

July 18, 1980

Miss B.  
Toronto,  
Ontario,

Dear Miss B.

At the hearing on Tuesday, July 15, 1980 the Local Branch of the Trial Division of the University Tribunal considered the following charge against you:

. . . that in the Chemistry 110 examination held in Varsity Arena North on the morning of 22 April 1980 you were found using handwritten notes. This is contrary to section E.1(a)(i) and G.1, G.6(a)(i), (b) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour (Revised and Approved).

I am writing to advise you formally of the decision of the Tribunal. The jury found you to be guilty of the charge and order that the following sanctions be imposed:

1. That CHM 110Y be recorded on your transcript with a mark of zero;
2. That you be suspended from attendance at the University until December 31, 1980.

The jury felt that a mark of zero was not in itself sufficient penalty for a student who commits an academic offense otherwise expecting to fail a course.

Miss B.

July 18, 1980

In accordance with number 65 of the Rules of Procedure, I am forwarding to you and to Dean J. R. Webster information regarding appeal rights and procedures. A notice of appeal would have to be filed with the Secretary on or before July 29, 1980.

Yours sincerely,

(Ms.) KAREL SWIFT,  
Assistant Secretary  
Academic Tribunal

KS/rh

c.c. Mr. G. W. Brigden  
Associate Dean, J. R. Webster  
Mr. A. R. Waugh  
Mr. D. Keeling

Encl.