



THE UNIVERSITY TRIBUNAL  
ROOM 107, SIMCOE HALL  
THE UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO  
TORONTO, CANADA  
M5S 1A1

978-2192

October 13, 1977

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. K.

Downsview, Ontario

Dear Mr. K

At its hearing on Wednesday, October 12, 1977 the Local Branch of the Trial Division of the University Tribunal considered the following charge against you:

that in the winter term, 1976, as a student in GGR 271, you submitted a map assignment which you represented as your own work but which was partially the work of another with intent to deceive within the meaning of Section E.1(a)(ii) of the Academic Code of Behaviour.

In accordance with Rule 65 of the Rules of Procedure I am writing to formally advise you of the decision of the Tribunal with respect to this charge.

The jury found you to be guilty of the charge.

I also wish to advise you of the sanctions imposed by the jury and the reasons provided by the jury for those sanctions:

'In view of the fact that

- i) collaboration was freely admitted, and
- ii) some of the work on the assignment in question was the original work of  
Mr. K

we impose a mark of zero for the assignment in question and a warning.'

Also in accordance with Rule 65 of the Rules of Procedure I am enclosing information on the rights of appeal (Sections 19-22 of the Enactment and Rules 67-77 of the Rules of Procedure).

.../2

October 13, 1977

- 2 -

In particular I would like to draw your attention to Rule 67 which states that a notice of appeal must be filed with the Secretariat within 14 days, i.e. by October 27, 1977.

Yours sincerely,

PATRICK S. PHILLIPS  
Secretary, Academic Tribunal

PSP/co  
Encl.

c.c.: Mr. C. Tzekas (Encl.)  
Mr. J. Tinker  
Dean H. Andrews (Encl.)

Mr. M.

**Case 11A - missing**

-charged with plagiarism on an assignment in a course.

Erindale College imposed a grade of zero for the assignment.

Hearing Officer concluded that the penalty was too severe and recommended re-evaluation of the assignment. This was done but it was decided that the zero grade should stand as the student had admitted the offence.

-Trial Division found him guilty and imposed sanctions of a mark of zero in the assignment and a warning.

This case tried together with "Mr. K."

