



University of Toronto TORONTO ONTARIO M5S 1A1

Heard with:
1992/93-06

University Tribunal

BY REGISTERED MAIL

PERSONAL & CONFIDENTIAL

Ms C.

Toronto, Ontario

Dear Ms C.

At its hearing on September 23rd, 1992, the University Tribunal considered the following charges against you:

1. that on or about April 1, 1992, you did represent as your own in an academic work and submitted for credit, an idea or an expression of an idea or work of another or you aided, assisted, abetted, counselled or conspired with another person to represent as his own in an academic work submitted for credit in PHM 309 an idea or an expression of an idea or work of another, contrary to Sections B.I.1. (d) and B.II.1. (a) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters:

2. that on or about April 1, 1992 you did obtain unauthorized assistance in connection with an academic work or aided, assisted, abetted, counselled or conspired with another person to obtain unauthorized assistance in connection with an academic work, contrary to Section B.I.1. (b) and B.II.1. (a) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters:

In particular, many of the ideas and much of the work of the paper you submitted in PHM 309 were identical to a paper entitled "Consumer Perception and Selection of OTC Products", submitted by Mr. L. (1992/93-06) on April 2, 1992 in PHM 309.

3. that on or about May 5, 1992 you did obtain unauthorized assistance or, aided, assisted, abetted, counselled, or conspired with another person to obtain unauthorized assistance in an academic examination, contrary to Section B.I.12. (b) and Section B.II.1. of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters.

In particular, on May 5, 1992, you wrote the final examination in

. . . /2

PCL 361Y. You were seated in the examination room next to Mr. L (1992/93-00)
. Out of the 90 questions on the examination both you and Mr. had
all on the same

There were five possible answers for each question.

I am writing to formally advise you of the decision of the Tribunal with respect to these charges. The jury acquitted you of all the charges.

Information concerning rights of appeal may be found in Section C.III of the Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters. The deadline for filing an appeal by you or by the University is October 23rd, 1992.

Yours truly,



Lynn Snowden
Secretary
University Tribunal

c.c.: K. Chown
L. Rothstein
D. Perrier
S. Satchel
D. Cook
J. Foley