UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

CONFIDENTIAL

THE GOVERNING COUNCIL

REPORT NUMBER 23 of the SUBCOMMITTEE ON ACADEMIC APPEALS

January 14th, 1976

To the Academic Affairs Committee, University of Toronto.

Your Subcommittee reports that it held a meeting on Wednesday, January 14th, 1976, at 4:00 p.m. in the Council Chamber, Faculty of Pharmacy, 19 Russell Street, at which the following were present:

Professor J.E. Dunlop (In the Chair)

Professor V.E. Graham Professor A.M. Hunt Mr. Byron E. Well Professor A.M. Wall Professor J.A. Sawyer

Professor A.M. Kruger

In Attendance:

Mr. D. and counsel
Miss Lorna Freedman
Osgoode Hall Students' Legal Aid

Professor R.H. Farquharson Associate Dean Faculty of Arts and Science

Professor J.D. King Associate Dean Scarborough College

THE FOLLOWING ITEM IS REPORTED FOR INFORMATION

THE MEETING WAS HELD IN CLOSED SESSION

Mr. D.

Mr. \(\bigcup_{\text{\colored}}\) appealed to the Subcommittee on Academic Appeals from a decision of the Committee on Standing of Scarborough College refusing his petition to take five courses on the St. George campus. The decision is that the appeal should be allowed.

The evidence disclosed that in a petition to the Committee on Standing the previous year requesting permission to take three courses in physiology on the St. George campus, Mr. D $_2$ a transfer student from Brock University, had announced his intention to study, during his fourth year, the specialist programme in Physiology. This programme is offered only on the St. George campus. His petition was granted in 1974 and Mr. D. inferred from this and from a supporting letter received from the head of the Physiology programme at Scarborough College that there was no barrier to his proceeding with his plans.

In the fall of 1975, he petitioned to be allowed to take five Physiology courses on the St. George campus. His petition was denied with the reason stated that "the Subcommittee has the power to grant an additional course only if circumstances warrant, but cannot grant more." This decision was based on an agreement between the Faculty of Arts and Science and Scarborough College whereby Scarborough students with less than fifteen credits are permitted to take a maximum of three courses on the St. George campus. Mr. D. had only twelve and a half credits. In this instance, because of the special circumstances of the case, the Faculty of Arts and Science indicated willingness to waive the agreement and to permit Mr. to enroll on the St. . Ceorge campus. However, the Committee on Standing of Scarborough College still refused to grant permission because it had never permitted a student to take more than three courses and had only granted three in exceptional circumstances. Many students who had appealed to take an additional course on the St. George campus in 1975-76 had been turned down and it was felt that it would be unjust to these students to grant Mr. D

REPORT NUMBER 23 OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON ACADEMIC APPEALS - January 14th, 1976

Mr.D. (Cont'd)

The Subcommittee noted, however, that Mr. Dos average in 1974-75 was such that he could have transferred to the St. George campus. It also took the view that when the first petition was granted in 1974 and Mr. De had given clear notice of his plans, the Committee on Standing of Scarborough College should have pointed out to him in its decision that he would require standing in fifteen Scarborough College courses in order to be able to take a specialist programme on the St. George campus. For these reasons, the Subcommittee on Academic Appeals considers that the appeal should be granted.

YOUR SUBCOMMITTEE AGREED

THAT the appeal of Mr. D. against a decision of the Committee on Standing of Scarborough College be allowed.

The meeting adjourned at 5:45 p.m.

Secretary January 26th, 1976 Chairman