



THE UNIVERSITY TRIBUNAL
 ROOM 107, SIMCOE HALL
 THE UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
 TORONTO, CANADA
 M5S 1A1

978-2192

February 24, 1984

CONFIDENTIAL

REGISTERED MAIL

Mr. **R**

Rexdale, Ontario

Dear Mr. **R**.

At its hearing on February 23, 1984 the Trial Division of the University Tribunal considered the following charges against you:

1. That on or about May 5, 1983, you did obtain unauthorized assistance in two term tests in the course PSY 210Y contrary to s.E.1(a)(i) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour.

The following are the particulars:

- (a) On or about May 5, 1983, you did telephone the teaching assistant in the course, Paul Smith, and told him that the recorded mark for your first term test, which had been written on November 4, 1982, was wrong. That test had been altered by you subsequent to marking to give you a higher grade.
 - (b) On or about May 5, 1983, you did telephone the teaching assistant in the course, Paul Smith, and told him that the recorded mark for your third term test, which had been written in the Spring of 1983, was wrong. That test had been altered by you subsequent to marking to give you a higher grade.
2. That on or about May 5, 1983, you did utter a falsified academic record of the University of Toronto contrary to s.E.1(c) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour.

The following are the particulars:

- (a) On or about May 5, 1983, you did telephone the teaching assistant in the course, Paul Smith, and told him that the recorded mark for your first term test, which had been written on November 4, 1982, was wrong. That test had been altered by you subsequent to marking to give you a higher grade.

3. That on or about May 5, 1983, you did utter a falsified academic record of the University of Toronto contrary to s.E.1(c) of the University of Toronto Code of Behaviour.

The following are the particulars:

- (a) On or about May 5, 1983, you did telephone the teaching assistant in the course, Paul Smith, and told him that the recorded mark for your third term test, which had been written in the Spring of 1983, was wrong. That test had been altered by you subsequent to marking to give you a higher grade.

The Tribunal found you guilty of each charge. Listed below are the sanctions that were imposed together with the jury's reason for each sanction:

- a grade of 5% in PSY 210Y
This mark will reflect that Mr. Ramsingh participated in the course but did not meet the standards of the university
- suspension from the university until December 31, 1984
We feel that a longer suspension would be unduly vindictive while a shorter suspension would not reflect the seriousness of his actions
- a record of the suspension to be retained on the official transcript until June 1, 1986
We feel that a longer period would be counterproductive and might jeopardize his career. We feel that the notation on the transcript is necessary to maintain the integrity of the degree.

The jury also strongly suggested that you write a formal letter of apology to the faculty members of the Psychology Department responsible for PSY 210Y.

I am forwarding to you and to the University Discipline Counsel information regarding rights of appeal. The deadline for you or for the university to file an appeal is March 16, 1984.

Sincerely,

Patrick S. Phillips
Secretary, Academic Tribunal

PSP/co
Encl.

cc: A. McN. Austin
K. Feldman
F. Molnar