



October 5, 1999

Via Registered Mail

Personal and Confidential

Ms K.

[REDACTED]

North York, ON

[REDACTED]

Dear Ms K.:

At its hearing held on August 16, 1999, the Trial Division of the University Tribunal considered the following charges against you:

1. That on or about December 2, 1998, you did represent as your own an idea or expression of an idea or work of another in connection with the essay entitled "Epinephrine in local anaesthetic, potential systemic effect and contraindication to use," submitted for academic credit in DEN 462Y, contrary to section B.I.1(d) of the University of Toronto's Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters, 1995 (the Code).
2. That on or about December 2, 1998, you engaged in a form of cheating, academic dishonesty or misconduct, fraud or misrepresentation in order to obtain academic credit or other academic advantage of any kind, and that you committed plagiarism by representing as your own ideas or expression of ideas the work of another in the essay entitled "Epinephrine in local anaesthetic, potential systemic effect and contraindication to use," submitted for academic credit in December, 1998 in course DEN 462Y, contrary to section B.L3(b) of the Code.

The particulars of the charges were as follows:

1. On or about December 2, 1998, you submitted for academic credit an essay entitled "Epinephrine in local anaesthetic, potential systemic effect and contraindication to use". In that essay, you included, verbatim, substantial excerpts from the articles and texts referenced at the end of your essay.

2. The excerpts from those references copied verbatim into your essay were not specifically referred to, nor appropriately referenced within the body of the essay.

I am writing to formally confirm that the panel found you guilty of the above two charges and imposed the following sanctions.

- That a written reprimand be delivered to the student in respect of the offences and the convictions therefor.
- That the course grade that was assigned for DEN 462Y (being an "F" grade) be confirmed.
- That the sanction imposed, together with its implications (namely repetition in whole of the academic year) be recorded on the student's academic record and transcript for a period of two years, commencing on August 16, 1999 and ending August 15, 2001.
- That the within case be reported to the Provost, on the understanding that the Provost may publish notice of this decision in the University newspapers, with the student's name withheld.

Yours sincerely,

Margaret McKone

Ms Margaret McKone
Acting Secretary
University Tribunal

cc: J. Hannaford, Chair, Tribunal Panel
D. Cook, Vice-Provost
T. Costigan, Counsel for Ms. K.
L. Rothstein, Senior Discipline Counsel
B. Sessle, Dean, Faculty of Dentistry