THE GOVERNING COUNCIL

REPORT NUMBER 163 OF THE ACADEMIC BOARD

June 1, 2009

To the Governing Council, University of Toronto

Your Board reports that it held a meeting on Monday, June 1, 2009 at 4:15 p.m. in the Council Chamber, Simcoe Hall at which the following were present:

Professor Michael R. Marrus (In the Chair) Professor Brian Corman Professor David Naylor, President Professor Cheryl Misak, Vice-President and Provost Professor Safwat Zaky, Vice-Provost, Planning and Budget Professor Stewart Aitchison Professor Jan Angus Professor Gage Averill Professor George Baird Professor Ronald Beiner Ms Patricia Bellamy Professor Denise Belsham Professor Katherine Berg Professor Ragnar Buchweitz Professor Sujit Choudhry Dr. Christena Chruszez Professor Will Cluett

Regrets:

Professor Varouj Aivazian Professor Cristina Amon Professor Christy Anderson Professor Sylvia Bashevkin Ms Marilyn Booth Mr. Ryan Campbell Professor Christopher Damaren Professor Charles Deber Professor Miriam Diamond Professor Wendy Duff Professor Dickson Eyoh Mr. John A. Fraser Dr. Avrum Gotlieb Ms Jacqueline Greenblatt Ms Anne Guo Professor Russell Hartenberger Professor Wayne K. Hindmarsh Professor Ellen Hodnett

Professor Elizabeth Cowper Professor Alister Cumming Professor Gerald Cupchik Professor Gabriele D'Eleuterio Professor Luc F. De Nil Professor Joseph Desloges Professor Guy Faulkner Professor Meric Gertler Professor Robert Gibbs Ms Pamela Gravestock Ms Emily Greenleaf Professor Rick Halpern Mrs. Bonnie Horne Ms Jenna Hossack Ms Tharsni Kankesan Professor Shashi Kant Professor Bruce Kidd Professor Hy Van Luong Professor John R. Miron Ms Michelle Mitrovich Professor David Mock

Professor Glen Jones Professor Gregory Jump Dr. Allan S. Kaplan Dr. Young M. Kim Professor Pamela E. Klassen Dr. Chris Koenig-Woodyard Mr. Joseph Koo Professor Audrey Laporte Ms Lesley Ann Lavack Professor Louise Lemieux-Charles Professor Robert Levit Professor Rhonda Love Dr. Gillian MacKay Professor Roger L. Martin Professor Douglas McDougall Professor Mark McGowan Mr. Andrew Mintz Professor Faye Mishna Professor Michael Molloy Ms Carole Moore

Professor Linda Northrup Mr. Roger P. Parkinson Mr. Jeff Peters Professor Susan Pfeiffer Professor Judith Poe Professor Jolie Ringash Professor Seamus Ross Mr. Paul Ruppert Professor Andrea Sass-Kortsak Ms Maureen Simpson Professor Tattersall Smith Professor Elizabeth M. Smyth Miss Maureen J. Somerville Mr. Olivier Sorin Professor Suzanne Stevenson Professor Kim Strong Professor Romin Tafarodi Mr. Daniel Taranovsky Professor Njoki Wane Dr. Donald A. Wasylenki Professor Donald Wiebe

Professor Mayo Moran Professor Sioban Nelson Mr. Andrew Ngo Professor Donna Orwin Professor Janet Paterson Professor Ito Peng Ms Sheron Perera Professor Ato Quayson Professor Cheryl Regehr Professor William Robins Professor Wendy Rotenberg Miss Charlene Saldanha Miss Pamela Santora Mr. Shane Smith Ms Lynn Snowden Ms Rita Tsang Dr. Robert S. Turnbull Professor Catharine Whiteside Dr. Cindy Woodland

Non-voting Assessors: Profesor Jonathan Freedman, Deputy Provost Professor Edith Hillan, Vice- Provost, Academic Mr. David Palmer, Vice-	Ms Catherine Riggall, Vice- President, Business Affairs Ms Elizabeth Sisam, Assistant Vice-President, Campus and Facilities Planning	Secretariat: Ms Mae-Yu Tan
President, Advancement	Ms Sally Garner, Executive Director, Planning and Budget	Ms Helen Lasthiotakis, Director, Policy and Planning, Office of
In Attendance:	Professor Maureen Gottesman,	the Vice-President and
Professor Sarita Vice-Dean,	Postgraduate Medical	Provost
Postgraduate Medical	Education, Faculty of	Ms Margaret McKone, Executive
Education, and Deputy Dean,	Medicine	Director, School of Global
Faculty of Medicine, and	Dr. Anthony Gray, Special	Affairs at the Munk Centre
Member of Governing	Advisor to the President	Mr. Henry Mulhall, Assistant
Council	Professor David Klausner, Vice-	Secretary of the Governing
Ms Joeita Gupta, Member-Elect	Dean, Interdisciplinary	Council
of Governing Council	Affairs, Faculty of Arts and	Professor Frank Reid, Director,
Mr. Neil Dobbs, Deputy	Science	Centre for Industrial
Secretary of the Governing	Mr. Devin Kreuger, Acting	Relations and Human
Council	Director of Governance,	Resources
Ms Sheree Drummond, Assistant	University of Toronto at	
Provost	Mississauga	
Dr. Mike Dymarski, Technical and Administrative Manager, Department of Chemistry		

In this report, items 5 and 6 are recommended to the Executive Committee for confirmation and items 7 to 14 are recommended to the Governing Council for approval. The remaining items are reported for information.

