

Secretariat
Academic Tribunal
Room 107, Simcoe Hall
University of Toronto
978-2192

REGISTERED MAIL
PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

November 15, 1976

Mr. M.

Downsview, Ontario

Dear Mr. M,

At its hearing on Tuesday, November 9, 1976 the Local Branch of the Trial Division of the University Tribunal considered the following charge against you:

"that on Monday, August 16, 1976 you obtained unauthorized assistance on the examination in Computer Science 108H."

I am writing to formally advise you of the decision of the University Tribunal with respect to this charge. The jury found you to be guilty of the charge and gave the following reasons for its verdict:

"We first determined beyond reasonable doubt that there was copying, and it was determined that there were extensive unusual similarities between the answers to the various questions, and we were unanimous in this decision.

Secondly, we unanimously concluded that he copied from her paper (that is, Mr. M. from Cheryl Moss) because of the apparent similarities on the test, her getting almost twice the mark that he did, and portions of her answers that were omitted from his, which was crucial for the program to work, and he included sections of her answers that made no sense in the context of his answer, but which did make sense if he copied her whole program. This was also a unanimous decision.

November 15, 1976

- 2 -

I also wish to advise you of the following sanctions imposed by the jury under Sections F and G of the Academic Code of Behaviour:

- 1) that an F (zero) be entered on your transcript for Computer Science 106H;
- 2) that you be suspended from the University of Toronto for twelve months from the end of this session;
- 3) that the decision be made known to York University and that an appropriate annotation be made on your University of Toronto transcript.

The jury provided the following reasons for imposing these sanctions:

"Our reasons for Sanctions 1 and 2 are because apparently a regular University of Toronto student would be suspended, and we feel that the same rule should apply. Sanction 3 is to ensure that York University is made aware so that as much as possible the penalties have the same effect as if he were enrolled at the University of Toronto."

Finally in accordance with Rule 65 of the Rules of Procedure I am enclosing information regarding the rights of appeal and the time limit in which appeals must be filed.

Yours sincerely,

PATRICK S. PHILLIPS
Secretary, Academic Tribunal

PSP/ch
Encl.