

May 9th, 1990

PERSONAL & CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. L.

Toronto, Ontario

Dear Mr. L.

I am writing to inform you of the outcome of your sentence hearing before the Trial Division of the University Tribunal held on Monday, May 7th, 1990. In your absence, the jury imposed the following sanctions:

- a grade of zero in all your courses;
- suspension from the University for a period of five years, from May 7th, 1990 to May 6th, 1995;
- that the suspension and the reason for it be recorded on your academic transcript for as long as you have not successfully completed a degree at the University of Toronto; and
- that the decision and sanctions imposed be reported to the Vice-President and Provost for publication (name withheld) in the University newspapers.

The jury gave the following reasons for their decision:

"We decided as we did with regard to the extreme gravity of the offence, its premeditated character, the student's refusal to co-operate with the University's procedure and his evident lack of contrition. We took very seriously the recommendation of the [Vice-President and] Provost that expulsion was the appropriate penalty to recommend in such cases. We decided not to recommend that penalty in the present case only because this is a first offence and because the student was a first-year student whose youth can be regarded, therefore, as a slight mitigation of his offence, or at least a slight reason for hoping that he might reform in the future. We have, however, no hesitation in applying the most rigorous penalty that was available to us, short of recommending expulsion. With regard to our decision that the record of the verdict should remain on the student's record for as long as he has not successfully completed a degree, we reasoned that should it be the case that Mr. L. should return after no matter how many years to pursue his education at the University, the record of his previous offence should be available to those faculty members and others with whom he would be dealing at that time. And since the provision of no fixed term re five, ten years, whatever, would suffice to that end, we

thought that the provision that the record stand for so long as he has not successfully completed a degree was appropriate in the present case."

Information concerning rights of appeal may be found in Section L of the Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters. The deadline for filing an appeal by you or by the University is May 31st, 1990.

Yours truly,

Dominique Petersen
Secretary
University Tribunal

DP*np

cc: P.D. Jackson
K.N. Feldman
J.E. Foley
D.B. Cook
R.L. Beck

April 6th, 1990

PERSONAL & CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. L.

Toronto, Ontario

Dear Mr. L.

I am writing to inform you that during the course of the proceedings and in accordance with the order of the Tribunal, the charges against you were amended at the hearing as follows:

Charge One:

1. That in or about the Spring of 1989, you did submit for credit in the course Sociology 101Y, an essay entitled "A Note on Class Structure and Family Organization in Gilead", *all or part of which essay was purchased by you from Custom Essay Service and therefore, represented as your work the work of another, contrary to Section E.1.(a)(ii) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters.*
2. That in or about the Spring of 1989, you did use or possess in connection with a form of academic work an unauthorized aid, being an essay ordered from Custom Essay Service, contrary to Section E.1.(a)(i) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters. The essay was used or possessed in connection with an essay entitled "A Note on Class Structure and Family Organization in Gilead", submitted for credit in Sociology 101Y in or about the Spring of 1989.

Charge Two:

1. That in or about the Spring of 1989, you did submit for credit in the course Political Theory 200Y, an essay entitled "Locke and Hobbes and the Question of Property", *all or part of which essay was purchased by you from Custom Essay Service and therefore, represented as your work the work of another, contrary to Section E.1.(a)(ii) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters.*
2. That in or about the Spring of 1989, you did use or possess in connection with a form of academic work an unauthorized aid, being an essay ordered from Custom Essay Service, contrary to Section E.1.(a)(i) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters. The essay was used or possessed in connection with an essay entitled "Locke and Hobbes and the Question of Property", submitted for credit in Political Theory 200Y in or about the Spring of 1989.

It was of these amended charges that the jury found you guilty.

Yours truly,

Dominique Petersen
Secretary
University Tribunal

DP*np

cc: P. Jackson
K. Feldman
J. Foley
D. Cook
R. Beck