The Chair thanked members who had submitted questions to the Secretary in advance of the meeting and encouraged all members to do so in the future. Assessors to the Board were better able to provide full and detailed responses to questions about agenda items when given notice of the questions in advance of Board meetings.

1. Approval of Report Number 162 of the Meeting held on April 30, 2009

Report Number 162 of the meeting held on April 30, 2009 was approved.

2. Business Arising from the Report of the Previous Meeting

There was no business arising from the previous meeting.

3. Reports of the Agenda Committee

Reports Number 154 (April 28, 2009) and 155 (May 21, 2009) were received for information.

4. Report from the Vice-President and Provost

(a) Federal-Provincial Infrastructure Funding

Professor Misak announced that the University would receive \$151-million through the federal Knowledge Infrastrucure Program and matching funds provided by the provincial government. The program would provide \$70-million each to the University of Toronto at Mississauga (UTM) and the University of Toronto at Scarborough (UTSC) to build instructional and laboratory complexes which were greatly needed. The funding would allow for ongoing expansion at each of the campuses. \$11-million would also be provided to the St. George campus, which, together with funds from a benefactor,

4. Report from the Vice-President and Provost (cont'd)

(a) Federal-Provincial Infrastructure Funding (cont'd)

would assist with the Mining Building capital project in the Faculty of Applied Science and Engineering. Each of the projects was required to be materially complete by March 2011.

Professor Misak noted that the University was still hopeful that other projects which it had submitted might receive funding through future provincial and federal government initiatives. In the meanwhile, governance approval for the remaining projects would be sought, subject to the receipt of funding.

(b) Acknowledgement of the Chair

Professor Misak noted that Professor Marrus was completing a remarkable second term as Chair of the Academic Board. On behalf of the Board, she thanked him for his sustained and brilliant service, and she noted that his high academic standards, good humour, and inflection would be greatly missed.

The Chair thanked Professor Misak for her remarks and expressed his gratitude at having had the opportunity to serve the Board and the University over the years. He acknowledged the extraordinary activity undertaken by the administrative staff, students, faculty, and administrators who worked together for the good of the University, and he said that he had felt that he had been part of each item of business that had been considered by the Board. The Chair stated that he had greatly enjoyed the work of the Board and hoped that members also felt that they had contributed to the governance of the University.

5. Constitution: University of Toronto at Mississauga

Outlining the governance path, the Chair stated that the Academic Board was responsible for approving divisional constitutions. If approved by the Board, the amended constitution of the University of Toronto at Mississauga would require confirmation by the Executive Committee at its next meeting of June 15th.

Professor Misak said that the proposed revisions were relatively minor and were, for the most part, intended to reflect name changes for various UTM organizations and to provide more consistency in the text throughout the document. The amended constitution had been approved by the Erindale College Council (ECC) on April 14, 2009.

Referring to student membership on the ECC, a member suggested that Section 3c) of the Constitution should be altered. In his view, an executive member of the Association of Part-time Undergraduate Students (APUS), rather than the President of the Erindale Part-time Undergraduate Students (EPUS), should sit on the ECC, since EPUS was no longer in existence.¹ Another member suggested that the motion be amended to that effect. In response, Mr. Devin Kreuger, Acting Director of Governance, UTM, explained that it was anticipated that EPUS would be re-established in the coming year. For that reason, the EPUS President's seat had been retained on the Council. If, however, EPUS was not resurrected, the matter of appropriate part-time student leadership representation on the ECC would be revisited. Mr. Kreuger noted that the ECC Constitution did require that 14 seats on the Council be held by elected part-time undergraduate students.

In response to a question from a member, Professor Gage Averill, UTM Vice-Principal, Academic and Dean, explained that Section 4 of the Constitution had been revised to require that the ECC meet at least twice (rather than three times) in each of the fall and spring terms. The change was intended to reduce the number of mandatory meetings, while still providing for a sufficient number of meetings to conduct ECC business. In the past, there had not always been enough items of business to warrant three meetings of the ECC per term, and there had been concern that members' time was not being used most efficiently. The input of student

¹ On June 2, 2008, the University Affairs Board approved the motion "That all fees currently charged to University of Toronto at Mississauga (UTM) students on behalf of Erindale Part-time Undergraduate Students (EPUS) be discontinued, and by virtue of this change, that EPUS no longer be recognized as a student society of the University of Toronto…" (Report Number 148 of the University Affairs Board, page 6.)

5. Constitution: University of Toronto at Mississauga (cont'd)

members at ECC meetings was valued, and the change was in no way intended to limit their contributions. Professor Averill added that a significant portion of the ECC's work was conducted at the committee level, and student representatives actively participated on UTM governance bodies such as the Academic Affairs Committee and the Resource Planning and Priorities Committee.

In light of the discussion, the Chair advised that members could exercise their right to vote against the proposed UTM constitutional amendment, if they were not in favour of the motion. He noted that it would not be appropriate for the Academic Board to amend the constitution. Rather, UTM should be permitted to address the concerns that had been raised. The Chair also pointed out that it was not unusual for constitutions to be amended over time as divisions evolved and as governance matters were re-examined.

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried, subject to confirmation by the Executive Committee

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT the amended Constitution of the Erindale College Council ((ECC) University of Toronto at Mississauga), which was approved by the ECC on April 14, 2009, a copy of which is attached hereto as <u>Appendix "A"</u>, be approved by the Academic Board and confirmed by the Executive Committee.

