

June 20, 1978

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. D.

Toronto, Ontario  
M6M 4W7

Dear Mr. D.

At its hearing on Thursday, June 15, 1978 the Local Branch of the Trial Division of the University Tribunal considered the following charge against you:

that in the Final Examination for History 260Y held in Room 1087, Sidney Smith Hall, on Monday, 24th April, 1978, at approximately 4:15 p.m., you did use an unauthorized aid in the form of two-loose-leaf sheets covered with finely written history notes relevant to History 260Y contrary to Section E.1 (a)(i) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour (Revised and Approved).

I am writing to formally advise you of the decision of the Tribunal with respect to this charge. The jury imposed the following sanctions for the charge to which you pleaded guilty:

- 1) that you receive a grade of zero in HIS 260Y;
- 2) that you be suspended for a period of twelve months from the date on which the offence was committed.

The jury gave the following three reasons for its decision:

- 1) in the opinion of the jury there were no extenuating circumstances to justify the cheating;
- 2) the jury could not accept your statement that the pre-meditation was sudden. In the opinion of the jury it looked well planned;
- 3) a majority of the jurors felt that a lighter sentence, eg. a zero in the course with no suspension, was too light in view of the offence.

June 20, 1978

- 2 -

Finally in accordance with Rule 65 I am enclosing information regarding rights of appeal and the time limit in which the appeal must be filed.

Yours sincerely,

PATRICK S. PHILLIPS  
Secretary, Academic Tribunal

PSP/ee  
Encl.

c.c.: Mr. George Briden