



Appeal Denied: 1977/78-2

THE UNIVERSITY TRIBUNAL
ROOM 107, SIMCOE HALL
THE UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
TORONTO, CANADA
M5S 1A1

978-2192

October 1, 1976

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. F.

Toronto, Ontario

Dear Mr. F.

At its hearing on Tuesday, September 28, 1976 and Wednesday, September 29, 1976 the Senior Branch of the Trial Division of the University Tribunal considered the following six charges against you:

- 1) In April, 1976, you did with intent to deceive submit a paper entitled "Zoning" for credit in Economics 333, in which you represented as your own, the ideas and expression of ideas of others, contrary to Section E.1(a)(ii). Your paper quotes at length and without acknowledgement passages from Babcock, "The Zoning Game", and from Marcus & Groves, "The New Zoning".
- 2) In March, 1976, you did with intent to deceive obtain unauthorized assistance in the writing of a term test in Economics 333 in that you obtained and used the answer of a fellow student and submitted it as your own for credit on the examination contrary to Section E.1(a)(i).
- 3) In March, 1976, you did with intent to deceive submit a term test for credit in Economics 333 in which you represented as your own the ideas and expression of ideas of another student contrary to Section E.1(a)(ii).
- 4) In April, 1976, you did with intent to deceive submit a paper relating to the Japanese economy

.../2

for credit in East Asian Studies 222, in which you represented as your own the ideas and expression of ideas of another student contrary to Section E.1(a)(ii). It is alleged that your paper plagiarizes a paper entitled "The Rise of Militarism and its Effects on the Japanese Economy 1930 - 1940" submitted by a student for credit in Economics 335 in April, 1975.

- 5) In April, 1976, you did with intent to deceive obtain unauthorized assistance in the writing of an examination in Anthropology 220 in that you obtained, used and copied answers of fellow students and submitted them as your own for credit on the examination contrary to Section E.1(a)(i).
- 6) In April, 1976, you did with intent to deceive submit an examination for credit in Anthropology 220 in which you represented as your own the ideas and expression of ideas of other students contrary to Section E.1(a)(ii).

In accordance with Rule 65 of the Rules of Procedure of the University of Toronto Disciplinary Structure I am writing to formally advise you of the final decision and order of the University Tribunal with respect to these six charges.

CONVICTION

With respect to Charge 1, your Counsel having pleaded guilty to the Charge, there was a conviction of guilty.

Charge 6 having been withdrawn the jury's decision on Charges 2-5 was as follows:

- Charge 2 - not guilty
- Charge 3 - guilty
- Charge 4 - guilty
- Charge 5 - guilty

SANCTION

With respect to the first Charge to which you pleaded guilty and Charges 3, 4 and 5 of which

October 1, 1976

- 3 -

you were found guilty the jury imposed the following sanctions under Section F and G of the Code of Behaviour:

- 1) that you lose credit for all courses which have not been completed or in which no grade or final evaluation had been registered at the time the offences were committed;
- 2) that you be suspended, the suspension to end one year from the end of the current session and that you be eligible to re-register for the summer session 1978;
- 3) that this suspension and loss of credit in your courses be recorded on your transcript and be removed from the transcript at the end of the period of suspension.

The jury gave the following reasons for imposing these three sanctions -

"First, the offences committed in some courses meant less work was needed therein and that, consequently, more time could be devoted to courses not affected by these offences. Consequently, Mr. F. would have an unfair advantage in those courses as a result of the offences committed.

Secondly, after such a series of grave academic offences it would be inappropriate if a student were allowed to re-register without a period of suspension consistent with the gravity of the offences.

Thirdly, the recording on Mr. F. 's transcript of this suspension and the loss of credit in his courses will draw to the attention of relevant parties the seriousness of the offences committed and Mr. F. 's present status at the University of Toronto."

.../4

October 1, 1976

- 4 -

Finally, in accordance with Rule 65 of the Rules of Procedure I am enclosing pertinent information regarding the rights of appeal and the time limit within which appeals must be made.

Yours sincerely,

PATRICK S. PHILLIPS
Secretary, Academic Tribunal

PSP/ch