6. Constitution: Faculty of Physical Education and Health

The Chair stated that the amended constitution of the Faculty of Physical Education and Health (PE&H) would require confirmation by the Executive Committee at its next meeting on June 15th if it was approved by the Board.

Professor Misak said that the proposed amendments were more substantive than those to the UTM Constitution. The changes were being made to clarify definitions, intentions, and practices within the Faculty and were consistent with the Faculty's governance traditions. The amended Constitution had been approved by the Council of the Faculty of Physical Education and Health on May 21, 2009.

A member raised a number of questions about the proposed amendments to the Constitution. The Chair explained that many of the changes had been made in order to align the Constitution with those of other divisions, while some simply reflected standard definitions of constituencies within the University.

Invited by the Chair to respond to the questions raised, Professor Bruce Kidd, Dean, Faculty of Physical Education and Health, reiterated the Chair's comments, noting that the amendments simplified the wording used in the Constitution. Professor Kidd highlighted the following changes.

- Details concerning the proceedings of faculty councils were normally contained in the by-laws rather than the constitution. For this reason, the reference to the setting of quorum by the PE&H Council had been removed from Section 3.01.
- The detailed list of duties related to student evaluation and examinations had been replaced in Section 3.06 with a simplified reference to duties of the PE&H Council with respect to "regulations governing evaluation and standing".
- The definition of "teaching staff" used in the Constitution was provided in Section 2.02. It was therefore unnecessary to include an additional definition of teaching staff in Section 6.06.
- Section 6.12 had been clarified to convey the intent for staff with teaching duties who were not included in the definition of teaching staff to be represented on Council by an elected member.
- The definition of "administrative staff" used in the Constitution was provided in Section 2.03. The reference to "technical support" in Section 6.16 was therefore unnecessary as such individuals were defined as "administrative staff".

6. Constitution: Faculty of Physical Education and Health (cont'd)

In response to a member's comment that student groups had been advocating for student parity on divisional councils, Professor Kidd replied that there was healthy student representation on the PE&H Council and its committees, and students in that division were pleased with their membership on the Council.

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried, subject to confirmation by the Executive Committee

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT the amended Constitution of the Council of the Faculty of Physical Education and Health, which was approved by the Faculty Council on May 21, 2009, a copy of which is attached hereto as <u>Appendix "B"</u>, be approved by the Academic Board and confirmed by the Executive Committee.

7. School of Graduate Studies and Faculty of Arts and Science: Proposal to Disestablish the Centre for Industrial Relations and Human Resources within the School of Graduate Studies and Reestablish it in the Faculty of Arts and Science as an EDU:A

The Chair said that the proposal to disestablish the Centre for Industrial Relations and Human Resources (CIRHR) within the School of Graduate Studies and re-establish it in the Faculty of Arts and Science as an extra-departmental unit A (EDU:A) had been considered by the Planning and Budget Committee (P&B) at its meeting on May 7th. If recommended by the Board, the proposal would be considered for approval by the Governing Council on June 23, 2009.

Professor Tafarodi said that CIRHR housed a small research-based doctoral program and a professional masters program. It collaborated with a number of units within the Faculty of Arts and Science, especially with Woodsworth College's Employment Relations undergraduate program. He stated that if the proposal was approved, the administration and operating budget of CIRHR would be transferred to the Faculty of Arts and Science. There were no other implications for the University's budget.

Professor Frank Reid, Director, Centre for Industrial Relations and Human Resources, stated that extensive consultation had been conducted and there was strong support within CIRHR for the move to the Faculty of Arts and Science. Professor Meric Gertler, Dean, Faculty of Arts and Science, added that cross-divisional interactions would continue to be encouraged between the Centres and Institutes housed in the Faculty and cognate units.

Professor Susan Pfeiffer, Dean, School of Graduate Studies (SGS), and Vice-Provost, Graduate Education, commented on the significance of the motion before the Board. She stated that, for over three decades, the SGS had served as an incubator for interdisciplinary initiatives in research and graduate education. The aspirations articulated in the *Stepping Up* planning exercise had included strong support for interdisciplinarity as a pervasive force and for the linkage of the University's three core activities: undergraduate education, graduate education and research. The other 16 Faculties at the University had demonstrated their abilities to mount and sustain interdisciplinary initiatives. As such, in 2004 the University had undertaken to place the SGS Centres & Institutes (fifteen at that time) in appropriate administrative homes and to wind down those activities at SGS that were necessitated by its "Faculty" status.

Professor Pfeiffer noted that if the current proposal was approved, the governance aspect of that transition would be complete. In the coming months, the SGS would complete the budgetary transfers to the receiving Faculties. Professor Pfeiffer reported that there had been some staffing adjustments within the SGS. Some positions had ended, while others, which had been partially directed toward the Centres and Institutes, had been re-positioned to provide greater service and support for the graduate students and programs. Professor Pfeiffer closed her remarks by stating that the University would have one less Faculty unit, but the former SGS Centres and Institutes were positioned to receive the full range of managerial and advancement support needed, and they would be better integrated.

7. School of Graduate Studies and Faculty of Arts and Science: Proposal to Disestablish the Centre for Industrial Relations and Human Resources within the School of Graduate Studies and Reestablish it in the Faculty of Arts and Science as an EDU:A (cont'd)

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT the Centre for Industrial Relations and Human Resources be disestablished as an academic unit in the School of Graduate Studies and re-established as an extra-departmental unit A (EDU:A) within the Faculty of Arts and Science, effective July 1, 2009.

Documentation is attached hereto as Appendix "C".

