and that the sanctions represent an attempt to deter these students and others from committing similar offences".

Information concerning rights of appeal may be found in Section C.III of the Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters. The deadline for filing an appeal by you or by the University is November 11th, 1993.

Yours truly,



Lynn Snowden
Secretary
University Tribunal

c.c.: A. Keith
L. Rothstein
J. Percy
D. Cook
A. Sedra