8. Faculty of Medicine: Proposal for a Bachelor of Science Physician Assistant Program (BScPA) and Memorandum of Understanding with the Northern Ontario School of Medicine and the Michener Institute for the BScPA

The Chair said that the proposal for a Bachelor of Science Physician Assistant Program (BScPA) had been considered by the Committee on Academic Policy and Programs (AP&P) on May 12th and by P&B on May 7th. In addition, the proposal for the Memorandum of Understanding with the Northern Ontario School of Medicine (NOSM) and the Michener Institute for the BScPA had been considered by P&B on May 7th. If recommended by the Board, the proposals would be considered for approval by the Governing Council on June 23rd.

Professor Sass-Kortsak stated that the proposed Bachelor of Science Physician Assistant degree program would be a full-time, professional, second-entry, undergraduate degree program, offered with the participation of the Michener Institute for Applied Health Sciences and the Northern Ontario School of Medicine. The program was designed to provide training for mid-level health care professionals to offer appropriate services under the supervision of a licensed physician. Physician assistants (PAs) were expected to expand the services made available by physicians in under-served, rural areas of Ontario, particularly in the northern part of the Province. Extensive consultation on the proposed degree had been undertaken with the relevant stakeholders, and the program represented one way in which the pressing health care shortages in the Province were being addressed.

Professor Sass-Kortsak outlined the program's admission requirements, noting that applicants were required to have completed a minimum of two years of university study and twelve months of clinical experience involving direct patient contact. The first class would consist of 22 students, with an anticipated 42 students per year being admitted to the program beginning in its second year. Instruction in the twenty-four month program would be provided through distance and distributed learning, complemented by group meetings and clinical placements.

Professor Sass-Kortsak explained that the proposed program would be the third program in Canada for the training of PAs; similar programs were much more common in the United States. The establishment of the PA profession in Ontario had arisen from the work of a steering committee led by the Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care and the Ontario Medical Association. Consultation across the University had taken place with the Council of Health Science Deans, the Faculty of Nursing (which offered a training program for nurse practitioners), and with UTSC (which had a program that trained paramedics). In the course of consultation, a question concerning the difference between nurse practitioners and PAs had been raised. Professor Sass-Kortsak provided a brief comparison of the two occupations. First, nurse practitioners were independently licensed practitioners operating within a very well defined, regulated scope of practice, whereas PAs operated under the supervision of a physician. As well, the scope of the PAs practice depended on the area of practice of the supervising physician. Second, nurse practitioners who trained at the University of Toronto completed a graduate degree program or a post-graduate diploma program, whereas PAs would complete a second-entry undergraduate degree program.

8. Faculty of Medicine: Proposal for a Bachelor of Science Physician Assistant Program (BScPA) and Memorandum of Understanding with the Northern Ontario School of Medicine and the Michener Institute for the BScPA (cont'd)

Professor Sass-Kortsak said that at least half of the students would complete part of their practicum in a NOSM affiliated community, where it was expected they would live during their rotations. By conducting training in northern sites, there was an increased likelihood that graduates would establish their practice in those communities.

Professor Tafarodi reported that the P&B had been supportive of the proposals.

Professor Sarita Verma, Vice-Dean, Postgraduate Medical Education, and Deputy Dean, Faculty of Medicine, stated that the Faculty was pleased to present the new undergraduate degree program. She said that the second-entry program had been developed in response to the healthcare shortages in Canada, and it was consistent with the Faculty's mission, vision, and strategic plan. Through their work with family physicians, PAs would contribute to a reduction in wait times within the healthcare system and would provide a valuable service to the citizens and government of Ontario.

Professor Verma noted that the area of PAs had been in existence for more than thirty years, and there were over 140 PA programs in the United States. In Canada, the University of Manitoba offered a Masters-level PA program, and McMaster University had begun a BHScPA program in 2008. This field had emerged from the medic model within the military, and as it continued to evolve, there would be opportunities for PAs to proceed to other roles and expand their careers. Professor Verma closed by urging members to vote in favour of the motion.

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

- 1) THAT the proposed Bachelor of Science Physician Assistant (BScPA) program in the Department of Family and Community Medicine of the Faculty of Medicine be approved, with enrolment commencing January 2010 and,
- 2) THAT the Memorandum of Understanding between the University of Toronto, The Michener Institute for Applied Health Sciences and the Northern Ontario School of Medicine be approved, effective immediately.

Documentation is attached hereto as Appendix "D".

9. Policy on Emeritus/Emerita Status

The Chair explained that the Academic Board was responsible for considering academic appointment policies. If recommended by the Board, the *Policy on Emeritus/Emerita Status* would be considered for approval by the Governing Council on June 23, 2009.

Professor Misak said that the *Policy on Emeritus/Emerita Status* was being proposed as a replacement of the existing *Policy on Appointment of Professor Emeritus*. She explained that, at present, only full professors were permitted to use the "emeritus/emerita" qualifier upon retirement. It was proposed that faculty and librarians holding continuing appointments in the University at the ranks of Professor, Associate Professor, Senior Lecturer, and Librarian be allowed to hold the corresponding Emeritus/a title upon retirement. The proposal had been discussed at a meeting of the Principals, Deans, Academic Directors, and Chairs and with University of Toronto Faculty Association representatives, and it had received very positive support.

9. Policy on Emeritus/Emerita Status (cont'd)

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT the *Policy on Emeritus/Emerita Status*, a copy of which is attached hereto as <u>Appendix "E"</u> be approved, effective July 1, 2009;

and

THAT the Policy on Appointment of Professor Emeritus (approved May 26, 2003) be rescinded.

10. Capital Project: Project Planning Report for the University of Toronto at Mississauga Instructional Centre

The Chair said that the Project Planning Report for the University of Toronto at Mississauga Instructional Centre had been considered by the Planning and Budget Committee at its meeting on May 7th. If recommended by the Board, the proposal would be considered for approval by the Governing Council on June 23rd.

Professor Tafarodi reported that, at the P&B meeting, Ms Sisam had informed members that UTM had a serious shortage of classroom space. It was currently at 60% of the space recommended by the Council of Ontario Universities (COU) space guidelines for classroom facilities. Since 2002-03 undergraduate enrolment had increased by 56%, but the inventory of classrooms, seminar rooms, laboratories, and computing facilities had not increased. Professor Tafarodi said that the project was in compliance with UTM's master plan, and the proposed Instructional Centre would be located between the North Building and the Hazel McCallion Academic Learning Centre. The total project cost was \$70-million, and as Professor Misak had announced earlier, funding had been provided by the federal and provincial governments.

A member noted that the Reports for UTM and UTSC indicated planned increases in undergraduate enrolment on both campuses over the next five years, and he asked whether that reflected a shift in the University's enrolment plans. Professor Misak replied that the University still intended to expand undergraduate enrolment at UTM and UTSC while maintaining or slightly decreasing undergraduate enrolment levels on the St. George campus.

A member asked how student members of the project planning committees were selected. Ms Sisam explained that the respective divisions were asked to suggest the names of students who could be invited to serve on the committees.

In response to a comment from a member, Professor Misak clarified that the proposed UTM project would provide considerable student space on that campus. Professor Averill added that the design of the Instructional Centre would facilitate the movement of students within the building and would provide much-needed meeting spaces for students.

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

- 1. THAT the Project Planning Report for the University of Toronto at Mississauga Instructional Centre, a copy of which is attached hereto as <u>Appendix "F"</u>, be approved in principle.
- 2. THAT the project scope, comprising 6,050 nasm (12,100 gsm.) at a total project cost of \$70,000,000 be approved, subject to receipt of funding.

The Chair stated that the Project Planning Report for the University of Toronto at Scarborough Instructional Centre Phase 1A had also been considered by the Planning and Budget Committee at its meeting on May 7th. If recommended by the Board, the proposal would be considered for approval by the Governing Council on June 23rd.

Professor Tafarodi said that UTSC also had a serious shortage of classroom space. While enrolment had doubled over the past ten years, only about 62% of the COU space guidelines for overall facilities was currently available on that campus. The proposed project would provide thirteen high quality classrooms, five specialized data modeling and communication labs, and over ninety offices for faculty and graduate students, forty-nine of which were needed simply to replace those in temporary structures. The total project cost was \$78-million, \$70-million of which had been provided by the federal and provincial governments, and \$8-million of which would be provided by UTSC. UTSC was prepared to assume the annual operating costs, which would be approximately \$1.2-million.

Professor Tafarodi reported that P&B members had raised some questions about transportation to and accessibility of the site of the proposed building. Ms Sisam had explained that it was a short walk between the north and south ends of campus and that the Project Planning Committee had been discussing ways of providing greater accommodation for the north campus. As well, further development for the north end of the UTSC campus was planned.

Professor Ragnar Buchweitz, UTSC Vice-Principal, Academic & Dean, stated that UTSC had been delighted with the recent announcement of the provision of government funding for the Project. It would allow a first step to be taken towards addressing UTSC's greatest shortcoming of space.

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

- 1. THAT the Project Planning Report for the University of Toronto at Scarborough Instructional Centre Phase 1A, a copy of which is attached hereto as <u>Appendix "G"</u>, be approved in principle.
- 2. THAT the project scope, comprising approximately 7,000 net assignable square metres (13,990 gross square meters) at a total project cost of \$78,000,000 be approved, subject to the receipt of funding.

12. Capital Project: Project Planning Report for the Chemistry Research and Instructional Laboratories Revitalization in the Lash Miller Building

The Chair said that the Project Planning Report for the Chemistry Research and Instructional Laboratories Revitalization in the Lash Miller Building had been considered by the Planning and Budget Committee at its meeting on May 7th. If recommended by the Board, the proposal would be considered for approval by the Governing Council on June 23rd.

Professor Tafarodi reported that, at the P&B meeting, Ms Sisam had told members that many renovations had already been completed to improve the forty-five year old Lash Miller building significantly. However, further renovations were needed. The proposed project would create state-of-the-art research labs and address matters of deferred maintenance, provide new electrical and mechanical services for new fumehoods, and would include the removal of asbestos, resulting in enhanced lab facilities for the Chemistry Department. The total project cost was \$8-million, and it was expected that the renovation of

12. Capital Project: Project Planning Report for the Chemistry Research and Instructional Laboratories Revitalization in the Lash Miller Building (cont'd)

1,540 nasm would be completed by December 31, 2010. Members of the Committee had been supportive of the proposal and no concerns had been voiced at the meeting.

Invited by the Chair to comment, Dr. Mike Dymarski, Technical and Administrative Manager, Chemistry Department, emphasized the necessity of the proposed renovations to the Lash Miller Building. In addition to deferred maintenance matters which needed to be addressed, improvements to support facilities were also essential. Elaborating on a comment made by a member, Dr. Dymarski explained that the Chemistry Department's incubation and pre-incubation labs could serve as a bridge between the University's research labs and activities undertaken in the MaRS Centre and were not meant to duplicate the MaRS endeveour.

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

- 1. THAT the Project Planning Report for the Chemistry Research and Laboratory Revitalization in the Lash Miller Building, a copy of which is attached hereto as <u>Appendix "H"</u>, be approved in principle.
- 2. THAT the project scope of 1,540 nasm of renovations be approved in principle at a cost of \$8 million, subject to the receipt of funding.

13. Capital Project: Project Planning Report for the Physics Research and Instructional Laboratory Revitalization in the McLennan Physical Laboratories Building

The Chair stated that the Project Planning Report for the Physics Research and Instructional Laboratory Revitalization in the McLennan Physical Laboratories Building had been considered by the Planning and Budget Committee at its meeting on May 7th. If recommended by the Board, the proposal would be considered for approval by the Governing Council on June 23rd.

Professor Tafarodi reported that the McLennan Physical Laboratories was also a very old building which was in need of renovations to existing laboratories in order to upgrade the obsolete facilities and dated infrastructure. The renovated facilities would improve the capacity of both research and undergraduate teaching laboratories which would be jointly used by physics and engineering students. The total project cost was \$7.5-million, and it was expected that the renovation of 4,514 nasm would also be completed by December, 2010.

There were no questions from members of the Board.

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

- 1. THAT the Project Planning Report for the Physics Research and Instructional Laboratory Revitalization in the McLennan Physical Laboratories Building, a copy of which is attached hereto as <u>Appendix "I"</u>, be approved in principle.
- 2. THAT the project scope, comprising renovations of approximately 4,514 nasm at a total project cost of \$7.5 million be approved, subject to the receipt of funding.

14. Capital Project: Project Planning Report for the School of Global Affairs

The Chair said that the Project Planning Report for the School of Global Affairs had also been considered by the Planning and Budget Committee at its meeting on May 7th. If recommended by the Board, the proposal would be considered for approval by the Governing Council on June 23rd.

Professor Tafarodi explained that the capital project was not one of the projects which had been submitted under the government infrastructure programs. The School of Global Affairs had been created in 2007, following a review of the Munk Centre for International Studies. In March 2008, the Government of Ontario had identified \$25-million of funding for the School of Global Affairs, which it believed would serve an important role in helping the province to compete in the global economy. As such, funding for the proposed project was already in place. The cost for Phase1 of the project, which would include renovations to 315 Bloor Street West and the provision of a new elevator, was \$13.6-million.

At the invitation of the Chair, Professor David Klausner, Vice-Dean, Interdisciplinary Affairs, Faculty of Arts and Science, responded to some questions which a member had submitted prior to the Board meeting. He explained that the School of Global Affairs, which had replaced the School of International Studies, offered a program in international relations. In contrast, the School of Public Policy offered a program that focused on the development of public policy at the municipal, provincial, and federal levels. Both Schools were EDU:A's within the Faculty of Arts and Science. Professor Misak added that the Munk Centre was more than just a building, as it encompassed several centres and programs.

Noting that the proposed renovations represented the first phase of the School of Global Affairs project, Professor Gertler stated that it would be premature to speak of the second phase, as it had not yet been developed. Once it had evolved, the project planning report for Phase II would be submitted for governance approval. Ms Sisam added that, while it was anticipated that the School of Global Affairs would be one component of the future development of Site 12 (100 Devonshire Place), the space program for Phase II had not yet been established.

A member expressed concern about the required relocation of the APUS offices which were currently housed on Site 12. Professor Misak expressed the desire of the University to provide suitable space for APUS, and she indicated her willingness to hold further discussions with APUS on that matter.

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

- 1. THAT the Project Planning Report for the School of Global Affairs, a copy of which is attached hereto as <u>Appendix "J"</u>, be approved in principle.
- 2. THAT the project scope for Phase I renovations to 315 Bloor Street West, comprising an addition of approximately 400 gsm, and renovations to approximately 890 nasm or 1,685 gsm, be approved at a total cost of \$13.6 million.

15. Items for Information

The Board received the following items for information.

(a) Annual Report on Academic Discipline, 2007-08

Professor Hillan drew members' attention to the Summary of University Tribunal Cases (page 2 of the Report), which provided statistics on the number of cases which had been opened, the number of charges which had been laid, and the number of cases that had been resolved during the last academic year.

15. Items for Information(cont'd)

(b) Annual Report on Degrees, Diplomas, and Certificates Awarded, 2008

A member commented that the number of graduates who had completed degrees within the Faculty of Arts and Science on the St. George campus was lower than he had expected. Professor Gertler said that, in his view, the figures were quite representative of past statistics, but he would confirm that with the University Registrar, Ms Karel Swift, and report back to the member.

(c) Report Number 141 of the Committee on Academic Policy and Programs (May 12, 2009)

The Chair informed members that, at the Agenda Committee meeting that had been held immediately prior to the meeting of the Board, Professor Sass-Kortsak had reported on the changes to the degree and program requirements for new students entering the UTSC which had been approved by AP&P. The discussion of that item was contained in Report Number 141 of AP&P (pages 2-5), and the Chair encouraged members to read the Report if they had not yet done so.

- (d) Report Number 131 of the Planning and Budget Committee (April 1, 2009)
- (e) **Report Number 132 of the Planning and Budget Committee** (May 7, 2009)
- (f) Appointments and Status Changes

16. Date of Next Meeting

The Chair informed members that the date of the next meeting was not yet available, but it would be held in the Fall, 2009. The 2009-2010 meeting dates for the Academic Board and its Committees would be made available on the Office of the Governing Council website after July 1, 2009.

17. Other Business

The Chair expressed his appreciation to all those who had contributed to the work of the Board during the past year.

The Chair thanked the assessors who brought matters forward to the Boards and Committees, particularly the senior assessor, Professor Misak, and her staff. The Chair thanked the members of the Agenda Committee who oversaw the flow of the business of the Board, and who were diligent in approving academic administrative appointments on behalf of the Board.

The Chair acknowledged the work of the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Committee on Academic Policy and Programs, Professor Andrea Sass-Kortsak and Professor Doug McDougall, and of the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Planning and Budget Committee, Professor Avrum Gotlieb and Professor Wendy Rotenberg.

The Chair thanked all members of the Board for their contribution to the governance of the University. He acknowledged the service of those members whose terms ended on June 30th, and informed those whose terms were continuing that they would receive information about the 2009-10 Board meetings over the summer.

The Chair announced that, at its meeting of May 20, 2009, the Governing Council had appointed Professors Louise Lemieux-Charles and Varouj Aivazian as Chair and Vice-Chair of the Academic Board in 2009-10.

The Chair expressed special thanks to Professor Brian Corman, who had served as Vice-Chair of the Academic Board and the Agenda Committee since 2001-02. Although he would no longer serve in that capacity, the Board would continue to benefit from his knowledge, as he would be an ex-officio member of the Board in his new role as Dean of the SGS.

The Chair thanked the Secretary for her support of the Board.

17. Other Business (cont'd)

On behalf of the assessors and members of the Board, Professor Misak thanked Professor Marrus for his service as Chair from 2006-07 to 2008-09. A chair was presented to Professor Marrus in appreciation of his contributions.

On a motion duly moved, seconded, and carried, the Board moved in camera.

18. Quarterly Report on Donations: February 1, 2009 – April 30, 2009

Members received this report for information. There were no questions.

19. Appointment of University Professors

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

The appointments of Professor David Jenkins, Professor John Kennedy, and Professor Philip Sohm as University Professors, effective July 1, 2009.

20. Report of the Tribunal Selection Committee

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT Ms Lisa Brownstone, Mr. Clifford Lax, Mr. Andrew Pinto, and Ms Roslyn Tsao be reappointed as Co-Chairs of the University Tribunal for the period July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2012.

21. Report of the Striking Committee

A. COU Colleague

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT Professor Gage Averill be appointed the University of Toronto Academic Colleague on the Council of Ontario Universities (COU) for a two-year term from July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2011.

B. Board and Committee Membership

(a) Co-opted Membership of the Academic Board²

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT the following be appointed as co-opted members of the Academic Board for 2009-10:

 ^{*} Indicates a member of the Board or Committee in 2007-08.
 51219

B. Board and Committee Membership (cont'd)

(a) Co-opted Membership of the Academic Board (cont'd)

Administrative and Professional Staff

Ms Yvette Ali, Professional & International Programs, Woodsworth College (to June 30, 2010) Ms Helen Slade, Student Retention Services (to June 30, 2012)

Continuing Administrative and Professional Staff members:

* Ms Michelle Mitrovich, Faculty of Arts & Science, Victoria College (to June 30, 2010) * Ms Lynn Snowden, University of Toronto at Mississauga (UTM) (to June 30, 2011)

Alumni

Ms Caroline Di Giovanni, Faculty of Arts and Science, St. Michael's College
Mr. Ahmed Rafi Mian, Faculty of Arts and Science, University College/ Ontario Institute for Studies in Education (OISE)
* Mr. Daniel Taranovsky, Joseph L. Rotman School of Management/SGS
Mr. Jason Wong, Faculty of Arts and Science, University College

Students

Full-time Undergraduate

Miss Sabrina Tang, Faculty of Applied Science and Engineering (FASE), Industrial Engineering Ms Katarina Cadete, Faculty of Arts and Science, Woodsworth College Mr. Matthew Purser, Faculty of Arts and Science, Victoria College Mr. Andrew Brown, Faculty of Medicine Ms Netila Demneri, Faculty of Arts and Science, University College Miss Tulika Gupta, FASE, Electrical Engineering

Part-time Undergraduate

Ms Binish Ahmed, Faculty of Arts and Science, New College Mr. Paulo Simas, UTM Mr. Konstantin Anasov, Faculty of Arts and Science, University College

Graduate

Ms Jemy Joseph, Institute of Medical Sciences (student member of the Academic Board - 2007-08) Ms Saswati Deb, School of Public Policy and Governance (past student governor – 2006-07 and

2007-08; member of the Academic Board, 2007-08)

Mr. Sybil Derrible, FASE, Civil Engineering

B. Board and Committee Membership (cont'd)

(b) Membership of the Agenda Committee

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT the following be appointed to the Agenda Committee for 2009-10:

Student

Ms Saswati Deb, School of Public Policy and Governance (past student governor – 2006-07 and 2007-08; member of the Academic Board, 2007-08)

Teaching Staff

Professor Douglas Reeve, FASE, (Chemical Engineering; current teaching staff governor; member of the Academic Board, 2007-08)Professor Elizabeth Cowper, Faculty of Arts and Science (Linguistics)

(c) Membership of the Academic Appeals Committee

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT the following be appointed to the Academic Appeals Committee for 2009-10:

Chairs:

- * Mr. Tad Brown
- * Ms Kate Hilton
- * Professor Edward Morgan
- * Professor Lorne Sossin

Members:

Students

Ms Katarina Cadete, full-time undergraduate, Faculty of Arts and Science, Woodsworth College Mr. Matthew Purser, full-time undergraduate, Faculty of Arts and Science, Victoria College Mr. Andrew Brown, full-time undergraduate, Faculty of Medicine Miss Tulika Gupta, full-time undergraduate, FASE, Electrical Engineering Mr. Paulo Simas, part-time undergraduate, UTM Ms Jemy Joseph, graduate, Institute of Medical Sciences Ms Saswati Deb, graduate, School of Public Policy and Governance Mr. Sybil Derrible, graduate, FASE, Civil Engineering

B. Board and Committee Membership (cont'd)

(c) Membership of the Academic Appeals Committee (cont'd)

Teaching Staff

Professor Denise Belsham, Faculty of Medicine (Physiology) * Professor Gerald Cupchik, UTSC (Psychology) * Dr. Chris Koenig-Woodyard, UTM (English) Professor Douglas McDougall, OISE (Curriculum, Teaching and Learning) Professor Fave Mishna, Faculty of Social Work Professor Ito Peng, Faculty of Arts and Science (Sociology) * Dr. Cindy Woodland, Faculty of Medicine (Pharmacology)

(d) Membership of the Committee on Academic Policy and Programs

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT the following be appointed to the Committee on Academic Policy and Programs for 2009-10:

Administrative and Professional Staff

Ms Lynn Snowden, UTM

Students

Miss Sabrina Tang, full-time undergraduate, Faculty of Applied Science and Engineering (FASE), Industrial Engineering

Mr. Matthew Purser, full-time undergraduate, Faculty of Arts and Science, Victoria College Ms Netila Demneri, full-time undergraduate, Faculty of Arts and Science, University College Mr. Konstantin Anasov, part-time undergraduate, Faculty of Arts and Science, University College Mr. Sybil Derrible, graduate, FASE, Civil Engineering

Teaching Staff

* Professor Gage Averill, UTM

* Professor Katherine Berg, Faculty of Medicine (Physical Therapy)

Professor Alister Cumming, OISE (Modern Language Centre)

Professor Charles Deber, Faculty of Medicine (Biochemistry)

Professor Miriam Diamond, Faculty of Arts and Science (Geography)

* Professor Robert Gibbs, Faculty of Arts and Science (Philosophy)

* Ms Lesley Lavack, Faculty of Pharmacy

* Professor Hy Luong, Faculty of Arts and Science (Anthropology)

* Professor Douglas McDougall, OISE (Curriculum, Teaching and Learning), Vice-Chair

Professor John Miron, UTSC (Social Sciences)

Professor Ito Peng, Faculty of Arts and Science (Sociology)

* Professor Andrea Sass-Kortsak, Faculty of Medicine (Public Health Sciences), Chair

* Professor Suzanne Stevenson, Faculty of Arts and Science (Computer Science)

Professor Judith Poë, UTM

B. Board and Committee Membership (cont'd)

(e) Membership of the Planning and Budget Committee

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT the following be appointed to the Planning and Budget Committee for 2009-10:

Student

Miss Tulika Gupta, full-time undergraduate, FASE, Electrical Engineering

Teaching Staff

* Professor Denise Belsham, Faculty of Medicine (Physiology)
Professor Parth Bhatt, Faculty of Arts and Science (French)
Professor Will Cluett, FASE (Chemical Engineering)
* Professor Joseph Desloges, Faculty of Arts and Science, Woodsworth College
* Professor Avrum Gotlieb, Faculty of Medicine (Laboratory Medicine & Pathobiology), Chair
* Dr. Chris Koenig-Woodyard, UTM (English)
Professor Angelo Melino, Faculty of Arts and Science (Economics)
Ms Carole Moore, Library (member of the Planning and Budget Committee – 2005-06 to 2007-08)
* Professor David Mock, Faculty of Dentistry
* Professor Wendy Rotenberg, Rotman School of Management, Vice-Chair

Additional members of the Agenda Planning Group:

Professor Joseph Desloges, Faculty of Arts and Science, Woodsworth College * Professor David Mock, Faculty of Dentistry

(f) Discipline Appeals Board

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT the following be appointed to the Discipline Appeals Board for 2009-10:

Students

Ms Anne Guo (student member of the Academic Board - 2008-09) Mr. Andrew Mintz (student member of the Academic Board - 2008-09) Mr. Shane Smith (student member of the Academic Board - 2008-09)

Teaching Staff

* Professor Gerald Cupchik, UTSC (Psychology)

* Professor Guy Faulkner, Faculty of Physical Education and Health

Dr. Chris Koenig-Woodyard, UTM (English) (member of the Discipline Appeals Board in 2007-08)

B. Board and Committee Membership (cont'd)

(g) Advisory Committee on the University of Toronto Library System

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD APPROVED

THAT the following be appointed as the Board's representatives on the Advisory Committee on the University of Toronto Library System for 2009-10:

* Professor Robert Gibbs, Faculty of Arts and Science (Philosophy) Professor Alison Keith, Faculty of Arts and Science (Classics)

(h) Committee for Honorary Degrees

On motion duly moved, seconded, and carried

YOUR BOARD RECOMMENDS

THAT the membership proposed for the Committee for Honorary Degrees for 2009-2010 in the Report of the Striking Committee dated May 21, 2009 be approved.

The meeting adjourned at 6:10 p.m.

Secretary June 8, 2009 Chair